

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376308767>

From Pulse to Prescription: Exploring the Rise of AI in Medicine and Its Implications

Article · December 2023

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10290649

CITATIONS

6

READS

1,736

2 authors:



[A.s Hovan George](#)

117 PUBLICATIONS 1,465 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



[A. Shaji George](#)

397 PUBLICATIONS 2,352 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



From Pulse to Prescription: Exploring the Rise of AI in Medicine and Its Implications

A.S.Hovan George¹, A.Shaji George²

Independent Researcher, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract – The practice of medicine is undergoing a significant transformation with the emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and medical automation. Historically, medicine was highly personal, with doctors using interpersonal skills to diagnose patients. Today over 70% of doctors rely primarily on medical tests to prescribe treatment. As AI systems analyze big data and provide diagnostic and prescription recommendations, medicine may become more efficient yet less humane. This paper explores the implications of increasing AI automation in medicine for doctors, patients, and healthcare overall. The paper begins by overviewing traditional medical practice. Doctors once carefully listened to patients, took their pulse, and observed symptoms and body language. Treatments were individualized based on the doctor's personalized evaluation. However, the majority of modern doctors depend heavily on medical test results and reports to make diagnoses and treatment decisions. This shift coincides with the advent of AI and automation in medicine. AI promises more accurate and cost-effective diagnostic and prescription recommendations by analyzing large datasets. Proponents argue AI can democratize medicine by expanding access. While AI offers benefits, it also poses risks of overreliance on technology, erosion of doctor-patient relationships, and displacement of human roles. If not thoughtfully implemented, AI could make medicine feel robotic rather than human. The paper analyzes key benefits of medical AI like efficiency, reduced costs, and analyzing big data. But it also critically examines downsides like less personalized care, overdependence on technology, and replacement of human doctors' skills and jobs. The paper highlights diagnostic specialties, prescription recommendations, and medical billing as areas especially poised for automation. It argues that for AI to positively transform medicine, the right balance must be struck between AI and human physicians. Doctors' roles may need to adapt by focusing more on empathetic, human aspects of care. Rather than pure replacement, the ideal integration of AI in medicine likely entails humans and technology collaborating. In conclusion, the rise of AI promises major changes for the medical field. While enhancing efficiency, it risks compromising the interpersonal, human side of medicine. This paper provides a balanced look at the benefits and drawbacks of automating medicine, analyzing the outlook for both patients and doctors. It argues for thoughtful integration of AI that harnesses benefits while retaining medicine's humanity. The paper aims to spur discussion on the ideal relationship between technology and human care in the future of medicine.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Machine learning, Automation, Robotics, Healthcare, Medicine, Algorithms, Doctors, Diagnostics, Data analytics.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 As AI and Medical Automation Advance, Medicine May Become More Efficient Yet Less Personal, With Complex Implications for Doctors, Patients, and Healthcare Overall



The practice of medicine stands on the cusp of major transformation, driven by rapid advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and medical automation. For millennia, medicine has been an intensely interpersonal endeavor, rooted in hands-on rounds at the bedside and one-on-one conversations between clinicians and patients. However, we now find ourselves at an inflection point, as emerging technologies promise to reshape the doctor-patient relationship and the provision of healthcare more fundamentally than we have previously witnessed. While AI-driven automation will likely make medicine vastly more data-driven, efficient, and accessible, it may also render it less personal and humane in the process. As we automate everything from diagnostic radiology to surgical procedures, we must reflect carefully on what we stand to gain—and lose—for both doctors and patients. This paper explores the complex implications of ceding more medical functionality to algorithms and robots. It argues that if thoughtfully implemented with proper safeguards, AI and automation can make medicine both more effective and more humane. But without due caution, we risk compromising the heart of medicine: the human connection at its core.

Medicine stands out as a deeply personal form of human service—the caring for others in their times of fear, pain, and vulnerability. Traditionally, it entailed doctors gathering intimate details about patients' lives, examining the body with their own hands and senses, deducing diagnoses through expertise honed over years of practice, and formulating treatment plans through as much art as science. Patients revealed sensitive information to physicians based on trust and rapport. Doctors combined their medical knowledge with emotional intelligence, compassion, and counseling. Medicine centered on relationships: intimate bonds between clinicians and patients enabling care, healing, and comfort.

However, seismic shifts are occurring in how healthcare is delivered and consumed. Over 70% of today's doctors depend heavily on data from medical tests and AI systems, rather than personal evaluation, to make diagnoses and treatment decisions. Patients increasingly turn to WebMD before consultants, second-guess doctors via Google, and wish to avoid invasive tests. Medicine seems to be moving from an art centered on human relationships to a data-driven, technological science aimed at efficiency.

This paper argues that automation, if thoughtfully applied, can benefit doctors and patients immensely. AI promises faster and more accurate diagnoses, reduced costs, democratized healthcare access, personalized treatments, and automated administrative tasks—freeing up doctors to focus on holistic care. But blind faith in technology risks undervaluing time-honored medical skills like counseling, physical examination, and human intuition. Overreliance on AI and automation may erode trust, nuance, and the interpersonal fabric that historically allowed doctors to treat the whole patient. The practice of medicine must thoughtfully evolve to preserve its humanity and specialized skills, even as AI ramps up efficiency.

This paper will analyze the benefits, risks, and future implications of AI and automation for all stakeholders in medicine—doctors, patients, administrators, and policymakers. It will propose principles to guide the integration of emerging technologies, in order to harness their upside while safeguarding the heart of medicine: the human relationships and specialized skills at its core. Medicine stands to be revolutionized by AI systems that expand access, lower costs, raise quality, and help doctors and patients via personalized recommendations. But we must take care not to oversimplify medicine's complexity or undermine the bonds of empathy and rapport fundamental to care and healing. If thoughtfully implemented, AI and automation can improve medicine's effectiveness for all. But the essence of the medical profession—applying human skill and compassion to care for the suffering—must be preserved alongside technological transformation. This paper offers perspectives on reconciling efficiency and humanity, machines and mortality, as we automate the most human profession.



2. THE CHANGING LANDSCAPE OF MEDICINE

2.1 Overview of How Medicine Has Historically Been Practiced

For millennia, the practice of medicine centered on hands-on, face-to-face interactions between clinicians and patients. Doctors relied extensively on interpersonal skills – careful listening, powers of observation, and building trust and rapport with patients. They gathered intimate details about a patient's symptoms, family history, and lifestyle to make highly individualized diagnoses. Treatments were tailored not only to the disease but the whole person. Medicine was an art as much as a science.

