

---

# **Statistics and Machine Learning in Python**

*Release 0.3 beta*

**Edouard Duchesnay, Tommy Löfstedt, Feki Younes**

**Oct 20, 2019**



# CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Python ecosystem for data-science . . . . .	1
1.2	Introduction to Machine Learning . . . . .	5
1.3	Data analysis methodology . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Python language</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Basic operations . . . . .	9
2.2	Data types . . . . .	10
2.3	Execution control statements . . . . .	16
2.4	Functions . . . . .	19
2.5	List comprehensions, iterators, etc. . . . .	20
2.6	Regular expression . . . . .	21
2.7	System programming . . . . .	22
2.8	Scripts and argument parsing . . . . .	28
2.9	Networking . . . . .	29
2.10	Modules and packages . . . . .	30
2.11	Object Oriented Programming (OOP) . . . . .	30
2.12	Exercises . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Scientific Python</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Numpy: arrays and matrices . . . . .	33
3.2	Pandas: data manipulation . . . . .	42
3.3	Matplotlib: data visualization . . . . .	53
<b>4</b>	<b>Statistics</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1	Univariate statistics . . . . .	69
4.2	Lab 1: Brain volumes study . . . . .	102
4.3	Multivariate statistics . . . . .	112
4.4	Time Series in python . . . . .	125
<b>5</b>	<b>Machine Learning</b>	<b>141</b>
5.1	Dimension reduction and feature extraction . . . . .	141
5.2	Clustering . . . . .	156
5.3	Linear methods for regression . . . . .	165
5.4	Linear classification . . . . .	178
5.5	Non linear learning algorithms . . . . .	194
5.6	Resampling Methods . . . . .	198
5.7	Ensemble learning: bagging, boosting and stacking . . . . .	211
5.8	Gradient descent . . . . .	226

<b>6 Deep Learning</b>	<b>237</b>
6.1 Backpropagation . . . . .	237
6.2 Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) . . . . .	251
6.3 Convolutional neural network . . . . .	281
6.4 Transfer Learning Tutorial . . . . .	309
<b>7 Indices and tables</b>	<b>319</b>

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Python ecosystem for data-science

#### 1.1.1 Python language

- Interpreted
- Garbage collector (do not prevent from memory leak)
- Dynamically-typed language (Java is statically typed)

#### 1.1.2 Anaconda

Anaconda is a python distribution that ships most of python tools and libraries

##### Installation

1. Download anaconda (Python 3.x) <http://continuum.io/downloads>
2. Install it, on Linux

```
bash Anaconda3-2.4.1-Linux-x86_64.sh
```

3. Add anaconda path in your PATH variable in your .bashrc file:

```
export PATH="${HOME}/anaconda3/bin:$PATH"
```

##### Managing with “conda”

Update conda package and environment manager to current version

```
conda update conda
```

Install additional packages. Those commands install qt back-end (Fix a temporary issue to run spyder)

```
conda install pyqt
conda install PyOpenGL
conda update --all
```

Install seaborn for graphics

```
conda install seaborn
# install a specific version from anaconda channel
conda install -c anaconda pyqt=4.11.4
```

List installed packages

```
conda list
```

Search available packages

```
conda search pyqt
conda search scikit-learn
```

## Environments

- A conda environment is a directory that contains a specific collection of conda packages that you have installed.
- Control packages environment for a specific purpose: collaborating with someone else, delivering an application to your client,
- Switch between environments

List of all environments

```
:: conda info --envs
1. Create new environment
2. Activate
3. Install new package
```

```
conda create --name test
# Or
conda env create -f environment.yml
source activate test
conda info --envs
conda list
conda search -f numpy
conda install numpy
```

## Miniconda

Anaconda without the collection of (>700) packages. With Miniconda you download only the packages you want with the conda command: `conda install PACKAGENAME`

1. Download anaconda (Python 3.x) <https://conda.io/miniconda.html>
2. Install it, on Linux

```
bash Miniconda3-latest-Linux-x86_64.sh
```

3. Add anaconda path in your PATH variable in your .bashrc file:

```
export PATH=${HOME}/miniconda3/bin:$PATH
```

4. Install required packages

```
conda install -y scipy
conda install -y pandas
conda install -y matplotlib
conda install -y statsmodels
conda install -y scikit-learn
conda install -y sqlite
conda install -y spyder
conda install -y jupyter
```

### 1.1.3 Commands

**python**: python interpreter. On the dos/unix command line execute wholes file:

```
python file.py
```

Interactive mode:

```
python
```

Quite with CTL-D

**ipython**: advanced interactive python interpreter:

```
ipython
```

Quite with CTL-D

**pip** alternative for packages management (update -U in user directory --user):

```
pip install -U --user seaborn
```

For neuroimaging:

```
pip install -U --user nibabel
pip install -U --user nilearn
```

**spyder**: IDE (integrated development environment):

- Syntax highlighting.
- Code introspection for code completion (use TAB).
- Support for multiple Python consoles (including IPython).
- Explore and edit variables from a GUI.
- Debugging.
- Navigate in code (go to function definition) CTL.

3 or 4 panels:

text editor	help/variable explorer
	ipython interpreter

Shortcuts: - F9 run line/selection

### 1.1.4 Libraries

scipy.org: <https://www.scipy.org/docs.html>

**Numpy:** Basic numerical operation. Matrix operation plus some basic solvers.:

```
import numpy as np
X = np.array([[1, 2], [3, 4]])
#v = np.array([1, 2]).reshape((2, 1))
v = np.array([1, 2])
np.dot(X, v) # no broadcasting
X * v # broadcasting
np.dot(v, X)
X - X.mean(axis=0)
```

**Scipy:** general scientific libraries with advanced solver:

```
import scipy
import scipy.linalg
scipy.linalg.svd(X, full_matrices=False)
```

**Matplotlib:** visualization:

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
#%matplotlib qt
x = np.linspace(0, 10, 50)
sinus = np.sin(x)
plt.plot(x, sinus)
plt.show()
```

**Pandas:** Manipulation of structured data (tables). input/output excel files, etc.


**Statsmodel:** Advanced statistics

**Scikit-learn:** Machine learning

li-brary	Arrays Num. I/O	data, comp,	Structured data, I/O	Solvers: basic	Solvers: advanced	Stats: basic	Stats: ad-vanced	Machine learning
Numpy	X			X				
Scipy				X	X	X		
Pan-das			X					
Stat-mod-els						X	X	
Scikit-learn								X


## 1.2 Introduction to Machine Learning

### 1.2.1 Machine learning within data science



Machine learning covers two main types of data analysis:

1. Exploratory analysis: **Unsupervised learning**. Discover the structure within the data. E.g.: Experience (in years in a company) and salary are correlated.
2. Predictive analysis: **Supervised learning**. This is sometimes described as “**learn from the past to predict the future**”. Scenario: a company wants to detect potential future clients among a base of prospects. Retrospective data analysis: we go through the data constituted of previous prospected companies, with their characteristics (size, domain, localization, etc...). Some of these companies became clients, others did not. The question is, can we possibly predict which of the new companies are more likely to become clients, based on their characteristics based on previous observations? In this example, the training data consists of a set of  $n$  training samples. Each sample,  $x_i$ , is a vector of  $p$  input features (company characteristics) and a target feature ( $y_i \in \{Yes, No\}$ ) (whether they became a client or not).



### 1.2.2 IT/computing science tools

- High Performance Computing (HPC)
- Data flow, data base, file I/O, etc.

- Python: the programming language.
- Numpy: python library particularly useful for handling of raw numerical data (matrices, mathematical operations).
- Pandas: input/output, manipulation structured data (tables).

### 1.2.3 Statistics and applied mathematics

- Linear model.
- Non parametric statistics.
- Linear algebra: matrix operations, inversion, eigenvalues.

## 1.3 Data analysis methodology

### 1. Formalize customer's needs into a learning problem:

- **A target variable: supervised problem.**
  - Target is qualitative: classification.
  - Target is quantitative: regression.
- **No target variable: unsupervised problem**
  - Vizualisation of high-dimensional samples: PCA, manifolds learning, etc.
  - Finding groups of samples (hidden structure): clustering.

### 2. Ask question about the datasets

- Number of samples
- Number of variables, types of each variable.

### 3. Define the sample

- For prospective study formalize the experimental design: inclusion/exclusion criteria. The conditions that define the acquisition of the dataset.
- For retrospective study formalize the experimental design: inclusion/exclusion criteria. The conditions that define the selection of the dataset.

### 4. In a document formalize (i) the project objectives; (ii) the required learning dataset (more specifically the input data and the target variables); (iii) The conditions that define the acquisition of the dataset. In this document, warn the customer that the learned algorithms may not work on new data acquired under different condition.

### 5. Read the learning dataset.

### 6. (i) Sanity check (basic descriptive statistics); (ii) data cleaning (impute missing data, recoding); Final Quality Control (QC) perform descriptive statistics and think ! (remove possible confounding variable, etc.).

### 7. Explore data (visualization, PCA) and perform basic univariate statistics for association between the target an input variables.

### 8. Perform more complex multivariate-machine learning.

9. Model validation using a left-out-sample strategy (cross-validation, etc.).
10. Apply on new data.



---

## CHAPTER TWO

---

# PYTHON LANGUAGE

---

**Note:** Click [here](#) to download the full example code

---

**Source** Kevin Markham <https://github.com/justmarkham/python-reference> Import libraries

---

```
# 'generic import' of math module
import math
math.sqrt(25)

# import a function
from math import sqrt
sqrt(25)      # no longer have to reference the module

# import multiple functions at once
from math import cos, floor

# import all functions in a module (generally discouraged)
# from os import *

# define an alias
import numpy as np

# show all functions in math module
content = dir(math)
```

## 2.1 Basic operations

```
# Numbers
10 + 4          # add (returns 14)
10 - 4          # subtract (returns 6)
10 * 4          # multiply (returns 40)
10 ** 4         # exponent (returns 10000)
10 / 4          # divide (returns 2 because both types are 'int')
10 / float(4)   # divide (returns 2.5)
5 % 4           # modulo (returns 1) - also known as the remainder

10 / 4          # true division (returns 2.5)
10 // 4         # floor division (returns 2)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Boolean operations
# comparisons (these return True)
5 > 3
5 >= 3
5 != 3
5 == 5

# boolean operations (these return True)
5 > 3 and 6 > 3
5 > 3 or 5 < 3
not False
False or not False and True      # evaluation order: not, and, or
```

## 2.2 Data types

```
# determine the type of an object
type(2)          # returns 'int'
type(2.0)        # returns 'float'
type('two')      # returns 'str'
type(True)       # returns 'bool'
type(None)       # returns 'NoneType'

# check if an object is of a given type
isinstance(2.0, int)        # returns False
isinstance(2.0, (int, float)) # returns True

# convert an object to a given type
float(2)
int(2.9)
str(2.9)

# zero, None, and empty containers are converted to False
bool(0)
bool(None)
bool('')
bool([])
bool({})      # empty dictionary

# non-empty containers and non-zeros are converted to True
bool(2)
bool('two')
bool([2])
```

### 2.2.1 Lists

Different objects categorized along a certain ordered sequence, lists are ordered, iterable, mutable (adding or removing objects changes the list size), can contain multiple data types ..  
chunk-chap13-001

```

# create an empty list (two ways)
empty_list = []
empty_list = list()

# create a list
simpsons = ['homer', 'marge', 'bart']

# examine a list
simpsons[0]      # print element 0 ('homer')
len(simpsons)    # returns the length (3)

# modify a list (does not return the list)
simpsons.append('lisa')          # append element to end
simpsons.extend(['itchy', 'scratchy']) # append multiple elements to end
simpsons.insert(0, 'maggie')       # insert element at index 0 (shifts everything_
                                 ↪right)
simpsons.remove('bart')          # searches for first instance and removes it
simpsons.pop(0)                 # removes element 0 and returns it
del simpsons[0]                 # removes element 0 (does not return it)
simpsons[0] = 'krusty'          # replace element 0

# concatenate lists (slower than 'extend' method)
neighbors = simpsons + ['ned', 'rod', 'todd']

# find elements in a list
simpsons.count('lisa')          # counts the number of instances
simpsons.index('itchy')         # returns index of first instance

