

TARGET CASE STUDY

1. Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset

1. Data type of columns in a table

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT column_name, data_type
FROM `Target_Case_Study.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS`
WHERE table_name = 'customers';
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|------|-------------------|
| Row | column_name | data_type | | |
| 1 | customer_id | STRING | | |
| 2 | customer_unique_id | STRING | | |
| 3 | customer_zip_code_prefix | INT64 | | |
| 4 | customer_city | STRING | | |
| 5 | customer_state | STRING | | |

2. Time period for which the data is given

SQL Query

```
SELECT
MIN(order_purchase_timestamp) Starting_date,
MAX(order_purchase_timestamp) End_date
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------|
| Row | Starting_date | End_date | | |
| 1 | 2016-09-04 21:15:19 UTC | 2018-10-17 17:30:18 UTC | | |

3. Cities and States of customers ordered during the given period

SQL Query

```
SELECT x.customer_unique_id, x.customer_city, x.customer_state
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` x
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` y
ON x.customer_id = y.customer_id
WHERE y.order_purchase_timestamp Between
(SELECT MIN(order_purchase_timestamp) Starting_date FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`
) AND
(SELECT MAX(order_purchase_timestamp) End_date FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`)
ORDER BY x.customer_unique_id
LIMIT 10;
```

OR

```
c.customer_unique_id,
c.customer_city,
c.customer_state
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` AS c
INNER JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` AS o
ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id
WHERE o.order_purchase_timestamp BETWEEN "2016-09-04 00:00:00" AND "2018-10-
17 00:00:00"
ORDER BY x.customer_unique_id
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS | EXECUTION GRAPH |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Row | customer_unique_id | customer_city | customer_state | | |
| 1 | 0000366f3b9a7992bf8c76cfd... | cajamar | SP | | |
| 2 | 0000b849f77a49e4a4ce2b2a4... | osasco | SP | | |
| 3 | 0000f46a3911fa3c080544448... | sao jose | SC | | |
| 4 | 0000f6ccb0745a6a4b88665a1... | belem | PA | | |
| 5 | 0004aac84e0df4da2b147fca7... | sorocaba | SP | | |
| 6 | 0004bd2a26a76fe21f786e4fbd... | sao paulo | SP | | |
| 7 | 00050ab1314c0e55a6ca13cf7... | campinas | SP | | |
| 8 | 00053a61a98854899e70ed20... | curitiba | PR | | |
| 9 | 0005e1862207bf6ccc02e4228... | teresopolis | RJ | | |
| 10 | 0005ef4cd20d2893f0d9fbd94d... | sao luis | MA | | |

2. In-depth Exploration:

1. Is there a growing trend on e-commerce in Brazil? How can we describe a complete scenario? Can we see some seasonality with peaks at specific months?

SQL Query

```
SELECT month,
COUNT(order_id) as Orders_per_month
FROM
(SELECT *, EXTRACT(month FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) as month
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` c
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` o
ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id)
Group by month
Order by month
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| Row | month | Orders_per_mon |
| 1 | 1 | 8069 |
| 2 | 2 | 8508 |
| 3 | 3 | 9893 |
| 4 | 4 | 9343 |
| 5 | 5 | 10573 |
| 6 | 6 | 9412 |
| 7 | 7 | 10318 |
| 8 | 8 | 10843 |
| 9 | 9 | 4305 |
| 10 | 10 | 4959 |
| 11 | 11 | 7544 |
| 12 | 12 | 5674 |

Insight: -

- The number of orders made by customers tends to increase from the beginning of the year until the middle of the year (June), and then starts to decline towards the end of the year.
- August is the month with the highest number of orders (10,843), while September has the lowest number of orders (4,305).
- There seems to be a spike in the number of orders in May (10,573), which may be due to seasonal factors such as Mother's Day or the approach of the summer season.
- The data suggests that the business may need to focus on boosting sales during the months of September to November, as they have lower numbers of orders compared to other months. This could involve marketing campaigns or promotions targeted towards customers during these months.

- October and November also have relatively low numbers of orders compared to the other months.

2. What time do Brazilian customers tend to buy (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)?

SQL Query

```
SELECT
CASE
    WHEN Time BETWEEN '00:00:00' AND '06:00:00' then 'Dawn'
    WHEN Time BETWEEN '06:00:01' AND '12:00:00' then 'Morning'
    WHEN Time BETWEEN '12:00:01' AND '18:00:00' then 'Afternoon'
    ELSE 'Night'
END AS TIMES,
COUNT (order_id) as total_orders
FROM
(SELECT *,
EXTRACT(time from order_purchase_timestamp) as Time
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`)
Group by Times;
```

OUTPUT

| < JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Row | TIMES | total_orders |
| 1 | Morning | 22240 |
| 2 | Dawn | 4740 |
| 3 | Afternoon | 38365 |
| 4 | Night | 34096 |

3. Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region:

1. Get month on month orders by states

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT
EXTRACT(MONTH FROM order_purchase_timestamp) Month,
EXTRACT(YEAR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) Year,
c.customer_state,
COUNT(order_id) as Total_orders
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders` o
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.customers` c
ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY Month, Year, customer_state
Order By Month, Year
LIMIT 10
```

