Single Responsibility Principle (SRP)

The **Single Responsibility Principle** states that a class should have **only one reason to change**. This means a class should have a **single**, **well-defined responsibility** and should not take on multiple concerns.

Real-World Analogy

- A **programmer** is responsible for writing code.
- If someone asks them to make coffee, it's outside their responsibility.
- Similarly, in software engineering, each class should focus on its primary responsibility.

Example: Journal Class

We create a Journal class to store diary entries.

Initial Implementation (Violation of SRP)

```
struct Journal
{
    string title;
    vector<string> entries;

    explicit Journal(const string& title) : title{title} {}

    void add(const string& entry)
    {
        static int count = 1;
        entries.push_back(boost::lexical_cast<string>(count++) + ": " + entry);
    }

    void save(const string& filename) // X Violates SRP
    {
        ofstream ofs(filename);
        for (auto& s : entries)
            ofs << s << endl;
     }
};</pre>
```

Problem:

The Journal class is responsible for both:

- 1. Managing journal entries (Adding, modifying, removing entries).
- 2. Persisting data (Saving to a file).

Persistence (saving to a file) is a separate concern and should be handled by another class.

Refactoring: Separation of Concerns

We move the **persistence logic** into a separate class PersistenceManager.

```
struct PersistenceManager
{
  static void save(const Journal& j, const string& filename)
  {
    ofstream ofs(filename);
    for (auto& s : j.entries)
        ofs << s << endl;
    }
};</pre>
```

Now, the Journal class **only** handles journal entries, and the PersistenceManager handles saving.

Usage

```
void main()
{
  Journal journal{"Dear Diary"};
  journal.add("I ate a bug");
  journal.add("I cried today");

//journal.save("diary.txt"); // X Not needed anymore
  PersistenceManager pm;
  pm.save(journal, "diary.txt"); // V SRP-compliant
}
```

Why Follow SRP?

- 1. **Improved Maintainability** If persistence logic changes (e.g., switching to a database), we only modify PersistenceManager.
- 2. Better Code Reusability PersistenceManager can be used by other classes.
- 3. **Cleaner, Modular Design** Each class does **one thing well**, making debugging and understanding easier.

Key Takeaways

- A class should have a single responsibility.
- Separate concerns (like persistence) into their own classes.
- Easier to maintain and extend when responsibilities are well-defined.