

SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF KRIGGER



SMART CONTRACT AUDIT | SOLIDITY DEVELOPMENT & TESTING | PROJECT EVALUATION

RELENTLESSLY SECURING THE PUBLIC BLOCKCHAIN



Audit Introduction

Auditing Firm InterFi Network

Audit Architecture InterFi Echelon Auditing Standard

Language Solidity

Client Firm Krigger

Website https://kriggerofcrypto.fun/

Telegram https://t.me/kriggerofcrypto/

Twitter https://twitter.com/kriggerofcrypto/

Discord https://discord.com/invite/Tm7KVBBMjM/

Report Date January 23, 2022

About Krigger

Krigger of crypto is an NFT play to earn game. It has a dark medieval theme, with a fantastic magic fantasy graphic style.



Audit Summary

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of the smart contract. The smart contract was analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks. According to the smart contract audit:

- Krigger's solidity source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY
- Krigger's smart contract has an ACTIVE OWNERSHIP
- Important owner privileges BLACKLIST, SET LIQUIDITY POOL STATUS, BURN, CHANGE WHALES BLOCK
- Krigger's smart contract owner has multiple "Write Contract" privileges. Centralization risk correlated to the active owner is MEDIUM

Be aware that smart contracts deployed on the blockchain aren't resistant to internal exploit, external vulnerability, or hack. For a detailed understanding of risk severity, source code vulnerability, functional hack, and audit disclaimer, kindly refer to the audit.

- Token Contract address: 0x4180eec18dleDE2fa5A62a1985A185F448Eb3B98
- Blockchain: Binance Smart Chain
- Verify the authenticity of this report on InterFi's GitHub: https://github.com/interfinetwork



Table Of Contents

Audit Information

Audit Scope	4
Echelon Audit Standard	
Audit Methodology	6
Risk Classification	8
Smart Contract Risk Assessment	
Static Analysis	g
Software Analysis	11
Manual Analysis	13
SWC Attacks	17
Risk Status & Radar Chart	
<u>Audit Summary</u>	
Auditor's Verdict	20
<u>Legal Advisory</u>	
Important Disclaimer	21
About InterFi Network	22



Audit Scope

InterFi was consulted by Krigger to conduct the smart contract security audit of their solidity source code. The audit scope of work is strictly limited to the mentioned solidity file(s) only:

Krigger.sol

Solidity Source Code On Blockchain (Verified Contract Source Code)

https://bscscan.com/address/0x4180eec18d1eDE2fa5A62a1985A185F448Eb3B98#code

Contract Name: MyCoinToken

Compiler Version: v0.8.4

Optimization Enabled: No with 200 runs

Solidity Source Code On InterFi GitHub

https://github.com/interfinetwork/audited-codes/blob/main/Krigger.sol

SHA-1 Hash

Solidity source code is audited at hash #9f12f8255bfce2a03b586b37a2cd9256fd16c9b8



Audit Methodology

The scope of this report is to audit the smart contract source code of Krigger. InterFi has scanned the contract and reviewed the project for common vulnerabilities, exploits, hacks, and back-doors. Below is the list of commonly known smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and hacks:

Category

▼ Re-entrumely	•	-entrancy
----------------	---	-----------

- Unhandled Exceptions
- Transaction Order Dependency
- Integer Overflow
- Unrestricted Action
- Incorrect Inheritance Order
- Typographical Errors
- Requirement Violation
- Ownership Takeover
- Gas Limit and Loops
- Deployment Consistency
- Repository Consistency
- Data Consistency
- Token Supply Manipulation
- Access Control and Authorization
- Operations Trail and Event Generation
- Assets Manipulation
- Liquidity Access

Smart Contract Vulnerabilities

Source Code Review

Functional Assessment



InterFi's Echelon Audit Standard

The aim of InterFi's "Echelon" standard is to analyze the smart contract and identify the vulnerabilities and the hacks in the smart contract. Mentioned are the steps used by ECHELON-1 to assess the smart contract:

- Solidity smart contract source code reviewal:
 - Review of the specifications, sources, and instructions provided to InterFi to make sure we understand the size, scope, and functionality of the smart contract.
 - Manual review of code, which is the process of reading source code line-by-line to identify potential vulnerabilities.
- 2. Static, Manual, and Software analysis:
 - * Test coverage analysis is the process of determining whether the test cases are covering the code and how much code is exercised when we run those test cases.
 - Symbolic execution is analyzing a program to determine what inputs cause each part of a program to execute.
- 3. Best practices review, which is a review of the smart contracts to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarify, maintainability, security, and control based on the established industry and academic practices, recommendations, and research.
- 4. Specific, itemized, actionable recommendations to help you take steps to secure your smart contracts

Automated 3P frameworks used to assess the smart contract vulnerabilities

- Slither
- Consensys MythX, Mythril
- SWC Registry
- Solidity Coverage
- Open Zeppelin Code Analyzer
- Solidity Code Complier



Risk Classification

Smart contracts are generally designed to manipulate and hold funds denominated in ETH/BNB. This makes them very tempting attack targets, as a successful attack may allow the attacker to directly steal funds from the contract. Below are the typical risk levels of a smart contract:

Vulnerable: A contract is vulnerable if it has been flagged by a static analysis tool as such. As we will see later, this means that some contracts may be vulnerable because of a false positive.

