

Lecture Notes
on
Theory of Automata and Formal Languages

Unit-3

Dharmendra Kumar
(Associate Professor)
United College of Engineering and Research, Prayagraj

May 10, 2023

Contents

1	Context Free Grammar (CFG)	5
1.1	Definition	5
1.2	Derivation Tree	5
1.3	Left Most Derivation	5
1.4	Right Most Derivation	5
1.5	Some Examples	6
1.6	Ambiguity in Grammar and Language	6
1.7	Inherent Ambiguity	7
1.8	Simplification of Context Free Grammar	7
1.8.1	Active Variable	7
1.8.2	Reachable symbols	7
1.8.3	Useful Variable	7
1.8.4	Construction a Grammar in which all the variables are active (Elimination of Non-Active Variables from a Grammar)	7
1.8.5	Construction a Grammar in which all the symbols are reachable (Elimination of Non-Reachable Symbols from a Grammar)	8
1.8.6	Construction of Reduced Grammar	9
1.9	Elimination of null productions	9
1.10	Elimination of Unit Productions	10
1.11	Chomosky Normal Form (CNF)	10
1.11.1	Definition	10
1.11.2	Reduction into Chomosky Normal Form	10
1.12	Greibach Normal Form (GNF)	11
1.12.1	Definition	11
1.12.2	Lemma-1	11
1.12.3	Lemma-2	11
1.12.4	Reduction to Greibach Normal Form	12
1.13	Exercise	12
1.14	Closure Properties of Context Free Languages	13
1.15	Pumping Lemma for Context Free Languages	14
1.16	Exercise	15
1.17	Decision Properties of Regular and Context Free Languages	15
1.18	AKTU Examination Questions	16

Chapter 1

Context Free Grammar (CFG)

1.1 Definition

A grammar is said to be context free grammar if all the production rules of the grammar are of the following form:-

$$A \rightarrow \alpha \text{ where } \alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^* \text{ and } A \in V$$

1.2 Derivation Tree

A tree is said to be derivation tree if it satisfies the following properties:-

1. All the nodes of the tree are labeled by variable, terminal or ϵ symbol.
2. The root node of the tree has labeled S (Starting symbol of the grammar).
3. All the internal nodes have labeled variable symbol.
4. All the leaf nodes have labeled terminal symbol or ϵ symbol.
5. If $A \rightarrow X_1X_2\ldots X_n$ be a production rule used in the derivation of the string, then in the tree, A will be at the parent node and X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n will be at the children of this node A.

1.3 Left Most Derivation

A derivation $A \xRightarrow{*} w$ is said to be left most derivation if we apply the production rule in the derivation at the left most variable in every step.

1.4 Right Most Derivation

A derivation $A \xRightarrow{*} w$ is said to be right most derivation if we apply the production rule in the derivation at the right most variable in every step.

1.5 Some Examples

Example: Consider the following grammar

$$S \rightarrow 0B/1A$$

$$A \rightarrow 0/0S/1AA$$

$$B \rightarrow 1/1S/0BB$$

For the string 00110101, find the left most derivation, right most derivation and derivation tree.

Example: Consider the following grammar

$$S \rightarrow AA$$

$$A \rightarrow a/bA/Ab/AAA$$

Find parse tree for the string bbaaaab.

Example: Consider the following grammar

$$S \rightarrow aAS/a$$

$$A \rightarrow SbA/SS/ba$$

Find derivation tree for the string aabbbaa.

1.6 Ambiguity in Grammar and Language

Ambiguous String

A string $w \in L(G)$ is said to be ambiguous string if there exists more than one derivation for the string.

Ambiguous Grammar

A grammar G is said to be ambiguous if there exists some string $w \in L(G)$ for which more than one derivation tree are possible.

Example: Consider the following grammar:-

$$S \rightarrow S+S/S*S/a/b$$

Is this grammar ambiguous?

Solution:

Example: Consider the grammar G ,

$$S \rightarrow SbS/a.$$

Show that grammar G is ambiguous.

Solution:

Example: Consider the following grammar:-

$$S \rightarrow a/abSb/aAb$$

$$A \rightarrow bS/aAAb$$

Is this grammar ambiguous?

Solution:

Example: Consider the following grammar:-

$$S \rightarrow aB/ab$$

$$A \rightarrow aAB/a$$

$$B \rightarrow ABb/b$$

Is this grammar ambiguous?

