

IETF Author Tools

This service allows you to convert an Internet-Draft from one format into another, including rendered outputs. In the background this service uses id2xml, kramdown-rfc, mmark and xml2rfc, chaining them together as needed to deliver the requested conversion.

The input must be a valid Internet-Draft in one of the following formats:

- XML as .xml (automatically recognises v3 as defined in [RFC 7991](#) and v2 as defined in [RFC 7749](#))
- Markdown as .md or .mkd ([kramdown-rfc](#) and [mmark](#) dialects are supported)
- Plain text as .txt

Visit authors.ietf.org for information on how to write an Internet-Draft.

Input file

Choose file

draft-ietf-pce-sid-algo-10.xml

Text

HTML

XML

PDF

Diff with latest

Validate (idnits)

draft-ietf-pce-sid-algo-09.txt

draft-ietf-pce-sid-algo-10.txt

skipping to change at **page 1, line 15**

skipping to change at **page 1, line 15**

Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 9 December 2024

Cisco Systems, Inc.
S. Peng
ZTE Corporation
S. Peng
Huawei Technologies
A. Stone
Nokia
7 June 2024

Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 9 December 2024

Cisco Systems, Inc.
S. Peng
ZTE Corporation
S. Peng
Huawei Technologies
A. Stone
Nokia
7 June 2024

Carrying SR-Algorithm information in PCE-based Networks.

draft-ietf-pce-sid-algo-09

Carrying SR-Algorithm information in PCE-based Networks.

draft-ietf-pce-sid-algo-10

Abstract

The SR-Algorithm associated with a Prefix Segment-ID (SID) defines the path computation algorithm used by Interior Gateway Protocols (IGPs). This information is available to controllers such as the Path Computation Element (PCE) via topology learning. This document proposes an approach for informing headend routers regarding the SR-Algorithm associated with each Prefix SID used in PCE-computed paths, as well as signalling a specific SR-Algorithm as a constraint to the

Abstract

The SR-Algorithm associated with a Prefix Segment-ID (SID) defines the path computation algorithm used by Interior Gateway Protocols (IGPs). This information is available to controllers such as the Path Computation Element (PCE) via topology learning. This document proposes an approach for informing headend routers regarding the SR-Algorithm associated with each Prefix SID used in PCE-computed paths, as well as signalling a specific SR-Algorithm as a constraint to the

skipping to change at **page 3, line 15**

7. Security Considerations	15
8. IANA Considerations	15
8.1. SR Capability Flag	15
8.2. SRv6 PCE Capability Flag	16
8.3. SR-ERO Flag	16
8.4. SRv6-ERO Flag	16
8.5. PCEP TLV Types	17
8.6. Metric Types	17
9. References	18
9.1. Normative References	18
9.2. Informative References	20
Appendix A. Contributors	20
Authors' Addresses	21

1. Introduction

A PCE can compute SR-TE paths using SIDs with different SR-Algorithms depending on the use-case, constraints, etc. While this information is available on the PCE, there is no method of conveying this information to the headend router.

Similarly, the headend can also compute SR-TE paths using different

skipping to change at **page 15, line 46**

Considerations section of [RFC9350], but which are also applicable to path computation done by PCE.

8. IANA Considerations

8.1. SR Capability Flag

IANA maintains a sub-registry, named "SR Capability Flag Field", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry to manage the Flags field of the SR-PCE-CAPABILITY TLV.

IANA is requested to make the following assignment:

+=====+		
Bit	Description	Reference
+-----+		
5	SR-Algorithm Capability	This document
+-----+		

Table 1

skipping to change at **page 3, line 15**

7. Security Considerations	15
8. IANA Considerations	15
8.1. SR Capability Flag	15
8.2. SRv6 PCE Capability Flag	16
8.3. SR-ERO Flag	16
8.4. SRv6-ERO Flag	16
8.5. PCEP TLV Types	17
8.6. Metric Types	17
9. References	18
9.1. Normative References	18
9.2. Informative References	21
Appendix A. Contributors	21
Authors' Addresses	21

1. Introduction

A PCE can compute SR-TE paths using SIDs with different SR-Algorithms depending on the use-case, constraints, etc. While this information is available on the PCE, there is no method of conveying this information to the headend router.