Exploitable: A contract is exploitable if it is vulnerable and the vulnerability could be exploited by an external attacker. For example, if the "vulnerability" flagged by a tool is in a function that requires owning the contract, it would be vulnerable but not exploitable.

Exploited: A contract is exploited if it received a transaction on the main network which triggered one of its vulnerabilities. Therefore, a contract can be vulnerable or even exploitable without having been exploited.

SHOLL SOLUTION		
Risk severity	Meaning Security Audit	
	This level vulnerabilities could be exploited easily and can lead to asset loss,	
! High	data loss, asset, or data manipulation. They should be fixed right away.	
	This level vulnerabilities are hard to exploit but very important to fix, they carry	
! Medium	an elevated risk of smart contract manipulation, which can lead to high-risk	
	severity	
	This level vulnerabilities should be fixed, as they carry an inherent risk of future	
! Low	exploits, and hacks which may or may not impact the smart contract execution.	
	This level vulnerabilities can be ignored. They are code style violations and	
! Informational	informational statements in the code. They may not affect the smart contract	
	execution	



Static Analysis

Symbol Meaning Function can be modified Function is payable S Function is locked Function can be accessed Important functionality | **Context** | Implementation | ||| | **<mark>SafeMath</mark>** | Library | ||| | L | tryAdd | Internal 🗎 | | | | ^L | trySub | Internal [@] | | L | tryMul | Internal 🗎 | | L | tryDiv | Internal 🗎 | | L | tryMod | Internal 🗎 | | ^L | add | Internal [@] | | L | sub | Internal 🗎 | | L | mul | Internal 🔒 | | L | div | Internal 🔒 | | L | mod | Internal 🔒 | | L | sub | Internal 🔒 | | L | div | Internal 🔒 | | L | mod | Internal 🗎 | | **Ownable** | Implementation | Context ||| | └ | <Constructor> | Public ! | ● |NO! | | L | _onlyOwner | Private 🔒 | | | | L | _onlyDevs | Private 🔐 | | | | L | owner | Public ! | NO! | | └ | AddDev | Public ! | ● | onlyOwner | | L | RemoveDev | Public ! | Public ! | I onlyOwner | | L | transferOwnership | Public ! | • | onlyOwner |



| **Address** | Library | |||

```
| └ | sendValue | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
 └ | functionCall | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| L | functionCall | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
| L | functionCallWithValue | Internal 🗎 | 🕌
| L | functionCallWithValue | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| └ | functionStaticCall | Internal 🗎 | | |
| L | functionDelegateCall | Internal 🔒 | 🛑 | |
| L | verifyCallResult | Internal 🗎 | | |
| **IERC20** | Interface | |||
| L | totalSupply | External ! | NO! |
| L | balanceOf | External ! | NO! |
| L | transfer | External ! | 🛑 |NO! |
| L | allowance | External ! |
| L | approve | External ! | • | NO! |
| L | transferFrom | External ! | P | NO! |
| **ERC20** | Implementation | Context, IERC20 |||
| L | <Constructor> | Public ! | • | NO! |
| L | name | Public | | NO |
| L | symbol | Public ! | NO! |
| L | decimals | Public ! | NO! |
| L | totalSupply | Public ! | NO! |
| L | balanceOf | Public ! | NO! |
| L | transfer | Public ! | 🛑 |NO! |
| L | allowance | Public ! | NO! |
| L | approve | Public ! | • | NO! |
| L | transferFrom | Public ! | 🔎 |NO! |
| L | increaseAllowance | Public ! | • | NO! |
| L | decreaseAllowance | Public ! | •
                                 |NO ! |
| L | _transfer | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| L | _mint | Internal 🗎 | 🔎 | |
| L | approve | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| L | _burn | Internal 🗎 | 🔎 | |
| **MyCoinToken** | Implementation | ERC20, Ownable |||
| └ | <Constructor> | Public ! | ● | ERC20 |
| L | _transfer | Internal 🗎 | 🛑 | |
| L | changeWhalesBlock | Public ! | • | onlyOwner |
| L | setLiquidityPoolStatus | External ! | PoolyOwner |
| L | excludeFromFee | Public ! | 🔴 | onlyOwner |
| L | blacklistAddress | Public ! | • | onlyOwner |
| L | Burn | Public ! | Public ! |
| L | <Receive Ether> | External ! | 🚳 |NO! |
```