# list slicing [start:end:stride]
weekdays = ['mon', 'tues', 'wed', 'thurs', 'fri']
weekdays[0]          # element 0
weekdays[0:3]        # elements 0, 1, 2
weekdays[:3]         # elements 0, 1, 2
weekdays[3:]         # elements 3, 4
weekdays[-1]         # last element (element 4)
weekdays[::-2]        # every 2nd element (0, 2, 4)
weekdays[::-1]        # backwards (4, 3, 2, 1, 0)

# alternative method for returning the list backwards
list(reversed(weekdays))

# sort a list in place (modifies but does not return the list)
simpsons.sort()
simpsons.sort(reverse=True)      # sort in reverse
simpsons.sort(key=len)          # sort by a key

# return a sorted list (but does not modify the original list)
sorted(simpsons)
sorted(simpsons, reverse=True)
sorted(simpsons, key=len)

# create a second reference to the same list
num = [1, 2, 3]
same_num = num
same_num[0] = 0                 # modifies both 'num' and 'same_num'

# copy a list (three ways)

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
new_num = num.copy()
new_num = num[:]
new_num = list(num)

# examine objects
id(num) == id(same_num) # returns True
id(num) == id(new_num) # returns False
num is same_num          # returns True
num is new_num            # returns False
num == same_num           # returns True
num == new_num             # returns True (their contents are equivalent)

# concatenate +, replicate *
[1, 2, 3] + [4, 5, 6]
["a"] * 2 + ["b"] * 3
```

## 2.2.2 Tuples

Like lists, but their size cannot change: ordered, iterable, immutable, can contain multiple data types

```
# create a tuple
digits = (0, 1, 'two')           # create a tuple directly
digits = tuple([0, 1, 'two'])    # create a tuple from a list
zero = (0,)                      # trailing comma is required to indicate it's a tuple

# examine a tuple
digits[2]                      # returns 'two'
len(digits)                     # returns 3
digits.count(0)                 # counts the number of instances of that value (1)
digits.index(1)                  # returns the index of the first instance of that value (1)

# elements of a tuple cannot be modified
# digits[2] = 2                  # throws an error

# concatenate tuples
digits = digits + (3, 4)

# create a single tuple with elements repeated (also works with lists)
(3, 4) * 2                      # returns (3, 4, 3, 4)

# tuple unpacking
bart = ('male', 10, 'simpson') # create a tuple
```

## 2.2.3 Strings

A sequence of characters, they are iterable, immutable

```
# create a string
s = str(42)                      # convert another data type into a string
s = 'I like you'
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

# examine a string
s[0]          # returns 'I'
len(s)         # returns 10

# string slicing like lists
s[:6]          # returns 'I like'
s[7:]          # returns 'you'
s[-1]          # returns 'u'

# basic string methods (does not modify the original string)
s.lower()      # returns 'i like you'
s.upper()      # returns 'I LIKE YOU'
s.startswith('I') # returns True
s.endswith('you') # returns True
s.isdigit()    # returns False (returns True if every character in the string is a_
               ↵digit)
s.find('like')   # returns index of first occurrence (2), but doesn't support regex
s.find('hate')    # returns -1 since not found
s.replace('like','love') # replaces all instances of 'like' with 'love'

# split a string into a list of substrings separated by a delimiter
s.split(' ')    # returns ['I','like','you']
s.split()        # same thing
s2 = 'a, an, the'
s2.split(',')    # returns ['a',' an',' the']

# join a list of strings into one string using a delimiter
stooges = ['larry','curly','moe']
' '.join(stooges) # returns 'larry curly moe'

# concatenate strings
s3 = 'The meaning of life is'
s4 = '42'
s3 + ' ' + s4    # returns 'The meaning of life is 42'
s3 + ' ' + str(42) # same thing

# remove whitespace from start and end of a string
s5 = ' ham and cheese '
s5.strip()       # returns 'ham and cheese'

# string substitutions: all of these return 'raining cats and dogs'
'raining %s and %s' % ('cats','dogs')           # old way
'raining {} and {}'.format('cats','dogs')        # new way
'raining {arg1} and {arg2}'.format(arg1='cats',arg2='dogs') # named arguments

# string formatting
# more examples: http://mkaz.com/2012/10/10/python-string-format/
'pi is {:.2f}'.format(3.14159)      # returns 'pi is 3.14'

```

## 2.2.4 Strings 2/2

Normal strings allow for escaped characters

```
print('first line\nsecond line')
```

Out:

```
first line
second line
```

raw strings treat backslashes as literal characters

```
print(r'first line\nfirst line')
```

Out:

```
first line\nfirst line
```

sequence of bytes are not strings, should be decoded before some operations

```
s = b'first line\nsecond line'
print(s)

print(s.decode('utf-8').split())
```

Out:

```
b'first line\nsecond line'
['first', 'line', 'second', 'line']
```

## 2.2.5 Dictionaries

Dictionaries are structures which can contain multiple data types, and is ordered with key-value pairs: for each (unique) key, the dictionary outputs one value. Keys can be strings, numbers, or tuples, while the corresponding values can be any Python object. Dictionaries are: unordered, iterable, mutable

```
# create an empty dictionary (two ways)
empty_dict = {}
empty_dict = dict()

# create a dictionary (two ways)
family = {'dad':'homer', 'mom':'marge', 'size':6}
family = dict(dad='homer', mom='marge', size=6)

# convert a list of tuples into a dictionary
list_of_tuples = [('dad', 'homer'), ('mom', 'marge'), ('size', 6)]
family = dict(list_of_tuples)

# examine a dictionary
family['dad']      # returns 'homer'
len(family)        # returns 3
family.keys()       # returns list: ['dad', 'mom', 'size']
family.values()     # returns list: ['homer', 'marge', 6]
family.items()      # returns list of tuples:
                   #   [ ('dad', 'homer'), ('mom', 'marge'), ('size', 6) ]
'mom' in family    # returns True
'marge' in family   # returns False (only checks keys)

# modify a dictionary (does not return the dictionary)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

family['cat'] = 'snowball'           # add a new entry
family['cat'] = 'snowball ii'        # edit an existing entry
del family['cat']                  # delete an entry
family['kids'] = ['bart', 'lisa']    # value can be a list
family.pop('dad')                  # removes an entry and returns the value ('homer')
family.update({'baby':'maggie', 'grandpa':'abe'})  # add multiple entries

# accessing values more safely with 'get'
family['mom']                      # returns 'marge'
family.get('mom')                  # same thing
try:
    family['grandma']              # throws an error
except KeyError as e:
    print("Error", e)

family.get('grandma')              # returns None
family.get('grandma', 'not found') # returns 'not found' (the default)

# accessing a list element within a dictionary
family['kids'][0]                  # returns 'bart'
family['kids'].remove('lisa')       # removes 'lisa'

# string substitution using a dictionary
'youngest child is %(baby)s' % family # returns 'youngest child is maggie'

```

Out:

Error 'grandma'

## 2.2.6 Sets

Like dictionaries, but with unique keys only (no corresponding values). They are: unordered, iterable, mutable, can contain multiple data types made up of unique elements (strings, numbers, or tuples)

```

# create an empty set
empty_set = set()

# create a set
languages = {'python', 'r', 'java'}          # create a set directly
snakes = set(['cobra', 'viper', 'python'])    # create a set from a list

# examine a set
len(languages)                # returns 3
'python' in languages         # returns True

# set operations
languages & snakes          # returns intersection: {'python'}
languages | snakes            # returns union: {'cobra', 'r', 'java', 'viper', 'python'}
languages - snakes            # returns set difference: {'r', 'java'}
snakes - languages            # returns set difference: {'cobra', 'viper'}

# modify a set (does not return the set)
languages.add('sql')          # add a new element

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
languages.add('r')          # try to add an existing element (ignored, no error)
languages.remove('java')    # remove an element
try:
    languages.remove('c')    # try to remove a non-existing element (throws an error)
except KeyError as e:
    print("Error", e)
languages.discard('c')     # removes an element if present, but ignored otherwise
languages.pop()             # removes and returns an arbitrary element
languages.clear()           # removes all elements
languages.update('go', 'spark') # add multiple elements (can also pass a list or set)

# get a sorted list of unique elements from a list
sorted(set([9, 0, 2, 1, 0])) # returns [0, 1, 2, 9]
```

Out:

```
Error 'c'
```

## 2.3 Execution control statements

### 2.3.1 Conditional statements

```
x = 3
# if statement
if x > 0:
    print('positive')

# if/else statement
if x > 0:
    print('positive')
else:
    print('zero or negative')

# if/elif/else statement
if x > 0:
    print('positive')
elif x == 0:
    print('zero')
else:
    print('negative')

# single-line if statement (sometimes discouraged)
if x > 0: print('positive')

# single-line if/else statement (sometimes discouraged)
# known as a 'ternary operator'
'positive' if x > 0 else 'zero or negative'

'positive' if x > 0 else 'zero or negative'
```

Out:

```
positive
positive
positive
positive
```

### 2.3.2 Loops

Loops are a set of instructions which repeat until termination conditions are met. This can include iterating through all values in an object, go through a range of values, etc

```
# range returns a list of integers
range(0, 3)      # returns [0, 1, 2]: includes first value but excludes second value
range(3)         # same thing: starting at zero is the default
range(0, 5, 2)   # returns [0, 2, 4]: third argument specifies the 'stride'

# for loop
fruits = ['apple', 'banana', 'cherry']
for i in range(len(fruits)):
    print(fruits[i].upper())

# alternative for loop (recommended style)
for fruit in fruits:
    print(fruit.upper())

# use range when iterating over a large sequence to avoid actually creating the integer_
# ↪list in memory
v = 0
for i in range(10 ** 6):
    v += 1

quote = """
our incomes are like our shoes; if too small they gall and pinch us
but if too large they cause us to stumble and to trip
"""

count = {k:0 for k in set(quote.split())}
for word in quote.split():
    count[word] += 1

# iterate through two things at once (using tuple unpacking)
family = {'dad':'homie', 'mom':'marge', 'size':6}
for key, value in family.items():
    print(key, value)

# use enumerate if you need to access the index value within the loop
for index, fruit in enumerate(fruits):
    print(index, fruit)

# for/else loop
for fruit in fruits:
    if fruit == 'banana':
        print("Found the banana!")
        break    # exit the loop and skip the 'else' block
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
else:  
    # this block executes ONLY if the for loop completes without hitting 'break'  
    print("Can't find the banana")  
  
# while loop  
count = 0  
while count < 5:  
    print("This will print 5 times")  
    count += 1      # equivalent to 'count = count + 1'
```

Out:

```
APPLE  
BANANA  
CHERRY  
APPLE  
BANANA  
CHERRY  
dad homer  
mom marge  
size 6  
0 apple  
1 banana  
2 cherry  
Can't find the banana  
Found the banana!  
This will print 5 times  
This will print 5 times
```

### 2.3.3 Exceptions handling

```
dct = dict(a=[1, 2], b=[4, 5])  
  
key = 'c'  
try:  
    dct[key]  
except:  
    print("Key %s is missing. Add it with empty value" % key)  
    dct['c'] = []  
  
print(dct)
```

Out:

```
Key c is missing. Add it with empty value  
{'a': [1, 2], 'b': [4, 5], 'c': []}
```

## 2.4 Functions

Functions are sets of instructions launched when called upon, they can have multiple input values and a return value

```
# define a function with no arguments and no return values
def print_text():
    print('this is text')

# call the function
print_text()

# define a function with one argument and no return values
def print_this(x):
    print(x)

# call the function
print_this(3)      # prints 3
n = print_this(3)  # prints 3, but doesn't assign 3 to n
                  # because the function has no return statement

#
def add(a, b):
    return a + b

add(2, 3)

add("deux", "trois")

add(["deux", "trois"], [2, 3])

# define a function with one argument and one return value
def square_this(x):
    return x ** 2

# include an optional docstring to describe the effect of a function
def square_this(x):
    """Return the square of a number."""
    return x ** 2

# call the function
square_this(3)      # prints 9
var = square_this(3) # assigns 9 to var, but does not print 9

# default arguments
def power_this(x, power=2):
    return x ** power

power_this(2)      # 4
power_this(2, 3)  # 8

# use 'pass' as a placeholder if you haven't written the function body
def stub():
    pass

# return two values from a single function
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
def min_max(nums):
    return min(nums), max(nums)

# return values can be assigned to a single variable as a tuple
nums = [1, 2, 3]
min_max_num = min_max(nums)          # min_max_num = (1, 3)