Solution:

1.7 Inherent Ambiguity

- If L is a context free language for which there exists an unambiguous grammar, then L is said to be unambiguous.
- If every grammar that generates L is ambiguous, then the language is said to be inherently ambiguous.

Example: Following language is inherent ambiguous

$$L = \{a^n b^n c^m d^m \mid n \geq 1, m \geq 1\} \cup \{a^n b^m c^m d^n \mid n \geq 1, m \geq 1\}$$

1.8 Simplification of Context Free Grammar

Simplification of grammar means to remove the useless symbols (variables and terminals) and production rules from the grammar.

1.8.1 Active Variable

A variable A is said to be active if it derives a terminal string i.e

$$A \rightarrow x, \text{ where } x \in \Sigma^*$$

1.8.2 Reachable symbols

A symbol is said to be reachable if it appears in a string derives from starting symbol of the grammar i.e.

If $S \Rightarrow X$, then every symbols in X are said to be reachable.

1.8.3 Useful Variable

A variable is said to be useful if it is active and reachable both.

1.8.4 Construction a Grammar in which all the variables are active (Elimination of Non-Active Variables from a Grammar)

Suppose the given context free grammar is

$$G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$$

Now we construct a context free grammar G' in which all the variables are active.

$G' = (V', \Sigma, S, P')$

Step-1: Determination of V'

Let A_i denote the set of active variables. A_i is determined recursively as following:-

$A_1 = \{A \in V \mid \text{if } A \rightarrow x \in P, \text{ where } x \in \Sigma^*\}$

$A_2 = A_1 \cup \{A \in V \mid \text{if } A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \text{ where } \alpha \in (A_1 \mid \text{cup } \Sigma)^*\}$

.....

.....

$A_{i+1} = A_i \cup \{A \in V \mid \text{if } A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \text{ where } \alpha \in (A_i \mid \text{cup } \Sigma)^*\}$

Repeat this process until $A_{i+1} = A_i$

Now, we terminate this process.

Now, $V' = A_i$

Step-2: Determination of P'

P' is obtained from P by removing those production rules in which non-active variables belong.

Example: Consider the grammar

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, E\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$

where $P = \{S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b, B \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow c/\epsilon\}$

Eliminate the non-active variables from this grammar.

1.8.5 Construction a Grammar in which all the symbols are reachable (Elimination of Non-Reachable Symbols from a Grammar)

Suppose the given context free grammar is

$G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$

Now we construct a context free grammar G' in which all the symbols are reachable.

$G' = (V', \Sigma', S, P')$

Step-1: Determination of V' and Σ'

Let R_i denote the set of reachable symbols. R_i is determined recursively as following:-

$R_1 = \{S\}$

$R_2 = R_1 \cup \{x \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \text{ where } A \in R_1 \text{ and } \alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^* \text{ and } \alpha \text{ contains } x\}$

.....

.....

$R_{i+1} = R_i \cup \{x \mid A \rightarrow \alpha \in P, \text{ where } A \in R_i \text{ and } \alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^* \text{ and } \alpha \text{ contains } x\}$

Repeat this process until $R_{i+1} = R_i$

Now, we terminate this process.

Now, $V' = R_i \cap V$

Now, $\Sigma' = R_i \cap \Sigma$

Step-2: Determination of P'

P' is obtained from P by removing those production rules in which non-reachable symbols belong.

Example: Consider the grammar

$G = (\{S, A, B, C, E\}, \{a, b, c\}, P, S)$

where $P = \{S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow a, B \rightarrow b, B \rightarrow C, E \rightarrow c/\epsilon\}$

Eliminate the non-reachable symbols from this grammar.

1.8.6 Construction of Reduced Grammar

Reduced Grammar: A grammar is said to be reduced grammar if all the symbols and production rules are useful.

Procedure:

Suppose the given grammar is G.

This methods consists of two steps. They are:-

Step-1: Find the grammar G' equivalent to G in which all the variables are active.

Step-2: Find the grammar G'' equivalent to G' which includes only reachable symbols.

This grammar G'' is a reduced grammar equivalent to G.

Example: Find the reduced grammar equivalent to the following grammar

$S \rightarrow AB/CA$

$B \rightarrow BC/AB$

$A \rightarrow a$

$C \rightarrow aB/b$

Example: Reduce the following grammar

$S \rightarrow aAa$

$A \rightarrow Sb/bCC/DaA$

$C \rightarrow abb/DD$

$E \rightarrow aC$

$D \rightarrow aDA$

1.9 Elimination of null productions

Null Production: A production rule is said to be null production if it is of the following form:-

$$A \rightarrow \epsilon$$

Nullable Variable: A variable A is said to be nullable if it derives empty string i.e.

$$A \Rightarrow \epsilon, \forall A \in V$$

Procedure:

Consider a grammar $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$. Let G' is a grammar having no null productions such that $L(G') = L(G) - \{\epsilon\}$.

