

# Floyd-Warshall algorithm

---

## Problem

---

Given a weighted graph  $G(V,E)$  with positive or negative edge weights (but with no negative cycles). find the lengths (summed weights) of shortest paths between all pairs of vertices

**Input :**  $G(V,E), V = \{1,2,3...n\}$

**Output :** Matrix representing the shortest path between all pairs of vertices

## Solution

---

### Defining Subproblem

**Lemma:** The same vertex does not appear twice in a shortest path.

**Proof :** A path that has the same vertex twice will have a cycle. Removing the cycle results in a shorter path.

Let  $d(i, j, k)$  be the length of the shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  such that all intermediate vertices on the path (if any) are in set  $\{1,2,3 ...,k\}$

Let  $D_k$  be the  $n \times n$  matrix  $[d(i, j, k)]$ .

Here Our goal is to find  $D_n$

### Getting recursion relation

There are two possibilities for a shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  in which any intermediate vertices on the path are chosen from the set  $\{1,2,3 ... k\}$ :

1.  $k$  is not a vertex on the path then shortest path is  $d(i, j, k - 1)$
2.  $k$  is a vertex on the path then shortest path is  $d(i, k, k - 1) + d(k, j, k - 1)$

Consider the shortest path between  $i$  and  $j$  that includes the vertex  $k$ . It is made up of two subpaths, one from  $i$  to  $k$  and the other from  $k$  to  $j$ .

$$\text{Path Length} = d(i, k, k - 1) + d(k, j, k - 1)$$

Combining both the cases we can get the following recursion relation

$$d(i, j, k) = \min d(i, j, k - 1), d(i, k, k - 1) + d(k, j, k - 1)$$

### Bottom-up Computation

- Bottommost(smallest problem)  $D_0$  this will same as given transition matrix as  $D_0$  is the shortest path between each pair of vertices using no intermediate states.
- Then we can calculate  $D_1$  using above relation as
$$d(i, j, 1) = \min d(i, j, 0), d(i, 1, 0) + d(1, j, 0)$$
- Since we don't need to keep track  $D_{k-1}$  after evaluating  $D_k$ . . We only maintain one matrix and keep overwriting it. This overwriting dont mess-up the older matrix before getting the values of newer matrix because
  - To calculate any  $d(i, j, k)$  we only need the value  $d(i, j, k - 1), d(i, k, k - 1), d(k, j, k - 1)$

- From the definition of  $d(i,j,k)$ . we can say  $d(i, k, k - 1) = d(i, k, k)$  and  $d(k, j, k - 1) = d(k, j, k)$
- Since we just reached  $d(i, j, k - 1)$  That will also be unchanged before we reach there.
- Since all three required values remains unchanged till we reach the point . This method should work.

## Time Analysis

---

Since we are filling  $n^3$  elements and each filling takes  $O(1)$ .

Final complexity =  $O(n^3)$

## Space complexity

---

From the discussion we conclude that we only need to keep track of 1 2-d table so the

Final space Complexity =  $O(n^2)$