Machine Learning Model Deployment with IBM Cloud Watson Studio

Team member

732721104015: Dhivya S

Phase 4 Submission Document

DEVELOPMENT PART 2



INTRODUCTION:

- In the second part of our model development journey, we are advancing our customer churn prediction model to new heights.
- Building upon the foundations laid in part 1, this phase focuses on implementing advanced machine learning techniques, hyperparameter tuning, and cross-validation to enhance model performance.
- Feature engineering and addressing class imbalance remain pivotal, and we'll revisit dimensionality reduction techniques like PCA for further optimization.
- Model interpretability takes center stage, allowing us to gain deeper insights into customer behavior.
- Beyond model refinement, we are concentrating on deployment strategies, creating user-friendly interfaces, and prioritizing data privacy and security.
- Our goal is to deliver a robust, interpretable, and accessible solution that
 maximizes its impact on reducing customer churn and business success, ensuring a
 seamless transition from development to deployment.

MODEL BUILDING:

- In the realm of customer churn prediction, this comprehensive model building process stands out as a robust solution to address the challenges posed by imbalanced datasets. The dataset at hand contains critical customer information, and the goal is to predict whether a customer will churn. With the key focus on enhancing the performance of the predictive model, several pivotal steps were taken.
- First, libraries such as pandas, scikit-learn, and imbalanced-learn were imported to empower the model building process. These tools paved the way for data manipulation, resampling, and model evaluation.
- The initial Decision Tree Classifier was constructed, but it became evident that, given the dataset's imbalance, accuracy alone wasn't an ideal metric. The hunt for a more accurate model led to the implementation of SMOTEENN resampling, an ingenious approach that combines over-sampling and under-sampling techniques. The result was a Decision Tree Classifier with remarkable performance. It boasted a striking 93% accuracy rate, thanks to the meticulous fine-tuning of parameters.

Moreover, the recall and precision for both churned and non-churned customers soared, indicating the model's capability to effectively identify potential churners.

- The model building journey didn't stop there. A Random Forest Classifier was enlisted for comparison and, once again, fine-tuned to unleash its full predictive power. This Random Forest Classifier pre-SMOTEENN, and post-SMOTEENN, delivered promising results. The post-SMOTEENN model achieved an impressive accuracy rate of 94% while maintaining balanced recall and precision figures.
- In the pursuit of dimensionality reduction, Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was put to the test, but it didn't yield significant improvements in model performance. Hence, it was the Random Forest Classifier after SMOTEENN resampling that emerged as the model of choice.

Code:

In [1]:

import pandas as pd

from sklearn import metrics

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

from sklearn.metrics import recall_score

from sklearn.metrics import classification_report

from sklearn.metrics **import** confusion_matrix

from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier

from imblearn.combine import SMOTEENN

In [2]:

df=pd.read_csv("ML Model Dataset.csv")
df.head()

Out [2]:

	Unnamed: 0	SeniorCitizen	MonthlyCharges	TotalCharges	Churn	gender_Female	gender_Male	Partner_No	Partner_Yes	Dependents_
0	0	0	29.85	29.85	0	1	0	0	1	
1	1	0	56.95	1889.50	0	0	1	1	0	
2	2	0	53.85	108.15	1	0	1	1	0	
3	3	0	42.30	1840.75	0	0	1	1	0	
4	4	0	70.70	151.65	1	1	0	1	0	

5 rows × 52 columns

In [3]:

df=df.drop('Unnamed: 0',axis=1)

In [4]:

x=df.drop('Churn',axis=1)

Out [4]:

	SeniorCitizen	MonthlyCharges	Total Charges	gender_Female	gender_Male	Partner_No	Partner_Yes	Dependents_No	Dependents
0	0	29.85	29.85	1	0	0	1	1	
1	0	56.95	1889.50	0	1	1	0	1	
2	0	53.85	108.15	0	1	1	0	1	
3	0	42.30	1840.75	0	1	1	0	1	
4	0	70.70	151.65	1	0	1	0	1	
5	0	99.65	820.50	1	0	1	0	1	
6	0	89.10	1949.40	0	1	1	0	0	
7	0	29.75	301.90	1	0	1	0	1	
8	0	104.80	3046.05	1	0	0	1	1	
9	0	56.15	3487.95	0	1	1	0	0	
10	0	49.95	587.45	0	1	0	1	0	
11	0	18.95	326.80	0	1	1	0	1	
12	0	100.35	5681.10	0	1	0	1	1	
13	0	103.70	5036.30	0	1	1	0	1	
14	0	105.50	2686.05	0	1	1	0	1	
15	0	113.25	7895.15	1	0	0	1	0	
16	0	20.65	1022.95	1	0	1	0	1	
17	0	106.70	7382.25	0	1	1	0	0	

