Annexure - III

Suggested Rubric for Assessment of Micro Project

(The marks may be allotted to the characteristics of the Micro Project by considering the suggested rubrics)

| S. No | Characteristic to be assessed | Poor (Marks 1-3) | Average (Marks 4 - 5) | Good (Marks 6 - 8) | Excellent (Marks 9- 10) |
|----------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Relevance to the course | Related to very few LOs | Related to some Los | Addressed at-least one CO | Addressed more than one CO |
| 2 . | Literature Review/infor mation collection | Not more than two sources (primary and secondary), very old reference | At-least 5 relevant sources, at least 2 latest | At -least 7 relevant sources, most latest | About 10 relevant sources, most latest |
| 3 | Completion of the Target as per project proposal | Completed less than 50% | Completed 50 to 60% | Completed 60 to 80% | Completed more than 80 % |
| 4 | Analysis of Data and representation | Sample Size small, data neither organized nor presented well | Sufficient and appropriate sample, enough data generated but not organized and not presented well. No or poor inferences drawn | Sufficient and appropriate sample, enough data generated which is organized and presented well but poor inferences drawn | Enough data collected by sufficient and appropriate sample size. Proper inferences drawn by organising and presenting data through tables, charts and graphs. |
| 5 | Quality of Prototype/Mo del | Incomplete fabrication/asse mbly. | Just assembled/fabricate d and parts are not functioning well. Not in proper shape, dimensions beyond tolerance limit. Appearance/finish is shabby. | Well assembled/fabricat ed with proper functioning parts. In proper shape, within tolerance dimensions and good finish. But no creativity in design and use of material | Well assembled/fabricated with proper functioning parts. In proper shape, within tolerance dimensions and good finish/appearance. Creativity in design and use of material |
| 6 | Report Preparation | Very short, poor quality sketches, Details about methods, material, precaution and conclusions omitted, some details are wrong | Nearly sufficient and correct details about methods, material, precautions and conclusion, but clarity is not there in presentation. But not enough graphic description. | Detailed, correct and clear description of methods, materials, precautions and Conclusions. Sufficient Graphic Description. | Very detailed, correct, clear description of methods, materials, precautions and conclusions. Enough tables, charts and sketches |
| 7 | Presentation of the Micro project | Major information is not included, information is not well organized. | Includes major information but not well organized and not presented well | Includes major information and well organized but not presented well | Well organized, includes major information ,well presented |
| 8, | Viva | Could not reply to considerable number of question. | Replied to considerable number of questions but not very properly | Replied properly to considerable number of question. | Replied most of the questions properly |

Annexure- IV

Micro Project Evaluation Sheet

Name of Student: Desale Darshan Krushana

Title of the Micro-Project: Sources and Effect of Common Air Pollutants

Course Outcomes Achieved:

- a) Develop Public awareness about environment
- b) Conserve Ecosystem and Biodiversity
- c) Apply techniques to reduce Environmental Pollution
- d) Manage social issues and Environmental Ethics as lifelong learning

| Sr. No. | Characteristic to be assessed | Poor (Marks 1 - 3) | Average (Marks 4 - 5) | Good (Marks 6 - 8) | Excellent (Marks 9- 10) | Sub Total | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| (A) Process and Product Assessment (Convert above total marks out of 6 Marks) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Relevance to the course | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Literature Review/information | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Completion of the Target as per project proposal | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Analysis of Data and representation | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Quality of Prototype/Model | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Report Preparation | | | | | | | | |
| (B) Individual Presentation / Viva (Convert above total marks out of 4 Marks) | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Presentation | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Viva | | | | | | | | |

| (A) Process and Product Assessment | (B) Individual Presentation/Viva | Total Marks | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| (6 marks) | (4 marks) | (10 marks) | |
| | | | |

Comments/Suggestions about team work/leadership/inter-personal communication (if any)

(**Prof. S. S. Shah**) Lecturer in Industrial Electronics