Similarly, the headend can also compute SR-TE paths using different

skipping to change at **page 15, line 46**

Considerations section of [RFC9350], but which are also applicable to path computation done by PCE.

8. IANA Considerations

8.1. SR Capability Flag

IANA maintains a sub-registry, named "SR Capability Flag Field", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry to manage the Flags field of the SR-PCE-CAPABILITY TLV.

IANA is requested to confirm the following early allocation:

+=====+		
Bit	Description	Reference
+-----+		
5	SR-Algorithm Capability	This document
+-----+		

Table 1

TBD1	SR-Algorithm Capability	This document	

Table 2

8.3. SR-ERO Flag

IANA maintains a sub-registry, named "SR-ERO Flag Field", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry to manage the Flags field of the SR-ERO Subobject. IANA is requested to make the following assignment:

Bit	Description	Reference	
7	SR-Algorithm Flag	This document	

Table 3

skipping to change at page 17, line 18

TBD2	SR-Algorithm Flag	This document	

Table 4

8.5. PCEP TLV Types

IANA maintains a subregistry, named "PCEP TLV Type Indicators", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry. IANA is requested to allocate a new TLV type for the new LSPA TLV specified in this document.

Type	Description	Reference	
66	SR-Algorithm	This document	

Table 5

8.6. Metric Types

IANA maintains a subregistry for "METRIC Object T Field" within the

TBD1	SR-Algorithm Capability	This document	

Table 2

8.3. SR-ERO Flag

IANA maintains a sub-registry, named "SR-ERO Flag Field", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry to manage the Flags field of the SR-ERO Subobject. IANA is requested to confirm the following early allocation:

Bit	Description	Reference	
7	SR-Algorithm Flag	This document	

Table 3

skipping to change at page 17, line 18

TBD2	SR-Algorithm Flag	This document	

Table 4

8.5. PCEP TLV Types

IANA maintains a subregistry, named "PCEP TLV Type Indicators", within the "Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry. IANA is requested to confirm the early allocation of a new TLV type for the new LSPA TLV specified in this document.

Type	Description	Reference	
66	SR-Algorithm	This document	

Table 5

8.6. Metric Types

IANA maintains a subregistry for "METRIC Object T Field" within the

"Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry. IANA is requested to allocate a new values for metric types defined in this document:

Type	Description	Reference
22	Path Min Delay Metric	This document
23	P2MP Path Min Delay Metric	This document
24(TBA)	Bandwidth Metric	This document
25(TBA)	P2MP Bandwidth Metric	This document
128-255 (TBA)	User Defined Metric	This document

Table 6

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con]
Hegde, S., Britto, W., Shetty, R., Decraene, B., Psenak, P., and T. Li, "Flexible Algorithms: Bandwidth, Delay, Metrics and Constraints", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con-12, 19 May 2024,

"Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) Numbers" registry. IANA is requested to confirm the early allocated codepoints as follows:

Type	Description	Reference
22	Path Min Delay Metric	This document
23	P2MP Path Min Delay Metric	This document

Table 6

IANA is requested to allocate new values for the following metric types defined in this document. Please note the suggested values for the IANA to consider.

Type	Description	Reference
24(TBA)	Bandwidth Metric	This document
25(TBA)	P2MP Bandwidth Metric	This document
128-255 (TBA)	User Defined Metric	This document

Table 7

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con]
Hegde, S., Britto, W., Shetty, R., Decraene, B., Psenak, P., and T. Li, "Flexible Algorithms: Bandwidth, Delay, Metrics and Constraints", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-lsr-flex-algo-bw-con-12, 19 May 2024,

25 lines changed or deleted

35 lines changed or added

This html diff was produced by rfcdiff 1.45. The latest version is available from <http://tools.ietf.org/tools/rfcdiff/>

Compare drafts

Compare drafts with
rfcdiff

rfcdiff

BibXML Service

Replacement is available
at bib.ietf.org.

BibXML

ABNF Tools

BAP is available in ABNF
(Augmented Backus-
Naur Form) tools.

ABNF Tools

Ready to submit?

Submit your Internet-
Draft via Datatracker.

Internet-Draft
submission

[About](#) | [Author Tools \(github repository\)](#) | [IETF](#) | [LICENSE](#) | [Report a bug](#)