The goal of the SOLID set of five object-oriented design principles is to improve the readability, flexibility, and maintainability of software systems. Robert C. Martin, often known as Uncle Bob, introduced these ideas, which are now essential ideas in software engineering.

- 1. The Single Responsibility Principle (SRP): This principle emphasizes that a class should have only one duty, or one cause to change. A class becomes more challenging to comprehend, maintain, and reuse if it has multiple responsibilities.
- 2. The Open/Closed Principle (OCP) proposes that classes need to be closed for modification but open for expansion. To put it another way, a class's behavior ought to be expandable without requiring changes to the source code.
- 3.) The Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP) posits that the program's validity is unaffected when substituting objects of a superclass with those of its subclasses. Stated otherwise, subclasses ought to be interchangeable with their base classes.
- 4.) The fourth principle is the Interface Segregation Principle (ISP), which says that clients shouldn't be made to rely on interfaces they don't use. It promotes the development of cohesive, compact interfaces as opposed to massive, monolithic ones
- 5.) The Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP) posits that abstractions should be the mutually exclusive basis for high-level and low-level modules. Furthermore, details should depend on abstractions rather than the other way around. This aids in the decoupling of modules, improving the flexibility and maintainability of

the code.

1. Single Responsibility Principle (SRP):

Imagine a Swiss Army Knife. It has many functions, but each function (like a bottle opener or a screwdriver) has its own purpose. A class in Java should be similar.

Bad Example (Violates SRP):

```
public class User {

  public void register(String username, String password) {
     // Registration logic
  }

  public void login(String username, String password) {
     // Login logic
  }

  public void displayProfile() {
     // Profile display logic
  }
}
```

This User class has multiple responsibilities: registration, login, and profile display. A change in any functionality would require modifying this class.

Good Example (Following SRP):

```
public class UserService {

  public void register(String username, String password) {
     // Registration logic
  }

  public boolean login(String username, String password) {
     // Login logic
     return true; // Assuming successful login
   }
}

public class UserProfile {
```

```
public void displayProfile(String username) {
    // Profile display logic
  }
}
```

Here, we have separate classes for each responsibility. This makes the code more modular and easier to manage.

2. Open-Closed Principle (OCP):

Think of a building extension. You can add new features (floors) without modifying the existing structure (foundation). Your Java code should be similar.

Bad Example (Violates OCP):

```
public class Shape {
  public void draw() {
     // Draw logic specific to the shape (e.g., circle or square)
  }
}
```

If we need a new shape (e.g., triangle), we'd have to modify the draw method in the shape class.

Good Example (Following OCP):

```
public interface Drawable {
   void draw();
}

public class Circle implements Drawable {
   @Override
   public void draw() {
       // Draw circle logic
   }
}

public class Square implements Drawable {
   @Override
```

```
public void draw() {
    // Draw square logic
}
```

Now, we can add new shapes by creating classes that implement the <code>Drawable</code> interface. This interface defines the <code>draw</code> method without modifying the existing code.

3. Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP):

Imagine a bird and a penguin. A penguin is a bird, but it can't fly. In Java, subclasses should be substitutable for their parent class while adhering to the parent class's expectations.

Bad Example (Violates LSP):

```
public class Animal {
  public void makeSound() {
    System.out.println("Generic animal sound");
  }
}

public class Bird extends Animal {
  @Override
  public void makeSound() {
    System.out.println("Chirping sound");
  }
}

public class Penguin extends Animal {
  // No implementation for makeSound() - penguin cannot chirp
}
```

The Penguin class breaks the LSP because it doesn't provide an expected behavior (makeSound) defined in the parent class Animal.

Good Example (Following LSP):

```
public class Animal {
   public void makeSound() {
     System.out.println("Generic animal sound");
   }
}

public class Bird extends Animal {
   @Override
   public void makeSound() {
     System.out.println("Chirping sound");
   }
}

public class Penguin extends Animal {
   @Override
   public void makeSound() {
     System.out.println("Penguin sounds"); // Provide a sound for penguin }
}
```

Here, Penguin overrides makeSound with its own behavior, adhering to the LSP.

4. Interface Segregation Principle (ISP):

Imagine a gym membership with multiple options (weights, swimming pool, classes). You shouldn't have to pay for features you don't use (e.g., swimming if you only lift weights). In Java, interfaces should be specific and cater to focused functionalities.

Bad Example (Violates ISP):

```
public interface DatabaseOperations {
  void connect();
  void disconnect();
```

```
void createUser(String username, String password);
void deleteUser(String username);
void updateProfile(String username, String newEmail);
void generateReports(); // Not everyone needs this functionality
}
```

This interface forces all functionalities (including report generation) on any class implementing it.

Good Example (Following ISP):

```
public interface ConnectionManager {
   void connect();
   void disconnect();
}

public interface UserManagement {
   void createUser(String username, String password);
   void deleteUser(String username);
   void updateProfile(String username, String newEmail);
}

public interface Reporting {
   void generateReports();
}
```

Here, we have separate interfaces for specific functionalities. A class can implement only the interfaces it needs, promoting loose coupling and better code organization.

5. Dependency Inversion Principle (DIP):

Imagine a light switch that can control different types of lights (incandescent, LED). The switch shouldn't be dependent on the specific light implementation. In Java, high-level modules shouldn't depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.

Bad Example (Violates DIP):

```
public class EmailService {
  public void sendEmail(String recipient, String message) {
    SMTPSender sender = new SMTPSender(); // Directly dependent on concrete implementation
    sender.send(recipient, message);
  }
}
```

The EmailService class is tightly coupled to the SMTPSender class.

Good Example (Following DIP):

```
public interface EmailSender {
  void send(String recipient, String message);
}

public class SMTPSender implements EmailSender {
  @Override
  public void send(String recipient, String message) {
      // Send email using SMTP
  }
}

public class EmailService {
  private EmailSender emailSender;

  public EmailService(EmailSender emailSender) {
      this.emailSender = emailSender;
  }

  public void sendEmail(String recipient, String message) {
      emailSender.send(recipient, message);
  }
}
```

Here, we use an EmailSender interface as an abstraction. The EmailService can now work with any class that implements EmailSender, promoting loose coupling and easier testing.