

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF BANANA TASK FORCE APE



SMART CONTRACT AUDIT | SOLIDITY DEVELOPMENT & TESTING | PROJECT EVALUATION

RELENTLESSLY SECURING THE PUBLIC BLOCKCHAIN



Audit Introduction

Auditing Firm InterFi Network

Audit Architecture InterFi Echelon Auditing Standard

Language Solidity

Client Firm Banana Task Force Ape

Website https://bananataskforceape.com

Discord <u>https://discord.gg/BananaTFA</u>

Twitter https://twitter.com/BananaTFA

Instagram https://instagram.com/BananaTFA

Telegram https://t.me/BTFAEntryPortal

Report Date March 09, 2022

About Banana Task Force Ape Security Audit

The native token to Banana Task Force Ape NFT, act as a membership to access their members only discord, whitelists in future ICOs, and NFT presales. BTFA is creating 360 shared liquidity system between their native, NFT, and governance contract that will work support each floor which makes their liquidity more elastic and less volatile.



Audit Summary

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the smart contract audit:

- Banana Task Force Ape's solidity source codes have LOW RISK SEVERITY
- ❖ Banana Task Force Ape's smart contracts have **ACTIVE OWNERSHIP**
- Important owner privileges SET PARAMETERS
- Banana Task Force Ape's smart contract owner has multiple "Write Contract" privileges.
 Centralization risk correlated to the active owner is LOW

Be aware that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain aren't resistant to internal exploit, external vulnerability, or hack. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, functional hack, and audit disclaimer, kindly refer to the audit.

- Contract address 1: 0x1D4bbaD379c7b5f92fe428262c840E76E0E89169
- Contract address 2: 0x0D17bd62486eeccD6cA99A75D1b40959cF3a7234
- Contract address 3: 0x9ED3872089Ed87CdED1938484F11704E78575C90
- Blockchain: **Ethereum Chain**
- ☑ Verify the authenticity of this report on InterFi's GitHub: https://github.com/interfinetwork



Table Of Contents

Audit Information

Audit Scope	5
Echelon Audit Standard	
Audit Methodology	6
Risk Classification	8
Smart Contract Risk Assessment	
Static Analysis	9
Software Analysis	11
Manual Analysis	13
SWC Attacks	15
Risk Status & Radar Chart	17
Audit Summary	
Auditor's Verdict	18
<u>Legal Advisory</u>	
Important Disclaimer	19
About InterFi Network	20



Audit Scope

InterFi was consulted by Banana Task Force Ape to conduct the smart contract security audit of

their solidity source codes. The audit scope of work is strictly limited to the mentioned solidity file(s)

only:

Stakes.sol (0x1D4bbaD379c7b5f92fe428262c840E76E0E89169)

Stakes.sol (0x0D17bd62486eeccD6cA99A75D1b40959cF3a7234)

Stakes.sol (0x9ED3872089Ed87CdED1938484F11704E78575C90)

Solidity Source Codes On Blockchain (Verified Contract Source Codes)

https://etherscan.io/address/0x1d4bbad379c7b5f92fe428262c840e76e0e89169#code

https://etherscan.io/address/0x0d17bd62486eeccd6ca99a75d1b40959cf3a7234#code

https://etherscan.io/address/0x9ed3872089ed87cded1938484f11704e78575c90#code

Contract Name: Stakes (Identical code files)

Compiler Version: v0.8.2

Optimization Enabled: Yes with 200 runs

Solidity Source Code On InterFi GitHub

https://github.com/interfinetwork/audited-codes/blob/main/BTFA.sol

SHA-1 Hash

Solidity source code is audited at hash #37c9a6la849008bdaa76lb2b49e43ee94c8484d6

Audit Methodology

The scope of this report is to audit the smart contract source code of Banana Task Force Ape. InterFi has scanned the contract and reviewed the project for common vulnerabilities, exploits, hacks, and back-doors. Below is the list of commonly known smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and hacks:

