3/18/84

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE (Public Office Building, Museum Junction, Trivandrum - 33)

(Present: Shri.Sayan Chatterjee, IAS)

No:LR.A3-36/2004.

Dated: 20.8.2004.

Sub:- Kerala Stamp Act - Revision Petition filed by Smt.Bhargavy against the order of the District Registrar (G), Alappuzha on impounded document No.P1/02 of the SRO, Puthanambalam. - reg:

- Ref:- 1) Revision Petition dated: 12.2003 filed by Smt.Bhargavy.
 - 2) Rep.No.RR4.4094/04 dated 21.4.2004 of the Inspector General of Registration, Thiruvananthapuram.

Petitioner : Smt.Bhargavy.

Respondent : The District Registrar (G), Alappuzha.

This is a revision petation filed by Smt.

Bhargavy, W/o Sri.Padmanabhan against the order No. INS32520/02 dated 9.1.2003 of the District Registrar (G)

Alappuzha ordexing to realise the deficit stamp duty of &.12675/- and penalty of &. 10/- oran impounded document No.P1/02 of the Sub Registrar, Office, Puthanambalam.

The document under reference has been styled as a partition deed for &. 1,86,000/- with separated share worth &. 1,18,500/-. An amount of &. 5925/- has been paid as stamp duty.

The Sub Registrar, Puthanambalam impounded and forwarded the document to the District Registrar (Caneral) Alappuzha on the ground that it is a sale deed for &.1,86,000/-. The District Registrar (G) Alappuzha in her proceedings No.INS 3-2520/02 dated 9.1.2003 has found that the impounded document is a sale deed and therefore the executants were directed to pay the deficit stamp duty of &. 12,675/- and penalty of &. 10/-. Against this order the present petition has been filed.

The main contention of the petitioner is that the property involved in the partition deed came into their possession after the death of her husband hence they have co-ownership over the properties. The petitioner has prayed that the impounded document may be considered as a partition deed and it may be released after the completions of registration.

The Inspector General of Registration is of the opinion that the impounded document is a partition deed under section 2 (K) of the Kerala Stamp Act and the required stamp duty for the instrument has been paid in this case as per article 42 (1) of the Kerala Stamp Act.

On persusal of the impounded document it is seen that the document has been executed by Smt.Bhargavy, W/o late Padmanabhan and her children. The property involved in the partition deed is possessed by the executants as per the document No.1959/1956 and some properties came in to their possession after the death of Sri.Padmanabhan husband of Smt.Bhargavy.

As per section 2 (K) of the Kerala Stamp Act, instrument of partition means any instrument whereby co-owners of any property divide or agree go divide such property in severality. Here the executants mother and children have co-ownership over the properties. Therefore the impounded document No.P1/02 of the SRO, Puthanambalam is to be considered as a partition deed falling under article 42(1) of the KSA.

The case stood posted for hearing on 19.8.2004.

The appellant was heard. It was argued that the property involved in the partition deed came to their possession after the death of her husband and hence they have co-ownership over the properties.

The records were perused and it was found that the impounded document has to be treated as a partition deed and stampd uty has to be realised accordingly.

Sd/-Commissioner.

To

- 1. Emt. Bhargavy, W/O Sii. Padmahabhan Ananthamanthiram, Varanammeri, Thanneermukkam Vadakku Village Kannankhara Post, Alappuzha Post.
- 2. The District Registrar (G), Alappuzha.
- 5. The Sub Registracy, Futbhenambalam, Alappuzha District.
- 4. The Inspector General of Registration, Trivendrum.
- 5. Stock file/ Spare (2).

Forwarded / By Order

Superintendent.

Re

t12.