# return values can be assigned into multiple variables using tuple unpacking
min_num, max_num = min_max(nums)    # min_num = 1, max_num = 3
```

Out:

```
this is text
3
3
```

## 2.5 List comprehensions, iterators, etc.

### 2.5.1 List comprehensions

Process which affects whole lists without iterating through loops. For more: <http://python-3-patterns-idioms-test.readthedocs.io/en/latest/Comprehensions.html>

```
# for loop to create a list of cubes
nums = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
cubes = []
for num in nums:
    cubes.append(num**3)

# equivalent list comprehension
cubes = [num**3 for num in nums]      # [1, 8, 27, 64, 125]

# for loop to create a list of cubes of even numbers
cubes_of_even = []
for num in nums:
    if num % 2 == 0:
        cubes_of_even.append(num**3)

# equivalent list comprehension
# syntax: [expression for variable in iterable if condition]
cubes_of_even = [num**3 for num in nums if num % 2 == 0]    # [8, 64]

# for loop to cube even numbers and square odd numbers
cubes_and_squares = []
for num in nums:
    if num % 2 == 0:
        cubes_and_squares.append(num**3)
    else:
        cubes_and_squares.append(num**2)

# equivalent list comprehension (using a ternary expression)
# syntax: [true_condition if condition else false_condition for variable in iterable]
cubes_and_squares = [num**3 if num % 2 == 0 else num**2 for num in nums]    # [1, 8, 9, ↵64, 25]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# for loop to flatten a 2d-matrix
matrix = [[1, 2], [3, 4]]
items = []
for row in matrix:
    for item in row:
        items.append(item)

# equivalent list comprehension
items = [item for row in matrix
         for item in row]      # [1, 2, 3, 4]

# set comprehension
fruits = ['apple', 'banana', 'cherry']
unique_lengths = {len(fruit) for fruit in fruits}  # {5, 6}

# dictionary comprehension
fruit_lengths = {fruit:len(fruit) for fruit in fruits}          # {'apple': 5, 'banana':
                                                               ↵': 6, 'cherry': 6}
```

## 2.6 Regular expression

### 1. Compile Regular expression with a pattern

```
import re

# 1. compile Regular expression with a pattern
regex = re.compile("^.(+)(sub-.+)_ses-(.+)_(mod-.+)")
```

### 2. Match compiled RE on string

Capture the pattern `anyprefixsub-<subj id>\_ses-<session id>\_<modality>`

```
strings = ["abcsub-033_ses-01_mod-mri", "defsub-044_ses-01_mod-mri", "ghisub-055_ses-02_
↪mod-ctscan" ]
print([regex.findall(s)[0] for s in strings])
```

Out:

```
[('sub-033', 'ses-01', 'mod-mri'), ('sub-044', 'ses-01', 'mod-mri'), ('sub-055', 'ses-02',
↪ 'mod-ctscan')]
```

Match methods on compiled regular expression

Method/Attribute	Purpose
match(string)	Determine if the RE matches at the beginning of the string.
search(string)	Scan through a string, looking for any location where this RE matches.
findall(string)	Find all substrings where the RE matches, and returns them as a list.
finditer(string)	Find all substrings where the RE matches, and returns them as an iterator.

### 2. Replace compiled RE on string

```
regex = re.compile("(sub-[^_]+)") # match (sub-...)_  
print([regex.sub("SUB-", s) for s in strings])  
  
regex.sub("SUB-", "toto")
```

Out:

```
['abcSUB-_ses-01_mod-mri', 'defSUB-_ses-01_mod-mri', 'ghiSUB-_ses-02_mod-ctscan']
```

Replace all non-alphanumeric characters in a string

```
re.sub('[^0-9a-zA-Z]+', '', 'h^&ell`.,|o w]{+orl)d')
```

## 2.7 System programming

### 2.7.1 Operating system interfaces (os)

```
import os
```

Current working directory

```
# Get the current working directory  
cwd = os.getcwd()  
print(cwd)  
  
# Set the current working directory  
os.chdir(cwd)
```

Out:

```
/home/edouard/git/pystatsml/python_lang
```

Temporary directory

```
import tempfile  
  
tmpdir = tempfile.gettempdir()
```

Join paths

```
mytmpdir = os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar")  
  
# list containing the names of the entries in the directory given by path.  
os.listdir(tmpdir)
```

Create a directory

```
if not os.path.exists(mytmpdir):  
    os.mkdir(mytmpdir)  
  
os.makedirs(os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar", "plop", "toto"), exist_ok=True)
```

## 2.7.2 File input/output

```

filename = os.path.join(mytmpdir, "myfile.txt")
print(filename)

# Write
lines = ["Dans python tout est bon", "Enfin, presque"]

## write line by line
fd = open(filename, "w")
fd.write(lines[0] + "\n")
fd.write(lines[1]+ "\n")
fd.close()

## use a context manager to automatically close your file
with open(filename, 'w') as f:
    for line in lines:
        f.write(line + '\n')

# Read
## read one line at a time (entire file does not have to fit into memory)
f = open(filename, "r")
f.readline()      # one string per line (including newlines)
f.readline()      # next line
f.close()

## read one line at a time (entire file does not have to fit into memory)
f = open(filename, 'r')
f.readline()      # one string per line (including newlines)
f.readline()      # next line
f.close()

## read the whole file at once, return a list of lines
f = open(filename, 'r')
f.readlines()     # one list, each line is one string
f.close()

## use list comprehension to duplicate readlines without reading entire file at once
f = open(filename, 'r')
[line for line in f]
f.close()

## use a context manager to automatically close your file
with open(filename, 'r') as f:
    lines = [line for line in f]

```

Out:

```
/tmp/foobar/myfile.txt
```

## 2.7.3 Explore, list directories

Walk

```
import os

WD = os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar")

for dirpath, dirnames, filenames in os.walk(WD):
    print(dirpath, dirnames, filenames)
```

Out:

```
/tmp/foobar ['plop'] ['myfile.txt']
/tmp/foobar/plop ['toto'] []
/tmp/foobar/plop/toto [] []
```

glob, basename and file extension TODO FIXME

```
import tempfile
import glob

tmpdir = tempfile.gettempdir()

filenames = glob.glob(os.path.join(tmpdir, "*", "*.txt"))
print(filenames)

# take basename then remove extension
basenames = [os.path.splitext(os.path.basename(f))[0] for f in filenames]
print(basenames)
```

Out:

```
['/tmp/foobar/myfile.txt']
['myfile']
```

shutil - High-level file operations

```
import shutil

src = os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar", "myfile.txt")
dst = os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar", "plop", "myfile.txt")
print("copy %s to %s" % (src, dst))

shutil.copy(src, dst)

print("File %s exists ?" % dst, os.path.exists(dst))

src = os.path.join(tmpdir, "foobar", "plop")
dst = os.path.join(tmpdir, "plop2")
print("copy tree %s under %s" % (src, dst))

try:
    shutil.copytree(src, dst)

    shutil.rmtree(dst)

    shutil.move(src, dst)
except (FileExistsError, FileNotFoundError) as e:
    pass
```

Out:

```
copy /tmp/foobar/myfile.txt to /tmp/foobar/plop/myfile.txt
File /tmp/foobar/plop/myfile.txt exists ? True
copy tree /tmp/foobar/plop under /tmp/plop2
```

## 2.7.4 Command execution with subprocess

- For more advanced use cases, the underlying Popen interface can be used directly.
- Run the command described by args.
- Wait for command to complete
- return a CompletedProcess instance.
- Does not capture stdout or stderr by default. To do so, pass PIPE for the stdout and/or stderr arguments.

```
import subprocess

# doesn't capture output
p = subprocess.run(["ls", "-l"])
print(p.returncode)

# Run through the shell.
subprocess.run("ls -l", shell=True)

# Capture output
out = subprocess.run(["ls", "-a", "/"], stdout=subprocess.PIPE, stderr=subprocess.STDOUT)
# out.stdout is a sequence of bytes that should be decoded into a utf-8 string
print(out.stdout.decode('utf-8').split("\n")[:5])
```

Out:

```
0
[ '.', '..', 'bin', 'boot', 'cdrom' ]
```

## 2.7.5 Multiprocessing and multithreading

### Process

A process is a name given to a program instance that has been loaded into memory and managed by the operating system.

Process = address space + execution context (thread of control)

Process address space (segments):

- Code.
- Data (static/global).
- Heap (dynamic memory allocation).
- Stack.

Execution context:

- Data registers.
- Stack pointer (SP).
- Program counter (PC).
- Working Registers.

OS Scheduling of processes: context switching (ie. save/load Execution context)

Pros/cons

- Context switching expensive.
- (potentially) complex data sharing (not necessarily true).
- Cooperating processes - no need for memory protection (separate address spaces).
- Relevant for parallel computation with memory allocation.

## Threads

- Threads share the same address space (Data registers): access to code, heap and (global) data.
- Separate execution stack, PC and Working Registers.

Pros/cons

- Faster context switching only SP, PC and Working Registers.
- Can exploit fine-grain concurrency
- Simple data sharing through the shared address space.
- Precautions have to be taken or two threads will write to the same memory at the same time. This is what the **global interpreter lock (GIL)** is for.
- Relevant for GUI, I/O (Network, disk) concurrent operation

## In Python

- The `threading` module uses threads.
- The `multiprocessing` module uses processes.

Multithreading

```
import time
import threading

def list_append(count, sign=1, out_list=None):
    if out_list is None:
        out_list = list()
    for i in range(count):
        out_list.append(sign * i)
        sum(out_list) # do some computation
    return out_list

size = 10000 # Number of numbers to add

out_list = list() # result is a simple list
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

thread1 = threading.Thread(target=list_append, args=(size, 1, out_list, ))
thread2 = threading.Thread(target=list_append, args=(size, -1, out_list, ))

starttime = time.time()
# Will execute both in parallel
thread1.start()
thread2.start()
# Joins threads back to the parent process
thread1.join()
thread2.join()
print("Threading elapsed time ", time.time() - starttime)

print(out_list[:10])

```

Out:

```

Threading elapsed time  1.909275770187378
[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]

```

### Multiprocessing

```

import multiprocessing

# Sharing requires specific mechanism
out_list1 = multiprocessing.Manager().list()
p1 = multiprocessing.Process(target=list_append, args=(size, 1, None))
out_list2 = multiprocessing.Manager().list()
p2 = multiprocessing.Process(target=list_append, args=(size, -1, None))

starttime = time.time()
p1.start()
p2.start()
p1.join()
p2.join()
print("Multiprocessing elapsed time ", time.time() - starttime)

# print(out_list[:10]) is not available

```

Out:

```

Multiprocessing elapsed time  0.3766772747039795

```

### Sharing object between process with Managers

Managers provide a way to create data which can be shared between different processes, including sharing over a network between processes running on different machines. A manager object controls a server process which manages shared objects.