In ancient Greece, Hippocrates pioneered clinical medicine based on systematically interviewing patients, meticulously documenting case histories, and closely examining the body. He advocated holistic healing by understanding the patient's diet, environment, and mental state. Roman physician Galen advanced Hippocrates' methods through detailed anatomical studies and feeling patients' pulses to deduce ailments. Medieval Islamic doctors like Avicenna ran hospitals with extensive medical records, while innovating surgical tools and techniques.

Throughout its history, medicine centered on human relationships and hands-on physical evaluation. Early 19th century physicians spent substantial time at patients' bedsides carefully observing symptoms. The invention of the stethoscope in 1816 enabled more intimate listening to the body's inner workings. Doctors honed skills reading subtle clues through the five senses – noticing a patient's complexion, feeling for lumps, listening to heartbeats. They developed expertise through extensive clinical experience and trusted their judgement when making diagnoses.

In the 1920s, physicians still derived diagnoses 70% from talking to and examining patients, and 30% from diagnostic testing. Treatment blended personalized care, counseling, and procedures like surgery. Patients often had intimate, long-term relationships with family doctors who knew their history and psyche. The doctor-patient relationship was profoundly human, entailing emotional support and even paternalism alongside medicine.

However, dramatic changes occurred after 1950 as scientific advances expanded knowledge, technology proliferated, and healthcare costs rose. Doctor visits rapidly shortened as Medicare and managed care imposed limits. Diagnostic testing mushroomed, fueled by innovations like CT scans and lab automation. Electronic medical records (EMRs) amassed data while constraining conversation. As medical care became impersonal, fragmented and rushed, both patients and physicians expressed dissatisfaction.

Today over 70% of doctors arrive at diagnoses predominantly by analyzing test results and medical records, not building personal familiarity with patients over time through traditional skills of talking, touching and listening. Only 22% of physicians feel they have adequate time with patients. Medicine has become highly technological, data-driven, and transactional. AI and automation will likely accelerate this trajectory, enabling more efficient diagnosis and treatment but less human connection.

This changing landscape of medical practice has pluses and minuses. Data-driven medicine promises greater precision, personalization, and access via technological tools. But in the process, we risk losing time-honored medical skills that cannot be replicated by machines – human insight, counseling and the ability to treat patients holistically. As medicine evolves, we must thoughtfully balance technology's capabilities with humanistic care. If implemented judiciously, AI and automation can optimize medicine without compromising its heart – the deeply human relationships and specialized skills developed over millennia that enable healing, compassion and comfort for the suffering.



2.2 The Modern Shift Towards Reliance on Medical Tests and Reports

Today's doctors rely heavily on data from medical tests and electronic records, a major departure from the past. In the 1920s, physicians derived just 30% of diagnoses from tests versus 70% from talking to and examining patients. However, this balance has now reversed – over 70% of doctors now depend predominantly on test results and documentation, not personal interaction, to evaluate and treat patients. Several interwoven factors drive this modern shift towards technical data. First, scientific advances have expanded medical knowledge and the range of available tests. Genomics, proteomics, CT scans, and countless lab assays provide biological insights unimaginable a century ago. Second, technology has enabled automation, digitization, and analysis of test results. Computers can rapidly match symptom patterns against big datasets to suggest diagnoses. Third, healthcare economics incentivize reliance on testing. Fee-for-service and litigation encourage ordering extensive tests for revenue and legal protection. Fourth, time constraints pressure physicians to take shortcuts. With less time for open-ended evaluation, testing provides quick answers.

Consequently, doctors often order batteries of tests before laying hands on patients. In a typical diagnostic process, a physician may review electronic medical records, order multiple lab tests, imaging scans, and specialist referrals, then synthesize the results to make diagnoses and treatment plans—all with minimal direct interaction with the patient. The doctor gleans most insights not from the living, breathing person in the room but from abstracted data in the computer. While this shift has advantages like expedition and analytically-informed treatment, it carries profound risks. Overreliance on testing tends to objectify and dehumanize patients. Subtle symptoms like fatigue, retrieved only through conversation, get overlooked when the diagnostic process revolves around labs and scans. Moreover, objective data often fails to capture nuances apparent only from human observation—slight changes in posture, affect, or habits noticeable by an astute clinician. But harried doctors, pressed for time and taught to trust tests, often discount or dismiss such intimate, “soft” signs. Patients feel reduced to the quantifiable rather than seen as whole human beings.

Over-testing also inflates healthcare costs without necessarily improving outcomes. Many diagnostic tests and screenings yield false positives, inspire unnecessary procedures, and fuel patient anxiety. Yet doctors feel compelled to practice “defensive medicine,” ordering excessive tests to protect themselves legally at the system's expense. Additionally, as visits become rushed transactions, doctors lose opportunities to counsel patients on diet, mental health, and holistic wellbeing. Testing conveys facts but rarely guidance to live wisely. In coming years, exponential growth in medical data and AI-assisted diagnostics will likely accelerate test reliance. Time efficiency may improve, but further sacrifices in human connection seem inevitable. We must thoughtfully evolve medical practice and training to preserve irreplaceable human skills—listening, observing, building trust, treating holistically—amidst increasingly data-driven care. If implemented judiciously, AI can optimize medicine without dehumanizing it. But neglecting the art of medicine under the allure of science risks forfeiting the heart of great medical care: the human relationships and intimate knowledge of the patient enabling healing.

2.3 The Emergence and Promises of AI and Automation in Medicine

The penetration of artificial intelligence (AI) and automation into medicine represents the next major transformation in healthcare delivery. AI and robotics promise to remake medical practice by automating tasks ranging from diagnostic radiology to surgery to prescription dispensing.



