Sprint 8 Retrospective

What didn't go well:

- Did not correctly implement LLD to a granular level x6
- · Story owners did not utilize supporting member times effectively
 - Did not specifically assign layers/classes to implement
- Rigorous test cases were not created prior to coding

Action Items:

- @Team Revisit LLD
- @Team Abstract DAL
- @Kevin Output the network diagram draft

Action item from last retrospective
Action item N/A in this sprint

What went well:

- Quality of coding deliverable was a strong point
 - Mostly adhered to Vong's expectations and standards
- Found ways to organize and work with our code base

What didn't go well:

- Updated the github repository at the last possible minute and incurred penalties
- Lost work due to inexperience with github merge conflicts
- Revisited LLD and restructured code multiple times
- Need more rigorous testing for integrated tests

Action Items:

- @team Submit all deliverables at least 1 hour before deadlines
- @team Review all deliverable code prior to submission
- @team Create pull requests after code has been finalized for review