

Brian Lockhart

Professor: Amy Ernstes

Writing: Book Review

November 29, 2023

The New Jim Crow

Michelle Alexander's *The New Jim Crow* transcends being merely a commentary on America's criminal justice system; it is a profound exploration of the deeply entrenched racial biases within it. By drawing powerful parallels to the Jim Crow era, Alexander unveils how the War on Drugs has evolved into a sophisticated tool for a system of racialized social control. This review explores the book's intentions, presentation, and significant relevance to our class discussions surrounding the intricate relationship between race, gender, legal status, and societal constructs.

In *The New Jim Crow*, Michelle Alexander describes the covert mechanisms of racial control that permeate modern American society. The book meticulously dissects the system of mass incarceration, making a compelling argument that it operates with remarkable efficiency to sweep people of color off the streets, confine them within the confines of prisons, and subsequently release them into an inferior second-class status (Alexander 115). This perspective is strikingly similar to our class readings and discussions, emphasizing that concepts like race, gender, and legal status are not static biological facts but socially constructed realities deeply influenced by cultural, historical, and political factors. Complementing Alexander's perspective, Kimberlé Crenshaw, in her work, delves into the complexity of intersectionality, notably discussing how courts perceive and interpret the narratives of Black women plaintiffs (Crenshaw

58). This approach underscores the multifaceted nature of discrimination, where intersecting identities like race and gender compound the challenges faced, particularly in legal settings.

Alexander's intention in presenting this book is multi-faceted. Firstly, she seeks to expose the hidden underbelly of the criminal justice system, challenging the prevalent narrative that it operates fairly and impartially. She highlights the racial disparities in arrest rates, sentencing, and post-release consequences, illustrating how the system disproportionately affects Black and Brown individuals. Secondly, the book prompts readers to confront uncomfortable truths about systemic racism, specifically how it has evolved and adapted in the post-Civil Rights era. Alexander compels readers to recognize the enduring legacy of racial discrimination in the United States by drawing parallels between the current mass incarceration system and the historical Jim Crow laws.

In the context of our class discussions, Alexander's intentions align perfectly with the themes we have explored. Our class has emphasized that concepts like race and legal status are not inherent but instead socially constructed. Alexander's book reinforces this notion by revealing how the criminal justice system has been constructed to target and marginalize people of color disproportionately. It underscores the need to critically examine societal constructs and their impact on individuals and communities. Flores and Schachter contribute to this conversation, emphasizing that 'Understanding the attributes that shape public suspicions of illegality is critical, because individuals perceived to be illegal might experience negative repercussions, regardless of their actual legal status' (Flores and Schachter 840). Similarly, Hwang's findings on race and gentrification provide further evidence that 'Race, rather than years of residency in the area or socioeconomic status, was the primary dimension that distinguished

how respondents drew their neighborhoods' (Hwang 108), thus highlighting the primary influence of racial perceptions in the structuring of community identities.

Alexander's presentation in *The New Jim Crow* is methodical and impactful. She begins by tracing the history of racial control in the United States, starting with the abhorrent institution of slavery, transitioning through the discriminatory Jim Crow laws, and ultimately landing on the contemporary system of mass incarceration (Alexander 31). The skillful integration of empirical evidence with poignant personal narratives makes her narrative even more compelling. By juxtaposing data with real-life stories, Alexander provides a visceral understanding of the devastating consequences of mass incarceration.

The book's organization effectively guides readers through this complex and emotionally charged topic. It progresses chronologically, allowing readers to follow the evolution of racial control mechanisms in the United States. This chronological framework helps readers grasp how the current system is an extension of historical injustices. Moreover, Alexander's narratives add a human dimension to the statistics and legal arguments. These personal stories humanize the individuals affected by mass incarceration, making the book emotionally resonant and difficult to ignore.

Two key concepts central to the book - 'colorblindness' and the 'racialization of crime' - resonate deeply with our class discussions. Alexander's exploration of 'colorblindness' exposes how racial biases can persist under the guise of neutrality, perpetuating inequality (Alexander 197). This theme aligns closely with our class explorations into how societal norms and practices influence constructs like gender and sexuality. Judith Lorber, for instance, elucidates this concept by emphasizing that gender is not merely a reflection of biological differences but is instead a

social construct: “Similarly, gender cannot be equated with biological and physiological differences between human females and males. The building blocks of gender are socially constructed statuses” (Lorber 3). Lorber’s insight parallels Alexander’s arguments, showing how deep-seated societal beliefs and structures shape our understanding of identity and inequality.

The New Jim Crow addresses essential questions about racial bias within the criminal justice system, sparking critical reflection on their worthiness. It challenges readers to confront the uncomfortable realities of systemic racism and to actively seek justice (Alexander 221). However, one limitation is the need for a detailed roadmap for solutions. While the book brilliantly illuminates the problems, it leaves readers yearning for a more concrete path toward reform. Providing such a roadmap could enhance its persuasiveness and actionable impact.

The book’s questions are undeniably worthwhile. Alexander forces readers to grapple with uncomfortable truths about the criminal justice system and its impact on marginalized communities. Her analysis of systemic issues, from racial profiling to sentencing disparities, prompts readers to question the fairness and legitimacy of the current system. These questions are pertinent and urgent in ongoing criminal justice reform and racial equity debates.

As mentioned earlier, the book’s limitation lies in its need for a detailed roadmap for solutions. While it excels in highlighting the problems, it leaves readers with a sense of urgency but not necessarily a clear path forward. However, one could argue that this absence of a definitive solution is intentional. Alexander may be challenging readers to take ownership of the problem and actively engage in finding solutions. Nevertheless, a more explicit plan for addressing the issues it raises would make the book even more persuasive.

In conclusion, Michelle Alexander's *The New Jim Crow* is a masterful exposition of the racial biases deeply ingrained in America's criminal justice system. Through meticulous research combined with poignant narratives, the book establishes itself as an indispensable cornerstone in the ongoing dialogue on racial justice. As we delve into its pages, we gain the insight needed to formulate policies that are not just in name but also acutely aware of the socially constructed realities that shape our society.

To honestly grapple with the issues presented, we must shift our focus from merely blaming individuals or perpetuating labels. Instead, we must scrutinize and endeavor to reform the systems that give rise to these labels. Such a shift is vital for paving the way to more inclusive, equitable, and diverse communities. As we reflect on the book's intentions, presentation, and resonance with our class discussions, we are reminded that pursuing justice goes beyond mere recognition. It demands a critical examination of societal constructs and a staunch commitment to systemic reform. In essence, Alexander's work illuminates the depths of the problem and propels us to be proactive contributors to a solution, fostering a more just and equitable society.

Works Cited

- Alexander, Michelle, and Cornel West. *The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness*. Revised edition, New Press, 2012.
- Crenshaw, Kimberle. *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory, and Antiracist Politics* (1989).
- Flores, René D., and Ariela Schachter. “Who Are the ‘Illegals’? The Social Construction of Illegality in the United States.” *American Sociological Review*, vol. 83, no. 5, Oct. 2018, pp. 839–68. *DOI.org (Crossref)*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003122418794635>.
- Hwang, Jackelyn. “The Social Construction of a Gentrifying Neighborhood: Reifying and Redefining Identity and Boundaries in Inequality.” *Urban Affairs Review*, vol. 52, no. 1, Jan. 2016, pp. 98–128. *DOI.org (Crossref)*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/1078087415570643>.
- Lorber, Judith. *Night to His Day: The Social Construction of Gender*. 2018.