•

7014	U	95.05	1079.40	I	U	1	U	
7015	0	44.20	403.35	1	0	1	0	1
7016	0	73.35	931.55	0	1	1	0	1
7017	0	64.10	4326.25	1	0	0	1	1
7018	1	44.40	263.05	1	0	1	0	1
7019	0	20.05	39.25	1	0	1	0	1
7020	1	60.00	3316.10	0	1	0	1	1
7021	1	75.75	75.75	0	1	1	0	1
7022	0	69.50	2625.25	0	1	1	0	1
7023	0	102.95	6886.25	1	0	1	0	1
7024	0	78.70	1495.10	0	1	1	0	1
7025	0	60.65	743.30	1	0	1	0	1
7026	0	21.15	1419.40	1	0	1	0	1
7027	0	84.80	1990.50	0	1	0	1	0
7028	0	103.20	7362.90	1	0	0	1	0
7029	0	29.60	346.45	1	0	0	1	0
7030	1	74.40	306.60	0	1	0	1	1
7031	0	105.65	6844.50	0	1	1	0	1

7032 rows × 50 columns

In [5]:

y=df['Churn']

y **Out[5]:**

```
18
19
20
21
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
7002 0
7003 0
7004 0
7005 0
7006 0
7007 1
7008 0
7009 0
7010 1
7011 0
7012 0
7013 0
7014 0
7015 1
7016 0
7017 0
7018 0
7019 0
7020 0
7021 1
7022 0
7023 1
7024 0
7025 0
7026 0
7027 0
7028 0
7029 0
7030 1
7031 0
Name: Churn, Length: 7032, dtype: int64
```

```
Train Test Split
In [6]:
x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{test}}}} x_{\text{train},x_{\text{test},y_{\text{test}}}} x_{\text{train},y_{\text{test}}} x_{\text{train},y_{\text{tes
Decision Tree Classifier
In [7]:
model_dt=DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "gini",random_state = 100,max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8)
In [8]:
model_dt.fit(x_train,y_train)
Out[8]:
DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8, random_state=100)
In [9]:
y_pred=model_dt.predict(x_test)
y_pred
Out[9]:
array([0, 0, 1, ..., 0, 0, 0], dtype=int64)
In [10]:
model_dt.score(x_test,y_test)
Out[10]:
0.7818052594171997
In [ | 1 ]:
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, labels=[0,1]))
               precision recall fl-score support
                       0.82 0.89 0.86 1023
                       0.63 0.49 0.55 384
                                                          0.78
                                                                            1407
    accuracy
                                     0.73 0.69 0.70
   macro avg
                                                                                                1407
weighted avg 0.77 0.78 0.77 1407
In [12]:
sm = SMOTEENN()
X_{resampled}, y_{resampled} = sm.fit_sample(x,y)
In [13]:
xr_train, xr_test, yr_train, yr_test=train_test_split(X_resampled, y_resampled, test_size=0.2)
In [ | 4]:
model_dt_smote=DecisionTreeClassifier(criterion = "gini",random_state = 100,max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8)
In [15]:
model_dt_smote.fit(xr_train,yr_train)
yr_predict = model_dt_smote.predict(xr_test)
model_score_r = model_dt_smote.score(xr_test, yr_test)
print(model_score_r)
print(metrics.classification_report(yr_test, yr_predict))
0.934412265758092
               precision recall fl-score support
                        0.97 0.88 0.93 540
                       0.91 0.98 0.94
                                                                                     634
                                                          0.93 1174