```

import multiprocessing
import time

size = int(size / 100)    # Number of numbers to add

# Sharing requires specific mechanism
out_list = multiprocessing.Manager().list()

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
p1 = multiprocessing.Process(target=list_append, args=(size, 1, out_list))
p2 = multiprocessing.Process(target=list_append, args=(size, -1, out_list))

starttime = time.time()

p1.start()
p2.start()

p1.join()
p2.join()

print(out_list[:10])

print("Multiprocessing with shared object elapsed time ", time.time() - starttime)
```

Out:

```
[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 0, 7, -1]
Multiprocessing with shared object elapsed time  0.7309870719909668
```

## 2.8 Scripts and argument parsing

Example, the word count script

```
import os
import os.path
import argparse
import re
import pandas as pd

if __name__ == "__main__":
    # parse command line options
    output = "word_count.csv"
    parser = argparse.ArgumentParser()
    parser.add_argument('-i', '--input',
                        help='list of input files.',
                        nargs='+', type=str)
    parser.add_argument('-o', '--output',
                        help='output csv file (default %s)' % output,
                        type=str, default=output)
    options = parser.parse_args()

    if options.input is None :
        parser.print_help()
        raise SystemExit("Error: input files are missing")
    else:
        filenames = [f for f in options.input if os.path.isfile(f)]

    # Match words
    regex = re.compile("[a-zA-Z]+")

    count = dict()
    for filename in filenames:
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

fd = open(filename, "r")
for line in fd:
    for word in regex.findall(line.lower()):
        if not word in count:
            count[word] = 1
        else:
            count[word] += 1

fd = open(options.output, "w")

# Pandas
df = pd.DataFrame([[k, count[k]] for k in count], columns=["word", "count"])
df.to_csv(options.output, index=False)

```

## 2.9 Networking

```
# TODO
```

### 2.9.1 FTP

```

# Full FTP features with ftplib
import ftplib
ftp = ftplib.FTP("ftp.cea.fr")
ftp.login()
ftp.cwd('/pub/unati/people/educhesnay/pystatml')
ftp.retrlines('LIST')

fd = open(os.path.join(tmpdir, "README.md"), "wb")
ftp.retrbinary('RETR README.md', fd.write)
fd.close()
ftp.quit()

# File download urllib
import urllib.request
ftp_url = 'ftp://ftp.cea.fr/pub/unati/people/educhesnay/pystatml/README.md'
urllib.request.urlretrieve(ftp_url, os.path.join(tmpdir, "README2.md"))

```

Out:

```

-rw-r--r--    1 ftp      ftp          1282 Apr 15 22:33 README.md
-rw-r--r--    1 ftp      ftp         6440025 Jun 12 08:39_
 ↵StatisticsMachineLearningPythonDraft.pdf

```

### 2.9.2 HTTP

```
# TODO
```

### 2.9.3 Sockets

```
# TODO
```

### 2.9.4 xmlrpclib

```
# TODO
```

## 2.10 Modules and packages

A module is a Python file. A package is a directory which MUST contain a special file called `__init__.py`

To import, extend variable `PYTHONPATH`:

```
export PYTHONPATH=path_to_parent_python_module:${PYTHONPATH}
```

Or

```
import sys
sys.path.append("path_to_parent_python_module")
```

The `__init__.py` file can be empty. But you can set which modules the package exports as the API, while keeping other modules internal, by overriding the `__all__` variable, like so:

parentmodule/`__init__.py` file:

```
from . import submodule1
from . import submodule2

from .submodule3 import function1
from .submodule3 import function2

__all__ = ["submodule1", "submodule2",
           "function1", "function2"]
```

User can import:

```
import parentmodule.submodule1
import parentmodule.function1
```

Python Unit Testing

## 2.11 Object Oriented Programming (OOP)

### Sources

- [http://python-textbook.readthedocs.org/en/latest/Object\\_Oriented\\_Programming.html](http://python-textbook.readthedocs.org/en/latest/Object_Oriented_Programming.html)

### Principles

- **Encapsulate** data (attributes) and code (methods) into objects.

- **Class** = template or blueprint that can be used to create objects.
- An **object** is a specific instance of a class.
- **Inheritance:** OOP allows classes to inherit commonly used state and behaviour from other classes. Reduce code duplication
- **Polymorphism:** (usually obtained through polymorphism) calling code is agnostic as to whether an object belongs to a parent class or one of its descendants (abstraction, modularity). The same method called on 2 objects of 2 different classes will behave differently.

```
import math

class Shape2D:
    def area(self):
        raise NotImplementedError()

# __init__ is a special method called the constructor

# Inheritance + Encapsulation
class Square(Shape2D):
    def __init__(self, width):
        self.width = width

    def area(self):
        return self.width ** 2

class Disk(Shape2D):
    def __init__(self, radius):
        self.radius = radius

    def area(self):
        return math.pi * self.radius ** 2

shapes = [Square(2), Disk(3)]

# Polymorphism
print([s.area() for s in shapes])

s = Shape2D()
try:
    s.area()
except NotImplementedError as e:
    print("NotImplementedError")
```

Out:

```
[4, 28.27433882308138]
NotImplementedError
```

## 2.12 Exercises

### 2.12.1 Exercise 1: functions

Create a function that acts as a simple calculator If the operation is not specified, default to addition If the operation is misspecified, return an prompt message Ex: calc(4,5,"multiply") returns 20 Ex: calc(3,5) returns 8 Ex: calc(1, 2, "something") returns error message

### 2.12.2 Exercise 2: functions + list + loop

Given a list of numbers, return a list where all adjacent duplicate elements have been reduced to a single element. Ex: [1, 2, 2, 3, 2] returns [1, 2, 3, 2]. You may create a new list or modify the passed in list.

Remove all duplicate values (adjacent or not) Ex: [1, 2, 2, 3, 2] returns [1, 2, 3]

### 2.12.3 Exercise 3: File I/O

1. Copy/paste the BSD 4 clause license ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BSD\\_licenses](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/BSD_licenses)) into a text file. Read, the file and count the occurrences of each word within the file. Store the words' occurrence number in a dictionary.
2. Write an executable python command count\_words.py that parse a list of input files provided after --input parameter. The dictionary of occurrence is save in a csv file provides by --output. with default value word\_count.csv. Use: - open - regular expression - argparse (<https://docs.python.org/3/howto/argparse.html>)

### 2.12.4 Exercise 4: OOP

1. Create a class Employee with 2 attributes provided in the constructor: name, years\_of\_service. With one method salary with is obtained by  $1500 + 100 * \text{years\_of\_service}$ .
2. Create a subclass Manager which redefine salary method  $2500 + 120 * \text{years\_of\_service}$ .
3. Create a small dictionary-nosed database where the key is the employee's name. Populate the database with: samples = Employee('lucy', 3), Employee('john', 1), Manager('julie', 10), Manager('paul', 3)
4. Return a table of made name, salary rows, i.e. a list of list [[name, salary]]
5. Compute the average salary

**Total running time of the script:** ( 0 minutes 4.275 seconds)

## SCIENTIFIC PYTHON

---

**Note:** Click [here](#) to download the full example code

---

### 3.1 Numpy: arrays and matrices

NumPy is an extension to the Python programming language, adding support for large, multi-dimensional (numerical) arrays and matrices, along with a large library of high-level mathematical functions to operate on these arrays.

Sources:

- Kevin Markham: <https://github.com/justmarkham>

```
import numpy as np
```

#### 3.1.1 Create arrays

Create ndarrays from lists. note: every element must be the same type (will be converted if possible)

```
data1 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]          # list
arr1 = np.array(data1)           # 1d array
data2 = [range(1, 5), range(5, 9)] # list of lists
arr2 = np.array(data2)           # 2d array
arr2.tolist()                   # convert array back to list
```

create special arrays

```
np.zeros(10)
np.zeros((3, 6))
np.ones(10)
np.linspace(0, 1, 5)           # 0 to 1 (inclusive) with 5 points
np.logspace(0, 3, 4)           # 10^0 to 10^3 (inclusive) with 4 points
```

arange is like range, except it returns an array (not a list)

```
int_array = np.arange(5)
float_array = int_array.astype(float)
```

### 3.1.2 Examining arrays

```
arr1.dtype      # float64
arr2.dtype      # int32
arr2.ndim       # 2
arr2.shape      # (2, 4) - axis 0 is rows, axis 1 is columns
arr2.size       # 8 - total number of elements
len(arr2)       # 2 - size of first dimension (aka axis)
```

### 3.1.3 Reshaping

```
arr = np.arange(10, dtype=float).reshape((2, 5))
print(arr.shape)
print(arr.reshape(5, 2))
```

Out:

```
(2, 5)
[[0. 1.
 2. 3.
 4. 5.
 6. 7.
 8. 9.]]
```

Add an axis

```
a = np.array([0, 1])
a_col = a[:, np.newaxis]
print(a_col)
#or
a_col = a[:, None]
```

Out:

```
[[0]
 [1]]
```

Transpose

```
print(a_col.T)
```

Out:

```
[[0 1]]
```

Flatten: always returns a flat copy of the original array

```
arr_flt = arr.flatten()
arr_flt[0] = 33
print(arr_flt)
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[33.  1.  2.  3.  4.  5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]
[[0.  1.  2.  3.  4.]
 [5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]]
```

Ravel: returns a view of the original array whenever possible.

```
arr_flt = arr.ravel()
arr_flt[0] = 33
print(arr_flt)
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[33.  1.  2.  3.  4.  5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]
[[33.  1.  2.  3.  4.]
 [5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]]
```

### 3.1.4 Summary on axis, reshaping/flattening and selection


Numpy internals: By default Numpy use C convention, ie, Row-major language: The matrix is stored by rows. In C, the last index changes most rapidly as one moves through the array as stored in memory.

For 2D arrays, sequential move in the memory will:

- iterate over rows (axis 0)
  - iterate over columns (axis 1)

For 3D arrays, sequential move in the memory will:

- iterate over plans (axis 0)
  - iterate over rows (axis 1)
    - \* iterate over columns (axis 2)



```
x = np.arange(2 * 3 * 4)
print(x)
```

Out:

```
[ 0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23]
```

Reshape into 3D (axis 0, axis 1, axis 2)

```
x = x.reshape(2, 3, 4)
print(x)
```

Out:

```
[[[ 0  1  2  3]
 [ 4  5  6  7]
 [ 8  9 10 11]]
 [[12 13 14 15]
 [16 17 18 19]
 [20 21 22 23]]]
```

Selection get first plan

```
print(x[0, :, :])
```

Out:

```
[[ 0  1  2  3]
 [ 4  5  6  7]
 [ 8  9 10 11]]
```

Selection get first rows

```
print(x[:, 0, :])
```

Out:

```
[[ 0  1  2  3]
 [12 13 14 15]]
```

Selection get first columns

```
print(x[:, :, 0])
```

Out:

```
[[ 0  4  8]
 [12 16 20]]
```

Ravel

```
print(x.ravel())
```

Out:

```
[ 0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23]
```

### 3.1.5 Stack arrays

Stack flat arrays in columns

```
a = np.array([0, 1])
b = np.array([2, 3])

ab = np.stack((a, b)).T
print(ab)

# or
np.hstack((a[:, None], b[:, None]))
```

Out:

```
[[0 2]
 [1 3]]
```

### 3.1.6 Selection

Single item

```
arr = np.arange(10, dtype=float).reshape((2, 5))

arr[0]      # 0th element (slices like a list)
arr[0, 3]   # row 0, column 3: returns 4
arr[0][3]   # alternative syntax
```

### Slicing

Syntax: start:stop:step with start (default 0) stop (default last) step (default 1)

```
arr[0, :]      # row 0: returns 1d array ([1, 2, 3, 4])
arr[:, 0]       # column 0: returns 1d array ([1, 5])
arr[:, :2]      # columns strictly before index 2 (2 first columns)
arr[:, 2:]      # columns after index 2 included
arr2 = arr[:, 1:4]    # columns between index 1 (included) and 4 (excluded)
print(arr2)
```

Out:

```
[[1. 2. 3.]
 [6. 7. 8.]]
```

Slicing returns a view (not a copy)

```
arr2[0, 0] = 33
print(arr2)
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[[33.  2.  3.]
 [ 6.  7.  8.]]
[[ 0.  33.  2.  3.  4.]
 [ 5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]]
```

Row 0: reverse order

```
print(arr[0, ::-1])

# The rule of thumb here can be: in the context of lvalue indexing (i.e. the indices are
# placed in the left hand side value of an assignment), no view or copy of the array is
# created (because there is no need to). However, with regular values, the above rules
# for creating views does apply.
```

Out:

```
[ 4.  3.  2.  33.  0.]
```

## Fancy indexing: Integer or boolean array indexing

Fancy indexing returns a copy not a view.

Integer array indexing

```
arr2 = arr[:, [1,2,3]] # return a copy
print(arr2)
arr2[0, 0] = 44
print(arr2)
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[[33.  2.  3.]
 [ 6.  7.  8.]]
[[44.  2.  3.]
 [ 6.  7.  8.]]
[[ 0.  33.  2.  3.  4.]
 [ 5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]]
```

Boolean arrays indexing

```
arr2 = arr[arr > 5] # return a copy

print(arr2)
arr2[0] = 44
print(arr2)
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[33.  6.  7.  8.  9.]
[44.  6.  7.  8.  9.]
[[ 0.  33.  2.  3.  4.]
 [ 5.  6.  7.  8.  9.]]
```

However, In the context of lvalue indexing (left hand side value of an assignment) Fancy authorizes the modification of the original array

```
arr[arr > 5] = 0
print(arr)
```

Out:

```
[[0.  0.  2.  3.  4.]
 [5.  0.  0.  0.]]
```

Boolean arrays indexing continues