G' is constructed as following:-

$$G' = (V, \Sigma, S, P')$$

Step-1: Determination of the set of nullable variables

Let W_i is the set of nullable variables. W_i is calculated as following:-

$$W_1 = \{A \mid A \rightarrow \epsilon \in P\}$$

$$W_2 = W_1 \cup \{A \mid \exists \text{ a production } A \rightarrow \alpha \in P \text{ and } \alpha \in W_1^*\}$$

.....

$W_{i+1} = W_i \cup \{A \mid \exists \text{ a production } A \rightarrow \alpha \in P \text{ and } \alpha \in W_i^*\}$

Repeat this process until $W_{i+1} = W_i$

Now, we terminate this process.

Step-2: Determination of P'

(i) We add the production rules of P into P' whose RHS does not include any nullable variable.

(ii) Consider the remaining production rules of P.

If $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \in P$ then we add $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ into P', where $\alpha_i = X_i$ or ϵ but not all α_i equal to ϵ if X_i is nullable variable otherwise put $\alpha_i = X_i$.

Example: Consider the following grammar

$S \rightarrow aS/AB$

$A \rightarrow \epsilon$

$B \rightarrow \epsilon$

$D \rightarrow b$

Eliminate the null productions.

1.10 Elimination of Unit Productions

Unit Production: A production rule is said to be unit production if it is of the following form:-

$A \rightarrow B$, where $A, B \in V$.

Example: Consider the following grammar

$S \rightarrow AB$

$A \rightarrow a$

$B \rightarrow C/b$

$C \rightarrow D$

$D \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow a$

Eliminate the unit productions.

1.11 Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

1.11.1 Definition

A grammar G is said to be in Chomsky normal form if every production rules are of the following form:-

$A \rightarrow BC$ or $A \rightarrow a$,

where $A, B, C \in V$ and $a \in \Sigma$.

1.11.2 Reduction into Chomsky Normal Form

Step-1: Elimination of null productions and unit productions

In this step, we eliminate the null production and unit productions from the grammar.

Let the resultant grammar is $G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$.

Step-2: Elimination of terminals from RHS if rule is not in CNF

Let $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma, S, P_1)$ be the grammar obtained in this step.

All the productions of P which are in CNF, must also belong into P_1 and all the variables of V must also belong into V_1 .

Consider the remaining production rules.

Add the new variables into V_1 equal to the number of terminals on the right hand side of these production rules. And if the terminals are a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , then add variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n into V_1 and add $X_1 \rightarrow a_1, X_2 \rightarrow a_2, \dots, X_n \rightarrow a_n$ rules into P_1 .

Step-3: Restricting the number of variables on the RHS

Let $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma, S, P_2)$ be the grammar obtained in this step.

Add all the elements of V_1 into V_2 . Add the production rules of P_1 into P_2 which are in CNF.

Consider those production rules of P_1 which are not in CNF. These production rules contains at least three elements on the right hand side.

Consider production rules $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \dots X_n$, where $n \geq 3$. Then we add $n-2$ variable into V_2 . Let these are Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-2} . Add production rules $A \rightarrow X_1 Y_1, Y_1 \rightarrow X_2 Y_2, \dots, Y_{n-2} \rightarrow X_{n-1} X_n$ to P_2 .

Now, the grammar G_2 is the resultant grammar which is in CNF.

Example: Reduce the following grammar into CNF:-

- (1) $S \rightarrow aAD, A \rightarrow aB/bAB, B \rightarrow b, D \rightarrow d.$
- (2) $S \rightarrow aAbB, A \rightarrow a/aA, B \rightarrow b/bB.$
- (3) $S \rightarrow \sim S/[S \supset S]/p/q.$

1.12 Greibach Normal Form (GNF)

1.12.1 Definition

A CFG is said to be in Greibach normal form if all the production rules are of the following form:

$$A \rightarrow a\gamma, \text{ where } \gamma \in V^*, a \in \Sigma \text{ and } A \in V.$$

