BE No 24251 78 : LARA St 30.1.80 P10/72 1880 Girur Con a suference received ander sechen 5AC2) extle S.A, the District Registrator Malapparson the Board in it proceedings seed as second paper above had held that doct Mr. PIU PT of SRD Dissur was a gift deed falling smown Bot 31 who The Board earlier held the view that Sence claimant could not be said to be dependents- ythe settler, the dwel-had to be breales as a Guft The earlier Stand taken by the Board does not approve to be correct. As for Scohen Lg(11) of the K. SA, disposal of forfulny among Alfors fremily so for those for whom the settles discres to provide or for the fourpose of providing to some grosen dependent en him is settlement. Asoviding for dypendent is only were when three coller nations. Dependiney is not necessary testhe There two alwinatives on this case the settles is giving proposlus to shere grand children Desire to generale for good children is anduntandale and acaptable - So this duet has to be Greales as a settlement

The Board's forcedings read as second fapor above is withdrawn.