Database Management System (DBMS) Lecture-35

Dharmendra Kumar

November 11, 2020

Algorithm to check if a decomposition is lossless

Input: A relation schema $R(A_1, A_2,, A_n)$ and a decomposition $D = \{R_1, R_2,, R_m\}$ and a set F of functional dependencies.

- 1. Create a matrix S with one row i for each relation R_i in D, and one column j for each attribute a_i in R.
- 2. Set $S(i,j) = a_j$, if relation schema R_i contains attribute A_j otherwise set $S(i,j) = b_{ij}$.

end

```
3.
change ← true
while (change = true) do
    for each functional dependency \alpha \rightarrow \beta in F do
        if (row i and j exists such that the same symbol appears in
          each column corresponding to attribute in \alpha) then
            if one of the symbols in the \beta column is a_r then
                 Make other symbol to be a_r
            end
            else if the symbols are b_{pk} and b_{qk} then
                 Make both of them b_{pk}
            end
        end
        else
            change = false
        end
    end
```

4. If there exists a row in which all the symbols are a's, then the decomposition has the lossless. Otherwise decomposition has lossy.

Example:

```
Consider the following schema:-
R=(SSN, Ename, Pnumber, Pname, Plocation, Hours)
F=\{SSN \rightarrow Ename, Pnumber \rightarrow Pname Plocation, SSN pnumber \rightarrow Hours\}
R_1=(SSN, Ename)
R_2=(Pnumber, Pname, Plocation)
R_3=(SSN, Pnumber, Hours)
Find out decomposition of R in R_1, R_2, R_3 is lossless or lossy.
```

Solution:

First construct matrix. It will be order of 3×6 .

The initialization table will be the following:-

	SSN	Ename	Pnumber	Pname	Plocation	Hours
R_1	a ₁	a ₂	b ₁₃	b ₁₄	b ₁₅	b ₁₆
R_2	b ₂₁	b ₂₂	a ₃	a ₄	a ₅	b ₂₆
R_3	a ₁	b ₃₂	a ₃	b ₃₄	b ₃₅	a ₆

After the first iteration , the table will be the following:-

	SSN	Ename	Pnumber	Pname	Plocation	Hours
R_1	a ₁	a ₂	b_{13}	b_{14}	b_{15}	b_{16}
R_2	b ₂₁	b ₂₂	a ₃	<i>a</i> ₄	<i>a</i> ₅	b ₂₆
R ₃	a ₁	a ₂	<i>a</i> ₃	<i>a</i> ₄	<i>a</i> ₅	<i>a</i> ₆

After the second iteration, the table will not changed. Therefore, the above table is the final table.

Since in this table, row 3 contains only a's symbol, therefore this decomposition is lossless.

Example:

Consider the following schema:-

$$R=(A, B, C, D, E)$$

$$\mathsf{F} = \{ \ \mathsf{AB} \to \mathsf{CD}, \ \mathsf{A} \to \mathsf{E}, \ \mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{D} \ \}$$

$$R_1 = (A, B, C)$$

$$R_2 = (B, C, D)$$

$$R_3 = (C, D, E)$$

Is the decomposition of R in R_1, R_2, R_3 is lossless or lossy?

Solution:

First construct matrix. It will be order of 3×5 .

The initialization table will be the following:-

	А	В	С	D	Е
R_1	<i>a</i> ₁	a ₂	<i>a</i> ₃	b ₁₄	b ₁₅
R_2	b ₂₁	<i>a</i> ₂	a ₃	a ₄	b ₂₅
R_3	b ₃₁	b ₃₂	<i>a</i> ₃	<i>a</i> ₄	<i>a</i> ₅

7

After the first iteration , the table will be the following:-

	А	В	С	D	Е
R_1	a_1	a ₂	a ₃	a ₄	b_{15}
R_2	b ₂₁	a ₂	<i>a</i> ₃	<i>a</i> ₄	b ₂₅
R ₃	b ₃₁	b ₃₂	<i>a</i> ₃	<i>a</i> ₄	<i>a</i> ₅

After the second iteration, the table will not changed. Therefore, the above table is the final table.

Since in this table, no row contains only a's symbol, therefore this decomposition is lossy.

Multivalued dependency

Let R be a relation schema and let $\alpha \subseteq R$ and $\beta \subseteq R$. The multivalued dependency $\alpha \to \to \beta$ holds on R if in any legal relation r(R), for all pairs of t_1 and t_2 in r such that $t_1[\alpha] = t_2[\alpha]$, there exists two tuples t_3 and t_4 in r such that

$$t_{1}[\alpha] = t_{2}[\alpha] = t_{3}[\alpha] = t_{4}[\alpha]$$

$$t_{3}[\beta] = t_{1}[\beta]$$

$$t_{4}[\beta] = t_{1}[\beta]$$

$$t_{3}[R - \beta] = t_{2}[R - \beta]$$

$$t_{4}[R - \beta] = t_{1}[R - \beta]$$

Trivial multivalued dependency

A multivalued dependency $\alpha \to \to \beta$ is said to be trivial if $\beta \subseteq \alpha$ or $\alpha \cup \beta = R$.

Note: If $\alpha \to \beta$, then $\alpha \to \beta$. That is, every functional dependency is also a multivalued dependency.

Example: Consider the following relation schema.

loan-number	customer-name	customer-street	customer-city
L-23	Smith	North	Rye
L-23	Smith	Main	Manchester
L-93	Curry	Lake	Horseneck

In this table, multivalued dependency $\mbox{customer-name} \to \to \mbox{customer-city}$ holds.