```
names = np.array(['Bob', 'Joe', 'Will', 'Bob'])
names == 'Bob'                      # returns a boolean array
names[names != 'Bob']               # logical selection
(names == 'Bob') | (names == 'Will') # keywords "and/or" don't work with boolean arrays
names[names != 'Bob'] = 'Joe'        # assign based on a logical selection
np.unique(names)                   # set function
```

### 3.1.7 Vectorized operations

```
nums = np.arange(5)
nums * 10                           # multiply each element by 10
nums = np.sqrt(nums)                 # square root of each element
np.ceil(nums)                       # also floor, rint (round to nearest int)
np.isnan(nums)                      # checks for NaN
nums + np.arange(5)                 # add element-wise
np.maximum(nums, np.array([1, -2, 3, -4, 5])) # compare element-wise

# Compute Euclidean distance between 2 vectors
vec1 = np.random.randn(10)
vec2 = np.random.randn(10)
dist = np.sqrt(np.sum((vec1 - vec2) ** 2))

# math and stats
rnd = np.random.randn(4, 2) # random normals in 4x2 array
rnd.mean()
rnd.std()
rnd.argmin()                    # index of minimum element
rnd.sum()                        # sum of columns
rnd.sum(axis=1)                 # sum of rows

# methods for boolean arrays
(rnd > 0).sum()                # counts number of positive values
(rnd > 0).any()                 # checks if any value is True
(rnd > 0).all()                  # checks if all values are True

# random numbers
np.random.seed(1234)             # Set the seed
np.random.rand(2, 3)              # 2 x 3 matrix in [0, 1]
np.random.randn(10)               # random normals (mean 0, sd 1)
np.random.randint(0, 2, 10) # 10 randomly picked 0 or 1
```

### 3.1.8 Broadcasting

Sources: <https://docs.scipy.org/doc/numpy-1.13.0/user/basics.broadcasting.html> Implicit conversion to allow operations on arrays of different sizes.

- The smaller array is stretched or “broadcasted” across the larger array so that they have compatible shapes.
- Fast vectorized operation in C instead of Python.
- No needless copies.

#### Rules

Starting with the trailing axis and working backward, Numpy compares arrays dimensions.

- If two dimensions are equal then continues
- If one of the operand has dimension 1 stretches it to match the largest one
- When one of the shapes runs out of dimensions (because it has less dimensions than the other shape), Numpy will use 1 in the comparison process until the other shape's dimensions run out as well.




Fig. 1: Source: <http://www.scipy-lectures.org>

```
a = np.array([[ 0,  0,  0],
              [10, 10, 10],
              [20, 20, 20],
              [30, 30, 30]])

b = np.array([0, 1, 2])
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(a + b)
```

Out:

```
[[ 0  1  2]
 [10 11 12]
 [20 21 22]
 [30 31 32]]
```

### Examples

Shapes of operands A, B and result:

```
A      (2d array):  5 x 4
B      (1d array):    1
Result (2d array):  5 x 4

A      (2d array):  5 x 4
B      (1d array):    4
Result (2d array):  5 x 4

A      (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5
B      (3d array):  15 x 1 x 5
Result (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5

A      (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5
B      (2d array):    3 x 5
Result (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5

A      (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5
B      (2d array):    3 x 1
Result (3d array):  15 x 3 x 5
```

### 3.1.9 Exercises

Given the array:

```
X = np.random.randn(4, 2) # random normals in 4x2 array
```

- For each column find the row index of the minimum value.
- Write a function standardize(X) that return an array whose columns are centered and scaled (by std-dev).

**Total running time of the script:** ( 0 minutes 0.042 seconds)

---

**Note:** Click [here](#) to download the full example code

---

## 3.2 Pandas: data manipulation

It is often said that 80% of data analysis is spent on the cleaning and small, but important, aspect of data manipulation and cleaning with Pandas.

### Sources:

- Kevin Markham: <https://github.com/justmarkham>
- Pandas doc: <http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/index.html>

### Data structures

- **Series** is a one-dimensional labeled array capable of holding any data type (integers, strings, floating point numbers, Python objects, etc.). The axis labels are collectively referred to as the index. The basic method to create a Series is to call `pd.Series([1,3,5,np.nan,6,8])`
- **DataFrame** is a 2-dimensional labeled data structure with columns of potentially different types. You can think of it like a spreadsheet or SQL table, or a dict of Series objects. It stems from the R `data.frame()` object.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

### 3.2.1 Create DataFrame

```
columns = ['name', 'age', 'gender', 'job']

user1 = pd.DataFrame([[['alice', 19, "F", "student"],
                      ['john', 26, "M", "student"]],],
                     columns=columns)

user2 = pd.DataFrame([[['eric', 22, "M", "student"],
                      ['paul', 58, "F", "manager"]],],
                     columns=columns)

user3 = pd.DataFrame(dict(name=['peter', 'julie'],
                           age=[33, 44], gender=['M', 'F'],
                           job=['engineer', 'scientist']))

print(user3)
```

Out:

```
name  age  gender      job
0  peter    33       M  engineer
1  julie    44       F  scientist
```

### 3.2.2 Combining DataFrames

## Concatenate DataFrame

```
user1.append(user2)
users = pd.concat([user1, user2, user3])
print(users)
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job
0	alice	19	F	student
1	john	26	M	student
0	eric	22	M	student
1	paul	58	F	manager
0	peter	33	M	engineer
1	julie	44	F	scientist

## Join DataFrame

```
user4 = pd.DataFrame(dict(name=['alice', 'john', 'eric', 'julie'],
                           height=[165, 180, 175, 171]))
print(user4)
```

Out:

	name	height
0	alice	165
1	john	180
2	eric	175
3	julie	171

Use intersection of keys from both frames

```
merge_inter = pd.merge(users, user4, on="name")
print(merge_inter)
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
0	alice	19	F	student	165
1	john	26	M	student	180
2	eric	22	M	student	175
3	julie	44	F	scientist	171

Use union of keys from both frames

```
users = pd.merge(users, user4, on="name", how='outer')
print(users)
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
0	alice	19	F	student	165.0
1	john	26	M	student	180.0

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

2	eric	22	M	student	175.0
3	paul	58	F	manager	NaN
4	peter	33	M	engineer	NaN
5	julie	44	F	scientist	171.0

## Reshaping by pivoting

“Unpivots” a DataFrame from wide format to long (stacked) format,

```
staked = pd.melt(users, id_vars="name", var_name="variable", value_name="value")
print(staked)
```

Out:

	name	variable	value
0	alice	age	19
1	john	age	26
2	eric	age	22
3	paul	age	58
4	peter	age	33
5	julie	age	44
6	alice	gender	F
7	john	gender	M
8	eric	gender	M
9	paul	gender	F
10	peter	gender	M
11	julie	gender	F
12	alice	job	student
13	john	job	student
14	eric	job	student
15	paul	job	manager
16	peter	job	engineer
17	julie	job	scientist
18	alice	height	165
19	john	height	180
20	eric	height	175
21	paul	height	NaN
22	peter	height	NaN
23	julie	height	171

“pivots” a DataFrame from long (stacked) format to wide format,

```
print(staked.pivot(index='name', columns='variable', values='value'))
```

Out:

	variable	age	gender	height	job
	name				
alice	19	F	165	student	
eric	22	M	175	student	
john	26	M	180	student	
julie	44	F	171	scientist	
paul	58	F	NaN	manager	
peter	33	M	NaN	engineer	

### 3.2.3 Summarizing

```
# examine the users data

users                         # print the first 30 and last 30 rows
type(users)                   # DataFrame
users.head()                  # print the first 5 rows
users.tail()                  # print the last 5 rows

users.index                    # "the index" (aka "the labels")
users.columns                 # column names (which is "an index")
users.dtypes                   # data types of each column
users.shape                    # number of rows and columns
users.values                  # underlying numpy array
users.info()                  # concise summary (includes memory usage as of pandas 0.15.0)
```

Out:

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
Int64Index: 6 entries, 0 to 5
Data columns (total 5 columns):
name      6 non-null object
age       6 non-null int64
gender    6 non-null object
job       6 non-null object
height    4 non-null float64
dtypes: float64(1), int64(1), object(3)
memory usage: 288.0+ bytes
```

### 3.2.4 Columns selection

```
users['gender']           # select one column
type(users['gender'])    # Series
users.gender              # select one column using the DataFrame

# select multiple columns
users[['age', 'gender']]  # select two columns
my_cols = ['age', 'gender'] # or, create a list...
users[my_cols]            # ...and use that list to select columns
type(users[my_cols])     # DataFrame
```

### 3.2.5 Rows selection (basic)

iloc is strictly integer position based

```
df = users.copy()
df.iloc[0]      # first row
df.iloc[0, 0]   # first item of first row
df.iloc[0, 0] = 55

for i in range(users.shape[0]):
    row = df.iloc[i]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
row.age *= 100 # setting a copy, and not the original frame data.  
  
print(df) # df is not modified
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
0		55	19	F	student 165.0
1	john	26		M	student 180.0
2	eric	22		M	student 175.0
3	paul	58		F	manager NaN
4	peter	33		M	engineer NaN
5	julie	44		F	scientist 171.0

ix supports mixed integer and label based access.

```
df = users.copy()  
df.loc[0] # first row  
df.loc[0, "age"] # first item of first row  
df.loc[0, "age"] = 55  
  
for i in range(df.shape[0]):  
    df.loc[i, "age"] *= 10  
  
print(df) # df is modified
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
0	alice	550		F	student 165.0
1	john	260		M	student 180.0
2	eric	220		M	student 175.0
3	paul	580		F	manager NaN
4	peter	330		M	engineer NaN
5	julie	440		F	scientist 171.0

### 3.2.6 Rows selection (filtering)

simple logical filtering

```
users[users.age < 20] # only show users with age < 20  
young_bool = users.age < 20 # or, create a Series of booleans...  
young = users[young_bool] # ...and use that Series to filter rows  
users[users.age < 20].job # select one column from the filtered results  
print(young)
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
0	alice	19		F	student 165.0

Advanced logical filtering

```
users[users.age < 20][['age', 'job']]           # select multiple columns
users[(users.age > 20) & (users.gender == 'M')]  # use multiple conditions
users[users.job.isin(['student', 'engineer'])]    # filter specific values
```

### 3.2.7 Sorting

```
df = users.copy()

df.age.sort_values()                         # only works for a Series
df.sort_values(by='age')                     # sort rows by a specific column
df.sort_values(by='age', ascending=False)     # use descending order instead
df.sort_values(by=['job', 'age'])             # sort by multiple columns
df.sort_values(by=['job', 'age'], inplace=True) # modify df

print(df)
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
4	peter	33	M	engineer	NaN
3	paul	58	F	manager	NaN
5	julie	44	F	scientist	171.0
0	alice	19	F	student	165.0
2	eric	22	M	student	175.0
1	john	26	M	student	180.0

### 3.2.8 Descriptive statistics

Summarize all numeric columns

```
print(df.describe())
```

Out:

	age	height
count	6.000000	4.000000
mean	33.666667	172.750000
std	14.895189	6.344289
min	19.000000	165.000000
25%	23.000000	169.500000
50%	29.500000	173.000000
75%	41.250000	176.250000
max	58.000000	180.000000

Summarize all columns

```
print(df.describe(include='all'))
print(df.describe(include=['object'])) # limit to one (or more) types
```

Out:

	name	age	gender	job	height
count	6	6.000000		6	6 4.000000
unique	6		Nan	2	4 Nan
top	eric		Nan	F	student NaN
freq	1		Nan	3	3 NaN
mean		33.666667		NaN	172.750000
std		14.895189		NaN	6.344289
min		19.000000		NaN	165.000000
25%		23.000000		NaN	169.500000
50%		29.500000		NaN	173.000000
75%		41.250000		NaN	176.250000
max		58.000000		NaN	180.000000
	name	gender	job		
count	6	6		6	
unique	6		2	4	
top	eric		F	student	
freq	1		3	3	

Statistics per group (groupby)

