



## Theoretical Knowledge

### 1. Advanced Vulnerability Exploitation

#### 1.1 Exploit Chaining

##### Definition

Exploit chaining is the practice of combining **multiple vulnerabilities** in sequence to achieve a more powerful attack outcome.

- A single vulnerability may provide limited access.
- When **chained together**, low- or medium-severity flaws can escalate into **full compromise**.

##### Why It Matters

- Security teams often underestimate “minor” bugs.
- Attackers combine these small flaws into **multi-stage attack paths**.
- Real-world APTs (Advanced Persistent Threats) rely on chaining for stealth and persistence.

### Stages in a Chained Attack

#### Stage 1 – Initial Foothold

- Gain a **limited entry point** into the system.
- Example: **XSS vulnerability** on a web application → steal session cookies.

#### Stage 2 – Privilege Escalation

- Use the foothold to gain **higher privileges**.
- Example: With a stolen session → perform **CSRF attack** as the logged-in user.

#### Stage 3 – System Compromise

- Turn application-level control into **system-level compromise**.
- Example: Upload a **malicious file (webshell)** → achieve **Remote Code Execution (RCE)**.



## Stage 4 – Persistence / Lateral Movement

- Establish a **backdoor** or pivot deeper.
- Example: Move from web server → database → internal network.

## Example – CSRF + SQL Injection Chain

1. **CSRF Vulnerability:**
  - a. Exploited to force an authenticated admin to unknowingly execute a request.
  - b. Example: Change admin's profile to inject SQL payload.
2. **SQL Injection Vulnerability:**
  - a. Exploited via the crafted request.
  - b. Extract **database credentials** or drop a **malicious webshell**.
3. **Chained Outcome:**
  - a. From a "simple CSRF" → full **database compromise + RCE**.
  - b. Attack escalates far beyond the original bug scope.

## Real-World Examples

- **Facebook (2013):**
  - Bug bounty report showed a chain of **XSS + CSRF** → **account takeover**.
- **SolarWinds Attack:**
  - Supply chain compromise → code execution → credential theft → domain-wide persistence.
- **EternalBlue + DoublePulsar:**
  - Buffer overflow exploit (SMBv1) chained with a persistent backdoor.

## Key Defenses

- **Defense-in-Depth:** Patch even "low" severity bugs.
- **Threat Modeling:** Assume attackers will chain vulnerabilities.
- **Monitoring:** Look for suspicious sequences, not isolated events.

## 1.2 Custom Exploit Development

**Definition:** Adapting or creating exploits (PoCs) to work on specific environments when public ones fail.

### Stages:

1. **Recon & Profiling** → Identify OS, version, mitigations (ASLR, DEP).
2. **Analysis** → Reverse engineer code, debug crashes, find exploit primitives.
3. **Adapt/Develop** → Modify PoCs (offsets, payloads) or design new approach.
4. **Bypass Mitigations** → Use concepts like ROP, info leaks, encoding.



5. **Testing & Reporting** → Validate in lab, document proof, provide defenses.

**Example (Conceptual):** Heap spray in browsers → shaping memory for reliable exploitation.

**Defenses:** Patch systems, enable ASLR/DEP, input validation, EDR detection, least privilege.

## 1.3 Bypassing Defenses

**Definition:** Techniques to evade modern security mechanisms (ASLR, DEP, WAFs) that block exploits.

**Stages:**

1. **Identify Defenses** → Detect protections (memory randomization, stack canaries, filters).
2. **Develop Strategy** → Choose bypass (ROP, heap spray, shellcode encoding).
3. **Exploit Execution** → Chain gadgets, use polymorphic/obfuscated payloads.
4. **Validation** → Test reliability across reboots/versions.

**Example (Conceptual):** Using ROP chain to bypass DEP and execute arbitrary code.

**Defenses:** Patch software, enable modern mitigations (CFG, SMEP), use WAFs with anomaly detection, behavioral EDR

**Outcome:** Ability to craft, adapt, and chain sophisticated exploits while avoiding detection and maintaining persistence.

## 2. API Security Testing

### 2.1 API Vulnerabilities

**Definition:** Weaknesses in Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) that attackers exploit to gain unauthorized access, manipulate data, or disrupt services.

**Core Concept:**

- **OWASP API Top 10** → Industry standard list of the most critical API security risks.
  - Example: **A01:2023 – Broken Object Level Authorization (BOLA)** → Attackers manipulate object IDs to access data they shouldn't.

**Example:**

- Exploiting weak API tokens → attacker modifies a user ID in an API call to fetch another user's private data.



