

Recursion → Number of decompositions

128 users solved this problem. Latest completion was 1 day ago.

Hard🕒 17 minutes🔒 Share:

Code Challenge — Write a program

This problem is a bit complicated and require some additional knowledge and googling. You can just skip it if you are a beginner or don't have enough time. But it is a good case to improve your problem solving and algorithmic skills.

Read the integer N ($1 \leq N \leq 40$) from the standard input and list all the decompositions of N into a sum of positive integers. The addends should go in non-ascending order within each decomposition.

Output all decompositions in the lexicographical order.

Please, use a recursive method to write your solution.

Sample Input 1:

5

Sample Output 1:

1 1 1 1 1
2 1 1 1
2 2 1
3 1 1
3 2
4 1
5

Code Editor

IDE



✓ IDE is opened

If you don't see your IDE opened, switch to it manually

Code snippets from theory

Show solutionSkip problem

Time limit: 5 seconds Memory limit: 256 MB

Comments (20)

Hints (1)

Useful links (1)

Solutions (0)

Share something, Sergey Kubatko

Sort by:Last posted

YV **Yuriy Volkovskiy (β)** about 2 months ago Report

I can give you a hint:
Use KISS rule (Keep It Stupid Simple)

♡ 0 Reply

VP **Vladimir Pavlov** about 2 months ago Report

Now I know that recursion is not that I know

now I know that recursion is not that I know

♡ 1 [Reply](#)

P **pilot_error** [3 months ago](#) [Report](#)

I gave up, but to help others, the clue that would have really helped me out was that you should pass a String of your output along as a parameter in your recursive method. (The problem you get for skipping took me 5 minutes.)

```
private static void provideDecomposition(int toDecompose, int max, String out) {
```

♡ 0 [Show all](#) [Reply](#)

MB **Maciej Bystrzyński** [3 months ago](#) [Report](#)

Ok nvm i got it. Wow it was hard.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

DS **Dmitry Sitnikov** [3 months ago](#) [Report](#)

Well, I have another problem with the task)) Despite the task was solved it is shown to me again as a day problem. I can't skip it, but the task hangs as unsolved. I have to interrupt my 44 consecutive days of solving problems of the day(((

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

AV **Angel V. G.** [4 months ago](#) [Report](#)

I have the same problem as @Dmitry

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

DS **Dmitry Sitnikov** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

When I click "Continue" I see two messages - "Please wait. Finding the next activity" and "There are no replacements left so you can not skip the problem". The problem is solved correctly
How can I move on to the next problem?

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

O **olosh ami** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

Whoa, took hours to solve. For those who are looking for hints, try search partitioning, a concept from combinatorics.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

MV **Misha Vyuzh** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

It's really difficult to get started, but the solution is quite simple. I did without recursion. At 0, they also check.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

A **andioz** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

@Hoang There are no negative numbers at all! Only positive, no zero.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

HT **Hoang Trung Nguyen** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

@andioz Are there any cases the number is negative? I'm almost reaching the answer !!

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

A **andioz** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

I have a solution now, but it is much more complicated than the reference. I think would never find that direction from what I learned so far.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

A **andioz** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

Let's say, for a correct but slow implementation: give some extra ideas how to improve speed. And in the definition, it should be clearly stated that performance is a criteria. In general, code challenges like this are very time consuming (at

💡 Code snippets from theory

least for me, I didn't get the right pass in my head), and stops me to continue. I think it is too complicated at all.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

HT **Hoang Trung Nguyen** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

@andioz What is your idea ?

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

A **andioz** [5 months ago](#) [Report](#)

I'm working a whole day for finding a working reference solution. Slow but working, ready for refactoring. Then - "Failed test #2. Time limit exceeded". There is no requirement defined about timing!!! For this there should be more explanation about requirements. I feel not able to improve my code with such statements. I have to say, this kind of tests are really discouraging. You violate the way of TDD here: first make it run (with tests!), and then make it right. You want me to make it right in first place.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

B **BlazingHeart** [7 months ago](#) [Report](#)

Too hard for beginners : (

♡ 2 [Reply](#)

MH **Maksim Hlystov** [8 months ago](#) [Report](#)

It can be better to use StringBuilder instead of strings concatenation.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

AF **Alexander Fotov** [9 months ago](#) [Report](#)

>>example with n >= 10

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

♡ 0 [Show all](#) [Reply](#)

U **usr** [10 months ago](#) [Report](#)

can you share one more example with n >= 10? i failed in test 2 but according to your sample i did right computations.

♡ 0 [Reply](#)

AF **Aleksandr Fedchin** [10 months ago](#) Fixed

Please, change "decompositions of NN into the whole positive addends", to "decompositions of NN into a sum of positive

♡ 0