Application Risk Survey

| Application: | Electronic Payments | | |
|--------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Portfolio | Electronic Payments | | |

Overall Grade Risk Level Total Grade: 90 SURVEY QUESTION SURVEY ANSWER 1/1/2018 Survey Date What is the application's defined criticality of risk? N/A What is the highest sensitive information handled by this Transactional application? Does the application exchange data externally? No Does the application fall under the FISMA definition? No Related to Financial transactions (\$ per txn)? Yes Small Value 20 Number of users for this application? Small What is the application's primary architecture? Client / Server How many systems does this application relate to/from? None Dedicated (airgapped) What kind of network this application will be accessible from? 0 Does the application allow remote / mobile access using a third-party infrastructure? No 0 10 How does the application receive data (users or other means)? Users Only 10 11 Does the application generate multiple output media? Many 20 12 What is the weakest form of authentication supported by this application? Password 10 13 Does the application provide user credentials? Yes 14 End-User What is the highest role privilege available to users? Does the application use a role / rule based access control Yes Does the application support email? No 17 Does the application support file uploads /downloads? Yes 20 18 Does the application have security logging / monitoring controls? Yes 19 Does the application provide audit trails regarding information access? 20 Does the application utilize non-standard security controls / architecture? 21 Does the application use encryption? Yes 22