## Key Risks:

1. Unauthorized data exposure.
2. Privilege escalation via API endpoints.
3. Abuse of weak authentication/authorization checks.

## Outcome:

Attackers can steal sensitive data, disrupt business logic, or compromise entire systems through insecure APIs.

## 2.2 Testing Techniques

**Definition:** Methods to identify, validate, and exploit vulnerabilities in applications, APIs, and systems.

## Core Concept:

- Use a **combination of manual & automated testing** to uncover flaws.
  - **Manual Testing (Burp Suite):**
    - API endpoint enumeration
    - Parameter tampering
    - Authentication/authorization testing
  - **Automated Testing (Postman, OWASP ZAP, custom scripts):**
    - Fuzzing API parameters
    - Automated request replay
    - Response analysis for anomalies

## Example:

- Using Burp Suite to enumerate hidden API endpoints.
- Using Postman to fuzz inputs and detect improper error handling.

## Key Risks Found:

1. Broken object-level authorization.
2. Input validation flaws.
3. Data exposure via misconfigured endpoints.

## Outcome:

Ensures security gaps are identified early, improving resilience against API and web attacks.

## 2.3 Rate Limiting and Injection



**Definition:** Techniques attackers use to bypass request throttling and exploit injection flaws in APIs or applications.

**Core Concept:**

- **Rate Limiting Bypass:**
  - Manipulating headers (e.g., X-Forwarded-For) to appear as different users/IPs.
  - Using multiple API keys, TOR, or botnets to evade restrictions.
- **Injection Attacks:**
  - Inserting malicious payloads into queries (SQLi, NoSQLi, GraphQLi).
  - Exploiting poor input sanitization to extract or modify data.

**Example:**

- **Bypassing Rate Limits:** Attacker floods login endpoint by rotating IP headers, enabling brute force.
- **GraphQL Injection:** Sending crafted queries (`__schema` introspection) to dump database contents.

**Key Risks:**

1. Credential stuffing & brute force attacks.
2. Unauthorized data access via GraphQL or NoSQL injection.
3. Service disruption from abuse of unrestricted endpoints.

**Outcome:**

If unmitigated, attackers can brute-force credentials, extract sensitive data, or pivot deeper into systems.

## 3. Privilege Escalation and Persistence

### 3.1 Privilege Escalation

**Definition:** The process of gaining higher-level permissions (e.g., root, SYSTEM, admin) than originally assigned.

**Core Concept:**

- **Vertical Escalation** → From low-privileged user to high-privileged (e.g., root).
- **Horizontal Escalation** → Accessing another user's resources without increasing privileges.

**Techniques:**

1. **Kernel Exploits** → Exploit vulnerabilities in the OS kernel to gain root access.



2. **Misconfigured Services** → Abuse weak service configs (e.g., running as root/admin).
3. **Weak File/Folder Permissions** → Modify scripts, binaries, or configs executed by privileged users.
4. **SUID/SGID Binaries** → Exploit special permission binaries in Linux to escalate privileges.

#### Example:

- Exploiting a misconfigured **SUID binary** (/usr/bin/nmap) to execute commands as root.

#### Key Risks:

1. Full system compromise.
2. Persistence with high-level backdoors.
3. Ability to disable security tools and cover tracks.

#### Outcome:

Privilege escalation often turns a limited compromise into **complete system takeover**.

## 3.2 Persistence Mechanisms:

**Definition:** Techniques attackers use to maintain long-term access to a compromised system, even after reboots or user logouts.

#### Core Concept:

- Persistence ensures attackers don't lose access once the initial exploit is closed or detected.
- Can be **OS-level, application-level, or service-level**.

#### Techniques:

##### 1. Linux:

- a. **Cron Jobs** → Schedule malicious scripts to run automatically.
- b. **Backdoored Binaries** → Replace common binaries (e.g., ssh, sudo) with trojanized versions.
- c. **SSH Keys** → Plant attacker's public key in ~/.ssh/authorized\_keys.

##### 2. Windows:

- a. **Registry Keys** → Add entries in HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run for persistence.
- b. **Scheduled Tasks** → Automatically run malware at startup.
- c. **Malicious Services/Drivers** → Install services that restart on boot.

#### Example:



- Attacker adds a **registry run key** in Windows to ensure malware executes every reboot.

### Key Risks:

1. Long-term hidden access.
2. Difficult detection and removal.
3. Used in APTs (Advanced Persistent Threats) to survive cleanup efforts.

