

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF



SMART CONTRACT AUDIT | TEAM KYC | PROJECT EVALUATION

RELENTLESSLY SECURING THE PUBLIC BLOCKCHAIN | MADE IN CANADA

Summary

Auditing Firm InterFi Network

Architecture InterFi "Echelon" Auditing Standard

Smart Contract Audit Approved By Chris | Blockchain Specialist at InterFi Network

Project Overview Approved By

Albert | Marketing Specialist at InterFi Network

Platform Solidity

Audit Check (Mandatory) Static, Software, Auto Intelligent & Manual Analysis

Project Check (Optional) KYC

Consultation Request Date November 06, 2021

Report Date November 08, 2021

Audit Summary

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of the smart contract. The smart contract was analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the smart contract audit:

- **❖ CCOM's smart contract source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY.**
- CCOM has PASSED the smart contract audit.

For the detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, and functional test, kindly refer to the audit.



Table Of Contents

<u>Project Information</u>

Overview	4
InterFi "Echelon" Audit Standard	
Audit Scope & Methodology	6
InterFi's Risk Classification	8
Smart Contract Risk Assessment	
Static Analysis	g
Software Analysis	11
Manual Analysis	13
SWC Attacks	15
Risk Status & Radar Chart	17
Report Summary	
Auditor's Verdict	18
<u>Legal Advisory</u>	
Important Disclaimer	19
About InterFi Network	20



Project Overview

InterFi was consulted by CCOM on November 06, 2021 to conduct a smart contract security audit of their token source code.

About CCOM:

CCOMetaverse is an open world metaverse game, players can interact with each other and buy and sell, the game content revolves around the story of each character to do missions, players can participate in betting games to receive rewards.

Project	CCOM
Blockchain	Binance Smart Chain
Language	Solidity
Block Funlages	https://bscscan.com/address/0x81de885e048c7b236482c0a58d4eb
Block Explorer	8675b7955al Smort Comtract
Contract	0x81DE885e048c7b236482c0a58D4eB8675B7955a1
Website	https://ccometaverse.tech/
Telegram	https://t.me/ccometaverse
Twitter	https://twitter.com/ccometaverse
YouTube	https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCQ1WT3LQao8PAVUhzdvS9MQ



Public logo



Solidity Source Code On Blockchain (BscScan Verified Contract Source Code)

https://bscscan.com/address/0x81de885e048c7b236482c0a58d4eb8675b7955a1#code

Contract Name: BEP20TOKEN

Compiler Version: v0.5.17+commit.d19bba13

Optimization Enabled: No with 200 runs

Solidity Source Code On InterFi GitHub

https://github.com/interfinetwork/audited-codes/blob/main/CCOM.sol

InterFi GitHub Commit

Solidity source code is committed at: ed94e6bfcc2637dc5435a94503d8319d337b508a

SHA-1 Hash

Solidity source code is audited at hash #fadd46172b7875bad355aaa44baec39e66c6e168



Audit Scope & Methodology

The scope of this report is to audit the smart contract source code of CCOM. The source code can be viewed in its entirety on

https://bscscan.com/address/0x81de885e048c7b236482c0a58d4eb8675b7955a1#code

InterFi has scanned the contract and reviewed the project for common vulnerabilities, exploits, hacks, and back-doors. Below is the list of commonly known smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and hacks:

Category

Re-entrancy (RE)

Unhandled Exceptions (UE)

Transaction Order Dependency (TO)

Integer Overflow (IO)

Unrestricted Action (UA)

Ownership Takeover

Gas Limit and Loops

Deployment Consistency

Repository Consistency

Data Consistency

Token Supply Manipulation

Access Control and Authorization

Operations Trail and Event Generation

Assets Manipulation

Liquidity Access

Source Code Review

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

Functional Assessment



InterFi's Echelon Audit Standard

The aim of InterFi's "Echelon" standard is to analyze the smart contract and identify the vulnerabilities and the hacks in the smart contract. Mentioned are the steps used by ECHELON-1 to assess the smart contract:

- 1. Solidity smart contract source code reviewal:
 - Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to InterFi to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-byline to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- 2. Static, Manual, and Automated Al analysis:
 - Test coverage analysis, which is the process of determining whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - Symbolic execution, which is analysing a program to determine what inputs causes each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts

Automated 3P frameworks used to assess the smart contract vulnerabilities

- Slither
- Consensys MythX
- Consensys Surya
- Open Zeppelin Code Analyzer
- Solidity Code Complier



InterFi's Risk Classification

Smart contracts are generally designed to manipulate and hold funds denominated in ETH/BNB. This makes them very tempting attack targets, as a successful attack may allow the attacker to directly steal funds from the contract. Below are the typical risk levels of a smart contract:

Vulnerable: A contract is vulnerable if it has been flagged by a static analysis tool as such. As we will see later, this means that some contracts may be vulnerable because of a false-positive.