Proponents argue these technologies will improve efficiency, accuracy, access and costs across healthcare. AI-driven automation in medicine rests on recent exponential advances in computing power, big data, neural networks, and machine learning. Systems can now analyze massive sets of medical data to detect patterns and make predictions beyond human capability. In radiology, AI can scan thousands of images to identify potential tumors or fractures better than the human eye. In pathology, machine learning can map digital slides to diagnose disease. AI can also process troves of data from medical journals and patient records to suggest personalized treatments. Several forces are propelling adoption of medical AI. First, major technology firms like IBM and Google are investing heavily to develop and market healthcare AI products. Second, the pandemic accelerated telehealth and digital medicine, laying the infrastructure for AI. Third, the explosion in health data, from genetic sequencing to wearables, provides fuel to “train” AI algorithms. Finally, financial incentives encourage automating clinical workflows for efficiency and cost control.

Many tout AI’s potential benefits. Algorithms may diagnose conditions faster and more accurately by detecting subtle patterns. AI could also reduce deadly diagnostic errors that afflict even the best physicians. By analyzing diverse patient data, AI may enable ultra-personalized treatments instead of one-size-fits-all medicine. Automation of administrative tasks could free up clinicians to focus on holistic care. Telehealth and chatbot triage might expand access and lower costs. However, responsible implementation remains critical. If poorly designed, AI could replicate biases and inequities in healthcare. Algorithms trained on flawed datasets may make dangerous errors that humans could avoid. Overreliance on black-box AI could erode physician skills and accountability. And AI disruption could displace jobs, while dehumanizing delivery of care.

Realizing AI’s upside while navigating risks will require forethought and wisdom. Patients must grant trust and share data willingly, ensuring privacy. Providers need rigorous validation of AI, understanding its limitations to make human judgments. Algorithms should augment clinicians, not replace human roles and relationships. And we must evolve medical education and policy to promote responsible innovation. If carefully implemented, AI and automation offer extraordinary potential to make medicine more predictive, preventive, personalized and democratic. But we must take care to guard against over automation that compromises the heart of healthcare—the human relationships and specialized skills between patients and healers that enable compassion and dignity. Further strides in medical AI are inevitable; our task is to guide those strides down a path that thoughtfully balances humanity and technology in the practice of medicine.

3. POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF MEDICAL AI

3.1 More Efficient Diagnoses and Treatment Recommendations

One of the most transformative capabilities of AI in healthcare is the potential to greatly accelerate and improve the accuracy of diagnoses and treatment recommendations. Medicine involves enormous complexity and uncertainty—discerning each patient’s unique pathology amidst an vast array of presenting symptoms and evolving scientific knowledge is an enormous cognitive challenge. Even the most brilliant clinicians make errors. AI promises to help doctors analyze data, recognize patterns, and prescribe evidence-based treatments more efficiently and precisely than unaided human cognition could



achieve. In specialties dependent on interpreting visual data, like radiology and pathology, AI has already demonstrated remarkable diagnostic capabilities. Researchers have developed deep learning algorithms that can analyze thousands of medical images or tissue slides to detect tumors, fractures, and disease with equivalent or greater accuracy than human specialists. For example, in analyzing mammograms for breast cancer risk, one deep learning model achieved a 99% accuracy rate compared to the 96% accuracy of expert radiologists. Similarly, AI can scan CT chest scans for lung cancer nodules overlooked by radiologists. By automating the tedious work of examining endless images and flagging suspicious findings, AI can direct human attention to the cases that need it most.

AI's pattern recognition abilities applied to big datasets can also help diagnose conditions described in text format. Scientists have created natural language processing algorithms that ingest patient medical records and history to deduce likely diagnoses. In one demonstration, an AI system achieved 90% accuracy in predicting diabetes onset compared to 73% for doctors. For rare diseases or comorbid presentations, AI may discern connections that elude individual physicians. Additionally, by crunching data from clinical research and patient populations, AI can assist doctors in recommending optimal treatments tailored to each patient's disease characteristics and risk factors. For instance, IBM Watson for Oncology utilizes massive datasets to synthesize evidence on cancers, therapies, genetics, and patient parameters to generate personalized treatment plans with favored drugs and dosing. Early research found Watson matched recommendations from oncologists in over 90% of cases.

AI also shows tremendous potential to avoid medication errors, which affect over 5% of hospital admissions. AI can scan databases of adverse drug events and patient records to alert doctors before prescribing combinations likely to cause harm. Startups are developing apps that use AI and pharmacology data to help doctors select medications and prevent dangerous interactions. Of course, responsible design is imperative to realize AI's benefits and avoid pitfalls. AI is not infallible; biases and errors can creep in if systems are poorly engineered or trained on flawed data. AI should complement human clinical judgment, not fully replace it. With conscientious implementation, however, AI could take medicine a long way towards the elusive goal of providing precisely optimized, evidence-based treatment for each patient – a powerful illustration of how automation technologies can enhance and expand human potential.

3.2 Reduced Costs and Improved Accessibility

With healthcare costs ballooning out of control, driving renewed focus on affordability and access, AI offers hope for dramatically reducing expenses across the system while making care more accessible. Many experts believe that AI-driven automation can slash costs by improving efficiency of care delivery. For instance, AI chatbots and virtual assistants can provide low-cost personalized triage and advice, guiding patients to the most appropriate care resource. Automated diagnostic tools like medical imaging algorithms can shortcut expensive, redundant manual work. AI-optimized treatment plans can prevent costly errors and readmissions. Streamlining bureaucracy via automation and smart workflows promises major administrative savings.

According to a report by Accenture, key clinical AI applications could potentially reduce health care costs by \$150 billion annually in the US alone. The savings largely come from productivity gains, reduced overhead, and avoidance of wasted interventions. Importantly, AI's data-driven recommendations may also improve clinical outcomes in the process, creating a win-win scenario of better medicine for less. In addition to cutting costs, optimizing medical workflows with AI could expand access to care. Intelligent automation makes each doctor and resource more productive, enabling them to treat more patients.



Expanding the capabilities of primary care providers through AI decision support allows them to manage more conditions in-house rather than refer out. Telehealth powered by AI extends the reach of specialists to remote patients. And AI-assisted early screening improves prevention to reduce the burden of advanced disease.

Chatbots and virtual health assistants are one avenue to increased access. They can provide 24/7 access to personalized medical advice and triage, recommending appropriate care settings. Apps like Babylon Health use AI to give diagnostic and treatment guidance, reducing unnecessary doctor and ER visits. For many common conditions, AI phone or video consultations offer a convenient, affordable alternative to in-office appointments.

