Log

Register Subscribe

Claim

Advanced **Articles Publish** About Contact Search for... Q search RESEARCH ARTICLE | VOLUME 65, ISSUE 2, P180-186, AUGUST 2009 Purchase Subscribe Reprints Request Differentiation between malignancy and inflammation in pulmonary ground-glass nodules: The feasibility of integrated ¹⁸F-FI PET/CT Eun Ju Chun • Hyun Ju Lee
△ □ • Won Jun Kang • ... Jin Mo Goo • Chang Min Park • Chang Hyun Lee • Show all authors

Abstract

Keywords

Abstract

Published: January 20, 2009 •

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2008.11.015/

Background

Reference ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has been used to ADVERTISEMENT

PlumX Metrics

S

Article info

Related Articles differentiate malignant solid lung nodules from benign nodules. We assess the feasibility of integrated ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT for the differentiation of malignancy from inflammation manifested as ground-glass nodules (GGNs) on chest CT.

Methods

A total of 68 GGNs in 45 patients (M:F = 24:21; mean age, 61) fulfilled the following criteria: (a) nodules composed of ≥50% ground-glass opacity, (b) patients who underwent integrated PET/CT within 1 week following dedicated chest CT, (c) definitive diagnosis determined by pathological specimen or at least 9 months of follow-up, and (d) lesions ≥10 mm in diameter. 36 malignant GGNs were pathologically proved as adenocarcinoma (n = 20), bronchioloalveolar carcinoma (n = 11), low-grade lymphoma (n = 3), metastatic mucinous adenocarcinoma (n = 1) and unknown low-grade malignancy (n = 1). 32 inflammatory GGNs were confirmed as pneumonic infiltration as they had disappeared on follow-up CT and were associated with compatible clinical features (n =

26) or as chronic inflammation with fibrosis by VATS biopsy (n = 6). Using CT density histogram analysis, 14 were classified as pure GGNs and 54 as part-solid nodules. Integrated PET/CT was evaluated by measuring the maximum standardized uptake value (SUV) at the region of interest located at each lesion. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare the SUV of malignancy and inflammation. The optimal cut-off value of SUV to differentiate malignancy from inflammation was determined using a receiver operating characteristic-based positive test. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and positive predictive values (PPV) and negative predictive values (NPV) were calculated at the level of the optimal cut-off value. SUV showing 100% PPV for inflammatory GGNs was evaluated.

Results

In part-solid nodules, the maximum SUV was significantly higher in inflammation (2.00 \pm 1.18; range, 0.48–5.60) than in malignancy (1.26 \pm 0.71; range, 0.32–2.6) (P = 0.018). On the other hand, in pure GGNs, the

maximum SUV of malignancy (0.64 ± 0.19; range, 0.43–0.96) and inflammation (0.74 ± 0.28; range, 0.32–1.00) showed no difference (*P* = 0.37). Using the optimal cut-off value of SUV as 1.2 (*P* = 0.01) sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, PPV and NPV in part-solid nodules were 62.1%, 80.0%, 70.4%, 78.3% and 64.5%, respectively. Six part-solid nodules, which showed a maximum SUV of higher than 2.6, were all inflammations.

Conclusion

The part-solid nodules with positive FDG-PET could be inflammatory nodules rather than malignant nodules. This is a quite paradoxical result when considering the basic knowledge that malignant pulmonary nodules have higher glucose metabolism.

Keywords

Ground-glass nodule

Ground-glass opacity GGN GGO

Solitary pulmonary nodules PET/CT

18F-FDG

To read this article in full you will need to make a payment

ESMO Member Login

Login with your ESMO username and password.

► One-time access price info

Subscribe:

Subscribe to Lung Cancer

Purchase one-time access:

Academic & Personal: 24 hour online access

Corporate R&D Professionals: 24 hour online access

Already a print subscriber? Claim online access

Already an online subscriber? Sign in

Register: Create an account

Institutional Access: Sign in to

ScienceDirect

References

Gould M.K. Maclean C.C.
 Kuschner W.G. Rydzak C.E.
 Owens D.K.

Accuracy of positron emission tomography for diagnosis of pulmonary nodules and mass lesions: a meta-analysis.

JAMA. 2001; 285: 914-924

View in Article

Scopus (1022) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

2. Kim S.K. • Allen-Auerbach M. • Goldin J. • Fueger B.J. • Dahlbom M. • Brown M. • et al. Accuracy of PET/CT in characterization of solitary pulmonary lesions.

J Nucl Med. 2007; 48: 214-220

View in Article PubMed Google Scholar

3. Kubota K.

Changing pattern of lung cancer and its imaging: (201)TI SPECT versus [(18)F]FDG PET.