### Outcome:

Persistence turns a one-time compromise into **continuous attacker presence**, enabling data theft or sabotage over time.

## 3.3 Living-off-the-Land (LotL)

**Definition:** A technique where attackers abuse trusted, built-in system tools instead of introducing new malware, making their activities stealthier.

### Core Concept:

- Avoids detection by **blending malicious actions with normal system operations**.
- Relies on binaries, scripts, and frameworks already present in the OS (a.k.a. **LOLBins/LOLScripts**).

### Techniques:

#### 1. Windows:

- a. **PowerShell** → Run encoded payloads or download malware.
- b. **WMI (Windows Management Instrumentation)** → Remote execution, persistence, and reconnaissance.
- c. **MSHTA / Certutil** → Download & execute payloads under trusted processes.

#### 2. Linux:

- a. **Bash / Cron / Systemd** → Abuse job scheduling or service configs.
- b. **Netcat / Curl / Wget** → Create reverse shells or exfiltrate data.
- c. **Sudo Misuse** → Execute commands via trusted binaries.

### Example:

- Attacker uses **PowerShell** to download and execute a reverse shell in memory, avoiding disk artifacts.

### Key Risks:

1. Bypasses traditional AV/EDR since tools are legitimate.
2. Harder forensic detection due to lack of new binaries.
3. Enables escalation, persistence, and lateral movement stealthily.
4. **Stealth & Evasion** → Avoid detection while maintaining control (hide processes, use memory-only payloads).

**Outcome:**

LotL techniques allow attackers to stay **low-profile**, evade defenses, and operate for long periods without raising alerts.

**Outcome:**

Ability to escalate privileges, survive reboots, and operate undetected—turning a foothold into a **deep, long-term system compromise**

## 4. Network Protocol Attacks

### 4.1 Protocol Exploitation

**Definition:** The abuse of weaknesses in network protocols to gain unauthorized access, harvest credentials, or disrupt services.

**Core Concept:**

- Many protocols (SMB, DNS, SNMP, FTP, Telnet) were designed before modern security standards.
- Attackers exploit weak authentication, misconfigurations, or trust assumptions in these protocols.

**Techniques:****1. SMB Exploitation**

- a. **SMB Relay Attacks** → Intercept and relay authentication requests to gain access.
- b. **EternalBlue (CVE-2017-0144)** → Exploit SMBv1 buffer overflow for remote code execution.

**2. DNS Exploitation**

- a. **DNS Spoofing/Poisoning** → Redirect traffic to malicious servers.
- b. **DNS Tunneling** → Hide C2 communication inside DNS queries.

**3. SNMP Exploitation**

- a. Exploit default or weak community strings (public, private).
- b. Use SNMP to dump system configs, user accounts, and network topology.

**Example:**

- **SMB Relay Attack** → Capture NTLM hashes during authentication and reuse them to authenticate as the victim, enabling credential harvesting and lateral movement.

**Key Risks:**

1. Credential theft & lateral movement.
2. Redirection of legitimate traffic to malicious endpoints.
3. Full remote code execution (e.g., EternalBlue).



**Outcome:**

Protocol exploitation can escalate a single foothold into **widespread network compromise** due to trust relationships and legacy services.

## 4.2 Man-in-the-Middle (MitM)

**Definition:** An attack where the adversary secretly intercepts, relays, or alters communication between two parties without their knowledge.

**Core Concept:**

- Exploits weaknesses in **network protocols, trust models, or encryption**.
- Enables attackers to steal credentials, inject malicious payloads, or manipulate traffic.

**Techniques:****1. ARP Spoofing**

- a. Trick local network devices into sending traffic through the attacker's machine.
- b. Common in LAN attacks.

**2. DNS Poisoning**

- a. Redirect traffic by altering DNS responses.
- b. Victim believes they're visiting a legitimate domain but lands on a malicious one.

**3. SSL Stripping**

- a. Downgrade HTTPS connections to HTTP, allowing traffic to be read in plaintext.
- b. Exploits sites misconfigured for strict HTTPS.

**Example:**

- Attacker performs **ARP spoofing** to intercept credentials sent over HTTP within a corporate LAN.

**Key Risks:**

1. Credential theft (usernames, passwords, tokens).
2. Session hijacking & account takeover.
3. Data manipulation or injection of malicious content.

**Outcome:**

MitM attacks allow attackers to **intercept and alter sensitive communications**, breaking confidentiality and integrity of data in transit.

## 4.3 Protocol Misconfigurations



**Definition:** Security weaknesses caused by improper or outdated configurations in network protocols, leaving them vulnerable to exploitation.