1.12.2 Lemma-1

Let $A \rightarrow B\gamma$ be an A-production and let B-productions are $B \rightarrow \beta_1|\beta_2|\dots|\beta_n$. Then $A \rightarrow B\gamma$ production is replaced by the following rules:-

$$A \rightarrow \beta_1\gamma|\beta_2\gamma|\dots|\beta_n\gamma.$$

1.12.3 Lemma-2

Let the set of A-productions be $A \rightarrow A\alpha_1|A\alpha_2|\dots|A\alpha_m|\beta_1|\beta_2|\dots|\beta_n$ (β_i 's do not start with A). Then we replace the rules $A \rightarrow A\alpha_1|A\alpha_2|\dots|A\alpha_m$ by the following procedure:-

Add new variable Z to the grammar. And the set of A-production are

$$A \rightarrow \beta_1|\beta_2|\dots|\beta_n$$

$$A \rightarrow \beta_1 Z|\beta_2 Z|\dots|\beta_n Z$$

The set of Z-productions are

$$Z \rightarrow \alpha_1|\alpha_2|\dots|\alpha_m$$

$$Z \rightarrow \alpha_1 Z | \alpha_2 Z | \dots | \alpha_m Z$$

1.12.4 Reduction to Greibach Normal Form

Step-1: Construct the given grammar into CNF. Next, we rename the variables as A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n with $S = A_1$.

Step-2: Consider the production rules which are of the following form

$$A_i \rightarrow A_j \gamma, \text{ where } j \geq i \text{ and } \gamma \in V^*$$

Apply the lemma-1 in these rules until $j \geq i$.

Step-3: Consider the production rules which are of the following form

$$A_i \rightarrow A_j \gamma, \text{ where } j = i \text{ and } \gamma \in V^*$$

Apply the lemma-2 in these rules until $j \geq i$.

Step-4: Consider the production rules which are not in GNF. Apply lemma-1 in all these rules. After this, the resultant grammar will be in GNF.

Example: Convert the following grammar into GNF.

1. $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow BS/b, B \rightarrow SA/a.$
2. $S \rightarrow AA/a, A \rightarrow SS/b.$
3. $E \rightarrow E+T/T, T \rightarrow T^*F/F, F \rightarrow (E)/a,$
4. $S \rightarrow ABb/a, A \rightarrow aaA, B \rightarrow bAb.$
5. $S \rightarrow SS, S \rightarrow 0S1/01, B \rightarrow SA/a.$

1.13 Exercise

1. Eliminate the useless production from the following grammar
 $S \rightarrow a/aA/B/C, A \rightarrow aB/\epsilon, B \rightarrow aA, C \rightarrow cCD, D \rightarrow ddd,.$
2. Eliminate all the ϵ -productions from the following grammar:-
 $S \rightarrow AaB/aaB, A \rightarrow \epsilon, B \rightarrow bbA/\epsilon$
3. Remove all unit productions, all useless productions and all ϵ -productions from the grammar
 $S \rightarrow aA/aBB, A \rightarrow aaA/\epsilon, B \rightarrow bB/bbC, C \rightarrow B.$
4. Transform the following grammar into CNF
 $S \rightarrow abAB, A \rightarrow bAB/\epsilon, B \rightarrow BAa/A/\epsilon.$
5. Transform the following grammar into CNF
 $S \rightarrow AB/aB, A \rightarrow aab/\epsilon, B \rightarrow bbA.$

1.14 Closure Properties of Context Free Languages

Theorem: Show that the family of context free languages is closed under union operation.

Proof: Let L_1 and L_2 be two context free languages generated by context free grammar $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, S_1, P_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, S_2, P_2)$ respectively.

Now, we construct the grammar G as the following:-

$$G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$$

$$\text{Where, } V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S\}$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$$

$$\text{and } P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2\}$$

Now, we have to show that

$$L(G) = L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$$

$$\text{Let } x \in L(G) \Leftrightarrow S \xRightarrow{*} x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S \Rightarrow S_1 \xRightarrow{*} x \text{ or }$$

$$S \Rightarrow S_2 \xRightarrow{*} x \text{ or }$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S_1 \xRightarrow{*} x \text{ or } S_2 \xRightarrow{*} x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in L(G_1) \text{ or } x \in L(G_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$$

Therefore, $L(G) = L(G_1) \cup L(G_2)$

Clearly, G is a CFG for $L_1 \cup L_2$, therefore $L_1 \cup L_2$ is also a context free language.

Theorem: Show that the family of context free languages is closed under concatenation operation.