ID

| CONTROL SURVEY | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---|------------|----------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Electronic Payments | | | | | | | | | |
| # | ASKD Domain | Security Control Requirement | Applicable Policy/Standard | Response | Comments | Short Name | Applicable NIST 800-53 (Rev 4) Objective | | |
| 1.1 | Authentication & Identification (AN) | Does the application leverage a company's standard authentication control for providing single-sign-on capability? | Best Practice | No | | User Authentication | | | |
| 1.2 | , , | Is multi-factor authentication as defined by the Information Security Standard leveraged for all users this application? | GISS Information Systems: 8.1.5 | Yes | | Multi-Factor Auth | | | |
| 1.3 | | Is multi-factor authentication as defined by the Information Security Standard leveraged for administrative users this application? | GISS Information Systems: 8.1.4 | TDD | | Multi-Factor Admin Auth | | | |
| 1.4 | | Are minimum password requirements for user accounts established in | GISS Information | TBD | | Password Strength | | | |
| 1.5 | | compliance with Information Seucrity Standards? Are service account credentials stored and managed using a Privileged | Systems: 7.2 GISS Information | No | | Credential Management | | | |
| 1.6 | | Account Management solution? | Systems: 6.6.3 | Yes | | Secured Basewords | | | |
| 1.0 | | Are passwords secured using hash + salt functions using strong cryptographic algorithms? | GISS Information Systems: 7.1.13 & 13.x | : TBD | | Secured Passwords | | | |
| 1.7 | | Are user accounts in the application locked out after a defined number of failed login attempts | Best Practice | No | | Account Lockout | | | |
| 2.1 | Authorization / Access | Is the process for provisioning and deprovisioning users within the application documented? | GISS Information Systems: 6.4 | | | User Provisioning | | | |
| 2.2 | Control (AZ) | Are users authorizations managed within a centralized tool? | Best Practice | Yes TBD | | User Authorization | | | |
| 2.3 | | Is a centralized list of all personnel with access to "SECRET" data established and maintained | GISS Information Classification: Exhibit | | | Secret Data Access | | | |
| 2.4 | | Does the application use role-based access controls and principles of least | 1: Applicability GISS Information | No | | RBAC | | | |
| | | privilege to assign user authorization? | Systems: 6.2 | Yes | | | | | |
| 2.5 | | Are periodic reviews of user access rights conducted, at minimum, every six months? | GISS Information Systems: 6.7 | TBD | | Access Audits | | | |
| 3.1 | Configuration Security (CS) | Has the application been deployed on approved images or configurations and kept up to date using a patch management lifecycle? | GISS Information Systems: 4 & 5 | No | | Patch Management | | | |
| 3.2 | ·/ | Has a web application firewall been deployed and configured specifically for | Best Practice | Yes | | WAF Implementation | | | |
| 3.3 | | this application? Does the application employ a multi-tiered design in which the presentation | Best Practice | | | Multi-tier Application | | | |
| 3.4 | | layer is isolated from other network segments? Is the application hosted on servers that are installed in a company owned | 0 | TBD | | Design Authorized Hosting | | | |
| | | data center or authorized secure facility? | | No | | _ | | | |
| 3.5 | | Is the application hosted on cloud service providers such as AWS, Azure, Google Cloud, etc.? | 0 | Yes | | Cloud Hosted | | | |
| 3.6 4.1 | Logging & Audit (LG) | Is the application protected by standard Anti-DDOS solution? Does the application log sufficient information regarding user successes and | Best Practice GISS Information | TBD | | DDOS Logging | | | |
| | Logging a riddit (Lo) | failures to reconstruct user activity? | Systems: 10.2 & GISS Monitoring: 3.1 | No | | 2088118 | | | |
| 4.2 | | Are application logs written to a location that is protected from unauthorized | GISS Monitoring: 3.5 | Yes | | Log Management | | | |
| 4.3 | | access by systems personnel or other external parties? Is an automated log retention mechnism established to ensure the availability | | | | Log Retention | | | |
| 4.4 | | of log files? Are application events forwarded to centralized and monitored SIEM with | Systems: 10.3 GISS Information | TBD | | Log Events | | | |
| 4.5 | | event notifications defined? Is user activity routinely reviewed to identify potential anomolous user activity | Systems: 10.4 Best Practice | No | | Log Activity Audits | | | |
| 5.1 | Request Forgery / Non- | or fraudlent use? Does the application make use of standard components for implementing | Best Practice | Yes | | Request Forgery | | | |
| 5.1 | Repudiation (RF) | anti-request forgery tokens? | Dest i factice | TBD | | Request Forgery | | | |
| 5.2 | | Do critical user actions (changing password, initiating a financial transaction, etc.) require re-authentication of the user? | Best Practice | No | | ReAuthentication | | | |
| 6.1 | Sensitive Data Protection | Does the application leverage encryption on all communications channels that transmit Secret, Confidential or Personal data? | GISS Information Systems: 13.1.2 | Yes | | Encryption in Transit | | | |
| 6.2 | (SD) | Does the application leverage encryption to protect all Secret, Confidential or | GISS Information | | | File Encryption at Rest | | | |
| 6.3 | | Personal data that is written to files? Does the application leverage encryption to protect all Secret, Confidential or | Systems: 13.1.3 GISS Information | TBD | | DB Encryption at Rest | | | |
| 7.1 | Session Management | Personal data that is written to databases? Are users sessions automatically terminated after a defined period of | Systems: 13.1.3 Best Practice | No | | Session Inactivity | | | |
| | (SM) | inactivity? | | Yes | | , | | | |
| 7.2 | | When user sessions are terminated, does the application remove all sensitive data from the screen/page or redirect the user to a new | Best Practice | | | Session Termination | | | |
| 7.3 | | screen/page? Does the application make use of common libraries or components for | Best Practice | TBD | | SM Libraries | | | |
| 8.1 | Validation & Encoding | generating and managing session identifiers? Does the application make use of any Anti-Cross Site Scripting or other | Best Practice | No | | Anti XSS | | | |
| | (VE) | common input validation libraries/components? | | Yes | | | | | |
| 8.2 | | Are acceptable/expected input characteristics defined for all data elements received from the user or other external systems? | Best Practice | TBD | | Input Validation | | | |
| 8.3 | | Is standard output encoding used on all user entered data returned to the user interface? | Best Practice | No | | Output Encoding | | | |
| 9.1 | Extensible Design (XD) | Are reusable common libraries used for any typical application functionality (Authentication, Authorizataion, Logging, etc.)? | Best Practice | Yes | | Common Libraries | | | |
| 9.2 | | Is the creation of design specifications, requirements definitions and other | Best Practice | TBD | | Sec Requirements | | | |
| 9.3 | | project artifacts enforced? Have common application functions been designed according to common | Best Practice | | | Secure Design | | | |
| 10.1 | Security Verification (SV) | design guidance or reference architectures? Does the application undergo penetration testing on a monthly basis? | GISS Vulnerability | No | | Penetration Testing | | | |
| 10.2 | | Do application development teams submit application source code for a | Management: 8 Best Practice | Yes | | _ | | | |
| | | security review during the development lifecycle? | | TBD | | Code Review | | | |
| 10.3 | | phases of application development? | | No | | Threat Modeling | | | |
| 10.4 | | Are infrastructure level vulnerability scans performed against the application's servers consistent with the Information Security Standard on | GISS Vulnerability Management: 8 | | | Infrastructure Scans | | | |
| 10.5 | | Vulnerability Management? Are infrastructure level vulnerability scans performed against the | GISS Vulnerability | Yes | | Infrastructure Scans | | | |
| 1 | | application's servers consistent with the Information Security Standard on Vulnerability Management? | xvxcvbcxvxcv 8 | TBD | | | | | |
| 11.1 | | Has a vendor security assessment been performed against the vendor of this | | | | Vendor Assessment | | | |
| 11.2 | (TM) | application? Does the application's vendor provide regular security vulnerability updates | Management: 4.1b Best Practice | No | | Vendor Security Updates | | | |
| | | to the organization? | | Yes | | | | | |
| 11.3 | | Have vendor contracts been structured to include performance objectives and penalties for resolution of security vulnerabilities? | Best Practice | TBD | | Vendor Contracts | | | |
| 11.4 | | Has the application vendor provided attestation of security assurance activities (vulnerability scans, penetration tests) conducted? | Best Practice | No | | Vendor Attestation | | | |
| 11.5 | | Has the vendor signed a confidentiality agreement with Company? | GISS Third-Party | Yes | | Vendor NDA | | | |
| Ь— | | | Management: 3.1 | . 55 | | l | 1 | | |