    accuracy
```

```
0.94 0.93 0.93
 macro avg
weighted avg 0.94 0.93 0.93 1174
In [16]:
print(metrics.confusion_matrix(yr_test, yr_predict))
[[477 63]
[14620]]
Random Forest Classifier
In [17]:
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
In [18]:
model_rf=RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, criterion='gini', random_state=100,max_depth=6,
min_samples_leaf=8)
In [19]:
model_rf.fit(x_train,y_train)
Out[19]:
RandomForestClassifier(max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8, random_state=100)
In [20]:
y_pred=model_rf.predict(x_test)
In [21]:
model_rf.score(x_test,y_test)
Out[21]:
0.7953091684434968
In [22]:
print(classification_report(y_test, y_pred, labels=[0,1]))
     precision recall fl-score support
       0.82 0.92 0.87 1023
       0.69 0.45 0.55
                            384
                   0.80
                         1407
 accuracy
                  0.69 0.71
 macro avg
            0.75
                                1407
weighted avg 0.78 0.80 0.78 1407
In [23]:
sm = SMOTEENN()
X_{resampled1, y_{resampled1} = sm.fit_sample(x,y)}
In [24]:
xr_trainl, xr_testl, yr_trainl, yr_testl=train_test_split(X_resampledl, y_resampledl, test_size=0.2)
In [25]:
model_rf_smote=RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, criterion='gini', random_state=100, max_depth=6,
min_samples_leaf=8)
In [26]:
model_rf_smote.fit(xr_train1,yr_train1)
Out[26]:
RandomForestClassifier(max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8, random_state=100)
In [27]:
yr_predictl = model_rf_smote.predict(xr_testl)
In [28]:
model_score_rl = model_rf_smote.score(xr_test1, yr_test1)
In [29]:
```

```
print(model_score_rl)
print(metrics.classification_report(yr_test1, yr_predict1))
0.9427350427350427
     precision recall fl-score support
       0.95 0.92 0.93 518
       0.94 0.96 0.95 652
                   0.94 1170
 accuracy
 macro avg 0.94 0.94 0.94 1170
weighted avg 0.94 0.94 0.94 1170
In [30]:
print(metrics.confusion_matrix(yr_test1, yr_predict1))
[[478 40]
[27625]]
Performing PCA
In [31]:
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
pca = PCA(0.9)
xr_train_pca = pca.fit_transform(xr_train1)
xr_test_pca = pca.transform(xr_test1)
explained_variance = pca.explained_variance_ratio_
In [32]:
model=RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, criterion='gini', random_state=100,max_depth=6,
min_samples_leaf=8)
In [33]:
model.fit(xr_train_pca,yr_train1)
Out[33]:
RandomForestClassifier(max_depth=6, min_samples_leaf=8, random_state=100)
In [34]:
yr_predict_pca = model.predict(xr_test_pca)
In [35]:
model_score_r_pca = model.score(xr_test_pca, yr_test1)
In [36]:
print(model_score_r_pca)
print(metrics.classification_report(yr_test1, yr_predict_pca))
0.7239316239316239
     precision recall fl-score support
       0.72 0.61 0.66
                            518
       0.72 0.81 0.77 652
                   0.72 1170
 ассигасу
 macro avg 0.72 0.71 0.71 1170
weighted avg 0.72 0.72 1170
```

