DevKraft PDF Case Study Template

Overview

Purpose:

Lead generation, sales enablement, shareable asset **

8-Page, Gated, Visual-Heavy Format

Length:1,500-1,800 words

Pages:8 pages

Design:Bold, visual, modern

Sections

- 1. Cover
- 2. INDUSTRY CONTEXT + CLIENT INTRO
- 3. THE CHALLENGE
- 4. THE SOLUTION
- 5. THE RESULTS
- 6. KEY INSIGHTS and CALL-TO-ACTION

Detailed Guidelines

PAGE 1: COVER

Design: Full-page visual impact

Elements:

Background:

- DevKraft blue gradient OR
- Dark theme with a subtle pattern OR
- High-quality hero image (blurred/tinted)

Content (Centered):

[CLIENT LOG0]
(top left or top center)

```
[Large Space]

[HEADLINE - 48-72pt, Bold, White]
[Client Name]: [%] [Benefit],
[$Value] [Result]

[Subheadline - 24pt, Light weight]
How [Industry] achieved [outcome] in [timeline]

[Hero Metrics - 36pt, Accent color]
93% faster | $5M saved | 600% ROI | 18 weeks

[Large Space]

[DevKraft Logo]
(bottom center)

[Industry Badge]
FINTECH | HEALTHCARE | INSURTECH
(bottom right)
```

No Body Text

- This page is purely for visual impact
- Communicate value in seconds

Section 2: OVERVIEW + AI SUMMARY

Design: Two-section page

Section A: AI-Ready Summary Box (Top 1/3 of page)

```
Industry Context: [1 sentence about industry challenge with specific stat]

Client: [Company Name] ([Industry], [X] employees, [Scale])

Challenge: [1-sentence problem statement]

Solution: [Your framework/approach in 1 sentence]

Results: [4-5 key metrics in bullet format]

• [Metric 1 with % or value]

• [Metric 2 with % or value]

• [Metric 3 with % or value]
```

[Metric 4 with % or value]
 Key Technology: [Main stack: e.g., FastAPI, PGVector, OpenAI, AWS]
 Timeline: [X weeks from start to production]
 Investment: [Budget range if appropriate, otherwise omit]
 Key Innovation: [1 unique technical achievement]

Section B: Meet [Client Name] (Bottom 2/3 of page)

Header (24pt, Bold): "Meet [Client Name]"

Content (3 paragraphs, ~200 words total):

Paragraph 1: Who They Are (60 words)

[Company] is [industry position] specializing in [what they do]. [Brief history or founding context]. [Products/services offered]. [Geographic presence].

Example:

Indegene is a global pharmaceutical intelligence organization founded in 1998, specializing in life sciences consulting and technology solutions. With 5,000+ employees across 10 countries, they serve Fortune 500 pharmaceutical companies with clinical development, regulatory affairs, and competitive intelligence services.

Paragraph 2: Scale & Context (70 words)

[Quantify their operations]. [Why reliability/innovation matters]. [Industry challenges they face]. [Competitive pressures or regulatory requirements].

Example:

The company manages 100,000+ documents across clinical trials, regulatory filings, and market analyses. With knowledge workers spending 30% of their time searching for information, efficient knowledge management became critical. Serving clients who demand instant answers to complex pharmaceutical questions, delays weren't acceptable.

Section 3: THE CHALLENGE

Design: 4 Challenge Cards in 2x2 grid

Header (36pt, Bold, Centered):

The Challenge: [Vivid Description]

Examples:

```
"Knowledge Trapped in 100,000 Siloed Documents"
"AI Pilot Crashing Under Production Load"
"Manual Processes Drowning Operations Team"
```

Challenge Card Template (4 cards):

Example Cards:

Card 1:

```
Unbearable Search Times |

Unbearable Search Times |

Researchers spent 45 minutes hunting |

for documents across SharePoint, |

Google Drive, and S3. Most gave up |

and asked colleagues instead. |

Impact: 30% of workday wasted |
```

Card 2:

Card 3:

```
Knowledge Lost When People Left |

Critical institutional knowledge |
disappeared when employees left the |
company. No system to capture or |
transfer expertise. |
Impact: 8-week onboarding for new hires |
```

Card 4:

```
Compliance Risk |

| As a global pharma org, needed ISO |
| 27001, GDPR, HIPAA compliance. Legacy |
| systems lacked audit trails and access |
| control. |
| Impact: Failed 2 customer audits |
```

Section 4: THE SOLUTION

Design: Timeline + Tech breakdown

Section A: Header + Brief Intro (Top 1/5)