```
print(df.groupby("job").mean())
print(df.groupby("job")["age"].mean())
print(df.groupby("job").describe(include='all'))
```

Out:

	age	height						
job								
engineer	33.000000	Nan						
manager	58.000000	Nan						
scientist	44.000000	171.000000						
student	22.333333	173.333333						
job								
engineer	33.000000							
manager	58.000000							
scientist	44.000000							
student	22.333333							
Name: age, dtype: float64								
	name	height						
	count	unique	top	freq	mean	std	...	height
							std	
→ max								
job								
engineer	1	1	peter	1	Nan	Nan	...	Nan
→ Nan								Nan
manager	1	1	paul	1	Nan	Nan	...	Nan
→ Nan								Nan
scientist	1	1	julie	1	Nan	Nan	...	Nan
→ 171.0								171.0
student	3	3	eric	1	Nan	Nan	...	7.637626
→ 180.0								165.0
[4 rows x 44 columns]								

Groupby in a loop

```
for grp, data in df.groupby("job"):
    print(grp, data)
```

Out:

	engineer	name	age	gender	job	height
4	peter	33	M	engineer	NaN	
manager	name	age	gender	job	height	
3	paul	58	F	manager	NaN	
scientist	name	age	gender	job	height	
5	julie	44	F	scientist	171.0	
student	name	age	gender	job	height	
0	alice	19	F	student	165.0	
2	eric	22	M	student	175.0	
1	john	26	M	student	180.0	

### 3.2.9 Quality check

#### Remove duplicate data

```
df = users.append(df.iloc[0], ignore_index=True)

print(df.duplicated())                      # Series of booleans
# (True if a row is identical to a previous row)
df.duplicated().sum()                      # count of duplicates
df[df.duplicated()]                        # only show duplicates
df.age.duplicated()                        # check a single column for duplicates
df.duplicated(['age', 'gender']).sum()      # specify columns for finding duplicates
df = df.drop_duplicates()                  # drop duplicate rows
```

Out:

0	False
1	False
2	False
3	False
4	False
5	False
6	True
	dtype: bool

#### Missing data

```
# Missing values are often just excluded
df = users.copy()

df.describe(include='all')                  # excludes missing values

# find missing values in a Series
df.height.isnull()                         # True if NaN, False otherwise
df.height.notnull()                         # False if NaN, True otherwise
df[df.height.notnull()]                     # only show rows where age is not NaN
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
df.height.isnull().sum()      # count the missing values  
  
# find missing values in a DataFrame  
df.isnull()                  # DataFrame of booleans  
df.isnull().sum()            # calculate the sum of each column
```

Strategy 1: drop missing values

```
df.dropna()                  # drop a row if ANY values are missing  
df.dropna(how='all')         # drop a row only if ALL values are missing
```

Strategy 2: fill in missing values

```
df.height.mean()  
df = users.copy()  
df.loc[df.height.isnull(), "height"] = df["height"].mean()  
  
print(df)
```

Out:

```
name  age gender      job  height  
0    alice   19      F  student  165.00  
1    john    26      M  student  180.00  
2    eric    22      M  student  175.00  
3    paul    58      F  manager  172.75  
4    peter   33      M  engineer 172.75  
5    julie   44      F  scientist 171.00
```

### 3.2.10 Rename values

```
df = users.copy()  
print(df.columns)  
df.columns = ['age', 'genre', 'travail', 'nom', 'taille']  
  
df.travail = df.travail.map({'student':'etudiant', 'manager':'manager',  
                           'engineer':'ingenieur', 'scientist':'scientific'})  
# assert df.travail.isnull().sum() == 0  
  
  
df['travail'].str.contains("etu|inge")
```

Out:

```
Index(['name', 'age', 'gender', 'job', 'height'], dtype='object')
```

### 3.2.11 Dealing with outliers

```
size = pd.Series(np.random.normal(loc=175, size=20, scale=10))  
# Corrupt the first 3 measures  
size[:3] += 500
```

### Based on parametric statistics: use the mean

Assume random variable follows the normal distribution. Exclude data outside 3 standard-deviations:  
- Probability that a sample lies within 1 sd: 68.27%  
- Probability that a sample lies within 3 sd: 99.73% ( $68.27 + 2 * 15.73$ )

```
size_outlr_mean = size.copy()  
size_outlr_mean[((size - size.mean()).abs() > 3 * size.std())] = size.mean()  
print(size_outlr_mean.mean())
```

Out:

```
251.7248268642032
```

### Based on non-parametric statistics: use the median

Median absolute deviation (MAD), based on the median, is a robust non-parametric statistics.  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Median\\_absolute\\_deviation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Median_absolute_deviation)

```
mad = 1.4826 * np.median(np.abs(size - size.median()))  
size_outlr_mad = size.copy()  
  
size_outlr_mad[((size - size.median()).abs() > 3 * mad)] = size.median()  
print(size_outlr_mad.mean(), size_outlr_mad.median())
```

Out:

```
177.68465647804086 180.15942428222203
```

## 3.2.12 File I/O

### CSV

```
import tempfile, os.path  
tmpdir = tempfile.gettempdir()  
csv_filename = os.path.join(tmpdir, "users.csv")  
users.to_csv(csv_filename, index=False)  
other = pd.read_csv(csv_filename)
```

### Read csv from url

```
url = 'https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv'  
salary = pd.read_csv(url)
```

### Excel

```

xls_filename = os.path.join(tmpdir, "users.xlsx")
users.to_excel(xls_filename, sheet_name='users', index=False)

pd.read_excel(xls_filename, sheetname='users')

# Multiple sheets
with pd.ExcelWriter(xls_filename) as writer:
    users.to_excel(writer, sheet_name='users', index=False)
    df.to_excel(writer, sheet_name='salary', index=False)

pd.read_excel(xls_filename, sheetname='users')
pd.read_excel(xls_filename, sheetname='salary')

```

## SQL (SQLite)

```

import pandas as pd
import sqlite3

db_filename = os.path.join(tmpdir, "users.db")

```

Connect

```
conn = sqlite3.connect(db_filename)
```

Creating tables with pandas

```

url = 'https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv'
salary = pd.read_csv(url)

salary.to_sql("salary", conn, if_exists="replace")

```

Push modifications

```

cur = conn.cursor()
values = (100, 14000, 5, 'Bachelor', 'N')
cur.execute("insert into salary values (?, ?, ?, ?, ?)", values)
conn.commit()

```

Reading results into a pandas DataFrame

```

salary_sql = pd.read_sql_query("select * from salary;", conn)
print(salary_sql.head())

pd.read_sql_query("select * from salary;", conn).tail()
pd.read_sql_query('select * from salary where salary>25000;', conn)
pd.read_sql_query('select * from salary where experience=16;', conn)
pd.read_sql_query('select * from salary where education="Master";', conn)

```

Out:

index	salary	experience	education	management	
0	0	13876	1	Bachelor	Y
1	1	11608	1	Ph.D	N
2	2	18701	1	Ph.D	Y

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

3	3	11283	1	Master	N
4	4	11767	1	Ph.D	N

### 3.2.13 Exercises

#### Data Frame

1. Read the iris dataset at '<https://github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/tree/master/datasets/iris.csv>'
2. Print column names
3. Get numerical columns
4. For each species compute the mean of numerical columns and store it in a stats table like:

	species	sepal_length	sepal_width	petal_length	petal_width
0	setosa	5.006	3.428	1.462	0.246
1	versicolor	5.936	2.770	4.260	1.326
2	virginica	6.588	2.974	5.552	2.026

#### Missing data

Add some missing data to the previous table users:

```
df = users.copy()
df.ix[[0, 2], "age"] = None
df.ix[[1, 3], "gender"] = None
```

1. Write a function `fillmissing_with_mean(df)` that fill all missing value of numerical column with the mean of the current columns.
2. Save the original users and “imputed” frame in a single excel file “users.xlsx” with 2 sheets: original, imputed.

**Total running time of the script:** ( 0 minutes 1.686 seconds)

## 3.3 Matplotlib: data visualization

**Sources** - Nicolas P. Rougier: <http://www.labri.fr/perso/nrougier/teaching/matplotlib> - <https://www.kaggle.com/benhamner/d/uciml/iris/python-data-visualizations>

### 3.3.1 Basic plots

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# inline plot (for jupyter)
```


(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
%matplotlib inline

x = np.linspace(0, 10, 50)
sinus = np.sin(x)

plt.plot(x, sinus)
plt.show()
```




```
plt.plot(x, sinus, "o")
plt.show()
# use plt.plot to get color / marker abbreviations
```




```
# Rapid multiplot

cosinus = np.cos(x)
plt.plot(x, sinus, "-b", x, sinus, "ob", x, cosinus, "-r", x, cosinus, "or")
plt.xlabel('this is x!')
plt.ylabel('this is y!')
plt.title('My First Plot')
plt.show()
```



```
# Step by step
plt.plot(x, sinus, label='sinus', color='blue', linestyle='--', linewidth=2)
plt.plot(x, cosinus, label='cosinus', color='red', linestyle='-', linewidth=2)
plt.legend()
plt.show()
```



### 3.3.2 Scatter (2D) plots

Load dataset


```
import pandas as pd
try:
    salary = pd.read_csv("../datasets/salary_table.csv")
except:
    url = 'https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv'
    salary = pd.read_csv(url)

df = salary
```

#### Simple scatter with colors

```
colors = colors_edu = {'Bachelor':'r', 'Master':'g', 'Ph.D':'blue'}
plt.scatter(df['experience'], df['salary'], c=df['education'].apply(lambda x: colors[x]), s=100)
```

```
<matplotlib.collections.PathCollection at 0x7ff3a74b8d68>
```




Scatter plot with colors and symbols

```
## Figure size
plt.figure(figsize=(6,5))

## Define colors / symbols manually
symbols_manag = dict(Y='*', N='.')
colors_edu = {'Bachelor':'r', 'Master':'g', 'Ph.D.':'b'}

## group by education x management => 6 groups
for values, d in salary.groupby(['education','management']):
    edu, manager = values
    plt.scatter(d['experience'], d['salary'], marker=symbols_manag[manager], color=colors_
    ↪edu[edu],
                s=150, label=manager+"/"+edu)

## Set labels
plt.xlabel('Experience')
plt.ylabel('Salary')
plt.legend(loc=4) # lower right
plt.show()
```



### 3.3.3 Saving Figures

```
### bitmap format
plt.plot(x, sinus)
plt.savefig("sinus.png")
plt.close()

# Prefer vectorial format (SVG: Scalable Vector Graphics) can be edited with
# Inkscape, Adobe Illustrator, Blender, etc.
plt.plot(x, sinus)
plt.savefig("sinus.svg")
plt.close()

# Or pdf
plt.plot(x, sinus)
plt.savefig("sinus.pdf")
plt.close()
```

### 3.3.4 Seaborn

**Sources:** - <http://stanford.edu/~mwaskom/software/seaborn> - <https://elitedatascience.com/python-seaborn-tutorial>

If needed, install using: pip install -U --user seaborn

## Boxplot

Box plots are non-parametric: they display variation in samples of a statistical population without making any assumptions of the underlying statistical distribution.





Fig. 2: title

```
import seaborn as sns
sns.boxplot(x="education", y="salary", hue="management", data=salary)
```

```
<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7efc45815c88>
```




```

sns.boxplot(x="management", y="salary", hue="education", data=salary)
sns.stripplot(x="management", y="salary", hue="education", data=salary, jitter=True,
              dodge=True, linewidth=1)# Jitter and split options separate datapoints according to group

```

```
<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7efc45687668>
```




### Density plot with one figure containing multiple axis

One figure can contain several axis, whose contain the graphic elements

```
# Set up the matplotlib figure: 3 x 1 axis


f, axes = plt.subplots(3, 1, figsize=(9, 9), sharex=True)

i = 0
for edu, d in salary.groupby(['education']):
    sns.distplot(d.salary[d.management == "Y"], color="b", bins=10, label="Manager", ax=axes[i])
    sns.distplot(d.salary[d.management == "N"], color="r", bins=10, label="Employee", ax=axes[i])
    axes[i].set_title(edu)
    axes[i].set_ylabel('Density')
    i += 1
ax = plt.legend()
```




### Violin plot (distribution)

```
ax = sns.violinplot(x="salary", data=salary)
```




Tune bandwidth

```
ax = sns.violinplot(x="salary", data=salary, bw=.15)
```



```
ax = sns.violinplot(x="management", y="salary", hue="education", data=salary)
```




Tips dataset One waiter recorded information about each tip he received over a period of a few months working in one restaurant. He collected several variables:

```
import seaborn as sns
sns.set(style="whitegrid")
tips = sns.load_dataset("tips")
print(tips.head())


ax = sns.violinplot(x=tips["total_bill"])
```

	total_bill	tip	sex	smoker	day	time	size
0	16.99	1.01	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	2
1	10.34	1.66	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
2	21.01	3.50	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	3
3	23.68	3.31	Male	No	Sun	Dinner	2
4	24.59	3.61	Female	No	Sun	Dinner	4




Group by day

```
ax = sns.violinplot(x="day", y="total_bill", data=tips, palette="muted")
```




Group by day and color by time (lunch vs dinner)

```
ax = sns.violinplot(x="day", y="total_bill", hue="time", data=tips, palette="muted",  
split=True)
```



### Pairwise scatter plots

```
g = sns.PairGrid(salary, hue="management")
g.map_diag(plt.hist)
g.map_offdiag(plt.scatter)
ax = g.add_legend()
```




### 3.3.5 Time series

```
import seaborn as sns
sns.set(style="darkgrid")

# Load an example dataset with long-form data
fmri = sns.load_dataset("fmri")

# Plot the responses for different events and regions

ax = sns.pointplot(x="timepoint", y="signal",
                    hue="region", style="event",
                    data=fmri)
# version 0.9
# sns.lineplot(x="timepoint", y="signal",
#             hue="region", style="event",
#             data=fmri)
```





## 4.1 Univariate statistics

Basics univariate statistics are required to explore dataset:

- Discover associations between a variable of interest and potential predictors. It is strongly recommended to start with simple univariate methods before moving to complex multi-variate predictors.
- Assess the prediction performances of machine learning predictors.
- Most of the univariate statistics are based on the linear model which is one of the main model in machine learning.

### 4.1.1 Estimators of the main statistical measures

#### Mean

Properties of the expected value operator  $E(\cdot)$  of a random variable  $X$

$$E(X + c) = E(X) + c \quad (4.1)$$

$$E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y) \quad (4.2)$$

$$E(aX) = aE(X) \quad (4.3)$$

The estimator  $\bar{x}$  on a sample of size  $n$ :  $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$  is given by

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i$$

$\bar{x}$  is itself a random variable with properties:

- $E(\bar{x}) = \bar{x}$ ,
- $Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{Var(X)}{n}$ .

#### Variance

$$Var(X) = E((X - E(X))^2) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$$

The estimator is

$$\sigma_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})^2$$

Note here the subtracted 1 degree of freedom (df) in the divisor. In standard statistical practice,  $df = 1$  provides an unbiased estimator of the variance of a hypothetical infinite population. With  $df = 0$  it instead provides a maximum likelihood estimate of the variance for normally distributed variables.

### Standard deviation

$$Std(X) = \sqrt{Var(X)}$$

The estimator is simply  $\sigma_x = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2}$ .

### Covariance

$$Cov(X, Y) = E((X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y).$$

Properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X, X) &= \text{Var}(X) \\ \text{Cov}(X, Y) &= \text{Cov}(Y, X) \\ \text{Cov}(cX, Y) &= c \text{Cov}(X, Y) \\ \text{Cov}(X + c, Y) &= \text{Cov}(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

The estimator with  $df = 1$  is

$$\sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}).$$

### Correlation

$$Cor(X, Y) = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{Std(X)Std(Y)}$$

The estimator is

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y}.$$

### Standard Error (SE)

The standard error (SE) is the standard deviation (of the sampling distribution) of a statistic:

$$SE(X) = \frac{Std(X)}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

It is most commonly considered for the mean with the estimator

$$SE(x) = Std(X) = \sigma_{\bar{x}} \tag{4.4}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_x}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{4.5}$$

## Exercises

- Generate 2 random samples:  $x \sim N(1.78, 0.1)$  and  $y \sim N(1.66, 0.1)$ , both of size 10.
- Compute  $\bar{x}, \sigma_x, \sigma_{xy}$  (`xbar`, `xvar`, `xcov`) using only the `np.sum()` operation. Explore the `np.` module to find out which numpy functions performs the same computations and compare them (using `assert`) with your previous results.

### 4.1.2 Main distributions

#### Normal distribution


The normal distribution, noted  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$  with parameters:  $\mu$  mean (location) and  $\sigma > 0$  std-dev. Estimators:  $\bar{x}$  and  $\sigma_x$ .

The normal distribution, noted  $\mathcal{N}$ , is useful because of the central limit theorem (CLT) which states that: given certain conditions, the arithmetic mean of a sufficiently large number of iterates of independent random variables, each with a well-defined expected value and well-defined variance, will be approximately normally distributed, regardless of the underlying distribution.

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from scipy.stats import norm
%matplotlib inline

mu = 0 # mean
variance = 2 #variance
sigma = np.sqrt(variance) #standard deviation",
x = np.linspace(mu-3*variance,mu+3*variance, 100)
plt.plot(x, norm.pdf(x, mu, sigma))
```

[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f02dc67c668>]



## The Chi-Square distribution

The chi-square or  $\chi_n^2$  distribution with  $n$  degrees of freedom (df) is the distribution of a sum of the squares of  $n$  independent standard normal random variables  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Let  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then,  $Z = (X - \mu)/\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then:

- The squared standard  $Z^2 \sim \chi_1^2$  (one df).
- **The distribution of sum of squares** of  $n$  normal random variables:  $\sum_i^n Z_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$

The sum of two  $\chi^2$  RV with  $p$  and  $q$  df is a  $\chi^2$  RV with  $p + q$  df. This is useful when summing/subtracting sum of squares.

The  $\chi^2$ -distribution is used to model **errors** measured as **sum of squares** or the distribution of the sample **variance**.

## The Fisher's F-distribution

The  $F$ -distribution,  $F_{n,p}$ , with  $n$  and  $p$  degrees of freedom is the ratio of two independent  $\chi^2$  variables. Let  $X \sim \chi_n^2$  and  $Y \sim \chi_p^2$  then:

$$F_{n,p} = \frac{X/n}{Y/p}$$

The  $F$ -distribution plays a central role in hypothesis testing answering the question: **Are two variances equals?, is the ratio or two errors significantly large ?**

```
import numpy as np
from scipy.stats import f
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

fvalues = np.linspace(.1, 5, 100)


# pdf(x, df1, df2): Probability density function at x of F.
plt.plot(fvalues, f.pdf(fvalues, 1, 30), 'b-', label="F(1, 30)")
plt.plot(fvalues, f.pdf(fvalues, 5, 30), 'r-', label="F(5, 30)")
plt.legend()

# cdf(x, df1, df2): Cumulative distribution function of F.
# ie.
proba_at_f_inf_3 = f.cdf(3, 1, 30) # P(F(1,30) < 3)

# ppf(q, df1, df2): Percent point function (inverse of cdf) at q of F.
f_at_proba_inf_95 = f.ppf(.95, 1, 30) # q such P(F(1,30) < .95)
assert f.cdf(f_at_proba_inf_95, 1, 30) == .95

# sf(x, df1, df2): Survival function (1 - cdf) at x of F.
proba_at_f_sup_3 = f.sf(3, 1, 30) # P(F(1,30) > 3)
assert proba_at_f_inf_3 + proba_at_f_sup_3 == 1

# p-value: P(F(1, 30)) < 0.05
low_proba_fvalues = fvalues[fvalues > f_at_proba_inf_95]
plt.fill_between(low_proba_fvalues, 0, f.pdf(low_proba_fvalues, 1, 30),
                 alpha=.8, label="P < 0.05")
plt.show()
```



### The Student's $t$ -distribution

Let  $M \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and  $V \sim \chi_n^2$ . The  $t$ -distribution,  $T_n$ , with  $n$  degrees of freedom is the ratio:

$$T_n = \frac{M}{\sqrt{V/n}}$$

The distribution of the difference between an estimated parameter and its true (or assumed) value divided by the standard deviation of the estimated parameter (standard error) follow a  $t$ -distribution. **Is this parameters different from a given value?**

#### 4.1.3 Hypothesis Testing

##### Examples

- Test a proportion: Biased coin ? 200 heads have been found over 300 flips, is it coins biased ?
- Test the association between two variables.
  - Exemple height and sex: In a sample of 25 individuals (15 females, 10 males), is female height is different from male height ?
  - Exemple age and arterial hypertension: In a sample of 25 individuals is age height correlated with arterial hypertension ?

##### Steps

1. Model the data
2. Fit: estimate the model parameters (frequency, mean, correlation, regression coefficient)
3. Compute a test statistic from model the parameters.
4. Formulate the null hypothesis: What would be the (distribution of the) test statistic if the observations are the result of pure chance.

5. Compute the probability ( $p$ -value) to obtain a larger value for the test statistic by chance (under the null hypothesis).

### Flip coin: Simplified example

Biased coin ? 2 heads have been found over 3 flips, is it coins biased ?

1. Model the data: number of heads follow a Binomial distribution.
2. Compute model parameters:  $N=3$ ,  $P = \text{the frequency of number of heads over the number of flip: } 2/3$ .
3. Compute a test statistic, same as frequency.
4. Under the null hypothesis the distribution of the number of tail is:

1	2	3	count #heads
			0
H			1
	H		1
		H	1
H	H		2
H		H	2
	H	H	2
H	H	H	3

8 possibles configurations, probabilities of differents values for  $p$  are:  $x$  measure the number of success.

- $P(x = 0) = 1/8$
- $P(x = 1) = 3/8$
- $P(x = 2) = 3/8$
- $P(x = 3) = 1/8$

```
plt.bar([0, 1, 2, 3], [1/8, 3/8, 3/8, 1/8], width=0.9)
_ = plt.xticks([0, 1, 2, 3], [0, 1, 2, 3])
plt.xlabel("Distribution of the number of head over 3 flip under the null hypothesis")
```

3. Compute the probability ( $p$ -value) to observe a value larger or equal that 2 under the null hypothesis ? This probability is the  $p$ -value:

$$P(x \geq 2 | H_0) = P(x = 2) + P(x = 3) = 3/8 + 1/8 = 4/8 = 1/2$$

### Flip coin: Real Example

Biased coin ? 60 heads have been found over 100 flips, is it coins biased ?

1. Model the data: number of heads follow a Binomial distribution.
2. Compute model parameters:  $N=100$ ,  $P=60/100$ .
3. Compute a test statistic, same as frequency.

4. Compute a test statistic: 60/100.
5. Under the null hypothesis the distribution of the number of tail ( $k$ ) follow the **binomial distribution** of parameters  $N=100$ ,  $P=0.5$ :

$$Pr(X = k|H_0) = Pr(X = k|n = 100, p = 0.5) = \binom{100}{k} 0.5^k (1 - 0.5)^{(100-k)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(X = k \geq 60|H_0) &= \sum_{k=60}^{100} \binom{100}{k} 0.5^k (1 - 0.5)^{(100-k)} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{60} \binom{100}{k} 0.5^k (1 - 0.5)^{(100-k)}, \text{the cumulative distribution function.} \end{aligned}$$

### Use tabulated binomial distribution

```
import scipy.stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

#tobs = 2.39687663116 # assume the t-value
succes = np.linspace(30, 70, 41)
plt.plot(succes, scipy.stats.binom.pmf(succes, 100, 0.5), 'b-', label="Binomial(100, 0.5")
upper_succes_tvalues = succes[succes > 60]
plt.fill_between(upper_succes_tvalues, 0, scipy.stats.binom.pmf(upper_succes_tvalues, 100,
                                                               0.5), alpha=.8, label="p-value")
_ = plt.legend()

pval = 1 - scipy.stats.binom.cdf(60, 100, 0.5)
print(pval)
```

### Random sampling of the Binomial distribution under the null hypothesis

```
scccess_h0 = scipy.stats.binom.rvs(100, 0.5, size=10000, random_state=4)
print(scccess_h0)

#scccess_h0 = np.array([0 for i in range(5000)])
import seaborn as sns
_ = sns.distplot(scccess_h0, hist=False)

pval_rnd = np.sum(scccess_h0 >= 60) / (len(scccess_h0) + 1)
print("P-value using monte-carlo sampling of the Binomial distribution under H0=", pval_rnd)
```

### One sample $t$ -test

The one-sample  $t$ -test is used to determine whether a sample comes from a population with a specific mean. For example you want to test if the average height of a population is 1.75 m.

#### 1 Model the data

Assume that height is normally distributed:  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$ , ie:

$$\text{height}_i = \text{average height over the population} + \text{error}_i \quad (4.6)$$

$$x_i = \bar{x} + \varepsilon_i \quad (4.7)$$

The  $\varepsilon_i$  are called the residuals

2 Fit: estimate the model parameters

$\bar{x}, s_x$  are the estimators of  $\mu, \sigma$ .