Category

**	Re-	entr	ancy
----	-----	------	------

- Unhandled Exceptions
- Transaction Order Dependency
- Integer Overflow
- Unrestricted Action
- Incorrect Inheritance Order
- Typographical Errors
- Requirement Violation
- Ownership Takeover
- Gas Limit and Loops
- Deployment Consistency
- Repository Consistency
- Data Consistency
- Token Supply Manipulation
- Access Control and Authorization
- Operations Trail and Event Generation
- Assets Manipulation
- Liquidity Access

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

Source Code Review

Functional Assessment



InterFi's Echelon Audit Standard

The aim of InterFi's "Echelon" standard is to analyze the smart contract and identify the vulnerabilities and the hacks in the smart contract. Mentioned are the steps used by ECHELON-1 to assess the smart contract:

- 1. Solidity smart contract source code reviewal:
 - Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to InterFi to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- 2. Static, Manual, and Software analysis:
 - Test coverage analysis is the process of determining whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts

Automated 3P frameworks used to assess the smart contract vulnerabilities

- Slither
- Consensys MythX, Mythril
- SWC Registry
- Solidity Coverage
- Open Zeppelin Code Analyzer
- Solidity Code Complier



Risk Classification

Smart contracts are generally designed to manipulate and hold funds denominated in ETH/BNB. This makes them very tempting attack targets, as a successful attack may allow the attacker to directly steal funds from the contract. Below are the typical risk levels of a smart contract:

Vulnerable: A contract is vulnerable if it has been flagged by a static analysis tool as such. As we will see later, this means that some contracts may be vulnerable because of a false positive.

Exploitable: A contract is exploitable if it is vulnerable and the vulnerability could be exploited by an external attacker. For example, if the "vulnerability" flagged by a tool is in a function that requires owning the contract, it would be vulnerable but not exploitable.

Exploited: A contract is exploited if it received a transaction on the main network which triggered one of its vulnerabilities. Therefore, a contract can be vulnerable or even exploitable without having been exploited.

Risk severity	Meaning Security Audit	
! High	This level vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss,	
	data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.	
! Medium	This level vulnerabilities are hard to exploit but very important to fix, they carry	
	an elevated risk of smart contract manipulation, which can lead to high-risk	
	severity	
! Low	This level vulnerabilities should be fixed, as they carry an inherent risk of future	
	exploits, and hacks which may or may not impact the smart contract execution.	
! Informational	This level vulnerabilities can be ignored. They are code style violations and	
	informational statements in the code. They may not affect the smart contract	
	execution	



Static Analysis

Function can be modified Function is payable Function is locked Function can be accessed Important functionality

```
| **SafeMath** | Library |
 └ | add | Internal 🔒 |
| L | sub | Internal 🔒 | | |
| L | sub | Internal 🔒 |
| L | mul | Internal 🔒 |
| <sup>L</sup> | div | Internal <sup>@</sup> |
| L | div | Internal 🔒 |
| <sup>L</sup> | mod | Internal <sup>@</sup> |
| <sup>L</sup> | mod | Internal <sup>@</sup> |
| **Owner** | Implementation | |||
| L | <Constructor> | Public ! | • | NO! |
| L | changeOwner | Public ! | 📦 | isOwner |
| L | getOwner | Public ! | NO! |
| **ReentrancyGuard** | Implementation | |||
| L | <Constructor> | Public ! | • | NO! |
| **IERC20** | Interface | |||
| L | totalSupply | External ! | NO! |
| L | balanceOf | External ! | NO! |
| L | transfer | External ! | O | NO! |
| L | allowance | External ! |
| L | approve | External ! | P | NO! |
| └ | transferFrom | External ! | ● |NO! |
| **IERC20Metadata** | Interface | IERC20 |||
| L | name | External ! | NO! |
| L | symbol | External ! | NO! |
| L | decimals | External ! | NO! |
\Pi\Pi\Pi\Pi
```



```
| **Context** | Implementation | |||
| L | _msgSender | Internal 🗎 | | |
| └ | msqData | Internal 🔒 | | |
| **ERC20** | Implementation | Context, IERC20, IERC20Metadata |||
| └ | <Constructor> | Public ! | ● |NO! |
| L | name | Public ! | NO! |
| L | symbol | Public ! | NO! |
| L | decimals | Public ! | NO! |
| L | totalSupply | Public ! | NO! |
| L | balanceOf | Public ! | NO! |
| L | transfer | Public ! | 🔴 |NO! |
| L | allowance | Public ! | NO! |
| L | approve | Public ! | WO! |
| L | transferFrom | Public ! | • |NO! |
| L | increaseAllowance | Public ! | December | NO! |
| L | decreaseAllowance | Public ! | • | NO! |
| └ | _transfer | Internal 🏻 | ● | |
| L | _burn | Internal 🗎 | 🔎 | |
| L | _afterTokenTransfer | Internal 🗎 | 🔴 | |
| **Stakes** | Implementation | Owner, ReentrancyGuard |||
| L | <Constructor> | Public ! | • | Owner |
| L | start | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | end | External ! | • | nonReentrant |
| L | set | Public ! | Public ! | I isOwner |
| L | get_gains | Public ! | NO! |
| L | ledger_length | Public ! | NO! |
```