Exploitable: A contract is exploitable if it is vulnerable and the vulnerability could be exploited by an external attacker. For example, if the "vulnerability" flagged by a tool is in a function which requires to own the contract, it would be vulnerable but not exploitable.

Exploited: A contract is exploited if it received a transaction on the main network which triggered one of its vulnerabilities. Therefore, a contract can be vulnerable or even exploitable without having been exploited.

Smort Contract		
Risk severity	Meaning	Security Audit
	This level vulner	abilities could be exploited easily, and can lead to asset loss, data
! Critical	loss, asset mani	pulation, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.
	This level vulner	abilities are hard to exploit but very important to fix, they carry an
! High	elevated risk of s	mart contract manipulation, which can lead to critical risk severity
This level vulnerabilities are should be fixed, as they can		abilities are should be fixed, as they carry an inherent risk of future
! Medium	exploits, and had	ks which may or may not impact the smart contract execution.
	This level vulne	rabilities can be ignored. They are code style violations, and
! Low	informational st	atements in the code. They may not affect the smart contract
	execution	



Smart Contract - Static Analysis

Symbol	Meaning
	Function can be modified
@s@	Function is payable
	Function is locked
	Function can be accessed
· ·	Important functionality

```
**IBEP20** | Interface | |||
L | decimals | External  | NO! |
L | symbol | External | | NO! |
   name | External 📒 |
L | getOwner | External | | NO! |
L | balanceOf | External | | NO! |
👢 | transfer | External 📒 | 🥌
                              | allowance | External ! |
L | approve | External 📘 | 🥌
                             |N0 |
👢 | transferFrom | External 📒 | 🥮 |NO 📙 |
**Context** | Implementation | |||
l | _msgSender | Internal 🗎 | | |
\lfloor \mid \rfloor msgData \mid  Internal \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mid 
<mark>**SafeMath**</mark> | Library |
  | add | Internal 🛍 |
   sub | Internal 🖴
   sub | Internal 🖺
   mul | Internal 🖴
   div | Internal 🖴
   div | Internal 🖴
   mod | Internal 🗎
   mod | Internal 🖴 |
  <mark>)wnable**</mark> | Implementation | Context |||
L | <Constructor> | Internal ← | ● | |
  | owner | Public | | NO! |
    renounceOwnership | Public ! | 🛑
```



```
transferOwnership | Public 📘 | 🤴 | onlyOwner |
 | _transferOwnership | Internal 🛍 | 🥌
<mark>⊯BEP20Token**</mark> | Implementation | Context, IBEP20, Ownable |||
  <Constructor> | Public ! | 🛑 |NO! |
   getOwner | External | | | NO
   decimals | External | | | NO! |
   symbol | External | | NO! |
  name | External | | |NO | |
  totalSupply | External | | |NO | |
   balanceOf | External | | NO! |
  | transfer | External 📒 | 🥌
📙 | approve | External 📒 | 🥌
                         |NO |
👢 | transferFrom | External 👢 | 🧁
|N0 ! |
  decreaseAllowance | Public 👢 | 🥌
  _transfer | Internal 🗎 | 🤎 | |
  _burn | Internal 🛍 | 🥌
   _approve | Internal 🛍 |
    _burnFrom | Internal 🔒
```

Smart Contract
Security Audit




Smart Contract - Software Analysis

Function Signatures

```
39509351 => increaseAllowance(address,uint256)
18160ddd => totalSupply()
313ce567 => decimals()
95d89b41 => symbol()
06fdde03 => name()
893d20e8 \Rightarrow get0wner()
70a08231 => balanceOf(address)
a9059cbb => transfer(address,uint256)
dd62ed3e => allowance(address,address)
095ea7b3 => approve(address,uint256)
23b872dd => transferFrom(address,address,uint256)
119df25f => msgSender()
8b49d47e => _msgData()
771602f7 => add(uint256,uint256)
b67d77c5 => sub(uint256,uint256)
e31bdc0a => sub(uint256,uint256,string)
c8a4ac9c => mul(uint256,uint256)
a391c15b => div(uint256,uint256)
b745d336 => div(uint256,uint256,string)
f43f523a => mod(uint256,uint256)
71af23e8 => mod(uint256,uint256,string)
8da5cb5b => owner()
715018a6 => renounceOwnership()
f2fde38b => transfer0wnership(address)
d29d44ee => transfer0wnership(address)
a457c2d7 => decreaseAllowance(address,uint256)
30e0789e => _transfer(address,address,uint256)
6161eb18 => _burn(address,uint256)
104e81ff => _approve(address,address,uint256)
a22b35ce => burnFrom(address.uint256)
```