OUTPUT

| Row | Month | Year | customer_state | Total_orders |
|-----|-------|------|----------------|--------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2017 | BA | 25 |
| 2 | 1 | 2017 | MG | 108 |
| 3 | 1 | 2017 | ES | 12 |
| 4 | 1 | 2017 | MT | 11 |
| 5 | 1 | 2017 | SP | 299 |
| 6 | 1 | 2017 | PR | 65 |
| 7 | 1 | 2017 | PA | 12 |
| 8 | 1 | 2017 | MA | 9 |
| 9 | 1 | 2017 | RJ | 97 |
| 10 | 1 | 2017 | SC | 31 |

2. Distribution of customers across the states in Brazil

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT customer_state,  
COUNT(*) AS customer_count  
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers`  
GROUP BY customer_state  
ORDER BY customer_count DESC  
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| Row | customer_state | customer_count |
|-----|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | SP | 41746 |
| 2 | RJ | 12852 |
| 3 | MG | 11635 |
| 4 | RS | 5466 |
| 5 | PR | 5045 |
| 6 | SC | 3637 |
| 7 | BA | 3380 |
| 8 | DF | 2140 |
| 9 | ES | 2033 |
| 10 | GO | 2020 |

4. Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by e-commerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.

1. Get % increase in cost of orders from 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only) - You can use “payment_value” column in payments table.

SQL Query

```
WITH DJ AS
(SELECT
  EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) as YEAR,
  EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) as MONTH,
  p.payment_value
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders` o
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.payments` p
ON o.order_id = p.order_id)

SELECT
  ROUND(total_payment_value_2017,2) Payment_value_2017,
  ROUND(total_payment_value_2018,2) Payment_value_2018,
  CONCAT (ROUND (SUM (((total_payment_value_2018- total_payment_value_2017) / total_payment_value_2017)*100),2), "%") as cost_increase_percentage
FROM
(SELECT
  SUM (CASE
    WHEN YEAR = 2017 AND MONTH BETWEEN 1 AND 8 then payment_value
    ELSE 0
  END) AS total_payment_value_2017,
  SUM (CASE
    WHEN YEAR = 2018 AND MONTH BETWEEN 1 AND 8 then payment_value
    ELSE 0
  END) AS total_payment_value_2018
FROM DJ)
GROUP BY total_payment_value_2017, total_payment_value_2018;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Row | Payment_value_2017 | Payment_value_2018 | cost_increase_percentage | | |
| 1 | 3669022.12 | 8694733.84 | 136.98% | | |

2. Mean & Sum of price and freight value by customer state

SQL Query

```
SELECT
    c.customer_state,
    ROUND (SUM(oi.price),2) Sum_Price,
    ROUND(SUM(oi.freight_value),2) Sum_freight_value,
    ROUND (AVG(oi.price),2) AVG_Price,
    ROUND (AVG(oi.freight_value),2)Avg_freight_value
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` c
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` o
    ON c.customer_id =o.customer_id
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.order_items` oi
    ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
GROUP BY customer_state
ORDER BY customer_state
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS | | EXE |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Row | customer_state | Sum_Price | Sum_freight_value | AVG_Price | Avg_freight_value | |
| 1 | AC | 15982.95 | 3686.75 | 173.73 | 40.07 | |
| 2 | AL | 80314.81 | 15914.59 | 180.89 | 35.84 | |
| 3 | AM | 22356.84 | 5478.89 | 135.5 | 33.21 | |
| 4 | AP | 13474.3 | 2788.5 | 164.32 | 34.01 | |
| 5 | BA | 511349.99 | 100156.68 | 134.6 | 26.36 | |
| 6 | CE | 227254.71 | 48351.59 | 153.76 | 32.71 | |
| 7 | DF | 302603.94 | 50625.5 | 125.77 | 21.04 | |
| 8 | ES | 275037.31 | 49764.6 | 121.91 | 22.06 | |
| 9 | GO | 294591.95 | 53114.98 | 126.27 | 22.77 | |
| 10 | MA | 119648.22 | 31523.77 | 145.2 | 38.26 | |

5. Analysis on sales, freight, and delivery time

1. Calculate days between purchasing, delivering and estimated delivery.

SQL Query

```
SELECT
    Order_id,
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_carrier_date, order_purchase_timestamp, day) as delivery_day_diff,
    DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_purchase_timestamp, day) as Estimated_day_diff,
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`
ORDER BY order_id
LIMIT 10
```