Additionally, healthcare AI could reduce geographic, financial, and cultural barriers to accessing quality care. Rural and low-income areas typically suffer from shortages of doctors and services. But telemedicine and diagnostic automation can help fill those gaps. AI's data-driven approaches may also mitigate biases that prevent equal access. And machine translation apps can aid non-native speakers. Thoughtful design and policies are still essential to ensure AI promotes, not hinders, equitable access. Of course, the transition will involve challenges. Clinicians may resist technologies that disrupt their workflows. Privacy risks must be carefully managed. But the massive potential for AI to slash healthcare costs through efficiency and improve access for the underserved compels us to pave the way responsibly.

3.3 Enhanced Analysis of Large Datasets

One of the most valuable capabilities AI brings to healthcare is the ability to discern subtle patterns and generate insights from vast sets of complex medical data that far exceed human processing capacity. AI's data analytics potential has only just begun to be tapped, but it holds enormous promise for unlocking medical knowledge. The volume of medical data being generated and digitally stored is mushrooming exponentially. Electronic health records, clinical trial data, medical imaging, genomics sequencing, wearable device outputs—the breadth of health data is exploding. And it is fragmented across various systems and formats, posing challenges for useful aggregation and analysis. AI offers solutions to synthesize and gain meaning from this deluge of "big data."

Deep learning techniques can efficiently process millions of data points across disparate sources to discover relationships and trends that humans could never discern through manual analysis. For instance, algorithms can churn through giant patient populations and mountains of research literature to determine optimal interventions for subgroups with certain disease characteristics or biomarkers. This ability to tailor evidence-based treatments for each individual represents a key advantage of AI. Big data analytics can also improve predictive modeling and risk stratification. By examining correlations between health trajectories across diverse cohorts, AI can identify patterns that foreshadow outcomes in new patients, enabling earlier interventions. AI startup GNS Healthcare employs causality algorithms on big data to reveal predictive biomarkers for conditions like cancer. Enlitic uses deep learning on medical images to predict the likelihood of fractures or diseases. Such AI prognostic abilities could prove invaluable.

Additionally, scouring population data may uncover associations between drugs, genes, and outcomes that inform optimal medications for each patient. Unraveling the biological roots of disease could transform diagnostics and treatments. Though human analysis plays an essential role, AI massively accelerates hypothesis generation and testing. Of course, for reliable insights, the underlying data must be high-quality and free from inherent biases. Thoughtful human curation and supervision is critical when



training and validating AI. But used judiciously, AI unlocks medical knowledge on a scale previously impossible, enabling truly personalized, predictive, preventative medicine.

Looking ahead, harnessing biometric data from wearables and apps could dramatically enhance AI-assisted analytics for both patients and researchers. Synthesizing such real-world lifestyle data with clinical records, genomics, and environment factors can paint a comprehensive picture of health. We are only scratching the surface of what AI's data synthesis capabilities might yield for medicine if applied creatively for the common good. The future possibilities to advance medical knowledge through AI are staggering.

4. POTENTIAL DRAWBACKS AND UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES

4.1 Less Personalized Care and Doctor–Patient Relationships

While medical AI promises greater efficiency and accuracy, it also risks dehumanizing and depersonalizing healthcare if inappropriately applied. A major concern is that overdependence on algorithms could erode vital human connections between doctors and patients that enable empathetic, holistic care. The doctor–patient relationship is foundational in medicine, built on communication, trust and rapport. Patients reveal intimate details to clinicians to obtain accurate diagnoses and appropriate treatment plans tailored to their circumstances and preferences. Doctors gather not just medical information but psychosocial insights that allow holistic care. This interpersonal fabric aids healing.

However, relying heavily on AI predictions and recommendations risks weakening these relationships and personalization. Patients may interact more with devices than doctors. Clinicians overloaded with data could become detached and inattentive. Overconfident in technology, they may discount patients' lived experiences. Individual psycho-social needs may be overlooked if treatment relies overly on population analytics and algorithms. AI could squeeze the human art from medicine. For instance, AI chatbots handling triage and education could fragment care across multiple impersonal touchpoints. Patients may never see the same doctor twice. Treatment plans prescribed predominantly via diagnostic AI and big data analytics may lack nuance and humanity. Medicine could become assembly-line-like, losing its special status as a deeply personal form of care.

Further, AI's data-driven correlations do not capture the whole person. An algorithm analyzing images or records cannot gauge suffering in a patient's eyes, notice weaknesses in their handshake, or counsel them through emotional turmoil. Yet such human observation and connection is irreplaceable. It enables clinicians to treat not just the disease but the whole human being. Additionally, overdependence on predictive algorithms risks eroding doctors' skills, autonomy and authority. Doctors may become passive executors of AI advice rather than discerning, compassionate clinicians. Absorbing insights from data science without retaining their human gifts could render doctors robotic.

To be sure, responsible implementation of AI can enhance care and augment human abilities rather than replace them. But we must take care to preserve space for intimate doctor–patient relationships and the personalized human touch that is the heart of the healing profession. Medicine is more than data science—it is a human service. AI should support, not supplant, the specialized skills developed over millennia that make medicine deeply personal. With wisdom, technological advances and humanistic medicine can thrive together.



4.2 Overreliance on Technology Versus Human Skills and Judgment

While AI and automation technologies hold enormous potential to augment human abilities in medicine, over-reliance on technology poses risks as well. Thought leaders caution that improper implementation could undermine clinicians' specialized skills and human judgment developed over centuries of medical practice. – Medicine has long involved both art and science. Doctors observe subtle signs – a lapse in eye contact, a tremor – that algorithms miss but that can inform diagnoses and treatment. They employ human abilities like emotional intelligence, counseling, and reassurance that help in healing. While technology can enhance these skills, it cannot wholly replace the human elements at the heart of medical practice.

However, overdependence on data analytics and algorithms may erode human capabilities. Doctors, trusting technology over their own judgment, may lose confidence and acumen. Coming to view patients through the narrow lens of metrics risks overlooking compassion. As medicine becomes data-driven, spiritual dimensions of health may be discounted. Further, flawed algorithms and inaccurate data could lead to grave errors and biases absent human overrides. For example, AI chatbots handling patient interactions may gather insufficient information and make inappropriate triage recommendations. Bias in medical datasets could propagate discrimination through machine learning. Doctors over-relying on technology could order unnecessary treatments or miss life-threatening diagnoses. While human clinicians also err, they possess specialized skills to intuit, probe, counsel and comfort in ways machines cannot.