Software Analysis

Function Signatures

```
39509351 => increaseAllowance(address,uint256)
771602f7 => add(uint256,uint256)
b67d77c5 \Rightarrow sub(uint256,uint256)
e31bdc0a => sub(uint256,uint256,string)
c8a4ac9c => mul(uint256,uint256)
a391c15b => div(uint256,uint256)
b745d336 => div(uint256,uint256,string)
f43f523a => mod(uint256,uint256)
71af23e8 => mod(uint256,uint256,string)
a6f9dae1 => changeOwner(address)
893d20e8 => get0wner()
18160ddd => totalSupply()
70a08231 => balanceOf(address)
a9059cbb => transfer(address,uint256)
dd62ed3e => allowance(address,address)
095ea7b3 => approve(address,uint256)
23b872dd => transferFrom(address,address,uint256)
06fdde03 => name()
95d89b41 => symbol()
313ce567 => decimals()
119df25f => msgSender()
8b49d47e \Rightarrow msqData()
a457c2d7 => decreaseAllowance(address,uint256)
30e0789e => _transfer(address,address,uint256)
4e6ec247 => mint(address,uint256)
6161eb18 => _burn(address,uint256)
104e81ff => _approve(address,address,uint256)
cad3be83 => _beforeTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256)
8f811a1c => _afterTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256)
95805dad => start(uint256)
0ad24528 \Rightarrow end(uint256)
cb63dce8 => set(uint256,uint256,uint8,uint8)
b7f8f9ea => get_gains(address,uint256)
aa4c0dd9 => ledger_length(address)
```



<u>Inheritance Graph</u>





Smart Contract Security Audit



Manual Analysis

Function	Description	Tested	Verdict
Total Supply	provides information about the total token	Yes	Passed
iotal oupply	supply	162	Pusseu
Balance Of	provides account balance of the owner's	Yes	Passed
	account	163	russeu
Transfer	executes transfers of a specified number of	Yes	Passed
	tokens to a specified address	100	i usscu
Approve	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of	Yes	Passed
	tokens from a specified account		
Allowance	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to	Yes	Passed
	the owner		
Change Ownership	executes transfer of contract ownership to a	Yes	Passed
	specified wallet Smart Contract		



- Active smart contract owner: 0xf643e3273c51bb6b9b1dc144b14b5b2d29ea979d
- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to smart contract safety and security.
- Smart contract owner cannot lock or unlock the smart contract function modules.
- Smart contract owner cannot lock or burn user assets.
- Smart contract owner can start and end stake events.

```
function start(uint256 _value) external {
    require(_value >= lower_amount, "Invalid value");
function end(uint256 i) external nonReentrant {
    require(i < ledger[msg.sender].length, "Invalid index");
    require(ledger[msg.sender][i].ended==false, "Invalid stake");</pre>
```



Smart contracts utilize "SafeMath" function to avoid common smart contract vulnerabilities.

```
string private _name = "BTFA";
library SafeMath {
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    uint256 c = a + b;
    require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return sub(a, b, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");
    uint256 c = a * b;
    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
    return c;
function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return div(a, b, "SafeMath: division by zero");
function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return mod(a, b, "SafeMath: modulo by zero");
```