OUTPUT

| Row | Order_id | delivery_day_diff | Esimated_day_diff |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1 | 00010242fe8c5a6d... | 6 | 15 |
| 2 | 00018f77f2f0320c5... | 8 | 18 |
| 3 | 000229ec398224ef... | 1 | 21 |
| 4 | 00024acbcd0a6da... | 2 | 11 |
| 5 | 00042b26cf59d7ce... | 11 | 40 |
| 6 | 00048cc3ae777c65... | 1 | 21 |
| 7 | 00054e8431b9d767... | 1 | 24 |
| 8 | 000576fe39319847... | 1 | 20 |
| 9 | 0005a1a1728c9d78... | 8 | 9 |
| 10 | 0005f50442cb953d... | 1 | 20 |

2. Find time_to_delivery & diff_estimated_delivery. Formula for the same given below:

- time_to_delivery = order_purchase_timestamp - order_delivered_customer_date
- diff_estimated_delivery = order_estimated_delivery_date - order_delivered_customer_date

SQL Query

```
SELECT
    order_id,
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date, order_purchase_timestamp, day) time_to_delivery,
    DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_delivered_customer_date, day) diff_estimated_delivery
FROM `Target_Case_Study.orders`
ORDER BY order_id
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | EXECUTION DETAILS | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Row | order_id | time_to_delivery | diff_estimated_delivery | | |
| 1 | 00010242fe8c5a6d1... | 7 | 8 | | |
| 2 | 00018f77f2f0320c55... | 16 | 2 | | |
| 3 | 000229ec398224ef6... | 7 | 13 | | |
| 4 | 00024acbcd0a6daa... | 6 | 5 | | |
| 5 | 00042b26cf59d7ce6... | 25 | 15 | | |
| 6 | 00048cc3ae777c65d... | 6 | 14 | | |
| 7 | 00054e8431b9d7675... | 8 | 16 | | |
| 8 | 000576fe39319847c... | 5 | 15 | | |
| 9 | 0005a1a1728c9d785... | 9 | 0 | | |
| 10 | 0005f50442cb953dc... | 2 | 18 | | |

3. Group data by state, take mean of freight_value, time_to_delivery, diff_estimated_delivery.

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT
    c.customer_state AS state,
    AVG (oi.freight_value) AS avg_freight_value,
    AVG (DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp
, day)) AS time_to_delivery,
    AVG (DATE_DIFF(o.order_estimated_delivery_date, o.order_delivered_customer
_date, day)) AS diff_estimated_delivery
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` c
    JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` o ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id
    JOIN `Target_Case_Study.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state
ORDER BY avg_freight_value DESC
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| Row | state | avg_freight_valu | time_to_delivery | diff_estimated_c |
|-----|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | RR | 42.9844230... | 27.8260869... | 17.4347826... |
| 2 | PB | 42.7238039... | 20.1194539... | 12.1501706... |
| 3 | RO | 41.0697122... | 19.2820512... | 19.0805860... |
| 4 | AC | 40.0733695... | 20.3296703... | 20.0109890... |
| 5 | PI | 39.1479704... | 18.9311663... | 10.6826003... |
| 6 | MA | 38.2570024... | 21.2037499... | 9.10999999... |
| 7 | TO | 37.2466031... | 17.0032258... | 11.4612903... |
| 8 | SE | 36.6531688... | 20.9786666... | 9.16533333... |
| 9 | AL | 35.8436711... | 23.9929742... | 7.97658079... |
| 10 | PA | 35.8326851... | 23.3017077... | 13.3747628... |

4. Sort the data to get the following:

```
WITH D AS (
SELECT
    c.customer_state AS state,
    AVG (oi.freight_value) AS avg_freight_value,
    AVG (DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date, o.order_purchase_timestamp, day))
AS time_to_delivery,
    AVG (DATE_DIFF(o.order_estimated_delivery_date, o.order_delivered_customer_date,
day)) AS diff_estimated_delivery
FROM `Target_Case_Study.customers` c
    JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` o ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id
    JOIN `Target_Case_Study.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
GROUP BY c.customer_state)
```

5. Top 5 states with highest/lowest average freight value - sort in desc/asc limit 5
A. Top 5 states with highest average freight value - sort in desc limit 5

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.avg_freight_value, 2) as highest_f_v
FROM D
ORDER BY D.avg_freight_value desc
LIMIT 5;
```

NOTE:- please refer CTE for table D

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-----------------|-------|-------------|
| Row | state | highest_f_v |
| 1 | RR | 42.98 |
| 2 | PB | 42.72 |
| 3 | RO | 41.07 |
| 4 | AC | 40.07 |
| 5 | PI | 39.15 |