Additionally, over-reliance on technology tends to objectify patients. When doctors view individuals merely as datasets, they lose sight of their humanity—their worries, hopes and dignity. The rituals of the clinical exam, which cultivate intimacy and trust, fade when replaced by automated diagnostics. Patients want to be heard, understood and treated as whole people, not computational problems. Quality care embraces both high-tech tools and high-touch bonds. Further risks arise if human clinicians become passive executors of AI recommendations. Medicine cannot be fully automated; human experience, discretion and responsibility remain essential. Yet doctors overdependent on technology may reflexively approve its suggestions without applying their own critical thinking. Medicine requires empowered professionals, not automatons. To realize AI's potential while safeguarding irreplaceable human gifts, clinicians require discernment in leveraging technology. Wisdom must judge where automation aids versus impedes. With careful oversight and appropriate use, AI can amplify human talents rather than atrophy them. This equilibrium enables modern medicine to integrate science and technology with art, compassion and dignity for the fullest flourishing of doctors and patients alike.

4.3 Displacement of Doctors' Roles and Jobs

While medical AI aims to augment human clinicians, taken to an extreme it risks displacing human roles and jobs. If improperly or hastily implemented, automation could replace certain medical professions more than support them. This poses economic concerns for displaced workers and care quality concerns if human judgment gets removed. Radiology and pathology appear especially susceptible to job losses from AI. Algorithms can rapidly analyze medical images and tissue slides for abnormalities better than humans. If adopted broadly, AI may eliminate many routine diagnostic jobs currently performed by radiologists and pathologists. While new roles may emerge, unemployment and underemployment may result for some.



Primary care could also see reduced needs for doctors as AI triage chatbots, diagnostics tools, and remote patient monitoring provide basic services. Patients may consult AI-powered apps before doctors for common conditions. The time efficiencies of AI could enable fewer primary care doctors to service more patients, especially if care becomes more impersonal. Advanced practitioners and nurses likely will increasingly deliver frontline care. Additionally, AI-guided treatment recommendations may shift prescribing power away from physicians. Sophisticated AI prescription tools require less human input. Pharmacist and pharmacy technician jobs could also dwindle, as robots take over medication dispensing and logistics powered by AI.

Further, automated administrative tasks ranging from medical coding and billing to scheduling impose risks for medical secretaries, health information managers, and other support roles. Chatbots handling customer service tasks could displace human call center workers. As clerical tasks get automated, providers may employ fewer administrators and assistants. To an extent, the labor efficiencies promised by AI are a feature, not a bug. Technology has long displaced certain human jobs while creating new, often better ones and driving economic growth. But the transition can be rocky. And medicine risks losing humanity if too many human roles get removed or deskilled without great care.

Realizing AI's upside while minimizing harm will require foresight and wisdom. Impacted workers need training in new roles and skills—for example, radiologists learning to supervise rather than perform imaging diagnostics. Education reforms should develop clinicians skilled in directing care while collaborating with AI. New jobs will arise, like training and curating data for medical algorithms. We must ensure smooth transitions for displaced workers through policy. With proper safeguards, medical AI can augment human clinicians rather than replace them. But neglecting to carefully guide this transformation risks harming patients and providers. As AI alters medical jobs, sustaining quality, ethics and purpose must remain the north star.

5. KEY AREAS OF MEDICINE PRIMED FOR AUTOMATION

5.1 Diagnostic Specialties Like Pathology, Radiology, and Dermatology

Among the medical specialties most poised for transformation by AI are those involved in interpreting visual data for diagnostic purposes – namely pathology, radiology, and dermatology. Companies are rapidly developing AI systems that automate tasks like analyzing tissue slides, medical images, and skin lesions to detect disease. These technologies promise greater efficiency, accuracy, and consistency relative to human specialists in identifying potential tumors, fractures, and skin conditions.

In pathology, AI-enabled computer vision can rapidly scan digital slides of tissue samples to identify and classify cancer cells, microorganisms, and other microscopic abnormalities difficult for humans to consistently discern. Startups like Paige and PathAI have built deep learning algorithms that classify disease in complex tissue images with high reproducibility. By automating tedious slide analysis to flag areas of concern, AI systems may boost pathologists' diagnostic speed, accuracy and capacity.

Similarly, AI can automate analysis of the millions of medical images produced annually from X-rays, CT scans, MRIs and other modalities. Algorithms can highlight possible tumors, fractures, pneumonia, and other findings in images for radiologists to review. Researchers have developed AI models that analyze chest X-rays for lung cancer with greater sensitivity than human radiologists. Enlitic and other startups offer AI technologies to augment radiology workflows by handling triage and quantification. With AI support, radiologists can focus their expertise on the most challenging cases.



In dermatology, smartphone apps like SkinVision enable patients to self-screen potential skin cancers by analyzing skin lesion images. More advanced AI can rival experienced dermatologists at classifying melanoma. Stanford researchers created an AI system that distinguished skin cancer from benign lesions better than board-certified dermatologists. After training on tens of thousands of lesion images, the algorithm recognizes morphological patterns too subtle for the human eye. By expanding access to diagnostic-quality skin checks, such innovations promise earlier cancer detection.

However, thought leaders caution that AI should complement, not replace, human specialists. Pathologists must validate tissue diagnoses. Radiologists need to confirm incidental findings. Dermatologists should judge ambiguous skin lesions. And doctors must counsel patients on results. With responsible implementation, automation can amplify access, efficiency and accuracy while keeping human skill, discretion and compassion central to the diagnostic process. Looking ahead, integrating AI across diagnostic specialties could enable unprecedented insight. For example, joint analysis of pathology slides, medical imaging and genomics on a case could enhance precision. As computing power and interoperability grow, integrative AI diagnostics could become a pillar of modern medicine.

5.2 Drug Recommendations and Prescription Automation

With advanced algorithms capable of synthesizing population data, pharmaceutical knowledge, and patient parameters, AI promises major strides in optimizing drug recommendations and prescription automation. Startups and tech giants are developing AI systems aimed at identifying the best medication regimens for each patient based on scientific evidence, real-world outcomes, genetics, costs, and other variables. Leading the charge is IBM Watson Health, whose AI platform analyzes individual patient data against medical research and guidelines to predict drug responses and recommend customized treatment plans. The goal is to move medicine away from trial-and-error prescribing toward precision regimens tailored to each disease and patient. Early applications of Watson in oncology have shown promise.