**Core Concept:**

- Many default protocol settings prioritize **functionality over security**.
- Attackers exploit unencrypted traffic, weak authentication, or legacy versions.

**Techniques / Examples:**

1. **Telnet (Unencrypted Login):** Credentials sent in plaintext, easily captured via sniffing.
2. **SMBv1 (Outdated Protocol):** Vulnerable to attacks like **EternalBlue**, enabling RCE.
3. **FTP (Plaintext File Transfer):** No encryption; allows credential harvesting and data theft.
4. **SNMP (Default Community Strings):** “public/private” often unchanged, enabling device takeover.
5. **HTTP (No TLS):** Susceptible to credential theft via sniffing or MitM attacks.

**Example:**

- A company leaves **Telnet enabled** for remote admin; attacker sniffs credentials and gains access.

**Key Risks:**

1. Credential theft via plaintext traffic.
2. Remote code execution from legacy protocols.
3. Exposure of sensitive system and network data.

**Outcome:**

Misconfigured protocols create **low-hanging fruit** for attackers, often leading to full system compromise with minimal effort.

1. **Defense Awareness** → Understand how secure versions, encryption, and monitoring can mitigate risks.

**Outcome:**

Ability to exploit insecure protocols for **credential harvesting, lateral movement, or data theft**, while recognizing mitigations to defend networks.

## 5. Mobile Application Penetration Testing

### 5.1 Mobile Vulnerabilities

**Definition:** Security flaws in mobile applications or platforms (Android, iOS) that expose sensitive data or allow unauthorized access.

**Core Concept:**



- Guided by **OWASP Mobile Top 10**, which outlines the most critical risks in mobile security.

## Techniques / Examples:

1. **M1: Improper Platform Usage** → Misuse of platform features (e.g., Android intents, iOS TouchID).
  - a. Example: Insecure use of Android intent allows data leakage between apps.
2. **M2: Insecure Data Storage** → Sensitive data stored in plaintext on device or SD card.
  - a. Example: Extracting API keys or tokens from an unencrypted SQLite database in an Android APK.
3. **M3: Insecure Communication** → Lack of TLS or weak certificate validation.
  - a. Example: Attacker intercepts mobile app traffic with a MitM proxy.
4. **M4: Insecure Authentication** → Weak session tokens or missing multi-factor checks.

## Example:

- Reverse-engineering an Android APK to recover hardcoded credentials or encryption keys.

## Key Risks:

1. Credential theft and session hijacking.
2. Sensitive data exposure (tokens, payment info, PII).
3. Remote compromise of mobile devices.

## Outcome:

Mobile vulnerabilities can lead to **data breaches, fraud, or device compromise**, often at scale due to widespread app usage.

## 5.2 Testing Techniques

**Definition:** Methods to detect mobile application vulnerabilities through code analysis and runtime testing.

## Core Concept:

- Use a mix of **Static Analysis** (code-level review) and **Dynamic Testing** (runtime behavior analysis).

## Techniques / Tools:

1. **Static Analysis (SAST):**
  - a. Tool: **MobSF (Mobile Security Framework)**
  - b. Checks for insecure code patterns, hardcoded secrets, weak crypto, misconfigurations.



- c. Example: Detecting hardcoded API keys in Android source code.
- 2. **Dynamic Testing (DAST):**
  - a. Tool: **Frida** (runtime instrumentation framework).
  - b. Used for **runtime manipulation** → bypassing root detection, tampering with API calls.
  - c. Example: Hooking functions in a banking app to bypass 2FA checks.
- 3. **Hybrid Approach:**
  - a. Combine MobSF + Frida with network traffic analysis (Burp Suite, mitmproxy).
  - b. Example: Intercepting unencrypted API calls from mobile app during execution.

### Key Risks Identified:

- Hardcoded secrets
- Insecure data storage
- Weak authentication checks
- API communication leaks

### Outcome:

Testing techniques reveal both **design flaws (static)** and **runtime weaknesses (dynamic)**, ensuring complete mobile app security coverage.

## 5.3 Secure Mobile Design

**Definition:** Techniques and best practices to protect mobile applications from common vulnerabilities and attacks.

### Core Concept:

- Focus on **data protection, code integrity, and runtime security** to reduce attack surface.