Header (36pt, Bold):

```
18 Weeks to Production: Our Altitude Framework
```

Brief Intro (3 sentences, 14pt):

Section B: 4-Phase Timeline Visual (Middle 3/5)

Design: Horizontal timeline with phase boxes

```
[Icon] 1 | → | [Icon] 2 | → | [Icon] 3 | → | [Icon] 4 |

| Week 1-4 | | Week 5-10 | | Week 11-14 | | Week 15-18 |

| | | | | | | |

| Assessment & | Build & | Scaled | Production |

| Architecture | Integration | Testing | Rollout |

| | | | | | | |

| → Activity 1 | | → Activity 1 | | → Activity 1 | | → Activity 1 |

| → Activity 2 | → Activity 2 | → Activity 2 | → Activity 2 |

| → Activity 3 | → Activity 3 | → Activity 3 | → Activity 3 |

| Deliverable: | Deliverable: | Deliverable: | Deliverable: |

[Output] | [Output] | [Output] | [Output] |
```

Section C: Key Technologies (Bottom 2/5)

Header (24pt, Semibold):

```
Key Technologies & Why They Mattered
```

Format: Icon + Tech + Benefit (4 items, 2x2 grid)

```
| multi-LLM Intelligence |
| OpenAI GPT-4 + Claude 3.5 + GPT-3.5 |
| → Intelligent model routing reduced |
| costs 50% while maintaining quality |

| Q Semantic Search Engine |
| PostgreSQL with PGVector extension |
| → Meaning-based search achieved 95% |
| relevance vs. 70% keyword-only |
```

Section D: Architecture Diagram (If space allows)

Optional: Before/After architecture visual

- Keep it simple, high-level
- Show transformation clearly

Client Quote Box (Bottom of page):

```
"DevKraft's daily standups kept us aligned. We |
| always knew exactly where we were in the 18-week |
| plan. No surprises, just steady progress." |
| — [Name], CTO, [Company] |
```

Section 5: THE RESULTS

Design: Giant numbers + comparison + impact

Header (36pt, Bold, Centered):

```
The Results: Transformation at Scale
```

Section A: Hero Metrics

Design: Giant numbers with arrows, color-coded

```
MEASURABLE OUTCOMES

↓ 93% Search Time Slashed

45 minutes → 3 minutes

Employees find answers instantly

↓ 62% AWS Cost Reduction

Despite 100x user growth

$45K/month → $17K/month

↑ 600% First-Year ROI

$5M saved from duplicate work

Investment paid back in 4 months

↑ 100x User Scale Achieved

500 → 50,000 daily users

Zero performance degradation
```

Section B: Before/After Comparison Table (Middle 1/3)

```
PERFORMANCE TRANSFORMATION |

Metric | Before | After DevKraft |

Avg Search Time | 45 minutes | 3 minutes |

Documents Found | 5-10 manual | 1,000s automatic |

Duplicate Work | 200/year | 20/year |

Productivity | Baseline | +40% |

Knowledge Use | 15% | 75% |

Onboarding Time | 8 weeks | 4 weeks |

System Uptime | 85% | 95% |
```

Section C: Strategic Business Impact (Bottom 1/3)

Header (20pt, Semibold):

```
Strategic Benefits Delivered
```

Format: 2-column bullet list with checkmarks

```
√ $5M annual cost avoidance from √ Competitive intelligence: Faster eliminating duplicate work market trend analysis
```

```
✓ Innovation velocity: Teams build ✓ Compliance maintained: ISO 27001, on existing knowledge faster GDPR, HIPAA across 10 countries

✓ Institutional memory: Critical ✓ 50% faster onboarding: 8 weeks knowledge accessible 24/7 → 4 weeks for new employees

✓ Secured Series C funding: System ✓ 400% increase in knowledge base stability was key diligence item utilization across organization
```

Section D: Visual Element

Option A: Performance chart showing improvement over 18 weeks

Option B: Cost savings visualization (before/after)

Option C: User adoption curve ($500 \rightarrow 50,000$ over time)

Client Quote Box (Bottom):

Section 6: KEY TAKEAWAYS + STRONG CTA

Design: Split page (top 40% = insights, bottom 60% = CTA)

Section A: Key Insights (Top 40%)

Header (30pt, Bold):

```
Key Insights: What Enabled These Results
```

Format: 3 numbered insights, concise

Hybrid Search Strategy
Combined semantic (PGVector) with keyword search,
achieving 95% relevance vs. 70% semantic-only. This
ensured users found what they needed regardless of
query phrasing.