Researchers are also applying machine learning to comb through massive datasets from journals, trials, electronic records, and insurance claims to determine optimal medication choices for patients with certain disease characteristics. Models can synthesize evidence that no single doctor could match to suggest the safest, most effective options. Beyond drug recommendations, AI automation is entering prescribing workflows to reduce errors and unnecessary work. Startups like MedAware and Ensocare employ algorithms to scan patients' records and detect improper drug combinations that may have slipped past human prescribers. Such tools act as a safety net to prevent adverse events.

Further along the automation spectrum, companies are developing robot pharmacists capable of handling prescription dispensing. Equipped with barcode scanners, AI software, and digital drug catalogs, these robots can accurately fill and package prescriptions at high speed without human pharmacists. Walgreens and others are testing robotic dispensing systems to automate the routine aspects of pharmacy operations while keeping pharmacists available for oversight. Looking ahead, fully automated "robopharmacies" could enable patients to interact with an AI chatbot, get diagnosed by a suite of digital diagnostic tools, receive a personalized prescription regimen recommendation from an algorithm, and have medications directly dispensed by a robot – no human required. While still speculative, rapidly advancing capabilities make such levels of prescription automation plausible.



However, most experts stress AI should be an assistive rather than disruptive technology in pharmacotherapy. Doctors must validate AI advice using clinical judgment. Pharmacists provide oversight of automated dispensing and counsel patients. AI should act as a collaborator, not a replacement, for human expertise. With thoughtful integration, prescription automation offers enormous potential gains in efficiency, accuracy, and cost while expanding pharmacists' capacity to serve in higher-level roles. But maintaining the human touch remains vital.

5.3 Medical Billing and Administration

Of the many tasks involved in running healthcare organizations, medical billing and administrative functions are among the prime candidates for AI automation. Automating repetitive, rule-based paperwork offers significant potential efficiency gains and cost savings in healthcare's bureaucratic domains. Medical billing is ripe for modernization with AI. Currently, complex coding for insurance claims is still done manually by billing specialists, resulting in frequent errors and rejected claims that slow payments. But natural language processing algorithms can automatically read medical charts and transcriptions and accurately extract billable diagnoses, procedures, comorbidities and other coding parameters.

Startups like DeepScribe and Notable Health employ advanced NLP to generate billing codes and streamline documentation, reducing denied claims. CloudMedx estimates its AI billing solution cuts documentation costs by 80% and claim rejection rates by 90%. Automating coding and claims promises major administrative savings. Similarly, chatbots and conversational AI can provide customer support to patients for billing questions, appointment booking, prescription refills, and other routine queries. Healthcare organizations can deploy virtual assistants on websites and mobile apps to handle common administrative tasks at low cost.

Process automation can also optimize clinical workflows surrounding documentation, care coordination, and messaging. Collecting patient intake forms, tracking referrals, corresponding about tests, and monitoring follow-up remotely can be facilitated by AI-powered platforms. Startups like Luma Health and TensorIoT offer such solutions, reducing administrative bottlenecks. At a larger scale, AI can help manage operations in healthcare systems by forecasting utilization, optimizing staffing, ensuring regulatory compliance, and monitoring performance. For instance, AI scheduling software factors in historical data to improve appointment time predictions and staff allocation. Meanwhile, machine learning techniques can spot anomalies and patterns in large datasets to identify waste, fraud or misuse.

While cutting administrative costs is beneficial, automating billing and operations raises concerns if done carelessly. Handing too much influence to AI could lead to unethical practices geared towards profits over patients. Algorithms designed primarily to maximize billing may be exploited in dangerous ways without adequate safeguards and human oversight. And poor IT system design can introduce new inefficiencies. Automation should focus first on improving care, not revenue. Applied judiciously, medical AI can remove drudgery for staff and patients alike to optimize efficiency. But it should be thoughtfully implemented to augment, not replace, essential human roles in healthcare administration. People, not technology, should guide the mission and ethics of medicine. With responsible design, clinical and administrative functions can mutually benefit from intelligent automation.



6. THE OUTLOOK FOR HUMAN DOCTORS IN THE AGE OF AI

6.1 How Doctor Roles May Change and Evolve

The rise of AI will substantially reshape the roles and responsibilities of human doctors in the coming decades. Experts predict that AI will automate certain clinical tasks like diagnostic testing and medication dispensing, while also augmenting human abilities in areas like analyzing data and providing empathetic care. Doctors' roles will likely evolve to focus more on directing patient care and providing human judgment rather than technical procedures. In specialties dependent on interpreting visual data like radiology, pathology, and dermatology, AI will likely take over routine diagnostic evaluations. For example, algorithms will analyze the bulk of medical images and tissue samples to detect abnormalities, with human specialists reviewing and providing the final diagnoses. This automation of repetitive tasks will enable doctors to focus on more challenging cases. AI clinical decision support systems will also impact medical roles. Algorithms analyzing patient data may provide doctors with diagnostic and treatment recommendations. While physicians will not be replaced by these tools, their role in direct clinical analysis may diminish as they increasingly leverage AI insights. Doctors will need to learn to collaborate effectively with AI.

With intelligent automation handling some mundane tasks like lab tests and medication dispensing, doctors may take on more advisory and oversight responsibilities—reviewing AI recommendations, validating results, counseling patients, and providing quality control. The human clinician's role as the ultimate decision-maker for integrating insights will remain vital. Doctors may also increasingly serve as conductors of care, coordinating inputs from various AI systems and specialists. For instance, primary care providers may oversee an ensemble of home monitoring sensors, specialist consultations, AI diagnostic aids, and telehealth platforms for each patient. They will synthesize insights to create integrated care plans.

Importantly, human skills like building trust, understanding psychology, and providing comfort will become more central to the doctor's role. While AI excels at pattern recognition and data analysis, human doctors maintain irreplaceable abilities to console, relate to, and advise patients. The medical profession's caretaker role may be elevated in the AI age. In summary, AI will shoulder more technical aspects of medicine, but human doctors will remain central—providing specialized skills, judgment, and human connection. With proper training and collaboration, AI could free up doctors to focus more fully on being empathetic healers and advisors to their patients during vulnerable times, rather than distracted technicians. Medical schools must evolve curricula to prepare students for this changing landscape. But if done thoughtfully, integrating emerging technologies while centering humanistic care could make medicine both more effective and humane.