Pickling the model

In [37]:

```
import pickle
In [ 38 ]:
filename = 'model.sav'
In [ 39 ]:
pickle.dump(model_rf_smote, open(filename, 'wb'))
In [ 40 ]:
load_model = pickle.load(open(filename, 'rb'))
In [ 41 ]:
model_score_rl = load_model.score(xr_testl, yr_testl)
In [ 42 ]:
model_score_rl
Out[ 42 ]:
0.9427350427350427
```

Model Deployment:

Below code showcases the development of a customer churn prediction web application using Flask. It begins by importing essential libraries, including Pandas and Scikit-Learn for data processing and model deployment. The web application allows users to input customer information, which is then utilized to predict the likelihood of a customer churning. A pre-trained Random Forest Classifier model is employed for this task, and the prediction outcome, along with a confidence score, is displayed. This tool is valuable for businesses seeking to identify and retain at-risk customers. To implement it fully, an HTML template ("home.html") for user interaction and Flask routes for handling requests are essential.

```
Code:
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn import metrics
from flask import Flask, request, render_template
import pickle

app = Flask("__name__")

df_l = pd.read_csv("first_telc.csv")

q = ""

@app.route("/")
```

```
def loadPage():
       return render_template('home.html', query="")
@app.route("/", methods=['POST'])
def predict():
 SeniorCitizen
 MonthlyCharges
 TotalCharges
 gender
 Partner
 Dependents
 PhoneService
 MultipleLines
 InternetService
 OnlineSecurity
 OnlineBackup
 DeviceProtection
 TechSupport
 StreamingTV
 StreamingMovies
 Contract
 PaperlessBilling
 PaymentMethod
 tenure
 inputQuery1 = request.form['query1']
 inputQuery2 = request.form['query2']
 inputQuery3 = request.form['query3']
 inputQuery4 = request.form['query4']
 inputQuery5 = request.form['query5']
```

```
inputQuery6 = request.form['query6']
inputQuery7 = request.form['query7']
inputQuery8 = request.form['query8']
inputQuery9 = request.form['query9']
inputQuery I 0 = request.form['query I 0']
inputQuery1 | = request.form['query1 | ']
inputQuery | 2 = request.form['query | 2']
inputQuery13 = request.form['query13']
inputQuery | 4 = request.form['query | 4']
inputQuery | 5 = request.form['query | 5']
inputQuery16 = request.form['query16']
inputQuery17 = request.form['query17']
inputQuery18 = request.form['query18']
inputQuery | 9 = request.form['query | 9']
model = pickle.load(open("model.sav", "rb"))
data = [[inputQuery 1, inputQuery 2, inputQuery 3, inputQuery 4, inputQuery 5, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 7, inputQuery 1, inputQuery 1, inputQuery 1, inputQuery 1, inputQuery 2, inputQuery 3, inputQuery 4, inputQuery 5, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 7, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 7, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 6, inputQuery 7, input
        inputQuery8, inputQuery9, inputQuery10, inputQuery11, inputQuery12, inputQuery13, inputQuery14,
        inputQuery 15, inputQuery 16, inputQuery 17, inputQuery 18, inputQuery 19]]
new_df = pd.DataFrame(data, columns = ['SeniorCitizen', 'MonthlyCharges', 'TotalCharges', 'gender',
                                     'Partner', 'Dependents', 'PhoneService', 'MultipleLines', 'InternetService',
                                     'OnlineSecurity', 'OnlineBackup', 'DeviceProtection', 'TechSupport',
                                     'StreamingTV', 'StreamingMovies', 'Contract', 'PaperlessBilling',
                                     'PaymentMethod', 'tenure'])
df_2 = pd.concat([df_1, new_df], ignore_index = True)
# Group the tenure in bins of 12 months
labels = ["{0} - {1}".format(i, i + 11) for i in range(1, 72, 12)]
df_2['tenure_group'] = pd.cut(df_2.tenure.astype(int), range(1,80,12), right=False, labels=labels)
#drop column customerID and tenure
df_2.drop(columns=['tenure'], axis=1, inplace=True)
```

```
new_df_dummies = pd.get_dummies(df_2[['gender', 'SeniorCitizen', 'Partner', 'Dependents', 'PhoneService', 'Partner', 'Dependents', 'PhoneService', 'Partner', 'Partner', 'Dependents', 'PhoneService', 'Partner', 'Partner
        'MultipleLines', 'InternetService', 'OnlineSecurity', 'OnlineBackup',
        'DeviceProtection', 'TechSupport', 'StreamingTV', 'StreamingMovies',
        'Contract', 'PaperlessBilling', 'PaymentMethod', 'tenure_group']])
#final_df=pd.concat([new_df__dummies, new_dummy], axis=1)
single = model.predict(new_df__dummies.tail(1))
probablity = model.predict_proba(new_df__dummies.tail(1))[:,1]
if single==1:
    ol = "This customer is likely to be churned!!"
    o2 = "Confidence: {}".format(probablity*100)
else:
    ol = "This customer is likely to continue!!"
    o2 = "Confidence: {}".format(probablity*100)
return render_template('home.html', output I = 0 I, output 2 = 02,
                         query! = request.form['query!'],
                         query2 = request.form['query2'],
                         query3 = request.form['query3'],
                         query4 = request.form['query4'],
                         query5 = request.form['query5'],
                         query6 = request.form['query6'],
                         query7 = request.form['query7'],
                         query8 = request.form['query8'],
                         query9 = request.form['query9'],
                         query I 0 = request.form['query I 0'],
                         query | | = request.form['query | | '],
                         query 1 2 = request.form['query 1 2'],
                         query 13 = request.form['query 13'],
                         query 14 = request.form['query 14'],
```

```
query | 5 = request.form['query | 5'],
query | 6 = request.form['query | 6'],
query | 7 = request.form['query | 7'],
query | 8 = request.form['query | 8'],
query | 9 = request.form['query | 9'])
```

app.run()

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the process of model building and deployment is a crucial step in applying machine learning solutions to real-world problems. Through this journey, we began by importing necessary libraries and data, conducted data preprocessing, and employed various machine learning algorithms to build predictive models. We evaluated these models to select the one with the best performance, considering metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score, especially when dealing with imbalanced datasets. Following the model building phase, we integrated deployment into the pipeline, enabling endusers to interact with the model via a web-based interface using Flask. By deploying the chosen model, we make its predictions accessible for practical decision-making, providing valuable insights into the data at hand. This entire process is a fundamental aspect of harnessing the potential of machine learning in real-world applications.