B. Top 5 states with Lowest average freight value - sort in asc limit 5

SQL Query

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.avg_freight_value, 2) as lowest_f_v
FROM D
ORDER BY D.avg_freight_value ASC
LIMIT 5;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Row | state | lowest_f_v |
| 1 | SP | 15.15 |
| 2 | PR | 20.53 |
| 3 | MG | 20.63 |
| 4 | RJ | 20.96 |
| 5 | DF | 21.04 |

6. Top 5 states with highest/lowest average time to delivery

A. TOP 5 states with highest average time to delivery

SQL Query

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.time_to_delivery, 2) as highest_t_2_d
FROM D
ORDER BY D.time_to_delivery desc
LIMIT 5;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-----------------|-------|---------------|
| Row | state | highest_t_2_d |
| 1 | RR | 27.83 |
| 2 | AP | 27.75 |
| 3 | AM | 25.96 |
| 4 | AL | 23.99 |
| 5 | PA | 23.3 |

B. Top 5 states with lowest average time to delivery

SQL Query

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.time_to_delivery, 2) as lowest_t_2_d
FROM D
ORDER BY D.time_to_delivery ASC
LIMIT 5;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS |
|-----------------|-------|--------------|
| Row | state | lowest_t_2_d |
| 1 | SP | 8.26 |
| 2 | PR | 11.48 |
| 3 | MG | 11.52 |
| 4 | DF | 12.5 |
| 5 | SC | 14.52 |

7. Top 5 states where delivery is fast / not so fast compared to estimated date

SQL Query

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.AVG_diff_estimated_delivery, 2) as fast
FROM D
ORDER BY D.AVG_diff_estimated_delivery ASC
LIMIT 5;
```

OUTPUT

| Row | state | fast |
|-----|-------|-------|
| 1 | AL | 7.98 |
| 2 | MA | 9.11 |
| 3 | SE | 9.17 |
| 4 | ES | 9.77 |
| 5 | BA | 10.12 |

8. Top 5 states where delivery is not so fast compared to estimated date.

SQL Query

```
SELECT D.state, ROUND(D.AVG_diff_estimated_delivery, 2) as not_so_fast
FROM D
ORDER BY D.AVG_diff_estimated_delivery DESC
LIMIT 5;
```

OUTPUT

| Row | state | not_so_fast |
|-----|-------|-------------|
| 1 | AC | 20.01 |
| 2 | RO | 19.08 |
| 3 | AM | 18.98 |
| 4 | AP | 17.44 |
| 5 | RR | 17.43 |

5. Payment type analysis:

1. Month over Month count of orders for different payment types.

SQL QUERY

```
SELECT
EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) as Month,
payment_type,
COUNT(p.order_id) as total_order,
FROM `Target_Case_Study.payments` p
JOIN `Target_Case_Study.orders` o ON p.order_id = o.order_id
GROUP BY 1,2
Order BY 1,2
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSON | E |
|-----------------|-------|--------------|-------------|---|
| Row | Month | payment_type | total_order | |
| 1 | 1 | UPI | 1715 | |
| 2 | 1 | credit_card | 6103 | |
| 3 | 1 | debit_card | 118 | |
| 4 | 1 | voucher | 477 | |
| 5 | 2 | UPI | 1723 | |
| 6 | 2 | credit_card | 6609 | |
| 7 | 2 | debit_card | 82 | |
| 8 | 2 | voucher | 424 | |
| 9 | 3 | UPI | 1942 | |
| 10 | 3 | credit_card | 7707 | |

Insight:-

- There are three payment types represented in the table: UPI, credit card, debit card, and vouchers.
- Based on this information, we can see that credit card is the most popular payment type among the three, followed by UPI and debit card. The use of vouchers is relatively low in comparison.

2 Count of orders based on the no. of payment installments

SQL Query

```
SELECT
payment_installments,
COUNT(DISTINCT order_id) total_orders
FROM `Target_Case_Study.payments`
GROUP BY payment_installments
ORDER BY 1
LIMIT 10;
```

OUTPUT

| JOB INFORMATION | | RESULTS | JSI |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|-----|
| Row | payment_installments | total_orders | |
| 1 | 0 | 2 | |
| 2 | 1 | 49060 | |
| 3 | 2 | 12389 | |
| 4 | 3 | 10443 | |
| 5 | 4 | 7088 | |
| 6 | 5 | 5234 | |
| 7 | 6 | 3916 | |
| 8 | 7 | 1623 | |
| 9 | 8 | 4253 | |
| 10 | 9 | 644 | |

Insight- Based on this information, we can see that as the number of payment installments increases, the total amount of orders placed by the customer decreases. This could indicate that customers who choose to pay in installments may be more price-sensitive and may not be able to afford as many orders.