```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

In [2]:

from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer

In [3]:

cancer_data = load_breast_cancer()

In [4]:

cancer_data.keys()

Out[4]:

dict_keys(['data', 'target', 'target_names', 'DESCR', 'feature_names', 'filename'])
```

```
In [5]:
```

print(cancer_data['DESCR'])

.. breast cancer dataset:

Breast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset

Data Set Characteristics:

:Number of Instances: 569

:Number of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and t he class

:Attribute Information:

- radius (mean of distances from center to points on the p erimeter)
 - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values)
 - perimeter
 - area
 - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)
 - compactness (perimeter^2 / area 1.0)
 - concavity (severity of concave portions of the contour)
 - concave points (number of concave portions of the contou

r)

- symmetry
- fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" 1)

The mean, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three $\,$

largest values) of these features were computed for each i mage,

resulting in 30 features. For instance, field 3 is Mean R adius, field $\,$

13 is Radius SE, field 23 is Worst Radius.

- class:
 - WDBC-Malignant
 - WDBC-Benign

:Summary Statistics:

	=====	=====
	Min	Max
	=====	=====
radius (mean):	6.981	28.11
texture (mean):	9.71	39.28
<pre>perimeter (mean):</pre>	43.79	188.5
area (mean):	143.5	2501.0
<pre>smoothness (mean):</pre>	0.053	0.163
compactness (mean):	0.019	0.345
<pre>concavity (mean):</pre>	0.0	0.427
<pre>concave points (mean):</pre>	0.0	0.201
<pre>symmetry (mean):</pre>	0.106	0.304
fractal dimension (mean):	0.05	0.097
radius (standard error):	0.112	2.873
texture (standard error):	0.36	4.885
perimeter (standard error):	0.757	21.98
area (standard error):	6.802	542.2
<pre>smoothness (standard error):</pre>	0.002	0.031
<pre>compactness (standard error):</pre>	0.002	0.135

concavity (standard error): 0.0 0.396 fractal dimension (standard error): 0.001 0.03 radius (worst): 7.93 36.04 texture (worst): 12.02 49.54 50.41 251.2 perimeter (worst): 185.2 4254.0 area (worst): smoothness (worst): 0.071 0.223 0.027 1.058 compactness (worst): concavity (worst): 0.0 1.252 concave points (worst): 0.0 0.291 symmetry (worst): 0.156 0.664 fractal dimension (worst): 0.055 0.208

:Missing Attribute Values: None

:Class Distribution: 212 - Malignant, 357 - Benign

:Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Man gasarian

:Donor: Nick Street

:Date: November, 1995

This is a copy of UCI ML Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) data sets.

https://goo.gl/U2Uwz2

Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They describe characteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.

Separating plane described above was obtained using Multisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Decision Tree Construction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th Midwest Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, pp. 97-101, 1992], a classification method which uses linear programming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features were selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4 features and 1-3 separating planes.

The actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane in the 3-dimensional space is that described in: [K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear Programming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets", Optimization Methods and Software 1, 1992, 23-34].

This database is also available through the UW CS ftp server:

ftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu
cd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/

.. topic:: References

- W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extraction $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symp

```
osium on
```

Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, page s 861-870,

San Jose, CA, 1993.

- O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnosis and

prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-577,

July-August 1995.

- W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machine lear ning techniques

to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cancer Letters 77 (1994) 163-171.

In [6]:

```
df=pd.DataFrame(cancer_data['data'], columns=cancer_data['feature_names'])
```

In [7]:

```
df.head()
```

Out[7]:

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	sym
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	0.27760	0.3001	0.14710	(
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	0.07864	0.0869	0.07017	(
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	0.15990	0.1974	0.12790	(
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	0.28390	0.2414	0.10520	(
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	0.13280	0.1980	0.10430	(

5 rows × 30 columns

In [8]:

```
#df.isnull().sum()
```

MinMax Scaler

In [9]:

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import MinMaxScaler
```

In [10]:

```
min_max_scaler = MinMaxScaler()
```

```
In [11]:
min max scaler.fit(df)
Out[11]:
MinMaxScaler(copy=True, feature range=(0, 1))
In [12]:
minmax scaled data=min max scaler.transform(df)
In [13]:
minmax scaled data[:1,:]
Out[13]:
array([[0.52103744, 0.0226581 , 0.54598853, 0.36373277, 0.5937528
        0.7920373 , 0.70313964, 0.73111332, 0.68636364, 0.6055181
1,
        0.35614702, 0.12046941, 0.3690336, 0.27381126, 0.1592956
5,
        0.35139844, 0.13568182, 0.30062512, 0.31164518, 0.1830424
4,
        0.62077552, 0.14152452, 0.66831017, 0.45069799, 0.6011358
4,
        0.61929156, 0.56861022, 0.91202749, 0.59846245, 0.4188639
6]])
Standard Normalization
In [14]:
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
In [15]:
scaler = StandardScaler()
In [16]:
```

StandardScaler(copy=True, with mean=True, with std=True)

scaler.fit(df)

Out[16]:

```
In [17]:
```

```
df.head()
```

Out[17]:

	mean radius	mean texture	mean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness	mean compactness	mean concavity	mean concave points	sym
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840	0.27760	0.3001	0.14710	(
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474	0.07864	0.0869	0.07017	(
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960	0.15990	0.1974	0.12790	(
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250	0.28390	0.2414	0.10520	(
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030	0.13280	0.1980	0.10430	(

5 rows × 30 columns

In [18]:

```
scaled_data=scaler.transform(df)
```

In [19]:

```
scaled_data[:1,:]
```

Out[19]:

```
array([[ 1.09706398, -2.07333501, 1.26993369, 0.9843749 , 1.568 46633, 3.28351467, 2.65287398, 2.53247522, 2.21751501, 2.255 74689, 2.48973393, -0.56526506, 2.83303087, 2.48757756, -0.214 00165, 1.31686157, 0.72402616, 0.66081994, 1.14875667, 0.907 08308, 1.88668963, -1.35929347, 2.30360062, 2.00123749, 1.307 68627, 2.61666502, 2.10952635, 2.29607613, 2.75062224, 1.937 01461]])
```

In [20]:

```
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
```

In [21]:

```
pca=PCA(n_components = 2)
```

In [22]:

```
pca= pca.fit(scaled_data)
```

In [23]:

```
x_pca=pca.transform(scaled_data)
```

```
In [24]:
scaled_data.shape
Out[24]:
(569, 30)
In [25]:
x_pca.shape
Out[25]:
(569, 2)
In [ ]:
In [26]:
pca2=PCA(n_components = 2)
pca2= pca2.fit(minmax_scaled_data)
x_pca2=pca2.transform(minmax_scaled_data)
In [27]:
minmax_scaled_data.shape
Out[27]:
(569, 30)
In [28]:
x_pca2.shape
Out[28]:
(569, 2)
In [ ]:
```