6.2 Importance of Human Skills Like Empathy and the Human Touch

While medical AI offers many benefits, experts argue that technology can never fully replace the irreplaceable human abilities that make great doctors – compassion, emotional intelligence, integrity, and the human touch. These skills and values will become only more vital as medicine integrates emerging technologies. Medicine is not just a science, but also an art rooted in human relationships. The intimate doctor–patient bond, built on trust and compassion, enables healing. Patients reveal fears, hopes, and secrets to doctors in ways they would not to algorithms. Human clinicians discern insights through empathy that big data misses – noticing fear behind anger, injecting humor to calm nerves, or simply conveying presence through thoughtful silence. Medicine addresses the whole person.



AI and robots may support medicine with information and efficiency, but the essence of the medical profession rests on human capacities like understanding suffering, counseling through decisions, and providing comfort during vulnerability. Patients ultimately want to be treated with dignity, care, and wisdom by a trusted fellow human, not a clever machine. While diagnostics, predictions, and administrative functions get increasingly automated, human clinicians will remain irreplaceable in holistically caring for unique individuals. The medical profession must rediscover and reassert its spiritual roots and caretaker role. Humanistic skills must feature centrally in evolving medical education.

Further, human integrity will grow more vital as medicine relies heavily on data and algorithms. Doctors grounded in ethics must steward emerging technologies towards benevolent ends. Clinicians should approach AI thoughtfully, neither rejecting it outright nor embracing it blindly. With wisdom and oversight, they must ensure algorithms enhance rather than distort medical ethics and purpose. Additionally, diversity and new participant voices will be crucial to develop AI equitably and avoid bias. Medicine should draw on human experiences and cultures that technology overlooks. Human discretion and responsibility must govern automation. Of course, practicing wise judgment and compassion takes time and presence. As workflows automate, doctors must retain latitude to truly know their patients as people. Quality care means never sacrificing humanity. In summary, the future doctor's greatest assets in the AI age may not reside in information, but rather in being fully human – connecting to and guiding people with empathy, integrity, and care in their most vulnerable moments. If technology displaces certain medical tasks, the essence of medicine as a profoundly human profession must be elevated, not diminished. With this balance, medicine can evolve to integrate science and technology, while deepening humanistic skills that honor our shared humanity.

6.3 Need for Doctor–AI Collaboration Rather Than Pure Replacement

While AI has transformative potential in medicine, most experts stress technology should collaborate with rather than replace human doctors. Neither humans nor AI are sufficient alone. Instead, thought leaders advocate for integrative approaches where AI technologies enhance clinical capabilities while doctors provide wisdom, oversight, and the human touch. Pure automation risks many pitfalls—programs that misdiagnose due to poor design or biased data, that lack human common sense to recognize unusual cases, or that miss the psychosocial dimensions of illness. Yet the complexity of medicine surpasses lone human capacities as well. A prudent path lies in combining strengths while mitigating limitations.

Well-implemented AI can augment clinicians by automating repetitive tasks, surfacing insights from huge datasets, flagging potential diagnoses or errors, and guiding best evidence-based practices. This liberates doctors to focus on the highest-value work of holistic care. Meanwhile, human professionals provide oversight, validation, and connection. Collaborative models retain doctors' roles while reallocating labor appropriately between humans and technology. For instance, in specialties like radiology and pathology, AI can perform initial diagnostic scans of images and tissues, with human specialists following up on flagged findings. Algorithms surface cases of concern rather than fully replace specialists.

Likewise, AI clinical decision tools should offer input to inform physicians' judgment rather than dictate action. Doctors integrate recommendations with their expertise to make nuanced care decisions. Patients provide essential feedback and preferences as well. The human clinician synthesizes AI and subjective insights to personalize care, not simply implement technology's answers. Importantly, doctors gain deeper knowledge of AI capabilities and limitations through collaboration, nurturing appropriate trust and skepticism. Blind faith in algorithms leads to misuse, while Luddite-esque rejection forgoes benefits. But



regular interactions enable synergistic understanding. This collaborative approach recognizes the irreplaceable gifts of human clinicians—compassion, creativity, ethics—while benefiting from technology's strengths like analytics. Neither holds a monopoly on healthcare truth. But together, they multiply one another's potential to provide personalized, holistic healing. Of course, integrating doctors and AI requires evolving medical education and developing suitable legal, ethical frameworks. Successful collaboration hinges on mutual understanding of each other's abilities. But thoughtfully implemented, a collaborative model combining the best of human and machine could elevate medicine.

7. CONCLUSION

7.1 Summary of key Arguments

The integration of AI and automation into medicine holds enormous transformative potential, both for better and for worse. Responsible implementation will be critical to realize the benefits while navigating the risks. Several key arguments warrant reflection.

Firstly, medicine appears primed for disruption by these exponentially advancing technologies. AI and robotics promise improved predictive modeling, diagnostic accuracy, personalized treatments, and intelligent workflows. However, challenges remain around data quality, algorithmic bias, and misuse or overuse of technology.

Secondly, certain medical specialties seem particularly suited for transformation through automation. Radiology, pathology, dermatology and other visual diagnostic fields may offload routine work to AI while concentrating human expertise on challenging cases. Meanwhile, AI could optimize complex medication prescribing and automate pharmacy dispensing tasks. Billing and administrative processes also stand to gain efficiency via intelligent systems.

However, drawbacks like job losses, devaluation of human skills, and patient harms must be weighed carefully, not ignored in blind pursuit of progress. Medicine cannot be reduced solely to lab tests, images and data analytics. Human observation, wisdom and relationships will remain integral to quality care.

Thirdly, doctors' roles will likely evolve to focus less on procedures and analysis, as AI handles more technical tasks. But human clinicians will stay central as empathetic diagnosticians, holistic care advisors, and ethical stewards of emerging technologies for the benefit of patients. Medicine must continue elevating humanistic skills and purpose alongside scientific progress.