J Nucl Med. 2001; 42: 1497-1498

View in Article PubMed Google Scholar

4. Henschke C.I. Yankelevitz D.F.

Mirtcheva R. McGuinness G.

McCauley D. Miettinen O.S.

ELCAP Group

CT screening for lung cancer: frequency and significance of part-solid and nonsolid nodules.

AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2002; **178**: 1053-1057

View in Article \(\triangle \)

Scopus (816) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

5. Kim B.T. Kim Y. Lee K.S.

Yoon S.B. Cheon E.M.

Kwon O.J. et al.

Localized form of

bronchioloalveolar carcinoma:

FDG PET findings.

AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998; **170**:

935-939

View in Article

Scopus (149) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

Chang J.M. Lee H.J.
 Goo J.M. Lee H.Y. Lee J.J.
 Chung J.K. et al.
 False positive and false
 negative FDG-PET scans in

Korean J Radiol. 2006; 7: 57-69

various thoracic diseases.

View in Article

Scopus (254) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

7. Kim T.J. • Lee K.W. • Kim H.Y. • Lee J.H. • Kim E.A. • Kim S.K. • et al.

Simple pulmonary eosinophilia evaluated by means of FDG PET: the findings of 14 cases. *Korean J Radiol.* 2005; **6**: 208-213

View in Article

Scopus (14) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

8. Nomori H. Ohtsuka T. Naruke T. Suemasu K. Histogram analysis of computed tomography numbers of clinical T1 N0 M0 lung adenocarcinoma, with

special reference to lymph node metastasis and tumor invasiveness.

J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2003;

126: 1584-1589

View in Article

Scopus (38) PubMed Abstract

Full Text • Full Text PDF •

Google Scholar

9. Nomori H. Watanabe K.

Ohtsuka T. Naruke T.

Suemasu K. Uno K.

Evaluation of F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET scanning for pulmonary nodules less than 3 cm in diameter, with special reference to the CT images.

Lung Cancer. 2004; 45: 19-27

View in Article \rightarrow

Scopus (292) • PubMed • Abstract •

Full Text Full Text PDF

Google Scholar

10. Patz Jr., E.F. Lowe V.J.

Hoffman J.M. Paine S.S.

Burrowes P. Coleman R.E.

et al.

Focal pulmonary abnormalities: evaluation with F-18 fluorodeoxyglucose PET scanning.

Radiology. 1993; 188: 487-490

View in Article

PubMed • Google Scholar

11. Coleman R.E.

PET in lung cancer.

J Nucl Med. 1999; 40: 814-820

View in Article

PubMed • Google Scholar

12. Hashimoto Y. Tsujikawa T.

Kondo C. Maki M.

Momose M. Nagai A. et al.

Accuracy of PET for diagnosis of solid pulmonary lesions with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake below the standardized uptake value of 2.5.

J Nucl Med. 2006; 47: 426-431

View in Article

PubMed Google Scholar

13. Lowe V.J. • Fletcher J.W. •

Gobar L. Lawson M.

Kirchner P. Valk P. et al.

Prospective investigation of positron emission tomography in lung nodules.

J Clin Oncol. 1998; **16**: 1075-1084

View in Article \(\triangle \)

Scopus (521) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

14. Jones H.A. Clark R.J.

Rhodes C.G. Schofield J.B.

Krausz T. Haslett C. et al.

In vivo measurement of neutrophil activity in experimental lung inflammation.

Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;

149: 1635-1639

View in Article

Scopus (184) • PubMed • Crossref •

Google Scholar

15. Imdahl A. Jenkner S. Brink I.

Nitzsche E. Stoelben E.

Moser E. et al.

Validation of FDG positron emission tomography for

differentiation of unknown pulmonary lesions.

Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2001;

20: 324-329

View in Article

Scopus (56) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

16. Deichen J.T. Prante O.

Gack M. Schmiedehausen K.

Kuwert T.

Uptake of

[¹⁸F]fluorodeoxyglucose in human monocyte-macrophages in vitro.

Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.

2003; **30**: 267-273

View in Article \(\triangle \)

Scopus (118) • PubMed • Crossref •

Google Scholar

17. Higashi K. • Ueda Y. • Seki H. •

Yuasa K. Oguchi M.

Noguchi T. et al.

Fluorine-18-FDG PET imaging is negative in bronchioloalveolar lung carcinoma.

J Nucl Med. 1998; 39: 1016-1020

View in Article PubMed Google Scholar

18. Kuriyama K. • Seto M. •

Kasugai T. Higashiyama M.