### Techniques / Mitigations:

1. **Secure Storage:**
  - a. Use encrypted storage for sensitive data (e.g., Keychain on iOS, EncryptedSharedPreferences on Android).
2. **Code Obfuscation:**
  - a. Obfuscate class names, methods, and strings to prevent reverse engineering (e.g., ProGuard, DexGuard).
3. **Runtime Checks:**
  - a. Detect rooted/jailbroken devices, tampering, or debugging attempts.
  - b. Prevent sensitive actions when integrity checks fail.
4. **Secure Communication:**
  - a. Enforce TLS, certificate pinning, and input validation.

### Example:



- A banking app encrypts all sensitive data, obfuscates code, and refuses to run on rooted devices.

## 6. Comprehensive Reporting and Remediation

### PTES Reporting Template Structure

The module focuses on creating **professional, actionable reports** for both executive leadership and technical teams, ensuring clarity, traceability, and prioritization.

#### 1. Executive Summary (For Leadership and Oversight)

##### Purpose:

Provide a **high-level view of business risk** and overall security posture without delving into technical minutiae.

##### Subsections:

##### 1. Background

- a. Why the assessment was conducted, systems in-scope, type of data processed, key risks considered.
- b. **Example:**  
“<Client> engaged <Tester> to assess external-facing systems that store confidential customer data and intellectual property.”

##### 2. Overall Posture

- a. Narrative on how well defenses worked.
- b. Highlight systemic issues (e.g., weak patch management) vs. symptomatic issues (e.g., one unpatched server).

##### 3. Risk Ranking/Profile

- a. Overall risk score using CVSS, DREAD, FAIR, or custom scoring.
- b. **Example:** “Overall Risk Rating = 7 (Elevated).”

##### 4. General Findings

- a. Summarize vulnerabilities and attack outcomes using visuals (charts, graphs, tables).
- b. Count of critical/high/medium findings.
- c. Common root causes: misconfigurations, poor credential hygiene, weak patching.

##### 5. Recommendation Summary

- a. High-level guidance on remediation.
- b. Prioritize fixes based on risk and effort required.

##### 6. Strategic Roadmap

- a. Prioritized, time-based remediation plan (short-, mid-, long-term).
- b. Aligned with business objectives and organizational threat model.



## 2. Technical Report (For IT / Security Teams)

### Purpose:

Provide **in-depth technical details** about scope, methodology, findings, exploit paths, and remediation. Designed for engineers, sysadmins, and IT teams.

### Subsections:

#### 1. Introduction

- a. Participants: Client + Tester teams
- b. Scope: Systems, apps, networks, cloud, endpoints
- c. Objectives: Confidentiality, integrity, availability
- d. Methodology & Threat Model: PTES, MITRE ATT&CK, STRIDE

#### 2. Information Gathering

- a. **Passive Intelligence:** DNS records, Google dorks, public data
- b. **Active Intelligence:** Nmap scans, service discovery, network mapping
- c. **Corporate Intelligence:** Org structure, partnerships, market position
- d. **Personnel Intelligence:** Employee info, leaked credentials

#### 3. Vulnerability Assessment

- a. Techniques: Automated scanners + manual testing
- b. Classification:
  - i. Technical vulnerabilities (SQLi, XSS, RCE, mapped to OSI layers)
  - ii. Logical vulnerabilities (business logic flaws, insecure workflows)

#### 4. Exploitation & Vulnerability Confirmation

- a. Steps to confirm vulnerabilities
- b. Exploitation timeline: hosts, times, methods
- c. Attack methods: Direct exploitation, phishing, client/browser-side
- d. Evidence: Screenshots, logs, proof of shell/system access
- e. Access levels achieved: User, admin, root
- f. Remediation & mitigating controls (temporary + long-term)

#### 5. Post-Exploitation

- a. How vulnerabilities were leveraged for real-world risk:
  - i. Privilege escalation
  - ii. Access to sensitive/critical data
  - iii. Domain or business system compromise
  - iv. Exfiltration, persistence, and pivoting

#### 6. Countermeasure Effectiveness

- a. Which defenses worked as expected (firewalls, WAF, IDS/IPS)
- b. Which were bypassed
- c. Incident response effectiveness

#### 7. Risk & Exposure Analysis

- a. Likelihood of exploitation
- b. Attacker skill required
- c. Control strength (weak/medium/strong)
- d. Potential losses: financial, reputational, regulatory
- e. Root cause analysis: Process gaps, misconfigurations, missing patches

#### 8. Conclusion



- a. Restate key outcomes
- b. Forward-looking security guidance
- c. Highlight positives (what's working well)
- d. Encourage ongoing security improvements aligned with roadmap



# CYART

---

[inquiry@cyart.io](mailto:inquiry@cyart.io)

[www.cyart.io](http://www.cyart.io)