- Smart contracts utilize "ReentrancyGuard" function to manage re-entrant calls to smart contracts.
- Smart contract owner can set mentioned contract parameters under "Write Contract".
 - o Lower amount is the minimum amount for creating a stake
 - o Maturity is the time in seconds after which is safe to end the stake
 - Interest rate is percentage rate
 - o Penalization is for ending a stake before maturity time
- Smart contract has a low severity issue which may or may not create any functional vulnerability.

```
{
    "resource": " /BTFA.sol",
    "severity": 8, (! Low Severity)

"Expected identifier, got 'LParen''
}
```



SWC Attacks

SWC ID	Description	Verdict
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	! Informational
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELF-DESTRUCT Instruction	Passed
SWC-107	Re-entrancy	Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed
swc-110	Assert Violation Smart Contract	Passed
swc-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed
SWC-112	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed



SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	! Low
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with the hardcoded gas amount	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code)	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed



Risk Status & Radar Chart

Risk Severity	Status
! High	No high severity issues identified
! Medium	No medium severity issues identified
! Low	1 low severity issues identified
	Please Review Report
! Informational	2 informational severity issues identified
	 Active Ownership
	 Outdated Compiler
Verified	54 functions and instances verified and checked
	Small score out of 100 Ct
	Sec Compiler Check
	95
	Interface Safety 85 Static Analysis 80 75

Manual Analysis

Software Analysis



Auditor's Verdict

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of smart contracts. Smart contracts were analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the smart contract audit:

- Banana Task Force Ape's solidity source codes have LOW RISK SEVERITY
- ❖ Banana Task Force Ape's smart contracts have **ACTIVE OWNERSHIP**
- ❖ Banana Task Force Ape's smart contract owner has multiple "Write Contract" privileges.
 Centralization risk correlated to the active owner is LOW



Note for stakeholders

- * Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security.
- If the smart contract is not deployed on any blockchain at the time of the audit, the contract can be modified or altered before blockchain development. Verify contract's deployment status in the audit report.
- Make sure that the project team's KYC/identity is verified by an independent firm.
- Always check if the contract's liquidity is locked. A longer liquidity lock plays an important role in the project's longevity. It is recommended to have multiple liquidity providers.
- Examine the unlocked token supply in the owner, developer, or team's private wallets. Understand the project's tokenomics, and make sure the tokens outside of the LP Pair are vested or locked for a longer period.
- Ensure that the project's official website is hosted on a trusted platform, and is using an active SSL certificate. The website's domain should be registered for a longer period.



Important Disclaimer

InterFi Network provides contract development, testing, auditing and project evaluation services for blockchain projects. The purpose of the audit is to analyze the on-chain smart contract source code and to provide a basic overview of the project. **This report should not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purpose without InterFi's prior written consent.**

InterFi provides the easy-to-understand assessment of the project, and the smart contract (otherwise known as the source code). The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as enough assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have used all the data at our disposal to provide the transparent analysis, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Be aware that smart contracts deployed on a blockchain aren't resistant to external vulnerability, or a hack. Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security. Therefore, InterFi does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

This report should not be considered as an endorsement or disapproval of any project or team.

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment advice, financial advice, trading advice, or any other sort of advice and you should not treat any of the report's content as such. Do conduct your due diligence and consult your financial advisor before making any investment decisions.



About InterFi Network

InterFi Network provides intelligent blockchain solutions. InterFi is developing an ecosystem that is seamless and responsive. Some of our services: Blockchain Security, Token Launchpad, NFT Marketplace, etc. InterFi's mission is to interconnect multiple services like Blockchain Security, DeFi, Gaming, and Marketplace under one ecosystem that is seamless, multi-chain compatible, scalable, secure, fast, responsive, and easy to use.

InterFi is built by a decentralized team of UI experts, contributors, engineers, and enthusiasts from all over the world. Our team currently consists of 6+ core team members, and 10+ casual contributors. InterFi provides manual, static, and automatic smart contract analysis, to ensure that project is checked against known attacks and potential vulnerabilities.

To learn more, visit https://interfi.network

To view our audit portfolio, visit https://github.com/interfinetwork

To book an audit, message https://t.me/interfiaudits