Kido S. Sawai Y. et al.

Ground-glass opacity on thinsection CT: value in differentiating subtypes of adenocarcinoma of the lung.

AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1999; **173**: 465-469

View in Article

Scopus (203) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

19. Berger K.L. • Nicholson S.A. • Dehdashti F. • Siegel B.A.

FDG PET evaluation of mucinous neoplasms:

correlation of FDG uptake with histopathologic features.

AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2000; **174**: 1005-1008

View in Article

Scopus (297) • PubMed • Crossref •

Google Scholar

20. Koss M.N. Hochholzer L. Nichols P.W. Wehunt W.D. Lazarus A.A.

Primary non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and pseudolymphoma of lung: a study of 161 patients.

Hum Pathol. 1983; 14: 1024-1038

View in Article

Scopus (182) PubMed Abstract

Full Text PDF Google Scholar

21. Dewan N.A. Gupta N.C. Redepenning L.S. Phalen J.J. Frick M.P.

Diagnostic efficacy of PET-FDG imaging in solitary pulmonary nodules. Potential role in evaluation and management.

Chest. 1993; 104: 997-1002

View in Article

Scopus (341) • PubMed • Crossref •

Google Scholar

22. Townsend D.W. • Carney J.P. • Yap J.T. • Hall N.C.

PET/CT today and tomorrow.

J Nucl Med. 2004; 45: 4S-14S

View in Article
PubMed • Google Scholar

23. Lee H.J. Goo J.M. Lee C.H.
Yoo C.G. Kim Y.T. Im J.G.
Nodular ground-glass opacities
on thin-section CT: size change
during follow-up and
pathological results.

Korean J Radiol. 2007; 8: 22-31

View in Article

Scopus (100) PubMed Crossref

Google Scholar

24. Zhuang H. Pourdehnad M. Lambright E.S. Yamamoto A.J. Lanuti M. Li P. et al. Dual time point ¹⁸F-FDG PET imaging for differentiating malignant from inflammatory processes.

J Nucl Med. 2001; 42: 1412-1417

View in Article PubMed Google Scholar

Article info

Publication history

Published online: January 20, 2009

Accepted: November 20, 2008

Received in revised form: November

17, 2008

Received: October 6, 2008

Identification

DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2008

Copyright

© 2008 Elsevier Ireland Ltd.
Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

ScienceDirect

Access this article on ScienceDirect

Related Articles

Joint use of the radiomics method and frozen sections should be considered in the prediction of the final classification of peripheral lung adenocarcinoma manifesting as ground-glass nodules

Wang et al.

Lung Cancer, November 14, 2019

Preview • Full-Text • PDF

The additional diagnostic value of virtual bronchoscopy navigation in patients with pulmonary nodules – The NAVIGATOR study Hiddinga et al.

Lung Cancer, January 23, 2023

Preview • Full-Text • PDF

Open Access

Imaging features of TSCT predict the classification of pulmonary preinvasive lesion, minimally and invasive adenocarcinoma presented as ground glass nodules Liu et al.

Lung Cancer, March 27, 2017

Preview • Full-Text • PDF

Tumor autoantibodies (TAAs) panel can improve the accuracy of early diagnosis in lung cancer presenting with ground-glass nodules (GGNs) in Chinese population
He et al.

Lung Cancer, February 9, 2018

Preview • Full-Text • PDF

Induction and preliminary characterization of neoplastic pulmonary nodules in a transgenic pig model

Ghosn et al.

Lung Cancer, February 21, 2023

Preview • Full-Text • PDF

View full text

Home

Access for Developing Countries

ARTICLES AND ISSUES

Articles in Press

Current Issue

List of Issues

FOR AUTHORS

About Open Access

Author Information

Permissions

Researcher Academy

Submit a Manuscript

JOURNAL INFO

About Open Access

About the Journal Abstracting/Indexing Information for Advertisers **Career Opportunities** Contact Information **Editorial Board Pricing New Content Alerts SUBSCRIBE SOCIETY INFO** European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) European Thoracic Oncology Platform (ETOP) and International Breast Cancer Study Group (IBCSG) Partners Foundation British Thoracic Oncology Group (BTOG) **MORE PERIODICALS** Find a Periodical Go to Product Catalog The content on this site is intended for healthcare professionals.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. To update your cookie settings, please visit the **Cookie settings I Your Privacy Choices** for this site.

All content on this site: Copyright © 2024 Elsevier Inc., its licensors, and contributors.

All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

For all open access content, the Creative Commons licensing terms apply.

Privacy Policy Terms and Conditions Accessibility Help & Contact