Proof: Let L_1 and L_2 be two context free languages generated by context free grammar $G_1 = (V_1, \Sigma_1, S_1, P_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, \Sigma_2, S_2, P_2)$ respectively.

Now, we construct the grammar G as the following:-

$$G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$$

$$\text{Where, } V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S\}$$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$$

$$\text{and } P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \{S \rightarrow S_1.S_2\}$$

Now, we have to show that

$$L(G) = L(G_1).L(G_2)$$

$$\text{Let } x \in L(G) \Leftrightarrow S \xRightarrow{*} x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S \Rightarrow S_1.S_2 \xRightarrow{*} x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S_1 \xRightarrow{*} x_1 \text{ and } S_2 \xRightarrow{*} x_2 \text{ (Let } x = x_1x_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1.x_2 \in L(G_1).L(G_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in L(G_1).L(G_2)$$

Therefore, $L(G) = L(G_1).L(G_2)$

Clearly, G is a CFG for $L_1.L_2$, therefore $L_1.L_2$ is also a context free language.

Theorem: Show that the family of context free languages is closed under kleene closure operation.

Proof: Let L is a context free languages and G is a context free grammar generating L .

$$G = (V, \Sigma, S, P)$$

Now, we construct a grammar G' as the following:-

$$G' = (V', \Sigma, S', P')$$

$$\text{Where, } V' = V \cup \{S'\}$$

$$P' = P \cup \{S' \rightarrow SS' | \epsilon\}$$

Now, we have to show that $L(G') = (L(G))^*$.

Let $x \in L(G') \Leftrightarrow S' \xRightarrow{*} x$

$$\Leftrightarrow S' \Rightarrow S.S.S.....S(n - \text{times}) \xRightarrow{*} x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow S \xRightarrow{*} x_1, S \xRightarrow{*} x_2 S \xRightarrow{*} x_n \text{ (Let } x = x_1x_2.....x_n)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1 \in L(G), x_2 \in L(G),, x_n \in L(G)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x_1.x_2.....x_n \in (L(G))^n$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in (L(G))^* \quad (L(G))^n \subseteq (L(G))^*$$

Therefore, $L(G') = (L(G))^*$.

Therefore, G' is a grammar generating the language L^* . Hence L^* is a context free language.

Theorem: Show that the family of context free languages is not closed under intersection operation.

Proof: Consider the two context free languages:-

$$L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n \geq 0, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{a^n b^m c^m \mid n \geq 0, m \geq 0\}$$

The intersection of these languages is

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

This language is not context free.

Therefore, the family of context free languages is not closed under intersection operation.

Theorem: Show that the family of context free languages is not closed under complement operation.

Proof: Consider the following formula

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{(\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2})} \quad \text{.....(1)}$$

Suppose the context free languages are closed under complement operation.

From (1), If L_1 and L_2 are context free languages, then $\overline{L_1}$ and $\overline{L_2}$ are also context free language.

Since $\overline{L_1}$ and $\overline{L_2}$ are context free, therefore $\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}$ will also be context free language.

Therefore $\overline{(\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2})}$ is also context free.

Since the R.H.S. of equation (1) is context free, therefore L.H.S. is also context free. But, by previous theorem $L_1 \cap L_2$ is not context free, therefore context free languages is not closed under complement operation.

1.15 Pumping Lemma for Context Free Languages

Let L be an infinite context free language. Then there exists some positive integer n such that any $x \in L$ with $|x| \geq n$, can be decomposed as

$$x = uvwxy$$

with $|vxy| \leq n$ and $|vx| \geq 1$,

such that

$$uv^iwx^iy \in L, \forall i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Application: This lemma is used to show a given language is not context free.

Example: Show that the language

$$L = \{ a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$$

is not context free.

Solution:

1.16 Exercise

1. $L = \{ ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* \}$
2. $L = \{ a^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$
3. $L = \{ a^n b^j \mid n = j^2 \}$
4. $L = \{ a^{n^2} \mid n \geq 0 \}$
5. $L = \{ a^p \mid p \text{ is a prime number} \}$
6. $L = \{ a^n b^j c^k \mid k > n, k > j \}$

1.17 Decision Properties of Regular and Context Free Languages

Theorem: Given a context free grammar $G=(V, \Sigma, S, P)$, there exists an algorithm for deciding whether or not $L(G)$ is empty.

Proof: For the simplicity, we assume that $\epsilon \notin L(G)$. We use the algorithm for removing useless symbols and productions. If S is found to be useless, then $L(G)$ is empty otherwise $L(G)$ contains at least one element.