<u>Inheritance Graph</u>





Smart Contract – Manual Analysis

Function	Description	Tested	Verdict
Total Supply	provides information about the total token	Yes	Passed
	supply		
Balance Of	provides account balance of the owner's	Yes	Passed
	account		
Transfer	executes transfers of a specified number of	Yes	Passed
114110101	tokens to a specified address	162	russeu
Approve	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of		Passed
Approve	tokens from a specified account	Yes	
Allowance	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to	Yes	Passed
	is an action in which the project buys back its		
Buy Back	tokens from the existing holders usually at a	NA	NA
,	market price north Comtract	NA.	NA
	executes transfers of a specified number of		
Burn	tokens to a burn address	NA	NA
Mint	executes creation of a specified number of		
Mint	tokens and adds it to the total supply	NA	NA
	circulating token supply adjusts (increases or		
Rebase	decreases) automatically according to a token's	NA	NA
	price fluctuations		
	stops specified wallets from interacting with the		
Blacklist	smart contract function modules	NA	NA
	stops or locks all function modules of the smart		
Lock	contract	NA	NA



Important Information

- Active Owner: 0x180339d10aa2ff4a8e583720f4a2f05ddfc976b6
- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to smart contract's safety and security.
- Owner can-not lock or burn user assets.
- Owner can-not mint tokens after launch.
- Owner can-not stop or pause the smart contract.
- CCOM's smart contract utilizes "SafeMath" function to avoid common smart contract vulnerabilities.

```
library SafeMath {
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    uint256 c = a + b;
    require(c >= a, 'SafeMath: addition overflow');

    return c;
}
function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return sub(a, b, 'SafeMath: subtraction overflow');
}
uint256 c = a * b;
    require(c / a == b, 'SafeMath: multiplication overflow');

    return c;
}
```



Smart Contract - SWC Attacks

SWC ID	Description	Verdict
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	! Low
swc-103	Floating Pragma	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	Passed
SWC-107	Re-entrancy Company of the Company o	Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation Smart Contract	Passed
swc-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed
SWC-112	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed



SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code)	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed



Smart Contract - Risk Status & Radar Chart

Risk Severity	Status	
! Critical	None critical severity issues identified	
! High	None high severity issues identified	
! Medium	None medium severity issues identified	
! Low	None low severity issues identified	
Passed	41 functions and instances verified and passed	
	Score out of 100	
	Compiler Check	
	Interface Safety 95 90 85 Static Analysis	
	Manual Analysis Software Analysis	
	Compiler Check 97	
	Static Analysis 92	

Software Analysis

Manual Analysis

Interface Safety

95

92

96



Auditor's Verdict

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of the smart contract. The smart contract was analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks.

CCOM's smart contract source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY.

CCOM has PASSED the smart contract audit.



Auditor's Note:

- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to smart contract's safety and security.
- Project's liquidity pair isn't checked and verified due to out of scope.
- Project website is not checked due to out of scope. The website hasn't been reviewed for SSL and lighthouse report.



Important Disclaimer

InterFi Network provides contract auditing and project verification services for blockchain projects. The purpose of the audit is to analyse the on-chain smart contract source code, and to provide basic overview of the project. This report should not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purposes without InterFi's prior written consent.

InterFi provides the easy-to-understand assessment of the project, and the smart contract (otherwise known as the source code). The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as an enough assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have used all the data at our disposal to provide the transparent analysis, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Be aware that smart contracts deployed on a blockchain aren't resistant from external vulnerability, or a hack. Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to smart contract's safety and security. Therefore, InterFi does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

This report should not be considered as an endorsement or disapproval of any project or team.

The information provided on this report does not constitute investment advice, financial advice, trading advice, or any other sort of advice and you should not treat any of the report's content as such. Do conduct your own due diligence and consult your financial advisor before making any investment decisions.



About InterFi Network

InterFi Network provides intelligent blockchain solutions. InterFi is developing an ecosystem that is seamless and responsive. Some of our services: Blockchain Security, Token Launchpad, NFT Marketplace, etc. InterFi's mission is to interconnect multiple services like Blockchain Security, DeFi, Gaming, and Marketplace under one ecosystem that is seamless, multi-chain compatible, scalable, secure, fast, responsive, and easy-to-use.

InterFi is built by a decentralized team of UI experts, contributors, engineers, and enthusiasts from all over the world. Our team currently consists of 6+ core team members, and 10+ casual contributors. InterFi provides manual, static, and automatic smart contract analysis, to ensure that project is checked against known attacks and potential vulnerabilities.

To learn more, visit https://interfi.network

To view our audit portfolio, visit https://github.com/interfinetwork

To book an audit, message https://t.me/interfiaudits