Software Analysis

Function Signatures

```
16279055 => isContract(address)
39509351 => increaseAllowance(address,uint256)
119df25f => msgSender()
8b49d47e \Rightarrow msqData()
884557bf => tryAdd(uint256,uint256)
a29962b1 => trySub(uint256,uint256)
6281efa4 => tryMul(uint256,uint256)
736ecb18 => tryDiv(uint256,uint256)
38dc0867 => tryMod(uint256,uint256)
771602f7 => add(uint256,uint256)
b67d77c5 \Rightarrow sub(uint256,uint256)
c8a4ac9c => mul(uint256,uint256)
a391c15b => div(uint256,uint256)
f43f523a => mod(uint256,uint256)
e31bdc0a => sub(uint256,uint256,string)
b745d336 => div(uint256,uint256,string)
71af23e8 => mod(uint256,uint256,string)
71dfb281 => only0wner()
7fd4d14d => onlyDevs()
fc201122 => _setOwner(address)
8da5cb5b => owner()
6cc9ca71 => AddDev(address)
45867a70 => RemoveDev(address)
f2fde38b => transfer0wnership(address)
24a084df => sendValue(address,uint256)
a0b5ffb0 => functionCall(address,bytes)
241b5886 => functionCall(address,bytes,string)
2a011594 => functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256)
d525ab8a => functionCallWithValue(address, bytes, uint256, string)
c21d36f3 => functionStaticCall(address,bytes)
dbc40fb9 => functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string)
ee33b7e2 => functionDelegateCall(address,bytes)
57387df0 => functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string)
946b5793 => verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string)
18160ddd => totalSupply()
70a08231 => balanceOf(address)
a9059cbb => transfer(address,uint256)
dd62ed3e => allowance(address,address)
095ea7b3 => approve(address,uint256)
23b872dd => transferFrom(address,address,uint256)
06fdde03 \Rightarrow name()
95d89b41 => symbol()
313ce567 => decimals()
a457c2d7 => decreaseAllowance(address,uint256)
30e0789e => transfer(address,address,uint256)
```



4e6ec247 => _mint(address,uint256)

104e81ff => _approve(address,address,uint256)

6161eb18 => _burn(address,uint256)


cad3be83 => _beforeTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256)
8f811a1c => _afterTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256)

f3600deb => changeWhalesBlock(bool,uint256)
c89c58fb => setLiquidityPoolStatus(address,bool)

df8408fe => excludeFromFee(address,bool)
455a4396 => blacklistAddress(address,bool)

b90306ad => Burn(uint256)

Inheritance Graph



Smart Contract Security Audit



Manual Analysis

Function	Description	Tested	Verdict
Total Supply	provides information about the total token		
	supply	Yes	Passed
Dalama a Of	provides account balance of the owner's		Passed
Balance Of	account	Yes	
Tunnafan	executes transfers of a specified number of		Passed
Transfer	tokens to a specified address	Yes	
_	allow a spender to withdraw a set number of		Passed
Approve	tokens from a specified account	Yes	
	returns a set number of tokens from a spender to		Passed
Allowance	the owner	Yes	
	is an action in which the project buys back its		
Buy Back	tokens from the existing holders usually at a	NA	NA
	market price market Contract		
	executes transfers of a specified number of		
Burn	tokens to a burn address	Yes	Passed
	functionality to limit the number of transactions		
Cooldown Timer	that a wallet can make within 24-hours		! Low
	circulating token supply adjusts (increases or		
Rebase	decreases) automatically according to a token's	NA	NA
	price fluctuations		
	stops specified wallets from interacting with the		
Blacklist	smart contract function modules	Yes	! Low
	stops or locks all function modules of the smart		
Lock	contract	NA	NA



Function	Description	Tested	Verdict
Dividend	executes transfers of a specified dividend token to a specified address	NA	NA
Airdrop	executes transfers of a specified number of tokens to a specified address	NA	NA
Max Transaction	a non-whitelisted wallet can only transfer a specified number of tokens	NA	NA
Max Wallet	a non-whitelisted wallet can only hold a specified number of tokens	NA	NA
Anti Bot	stops some or all bot wallets from interacting with the smart contract	NA	NA
Anti Snipe	prevents bots from making transaction at "addLiquidity" block	NA	NA
Transfer Ownership	executes transfer of contract ownership to a specified wallet	Yes	Passed
Renounce	executes transfer of contract ownership to a	Yes	Passed
Ownership	dead address		



Best Practices V

- Owner cannot stop or pause the smart contract.
- Owner cannot lock or burn the user assets.
- The smart contract utilizes "SafeMath" function to avoid common smart contract vulnerabilities.

```
string private _name = "Krigger";
library SafeMath {
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    uint256 c = a + b;
    require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");

function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return sub(a, b, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");

    uint256 c = a * b;
    require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");

    return c;

function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
        return div(a, b, "SafeMath: division by zero");

function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
        return mod(a, b, "SafeMath: modulo by zero");
}
```