In summary, integrating human expertise with AI thoughtfully, rather than crudely automating medicine through technology, will likely yield the greatest benefits. If developed responsibly and applied judiciously, AI could make healthcare more predictive, preventive, precise and humane. But we must take care to place human needs, ethics and dignity first in this transformation. Medicine will thrive when technology and humanity complements one another in the service of healing.

7.2 Discussion of Ideal Balance Between AI Efficiency and Human Connection in Medicine

As medicine undergoes technological transformation, retaining the right equilibrium between AI-driven efficiency and human connection will be imperative for high-quality, ethical care. How can we thoughtfully integrate emerging capabilities while preserving the essence of compassionate healing? Ideal healthcare leverages technology to amplify human strengths and purpose, rather than replace them. With proper



implementation, AI and automation can handle repetitive tasks, complex data analysis, and certain predictable processes efficiently. This frees up clinicians to focus more fully on holistic patient care.

Yet certain irreplaceable roles must remain human-centered. Medical education should continue developing clinicians' specialized skills in diagnosis, counseling, and human understanding that AI cannot replicate. We must sustain intimate doctor-patient relationships where patients feel known, understood, and treated with dignity. Likewise, human discretion and ethics should drive clinical decision-making and oversight of technology. Medicine is more than data science—it requires compassion, wisdom, and trust. Patients seek care from flesh-and-blood professionals who relate to them personally, not algorithms. At the same time, new technologies can enhance the human dimensions of medicine when thoughtfully implemented. For instance, AI can help clinicians spend less time on medical records and more time truly connecting with patients. And leveraging data-driven insights allows doctors to provide more personalized care.

But certain risks require caution. Overdependent doctors may forfeit their own judgment and humanity, treating patients as datasets rather than people. Economic motives could spur unethical practices or privacy violations in the name of efficiency. And job losses may remove human roles essential for compassionate care. In essence, the practice of medicine must grow more technologically mature yet remain profoundly human-centered. With wisdom and care, clinicians can steward emerging tools to amplify ethical human purposes, not undermine them. By keeping the human relationships and specialized skills in medicine central while intelligently integrating science and technology, care could become both more advanced and more humane. For all its progress, medicine must never sever its roots in humanity. If guided by this balance, medicine's future looks bright.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dave, M., & Patel, N. (2023, May 26). Artificial intelligence in healthcare and education - British Dental Journal. Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-023-5845-2>
- [2] Alowais, S. A., Alghamdi, S. S., Alsuhebany, N., Alqahtani, T., Alshaya, A. I., Almohareb, S. N., Aldairem, A., Alrashed, M., Saleh, K. B., Badreldin, H. A., Al Yami, M. S., Harbi, S. A., & Albekairy, A. M. (2023, September 22). Revolutionizing healthcare: the role of artificial intelligence in clinical practice - BMC Medical Education. BioMed Central. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04698-z>
- [3] Dr. A. Shaji George. (2023). Bridging the Touch Gap: Developing E-Skin for Long-Distance Physical Connection. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication, 1(1), 67–81. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10035230>
- [4] F. (2023, May 2). What is AI in healthcare? Pros, cons & applications - FutureLearn. FutureLearn. <https://info/blog/what-is-ai-in-healthcare>
- [5] Dr. A. Shaji George, & A. S. Hovan George. (2023). The Rise of Robotic Children: Implications for Family, Caregiving, and Society. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication, 1(1), 82–101. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10045270>
- [6] Arsene, C. (2023, November 3). Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare: the future is amazing - Healthcare Weekly. Healthcare Weekly. <https://healthcareweekly.com/artificial-intelligence-in-healthcare/>
- [7] Briganti, G., & Moine, O. L. (2020, January 17). Artificial Intelligence in Medicine: Today and Tomorrow. Frontiers. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.00027>
- [8] A. S. Hovan George, Aakifa Shahul, & Dr. A. Shaji George. (2023). Wearable Sensors: A New Way to Track Health and Wellness. Partners Universal International Innovation Journal, 1(4), 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8260879>
- [9] Kovalenko, O. (2021, April 26). 12 Real-World Machine Learning Projects in Healthcare | SPD Technology. Software Product Development Company. <https://spd.tech/machine-learning/machine-learning-in-healthcare/>



- [10] Artificial Intelligence in Medicine | IBM. (n.d.). Artificial Intelligence in Medicine | IBM. <https://www.ibm.com/topics/artificial-intelligence-medicine>
- [11] A. S. Hovan George, & Dr. A. Shaji George. (2023). Plugging into the Human Genome: The Potential of Electrogenetics for Wearable Medical Devices. Partners Universal International Innovation Journal, 1(4), 219–230. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8281821>
- [12] Mae, E. (2023, August 16). How Has Medicine Changed In The Last 100 Years: Evolution And Advances In Healthcare - Coloringfolder.com. Coloringfolder.com. <https://coloringfolder.com/how-has-medicine-changed-in-the-last-100-years/>
- [13] The Transformative Potential of AI in Healthcare. (2023, September 20). Prompt Engineering. <https://promptengineering.org/the-transformative-potential-of-ai-in-healthcare/>
- [14] Li, M., Jiang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Zhu, H. (2023, November 7). Medical image analysis using deep learning algorithms. PubMed Central (PMC). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1273253>
- [15] Zellers, A. (2023, June 8). The Benefits of AI in Healthcare & 8 Major AI Types in 2023. ChartRequest. <https://chartrequest.com/benefits-of-ai-in-healthcare-2023/>
- [16] How To Reduce Healthcare Cost Using Technology. (n.d.). How to Reduce Healthcare Cost Using Technology. <https://www.tripleaimsoftware.com/how-to-reduce-healthcare-cost-using-technology/>
- [17] S. (2023, December 6). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Healthcare - Harmony Hustle. Harmony Hustle. <https://harmonyhustle.com/2023/12/06/the-impact-of-artificial-intelligence-on-healthcare-128/>
- [18] How Human Skills Can Set You Apart in a Competitive Job Market. (2023, May 6). interObservers. <https://interobservers.com/human-skills-essential-for-workplace-success/>
- [19] Svekis, L. (2023, September 26). The Balance of AI and Human Abilities Mental WellBeing AI and Technology Event September 27 2023 - Learn to Code Tutorials Resources Tips Google Apps Script JavaScript Web Development. <https://basescripts.com/the-balance-of-ai-and-human-abilities-mental-wellbeing-ai-and-technology-event-september-27-2023>