

Open-Closed Principle (OCP) in C++

Definition:

- A class should be **open for extension** (i.e., new functionality can be added).
 - A class should be **closed for modification** (i.e., existing code should not be changed).
-

Example Scenario: Product Filtering System

1. Initial Problem:

- We need to filter products based on their **color** and **size**.
- A naive approach would be to add new filtering functions every time a new criterion is required.
- This violates OCP because we modify the existing class every time a new requirement comes in.

2. Bad Implementation (Violates OCP)

The `ProductFilter` class has separate functions for:

- `by_color()`
- `by_size()`
- `by_size_and_color()`

```
struct ProductFilter
{
    typedef vector<Product*> Items;

    Items by_color(Items items, const Color color)
    {
        Items result;
        for (auto& i : items)
            if (i->color == color)
                result.push_back(i);
        return result;
    }
};
```

Issues:

- Adding a new criterion (e.g., weight, material) requires modifying the class.
 - Each combination of filters requires a new function.
 - Maintenance becomes difficult and code duplication increases.
-

Better Approach: Using the Specification Pattern

To follow **OCP**, we use the **Specification Pattern**:

1. Define an abstract `Specification<T>` interface with `is_satisfied()`.
2. Define an abstract `Filter<T>` interface.
3. Implement concrete **specifications** for filtering by color, size, etc.
4. Implement `BetterFilter` that uses the specification.

Step 1: Create the Specification Interface

```
template <typename T>
struct Specification
{
    virtual ~Specification() = default;
    virtual bool is_satisfied(T* item) const = 0;
};
```

Step 2: Create the Filter Interface

```
template <typename T>
struct Filter
{
    virtual vector<T*> filter(vector<T*> items, Specification<T>& spec) = 0;
};
```

Step 3: Implement a Better Filter

```
struct BetterFilter : Filter<Product>
{
    vector<Product*> filter(vector<Product*> items,
        Specification<Product> &spec) override
    {
        vector<Product*> result;
        for (auto& p : items)
            if (spec.is_satisfied(p))
                result.push_back(p);
        return result;
    }
};
```

Step 4: Implement Concrete Specifications

Filter by Color

```
struct ColorSpecification : Specification<Product>
{
    Color color;
    ColorSpecification(Color color) : color(color) {}

    bool is_satisfied(Product *item) const override {
        return item->color == color;
    }
};
```

Filter by Size

```
struct SizeSpecification : Specification<Product>
{
    Size size;
    explicit SizeSpecification(const Size size) : size(size) {}

    bool is_satisfied(Product* item) const override {
        return item->size == size;
    }
};
```

Combining Multiple Specifications

To support filtering by **multiple criteria** (e.g., "green and large"), we introduce an **AndSpecification**.

```
template <typename T>
struct AndSpecification : Specification<T>
{
    const Specification<T>& first;
    const Specification<T>& second;

    AndSpecification(const Specification<T>& first, const Specification<T>& second)
        : first(first), second(second) {}

    bool is_satisfied(T *item) const override {
        return first.is_satisfied(item) && second.is_satisfied(item);
    }
};
```

Using the Better Filter

```
int main()
{
    Product apple{"Apple", Color::green, Size::small};
    Product tree{"Tree", Color::green, Size::large};
    Product house{"House", Color::blue, Size::large};

    const vector<Product*> all { &apple, &tree, &house };

    BetterFilter bf;
    ColorSpecification green(Color::green);
    auto green_things = bf.filter(all, green);

    for (auto& x : green_things)
        cout << x->name << " is green\n";
}
```

Output:

```
Apple is green
Tree is green
```

Enhancing Readability with Operators

Instead of manually creating `AndSpecification`, we define an **operator overload**:

```
template <typename T>
AndSpecification<T> operator&&(const Specification<T>& first, const Specification<T>&
second)
{
    return { first, second };
}
```

Now, we can write:

```
auto spec = green && large;
```

Instead of:

```
AndSpecification<Product> green_and_large(green, large);
```

Key Takeaways

1. **Avoid modifying existing code** when adding new features.
2. Use **inheritance and polymorphism** to extend functionality.
3. The **Specification Pattern** allows flexible filtering.
4. Operators (`&&`) improve readability and reduce boilerplate code.

By following **OCP**, our filtering system can be easily extended to **other attributes** (e.g., weight, material) **without modifying existing code**.

Potential Issue: Undefined Behavior

While implementing `AndSpecification`, one must be cautious when using temporary objects.

Undefined Behavior - Use After Free

The following expression may cause undefined behavior:

```
auto spec = ColorSpecification{Color::green} &&  
SizeSpecification{Size::large};
```

Reason:

- `AndSpecification` holds references to temporary objects.
- These objects are destroyed after evaluation, leading to **use-after-free**.
- Some compilers may optimize it out, but others will crash or behave unexpectedly.

Solutions:

1. Store Specifications as Variables

```
ColorSpecification green(Color::green);  
  
SizeSpecification large(Size::large);  
  
auto spec = green && large;
```

This ensures the objects persist in memory.

2. Use Smart Pointers (`std::shared_ptr`)

```
auto spec = std::make_shared<ColorSpecification>(Color::green) &&  
            std::make_shared<SizeSpecification>(Size::large);
```

This avoids dangling references and ensures memory safety.

3. Avoid Overloading `&&` Operator

- Overloading `&&` leads to **loss of short-circuit evaluation**.
- Instead, use a **variadic template function** to combine specifications.