

## School of Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence


**Lab Assignment # 3.2**

Program : B. Tech (CSE)  
Specialization :  
Course Title : AI Assisted coding  
Course Code :  
Semester II  
Academic Session : 2025-2026  
Name of Student : Kattherapaka Dhanush  
Enrollment No. : 2403A51L32  
Batch No. 52  
Date : 13-01-2026

## Task – 1

Prompt : Create a calculator function that performs addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.

Include error handling for division by zero.



The screenshot shows a code editor window with a dark theme. The code is a Python function named `calculator` that takes three parameters: `a`, `b`, and `operator`. The function documentation states it performs basic arithmetic operations and provides an example of adding 10 and 5. It handles four operators: addition (+), subtraction (-), multiplication (\*), and division (/). For division, it checks if the denominator `b` is zero and returns an error message. Otherwise, it returns the result of the operation. An example usage at the bottom demonstrates multiplying 10 and 5.

```
[3] ✓ 0s def calculator(a, b, operator):
    """
    Performs basic arithmetic operations.
    Example: calculator(10, 5, '+') returns 15
    """
    if operator == '+':
        return a + b
    elif operator == '-':
        return a - b
    elif operator == '*':
        return a * b
    elif operator == '/':
        if b == 0:
            return "Error: Division by zero"
        return a / b
    else:
        return "Invalid operator"

    # Example usage
    print(calculator(10, 5, '*'))
```

## Explanation

In this task, the goal was to understand how **progressively improving a prompt** affects the quality of AI-generated code.

- When a **minimal prompt** was used, the AI generated a very basic calculator function with limited operations and no error handling.
- Adding **comments and context** helped the AI understand the expected functionality, resulting in support for more arithmetic operations.
- Including **constraints and usage examples** further improved the code by adding proper error handling, documentation, and clearer structure.

Output:

```
# Example usage
print(calculator(10, 5, '*'))

...
50
```

## Task – 2

Prompt: Write a Python function to sort student marks in descending order. Marks should be integers between 0 and 100.

```
[4]  ✓ 0s  ⏪  def sort_marks(marks):
    valid_marks = [m for m in marks if 0 <= m <= 100]
    return sorted(valid_marks, reverse=True)
```

## Explanation

This task focused on improving AI output by **refining vague prompts into specific ones**.

- A vague prompt resulted in a basic sorting function without a defined order or validation.
- When sorting order and constraints (valid mark range) were explicitly mentioned, the AI produced a more accurate and meaningful solution.
- The refined prompt led to better logic, including filtering invalid values and sorting in the correct order.

Output:

```
[5] ✓ Os
    print(sort_marks([78, 95, 120, 67, -5]))
[95, 78, 67]
```

Task– 3

Prompt:

Create a Python function `is_prime(n)` that checks whether a given number is prime.

Examples: `is_prime(2)`

→ True `is_prime(3)` →

True `is_prime(4)` →

False `is_prime(17)` →

True `is_prime(1)` →

False `is_prime(0)` →

False


```
[6] ✓ Os
    def is_prime(n):
        if n <= 1:
            return False
        for i in range(2, int(n ** 0.5) + 1):
            if n % i == 0:
                return False
        return True
```

## Explanation

Few-shot prompting involves providing **example inputs and expected outputs** along with the prompt.

- By including multiple examples, the AI clearly understood how to handle edge cases such as 0 and 1.
- The generated code correctly implemented an optimized prime-checking algorithm.
- Compared to zero-shot prompting, few-shot prompting significantly improved correctness and efficiency

Output:



The screenshot shows a Jupyter Notebook cell with the following content:

```
[7] ✓ 0s
▶ print(is_prime(17))
print(is_prime(1))


...
True
False
```

The cell has a play button icon and a status bar indicating 0s. The output section shows the results of the two print statements. A vertical scroll bar is visible on the right side of the cell area.

Task-4 Prompt:

Create a simple Python-based user interface for a student grading system. The program should:

- Ask the user to enter marks for 5 subjects
- Calculate total marks
- Calculate percentage
- Display grade based on percentage



```
[8] 52s
marks = []
for i in range(5):
    m = int(input(f"Enter marks for subject {i+1}: "))
    marks.append(m)

total = sum(marks)
percentage = total / 5

if percentage >= 90:
    grade = 'A'
elif percentage >= 75:
    grade = 'B'
elif percentage >= 60:
    grade = 'C'
else:
    grade = 'D'
```

## Explanation

This task demonstrated how a **structured and detailed prompt** can guide AI to create a complete user-interface-based program.

The prompt clearly specified user input, calculations, and output requirements.

As a result, the AI generated a well-structured program that calculates total marks, percentage, and grade.

The code followed a logical flow, making it easy to understand and user-friendly.

Output:

```
print("Total Marks:", total)
print("Percentage:", percentage)
print("Grade:", grade)
```

```
↙   ... Enter marks for subject 1: 10
    Enter marks for subject 2: 20
    Enter marks for subject 3: 30
    Enter marks for subject 4: 40
    Enter marks for subject 5: 50
    Total Marks: 150
    Percentage: 30.0
    Grade: D
```

### Task – 5:

Prompt:

Create two Python functions:

1. Convert kilometers to miles
2. Convert miles to kilometers

Use accurate conversion formulas and return the result.

```
[9]
✓ 0s
def km_to_miles(km):
    return km * 0.621371

def miles_to_km(miles):
    return miles / 0.621371
```

### Explanation

This task analyzed how **prompt specificity affects accuracy and code quality**.

A vague prompt produced an unclear and inaccurate conversion function.

A more specific prompt resulted in correct and separate functions for each unit conversion.

Explicit instructions ensured the use of correct formulas and meaningful function names.

Output:

```
[10] ✓ 0s
print(km_to_miles(10))
print(miles_to_km(6.2))

▼
6.21371
9.977935886933894
```

## Conclusion

Across all tasks, it was observed that:

- AI performance improves with **clear, structured, and specific prompts**.
- Adding comments, constraints, and examples significantly enhances output quality.
- Prompt engineering is a critical skill for effective AI-assisted programming.