Theorem: Given a context free grammar $G=(V, \Sigma, S, P)$, there exists an algorithm for deciding whether or not $L(G)$ is infinite.

Proof: We assume that G contains no ϵ – productions, no unit-productions, and no useless symbols.

Convert the grammar into CNF.

We draw a directed graph whose vertices are variables in G . If $A \rightarrow BC$ is a production, then there are directed edges from A to B and A to C .

L is finite iff the directed graph has no cycles.

Theorem: Show that there exists an algorithm for deciding whether a regular language, L is empty.

Proof: Construct a deterministic finite automata M accepting L . Determine the set of all the states reachable from q_0 . If this set contains a final state, then L is non-empty otherwise L is empty.

Theorem: Show that there exists an algorithm for deciding whether a regular language, L is infinite.

Proof: Construct a deterministic finite automata M accepting L . L is infinite iff M has a cycle.

1.18 AKTU Examination Questions

1. Convert the following CFG to its equivalent GNF:
 $S \rightarrow AA \quad a, A \rightarrow SS \quad b$.
2. Prove that the following Language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is not Context Free.
3. Is context free language closed under union? If yes, give an example.
4. Remove useless productions from the following grammar
 $S \rightarrow AB/ab, A \rightarrow a/aA/B, B \rightarrow D/E$
5. Reduce the given Grammar $G = (\{S, A, B\}, \{a, b\}, S, P)$ to Chomsky Normal Form, where P is the following
 $S \rightarrow bA/aB, A \rightarrow a/aS/bAA, B \rightarrow b/bS/aBB$
6. Discuss the inherent ambiguity of context free languages with suitable example. Construct the context free grammar that accept the following language
 $L = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j \text{ or } j = k\}$
7. Define the parse tree. Construct the parse tree for the string $abbcd$ considering the productions
 $S \rightarrow aAcBe, A \rightarrow b/Ab, B \rightarrow d$
 Is this ambiguous? Justify.
8. Prove or disprove that union and concatenation of two context free languages is also context free.
9. Prove that the language $L = \{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is neither regular nor context free.
10. Determine the language generated by grammar $S \rightarrow Sab|aSb|abS|baS|bSa|Sba|aS|a$
11. What is inherent ambiguity? Explain with the help of suitable example.
12. Remove the Unit productions from the following grammar: $S \rightarrow aSb|A, A \rightarrow cAd|cd$
13. Write the procedure to convert a given CFG into equivalent grammar in CNF. Apply the procedure and convert the grammar with following production into CNF:
 $S \rightarrow bA|aB, A \rightarrow bAA|aS|a, B \rightarrow aBB|bS|b$
14. Define Greibach normal form for a CFG. Reduce the following CFG into GNF: $S \rightarrow AB, A \rightarrow BS|a, B \rightarrow A|b$

15. Let G be the grammar $S \rightarrow 0B|1A$, $A \rightarrow 0|0S|1AA$, $B \rightarrow 1|1S|0BB$ For the string 00110101, find: (i) The leftmost derivation. (ii) The rightmost derivation. (iii) The derivation tree.
16. Check whether the grammar is ambiguous or not. $R \rightarrow R+R / RR / R^* / a / b / c$. Obtain the string $w = a+b^*c$
17. Eliminate unit productions in the grammar. $S \rightarrow A/bb$ $A \rightarrow B/b$ $B \rightarrow S/a$
18. Find out whether the language $L = \{x^n y^n z^n | n \geq 1\}$ is context free or not.
19. Convert the following CFG into CNF
 $S \rightarrow XY / Xn / p$
 $X \rightarrow mX / m$
 $Y \rightarrow Xn / o$
20. Convert the following CFG into CNF $S \rightarrow ASA / aB$, $A \rightarrow B / S$, $B \rightarrow b / \epsilon$
21. Write CFG for language $L = \{a^n b^n | n \geq 0\}$. Also convert it into CNF.
22. Define ambiguity. Show that the grammar G with following production is ambiguous.
 $S \rightarrow a / aAb / abSb$, $A \rightarrow aAAb / bS$
23. Convert the following grammar in GNF: $S \rightarrow AB$, $A \rightarrow BS / a$, $B \rightarrow SA / b$
24. Define derivation Tree. Show the derivation tree for string 'aabbbb' with the following grammar $S \rightarrow AB/\epsilon$, $A \rightarrow aB$, $B \rightarrow Sb$.