## Standardizing November 30th (Nov30) Demographic Data with Merged Dataset (MD)

- Resolved discrepancies:
  - o PI transcribed to NH in accordance with MD
  - NA transcribed to AE
  - HA is listed as a race in Nov30; classified as ethnicity in MD
  - NH means not Hispanic or Latino in Nov30
  - Nov30 does not have San Francisco; MD does
  - o 'Brooklyn' in MD changed to 'New York'
  - o 'La Jolla' in MD changed to 'San Diego'
  - o 'USC/MASS' omitted from MD
- Added abstracted diagnoses 2 and 3
- Resulting file: bgc cDiag123 cities std.csv

## Checking SCH DEP against GROUP from COGS2 dataset

- Main finding: 10 inconsistent points
  - o Group suggests SZ, but SCH DEP has NA
  - Resulting file:
    - cogs2 schdep inconsistencies.csv
- SCH DEP encodings reflected in new csv files:
  - o bgc raw.csv
  - o bgc\_only.csv

## **Race Hypothesis Testing**

- Cities examined: Baltimore and Los Angeles
  - o In Baltimore, conditions for Chi-square were not met, so resampling was used
    - Too low expected values for some races (n < 5)
    - Result of resampling: significant difference in AA and CA amounts (95% confidence)
  - Chi-square and resampling found significant differences between LA subject demographics vs city demographics for all races
    - (95% confidence)
- Chi-square methodology:
  - $\circ$  Threshold for significance: p < 0.05
  - o 2010 and 2020 census data were averaged for each race category
  - Averages converted into proportions
  - Proportions applied to sample size of merged dataset (after cleaning) to generate expected values for race
- Resampling methodology:
  - Threshold for significance: p < 0.05
    - Bonferroni correction applied
      - 0.05 / 7 = 0.007 for Baltimore
      - 0.05 / 6 = 0.008 for Los Angeles
  - Resampled the same number of observations in cleaned dataset from a distribution made from the 2010 and 2020 census average counts; 1000 resamples were taken

- Tallied the races in each resample
- o Ordered the counts within each race from smallest to largest
- Constructed a confidence interval out of the lower and upper percentiles as specified by the corrected p-values
  - For Baltimore: 0.3% and 99.7%
  - For Los Angeles: 0.4% and 99.6%
- If the observed value was contained within the confidence interval, the observed value did not significantly differ from the expected value
- Resulting files:
  - o balt\_race\_resam\_hypothesis\_test.csv
  - LA\_race\_resam\_hypothesis\_test.csv
  - o LA race chix.jpeg

## Relative Risk (RR) for cDiagnosis3 by Race and Gender

- City examined: Los Angeles
- Methodology for finding relative risk:
  - Lowest non-zero incidence rate within each condition was used as reference group
- General findings for race:
  - Caucasians have highest RR for MDD
  - o African-Americans have highest RR for SZSAFD, with American Indians a close second
  - Multiracial individuals have highest RR for BAD1 and BAD2
- General findings for gender
  - Females three times more likely as males to have MDD
  - Males twice as likely as females to have SZSAFD
  - Weak female bias for BAD1 and BAD2
- Resulting files:
  - o rr racescdiag3 LA.csv
  - o rr genderscdiag3 LA.csv