2 Intelligent Multi-LLM Routing
Automated model selection (GPT-4 for complex queries,
GPT-3.5 for simple) reduced costs 50% without quality
loss. Simple economics at scale.

3 Async Document Processing
Background processing of 100K documents prevented
ingestion from blocking users. Platform remained
responsive during massive data migration.

OR (Alternative format):

Header: "Lessons for Similar Organizations"

If You're Scaling Enterprise AI, Consider This:

 \checkmark Start with 20% of data (highest-value content) for quick wins and early adoption

✓ Budget for data cleaning—80% of project time was spent on data prep, not model training

 \checkmark Build cost monitoring from day 1—LLM costs can spiral quickly without intelligent routing

 \checkmark Involve end-users early—we tested with 50 employees before full rollout, catching UX issues

✓ Plan for knowledge transfer—make your team selfsufficient, not dependent on vendors

Section B: Strong CTA (Bottom 60%)

Header (36pt, Bold, Centered):

Ready to Achieve Similar Results?

Subheader (18pt, Centered):

Facing knowledge management challenges like Indegene?

Value Proposition Box (Centered, highlighted):

Primary Offer Box:

```
| SCHEDULE YOUR FREE TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT |
| What You'll Get: |
| • Analysis of your current knowledge challenges |
| • Custom ROI projection (like Indegene's $5M) |
| • 18-week deployment roadmap |
| • Technology recommendations |
| • No obligation—just expert insights |
| |
| [LARGE CTA BUTTON] |
| Schedule Assessment |
```

Alternative Contact Methods:

```
Or reach out directly:

[enterprise-ai@devkraft.com](mailto:enterprise-ai@devkraft.com)
+91-XXX-XXXX
[calendly.com/devkraft/assessment](http://calendly.com/devkraft/assessment)
(devkraft.com/solutions/altitude](http://devkraft.com/solutions/altitude)
```

Trust Signals (Bottom of page):

```
[AWS Logo] [Client Logo] [Client Logo]

AWS Advanced-Tier Trusted by 30+ Serving 10,000+

Partner Enterprises Users Globally
```

DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR PDF

Page Setup:

• Size: US Letter (8.5" x 11") or A4

• Orientation: Portrait

Margins: 0.5" on all sides (for full-bleed designs)

• Color Mode: RGB (for digital) or CMYK (for print)

Resolution: 300 DPI minimum

Typography:

Headlines: 36-48pt, Bold, Sans-serif (Inter, Poppins)

• Subheadlines: 20-24pt, Semibold

• Body Text: 14pt, Regular, Line height 1.6

• Captions: 10-12pt, Regular or Italic

• Quotes: 18-20pt, Medium weight

Color Palette Options:

Option 1: DevKraft Blue (Professional)

Primary: #2563EB (DevKraft Blue)

Dark: #1E40AF (Headers, dark sections)

Light: #DBEAFE (Backgrounds, highlights)

Accent: #FBBF24 (CTAs, important callouts)

Success: #10B981 (Positive metrics)

Neutral: #F9FAFB, #E5E7EB (Backgrounds)

Option 2: Dark Premium

Primary: #1F2937 (Dark gray)

Darker: #111827 (Full-page testimonials)

Accent: #3B82F6 (Bright blue)

Highlight: #FBBF24 (Yellow for CTAs)

Text: #FFFFFF (White on dark)

Visual Elements:

Icons:

- Size: 48x48px for headers, 32x32px inline
- Style: Outlined or filled (consistent throughout)
- Library: Lucide, Heroicons, or Font Awesome
- Colors: Match section accent colors

Photos:

- Client executives: High-res headshots, circle crop
- Product screenshots: Bordered, slight shadow
- Architecture diagrams: Simple, clean, 2-3 colors max
- Charts/graphs: Minimal design, clear labels

Boxes & Cards:

- Border radius: 8-12px (rounded corners)
- Shadow: Subtle (0 2px 8px rgba(0,0,0,0.1))
- Borders: 2-4px accent color on left side
- Padding: 20-30px inside boxes

Grid System:

- **2-column layout:** For challenge cards, tech boxes
- 3-column layout: For metrics, small callouts
- **Full-width:** For hero sections, testimonials
- **Gutter:** 20-30px between columns

White Space Rules:

- Minimum 40% of each page should be empty space
- Never cram content to fit
- Let important elements breathe
- Use full pages for testimonials (intentional space)