

Homework Assignment-10

1. Rewrite COMPUTE-TRANSITION-FUNCTION (page 1001 of CLRS 3) using Prefix[i] to compute the transition function in time $O(\# \text{pattern} \cdot |\Sigma|)$. (Remember in the text m is used for what the note calls $\# \text{pattern}$).

We get one more match if the next character 'a' matches.

$$\text{so } \delta(q, a) = q + 1$$

Otherwise we go back to state Prefix[q] and retrieve the state $\delta(\text{Prefix}[q], a)$.

Algorithm:

COMPUTE-TRANSITION-FUNCTION-MOD(P, Σ)

1. $m = P.\text{length}$
2. for $q = 0$ to $\# \text{pattern}$ do
3. for each character $a \in \Sigma$ do
4. if $q < \# \text{pattern}$ and $a == P[q+1]$ then
5. $\delta(q, a) = q + 1$
6. else
7. $\delta(q, a) = \delta(\text{Prefix}[q], a)$

8. end if

9. end for

10. end for

11. return δ

In line 2 and line 3, the loop executes

$O(\# \text{pattern})$ and $O(|\Sigma|)$ times respectively.

Thus the running time is $O(\# \text{pattern} \cdot |\Sigma|)$.

2. There are four places in the discussion of correctness in KMP lecture notes that "are left to the reader" (two on page 4, top - middle of the page; two on page 5, lower middle of the page). Fill in those details.

Assertion $P : 0 \leq m \leq \# \text{pattern}$

$\wedge 0 \leq n \leq \# \text{text}$

$\wedge \text{notbegin}(0, n-m-1)$

$\wedge \text{pattern}[0 \dots m-1] = \text{text}[n-m \dots n-1],$

where $\text{notbegin}(t, u)$ is an abbreviation

for "pattern does not occur in text beginning in $\text{text}[t \dots u]$ ". Assertion P holds trivially for $n=m=0$.

a) line 6 of $\text{match}()$: return $n-m$ // a match

From the assertion P , that $\text{pattern}[0 \dots m-1] = \text{text}[n-m \dots n-1]$. If $m = \# \text{pattern}$, then

the pattern is $[0 \dots \# \text{pattern}-1] = \text{text}[n-\# \text{pattern} \dots n-1]$.

That is $\text{Pattern} = \text{text}[n-\# \text{pattern} \dots n-1]$

The string is found in the text starting at position $n-m$, and it exactly returns the same $n-m$.

b) line 8 of `match()`: `return -1 // no match.`

from the assertion P, $m \leq \# \text{pattern}$.

If line 8 is executed, then we get $m \leq \# \text{pattern}$

The condition $n = \# \text{text}$ means that we have reached the end of the whole text. At this point, the number of matched characters is strictly less than that of Pattern.

~~Then~~ So we will fail to find a match of Pattern in Text, so it returns -1.

c) line 6 of `prefix()`: `if (Prefix[i] == -1)`

If ~~the~~ `Prefix[i] != -1`, it means that the

`Prefix[i]` has been precomputed and

~~and~~ we have to retrieve it.

d) line 8 of `prefix()`: `Prefix[i] = 0`

If $i = 1$, then we have to compute the length of the longest prefix of a single character `pattern[0]`. By definition it is an empty string. Therefore it returns the length of the empty string 0.

- 3). Show how to use extend to precompute all the values in the array $\text{Prefix}[i]$ - that is, to do the job of CLRS's COMPUTE-PREFIX-FUNCTION on page 1006. Prove that your algorithm terminates and is correct. Analyse the amount of time required.

We can use extend to compute all values in the array $\text{Prefix}[i]$.

Algorithm:

COMPUTE - PREFIX - FUNCTION - MOD (String Pattern)

1. let $\text{Prefix}[1 \dots \# \text{pattern}]$ be a new array.
2. $\text{Prefix}[1] = 0$
3. for $q = 2$ to $\# \text{pattern}$ do
4. $\text{Prefix}[q] = \text{extend}(P, \text{Prefix}[q-1], P[q-1])$
5. end for
6. return Prefix .

In this algorithm we are setting $\text{Prefix}[1] = 0$ and the array $\text{Prefix}[i]$ is computed from $i = 2$ to $\# \text{pattern}$. Since $\text{Prefix}[i]$ is computed in ascending order, $\text{Prefix}[i-1]$ is pre-computed when we compute $\text{Prefix}[i]$. $\text{Prefix}[i-1]$ is precomputed, and the next character in the pattern, extend , can be invoked to compute $\text{Prefix}[i]$.

The algorithm terminates since extend terminates, according to the lecture notes.

The total amount of time to compute values in the array Prefix telescopes to

$$2 \times \# \text{pattern} + \text{Prefix}[1] - \text{Prefix}[\# \text{pattern}]$$

$2 \times \# \text{pattern} + \text{Prefix}[1] - \text{Prefix}[\# \text{pattern}]$,
which is $O(\# \text{pattern})$.

The total running time is $O(\# \text{pattern})$

4. Professor Reingold claims that if we memoize the function `extend` (rather than `prefix`) you are constructing the FSA rather than the shift function. Is this claim correct? Explain.

Yes, Professor Reingold's claim is correct.

The state set Q is $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$.

The start state q_0 is state 0.

m is the only accepting state.

For any state q and character a , the transition function is defined by

$$\delta(q, a) = \text{extend}(\text{pattern}, q, a)$$

References:

homepages.math.wic.edu

stackoverflow.com/questions/10444596

staff.ustc.edu.cn

www.ics.uci.edu