Draft Minutes of March 3 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Mike Denicola, Kenji Kazumura IBM: Daniel Bandera, Kevin Sutter

Oracle: Will Lyons, Dmitry Kornilov, Bill Shannon

Payara: Steve Millidge, Eliot Martin

Red Hat: Mark Little, John Clingan, Scott Stark

Tomitribe: Cesar Hernandez

Participant member representative: **Alex Theedom** Committer member representative: **Not present**

(Quorum is 4 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: Paul Buck, Shabnam Mayel, Wayne Beaton

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the Feb 11, 18, 25 meetings will be reviewed next time.

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls

- JakartaOne Livestream Japan (Feb 26)
 - Update from Kenji
 - 200 registrants, 120 live attendees
 - Several speakers from WG, good opportunity for Japanese customers to hear roadmaps from vendors
 - 3 sessions related to MicroProfile, due to lack of new feature content in Jakarta EE
 - Need to move forward on Jakarta EE 10 to drive community interest
 - Reference doc below (link not publicly available)
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/17KbwfheYJ0UABlxMdUi27HWvIgF2WCug M53KQ3jy0hg/edit#
- Kubecon Europe plan (Mar 30-Apr 2, 2020)
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uECHm37ziSIVbZEALphHTqtu9XW2YMH wkLwGin7ISwM/edit#
 - As of March 3, the conference is still on. There may be travel restrictions on Red Hat participants.
 - Expecting approximately (up to) 300 participants. Cloud Native Computing Foundation, IBM, RedHat and Oracle are sponsors at this time.

- Looking for **volunteers** for <u>booth coverage</u> at Kubecon. No volunteers yet!
- 84 registrants as of last week. **More promotion is welcome. Please** promote. <u>Social media Kit</u> to use to promote
- Would expect to follow a similar pattern in Kubecon NA (Boston)
- Highlighting Strategic WG members is requested.
- Jakarta EE Update Calls
 - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U81TZ2F_nhg6WxoE1VnpUUEQ09r8SX WpaN3hf3wiTWQ/edit#
 - Next call on 18 March. Steve Millidge and Kevin Sutter will present.
 - May consider a talk on breaking down the TCKs (future)
- Jakarta Tech Talks Tanja is open for suggestions
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19AfvCUdScUHwJejMYg370tum5mi7zl4 bvkZczcQXiUM/edit#qid=0
 - All topics for Cloud Native Java will be considered. Looking for more presentation referrals.
- Jakarta EE development survey coming up next quarter
 - Will be launched April 6, with feedback due Feb 27 incorporated
 - o Results to be published in June 16
- Enterprise engagement deck updated recently, please modify/use in recruiting efforts. Feedback requested on this deck e.g. usefulness.
- A membership prospectus has been published at:
- https://jakarta.ee/documents/membership/jakarta-ee-membership-prospectus.pdf
- Foundation has created a list of enabling JUGs. Looking for members to sign up and present on Jakarta EE at JUGs.
 https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YDTAyynuhlNVBJK-Clb4XAW7gVw0TLu5R0uV3FUkW20/edit#gid=0
- Neil will represent the Marketing Committee on Steering Committee calls going forward.

Allowing Java User Group use of Jakarta EE, and use of the brand more generally

- The Steering Committee approved the document describing the "Proper use of the Jakarta® Brand by Eclipse Projects"
- https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uXbJnWzLbCGiQa46g4jG6Q6GTxkFD_HWEdEvrJBFLrQ/edit#heading=h.c1ihi82h3d1o
- Wayne will convert to doc and post
- We will update docs at appropriate review periods

Jakarta EE 9

- Update on Jakarta EE 9 progress
 - https://github.com/orgs/eclipse-ee4j/projects/17
 - Only JSTL and Faces APIs are outstanding

- Making progress on specifications, TCKs, and Cls June is possible but there is risk
- Next piece of work is for compatible implementations and TCKs. Work has not begun yet on compatible implementations - some components have started.
- o GF issue COS Naming Service was deleted Bill working on resolving the issue
- Update on tooling:
 - Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilH gKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#qid=1810653774_

Operationalizing Jakarta EE Program Plan

- Tanja and Will have drafted a document that translates the goals of the 2020 plan:
 - https://drive.google.com/open?id=1S053agg7BeBM4wSaGhtbANE6tlFBc3Ap0Ze-xdEOnM
- ...Into quarterly objectives/milestones/outcomes. We will review.
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/19du8Ccxf4aYc-q5aNnuglYR1nl00ZPUcg PeZU9uW8NE/edit#slide=id.g7b69340134 0 132
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCEH4sBilH gKGSOWrcEuc/edit#qid=0
- All docs are in the Steering Committee folder, program plans. Q1 goal suggestions were reviewed and feedback was requested.
- Review Q1 goals.
 - Drive tooling support for Jakarta EE
 - Ownership for tooling vendors being tracked below:
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1uYyX43aNIQgZfjuSeBjr4LGCE H4sBilHqKGSOWrcEuc/edit?urp=gmail_link#gid=1810653774
 - Drive acquisition of new working group members
 - Not practical for WG members to drive and report on membership recruitment in their customer base
 - Dan and Will meet to discuss backward compatibility goal

Jakarta EE 8 Follow-Up

- All known follow-up issues concluded
- Will reserve this as an agenda item for open issues on Jakarta EE 8

Jakarta EE and MicroProfile (no update)

See prior meeting minutes on this topic.

Release Cadence discussion (no update)

- Discussion about cadence of releases from Jan 14 (John C provided the following summary).
 - Spec projects can release at any cadence they can implement to.
 - John C. suggests that slower does not equate to a negative. Stability is also of concern - what cadence do Jakarta EE users want to absorb (based on how they have been deploying Java EE up to this point)?
 - TCK compatibility requirements also have details about how independent releases can be absorbed. We may need to change these requirements, to support flexibility that we want to achieve.
 - The committee would like to identify barriers to complete independence with respect to release schedules. Jakarta may provide opportunities for expanding this flexibility.
 - We could include questions about this in a survey to help refine the community input. There are many possibilities for accomplishing this.
 - Suggested that the committee adopt a statement (or resolution) recommending improvement in the frequency of releases and that we work to identify and perhaps relax requirements that make releases take longer. Then the subcommittees and committer working groups could be asked to provide feedback about their processes and requirements that could be changed to meet this goal.
- Discussion from last time
 - A plan for defining a release cadence should be informed by vendor requirements for releases
 - A release cadence plan should consider both a cadence for the Platform and a cadence for individual APIs
 - We need to define a relationship to compatibility requirements
 - It is difficult to define a release cadence plan without having completed a first release with changes (i.e. Jakarta EE 9)
 - We do not have a strawman/guideline for this to guide the discussion
 - Defining a release cadence/plan should be a Q2 goal