Note 4

- Active smart contract owner: 0xaf453c65665912ab2fdaf4f8bdf3efc675722917
- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact to smart contract safety and security.
- Smart contract owner can blacklist certain wallets from interacting with the contract function modules.
- Smart contract owner can change set liquidity pool status. Mint should be used only onetime to mint tokens to the specified wallets.

```
function setLiquidityPoolStatus(address _lpAddress, bool _status) external onlyOwner {
PAGE 15 | SMART CONTRACT SECURITY AUDIT OF KRIGGER
```



```
liquidityPool[_lpAddress] = _status;
emit changeLiquidityPoolStatus(_lpAddress, _status);
}
```

Smart contract owner can block whales from interacting.

Interfi

Smart Contract
Security Audit



SWC Attacks

SWC ID	Description	Verdict
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	! Low
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELF-DESTRUCT Instruction	Passed
SWC-107	Re-entrancy	Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation Smort Contract	Passed
swc-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed
SWC-112	Delegate Call to Untrusted Callee	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed



SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with the hardcoded gas amount	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects (Irrelevant/Dead Code)	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed



Risk Status & Radar Chart

Risk Severity	Status
!!! High	No high severity issues identified
!! Medium	No medium severity issues identified
! Low	2 low severity issues identified
	Please Review Report
Informational	2 informational severity issues identified
	 Active Ownership
	 Outdated Compiler
Verified	54 functions and instances verified and checked
	Score out of 100
	Sec Compiler Check 10 1
	95
	Interface Safety 85 Static Analysis

Manual Analysis

Software Analysis



Auditor's Verdict

InterFi team has performed a line-by-line manual analysis and automated review of the smart contract. The smart contract was analyzed mainly for common smart contract vulnerabilities, exploits, and manipulation hacks.

- Krigger's smart contract source code has LOW RISK SEVERITY
- Krigger's smart contract has an ACTIVE OWNERSHIP
- Krigger's smart contract owner has multiple "Write Contract" privileges. Centralization risk correlated to the active owner is MEDIUM



Note for stakeholders

- Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security.
- Make sure that the project team's KYC/identity is verified by an independent firm.
- Always check if the contract's liquidity is locked. A longer liquidity lock plays an important role in the project's longevity. It is recommended to have multiple liquidity providers.
- Examine the unlocked token supply in the owner, developer, or team's private wallets. Understand the project's tokenomics, and make sure the tokens outside of the LP Pair are vested or locked for a longer period.
- Ensure that the project's official website is hosted on a trusted platform, and is using an active SSL certificate. The website's domain should be registered for a longer period.



Important Disclaimer

InterFi Network provides contract development, testing, auditing and project evaluation services for blockchain projects. The purpose of the audit is to analyze the on-chain smart contract source code and to provide a basic overview of the project. **This report should not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to, or relied upon by any person for any purpose without InterFi's prior written consent.**

InterFi provides the easy-to-understand assessment of the project, and the smart contract (otherwise known as the source code). The audit makes no statements or warranties on the security of the code. It also cannot be considered as enough assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bug-free status, or any other statements of the contract. While we have used all the data at our disposal to provide the transparent analysis, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only — we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure the security of smart contracts. Be aware that smart contracts deployed on a blockchain aren't resistant to external vulnerability, or a hack. Be aware that active smart contract owner privileges constitute an elevated impact on smart contract safety and security. Therefore, InterFi does not guarantee the explicit security of the audited smart contract.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

This report should not be considered as an endorsement or disapproval of any project or team.

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment advice, financial advice, trading advice, or any other sort of advice and you should not treat any of the report's content as such. Do conduct your due diligence and consult your financial advisor before making any investment decisions.



About InterFi Network

InterFi Network provides intelligent blockchain solutions. InterFi is developing an ecosystem that is seamless and responsive. Some of our services: Blockchain Security, Token Launchpad, NFT Marketplace, etc. InterFi's mission is to interconnect multiple services like Blockchain Security, DeFi, Gaming, and Marketplace under one ecosystem that is seamless, multi-chain compatible, scalable, secure, fast, responsive, and easy to use.

InterFi is built by a decentralized team of UI experts, contributors, engineers, and enthusiasts from all over the world. Our team currently consists of 6+ core team members, and 10+ casual contributors. InterFi provides manual, static, and automatic smart contract analysis, to ensure that project is checked against known attacks and potential vulnerabilities.

To learn more, visit https://interfi.network

To view our audit portfolio, visit https://github.com/interfinetwork

To book an audit, message https://t.me/interfiaudits