3 Compute a test statistic

In testing the null hypothesis that the population mean is equal to a specified value  $\mu_0 = 1.75$ , one uses the statistic:

$$t = \frac{\text{difference of means}}{\text{std-dev of noise}} \sqrt{n} \quad (4.8)$$

$$t = \text{effect size} \sqrt{n} \quad (4.9)$$

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s_x} \sqrt{n} \quad (4.10)$$

Remarks: Although the parent population does not need to be normally distributed, the distribution of the population of sample means,  $\bar{x}$ , is assumed to be normal. By the central limit theorem, if the sampling of the parent population is independent then the sample means will be approximately normal.

4 Compute the probability of the test statistic under the null hypothesis. This requires to have the distribution of the t statistic under  $H_0$ .

## Example

Given the following samples, we will test whether its true mean is 1.75.

Warning, when computing the std or the variance, set ddof=1. The default value, ddof=0, leads to the biased estimator of the variance.

```
import numpy as np

x = [1.83, 1.83, 1.73, 1.82, 1.83, 1.73, 1.99, 1.85, 1.68, 1.87]

xbar = np.mean(x) # sample mean
mu0 = 1.75 # hypothesized value
s = np.std(x, ddof=1) # sample standard deviation
n = len(x) # sample size

print(xbar)


tobs = (xbar - mu0) / (s / np.sqrt(n))
print(tobs)
```

```
1.816
2.3968766311585883
```

The :math:`p`-value is the probability to observe a value  $t$  more extreme than the observed one  $t_{obs}$  under the null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $P(t > t_{obs} | H_0)$

```
import scipy.stats as stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

#tobs = 2.39687663116 # assume the t-value
tvalues = np.linspace(-10, 10, 100)
plt.plot(tvalues, stats.t.pdf(tvalues, n-1), 'b-', label="T(n-1)")
upper_tval_tvalues = tvalues[tvalues > tobs]
plt.fill_between(upper_tval_tvalues, 0, stats.t.pdf(upper_tval_tvalues, n-1), alpha=.8, color='red', label="p-value")
_= plt.legend()
```



#### 4.1.4 Testing pairwise associations

Univariate statistical analysis: explore association between pairs of variables.

- In statistics, a **categorical variable** or **factor** is a variable that can take on one of a limited, and usually fixed, number of possible values, thus assigning each individual to a particular group or “category”. The levels are the possible values of the variable. Number of levels = 2: binomial; Number of levels > 2: multinomial. There is no intrinsic ordering to the categories. For example, gender is a categorical variable having two categories (male and female) and there is no intrinsic ordering to the categories. For example, Sex (Female, Male), Hair color (blonde, brown, etc.).
- An **ordinal variable** is a categorical variable with a clear ordering of the levels. For example: drinks per day (none, small, medium and high).
- A **continuous or quantitative variable**  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is one that can take any value in a range of possible values, possibly infinite. E.g.: salary, experience in years, weight.

**What statistical test should I use?**

See: [http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/mult\\_pkg/whatstat/](http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/mult_pkg/whatstat/)




Fig. 1: Statistical tests

### Pearson correlation test: test association between two quantitative variables

Test the correlation coefficient of two quantitative variables. The test calculates a Pearson correlation coefficient and the  $p$ -value for testing non-correlation.

Let  $x$  and  $y$  two quantitative variables, where  $n$  samples were observed. The linear correlation coefficient is defined as :

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}.$$

Under  $H_0$ , the test statistic  $t = \sqrt{n-2} \frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}}$  follow Student distribution with  $n-2$  degrees of freedom.

```
import numpy as np
import scipy.stats as stats
n = 50
x = np.random.normal(size=n)
y = 2 * x + np.random.normal(size=n)

# Compute with scipy
cor, pval = stats.pearsonr(x, y)
print(cor, pval)
```

## Two sample (Student) $t$ -test: compare two means




Fig. 2: Two-sample model

The two-sample  $t$ -test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989) is used to determine if two population means are equal. There are several variations on this test. If data are paired (e.g. 2 measures, before and after treatment for each individual) use the one-sample  $t$ -test of the difference. The variances of the two samples may be assumed to be equal (a.k.a. homoscedasticity) or unequal (a.k.a. heteroscedasticity).

### 1. Model the data

Assume that the two random variables are normally distributed:  $y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$ ,  $y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2)$ .

## 2. Fit: estimate the model parameters

Estimate means and variances:  $\bar{y}_1, s_{y_1}^2, \bar{y}_2, s_{y_2}^2$ .

### 3. *t*-test

The general principle is

$$t = \frac{\text{difference of means}}{\text{its standard error}} \quad (4.11)$$

$$= \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}{s_{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}} \quad (4.12)$$

Since  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are independant:

$$s_{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}^2 = s_{\bar{y}_1}^2 + s_{\bar{y}_2}^2 = \frac{s_{y_1}^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_{y_2}^2}{n_2} \quad (4.13)$$

thus

$$s_{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{s_{y_1}^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_{y_2}^2}{n_2}} \quad (4.15)$$

### Equal or unequal sample sizes, unequal variances (Welch's *t*-test)

Welch's *t*-test defines the *t* statistic as

$$t = \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{y_1}^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_{y_2}^2}{n_2}}}.$$

To compute the *p*-value one needs the degrees of freedom associated with this variance estimate. It is approximated using the Welch–Satterthwaite equation:

$$\nu \approx \frac{\left( \frac{s_{y_1}^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_{y_2}^2}{n_2} \right)^2}{\frac{s_{y_1}^4}{n_1^2(n_1-1)} + \frac{s_{y_2}^4}{n_2^2(n_2-1)}}.$$

### Equal or unequal sample sizes, equal variances

If we assume equal variance (ie,  $s_{y_1}^2 = s_{y_2}^2 = s^2$ ), where  $s^2$  is an estimator of the common variance of the two samples:

$$s^2 = \frac{s_{y_1}^2(n_1-1) + s_{y_2}^2(n_2-1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \quad (4.16)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i^{n_1} (y_{1i} - \bar{y}_1)^2 + \sum_j^{n_2} (y_{2j} - \bar{y}_2)^2}{(n_1-1) + (n_2-1)} \quad (4.17)$$

then

$$s_{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n_1} + \frac{s^2}{n_2}} = s \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}$$

Therefore, the  $t$  statistic, that is used to test whether the means are different is:

$$t = \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}{s \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}},$$

### Equal sample sizes, equal variances

If we simplify the problem assuming equal samples of size  $n_1 = n_2 = n$  we get

$$t = \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2}{s\sqrt{2}} \cdot \sqrt{n} \quad (4.18)$$

$$\approx \text{effect size} \cdot \sqrt{n} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\approx \frac{\text{difference of means}}{\text{standard deviation of the noise}} \cdot \sqrt{n} \quad (4.20)$$

### Example

Given the following two samples, test whether their means are equal using the **standard t-test, assuming equal variance**.

```
import scipy.stats as stats

height = np.array([ 1.83,  1.83,  1.73,  1.82,  1.83,  1.73,  1.99,  1.85,  1.68,  1.87,
                   1.66,  1.71,  1.73,  1.64,  1.70,  1.60,  1.79,  1.73,  1.62,  1.77])

grp = np.array(["M"] * 10 + ["F"] * 10)

# Compute with scipy
print(stats.ttest_ind(height[grp == "M"], height[grp == "F"], equal_var=True))
```

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=3.5511519888466885, pvalue=0.00228208937112721)
```

### ANOVA F-test (quantitative ~ categorial (>=2 levels))

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) provides a statistical test of whether or not the means of several groups are equal, and therefore generalizes the  $t$ -test to more than two groups. ANOVAs are useful for comparing (testing) three or more means (groups or variables) for statistical significance. It is conceptually similar to multiple two-sample  $t$ -tests, but is less conservative.

Here we will consider one-way ANOVA with one independent variable, ie one-way anova.

[Wikipedia](#):

- Test if any group is on average superior, or inferior, to the others versus the null hypothesis that all four strategies yield the same mean response

- Detect any of several possible differences.
- The advantage of the ANOVA  $F$ -test is that we do not need to pre-specify which strategies are to be compared, and we do not need to adjust for making multiple comparisons.
- The disadvantage of the ANOVA  $F$ -test is that if we reject the null hypothesis, we do not know which strategies can be said to be significantly different from the others.

## 1. Model the data

A company has applied three marketing strategies to three samples of customers in order increase their business volume. The marketing is asking whether the strategies led to different increases of business volume. Let  $y_1, y_2$  and  $y_3$  be the three samples of business volume increase.

Here we assume that the three populations were sampled from three random variables that are normally distributed. I.e.,  $Y_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$ ,  $Y_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2)$  and  $Y_3 \sim N(\mu_3, \sigma_3)$ .

## 2. Fit: estimate the model parameters

Estimate means and variances:  $\bar{y}_i, \sigma_i, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

## 3. $F$ -test

The formula for the one-way ANOVA F-test statistic is

$$F = \frac{\text{Explained variance}}{\text{Unexplained variance}} \quad (4.21)$$

$$= \frac{\text{Between-group variability}}{\text{Within-group variability}} = \frac{s_B^2}{s_W^2}. \quad (4.22)$$

The “explained variance”, or “between-group variability” is

$$s_B^2 = \sum_i n_i (\bar{y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{y})^2 / (K - 1),$$

where  $\bar{y}_{i\cdot}$  denotes the sample mean in the  $i$ th group,  $n_i$  is the number of observations in the  $i$ th group,  $\bar{y}$  denotes the overall mean of the data, and  $K$  denotes the number of groups.

The “unexplained variance”, or “within-group variability” is

$$s_W^2 = \sum_{ij} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot})^2 / (N - K),$$

where  $y_{ij}$  is the  $j$ th observation in the  $i$ th out of  $K$  groups and  $N$  is the overall sample size. This  $F$ -statistic follows the  $F$ -distribution with  $K - 1$  and  $N - K$  degrees of freedom under the null hypothesis. The statistic will be large if the between-group variability is large relative to the within-group variability, which is unlikely to happen if the population means of the groups all have the same value.

Note that when there are only two groups for the one-way ANOVA F-test,  $F = t^2$  where  $t$  is the Student's  $t$  statistic.

## Chi-square, $\chi^2$ (categorical ~ categorical)

Computes the chi-square,  $\chi^2$ , statistic and  $p$ -value for the hypothesis test of independence of frequencies in the observed contingency table (cross-table). The observed frequencies are tested against an expected contingency table obtained by computing expected frequencies based on the marginal sums under the assumption of independence.

Example: 20 participants: 10 exposed to some chemical product and 10 non exposed (exposed = 1 or 0). Among the 20 participants 10 had cancer 10 not (cancer = 1 or 0).  $\chi^2$  tests the association between those two variables.

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import scipy.stats as stats

# Dataset:
# 15 samples:
# 10 first exposed
exposed = np.array([1] * 10 + [0] * 10)
# 8 first with cancer, 10 without, the last two with.
cancer = np.array([1] * 8 + [0] * 10 + [1] * 2)

crosstab = pd.crosstab(exposed, cancer, rownames=['exposed'],
                       colnames=['cancer'])
print("Observed table:")
print("-----")
print(crosstab)

chi2, pval, dof, expected = stats.chi2_contingency(crosstab)
print("Statistics:")
print("-----")
print("Chi2 = %f, pval = %f" % (chi2, pval))
print("Expected table:")
print("-----")
print(expected)
```

### Computing expected cross-table

```
# Compute expected cross-table based on proportion
exposed_marg = crosstab.sum(axis=0)
exposed_freq = exposed_marg / exposed_marg.sum()

cancer_marg = crosstab.sum(axis=1)
cancer_freq = cancer_marg / cancer_marg.sum()

print('Exposed frequency? Yes: %.2f' % exposed_freq[0],
      'No: %.2f' % exposed_freq[1])
print('Cancer frequency? Yes: %.2f' % cancer_freq[0],
      'No: %.2f' % cancer_freq[1])

print('Expected frequencies:')
print(np.outer(exposed_freq, cancer_freq))

print('Expected cross-table (frequencies * N): ')
print(np.outer(exposed_freq, cancer_freq) * len(exposed))
```

#### 4.1.5 Non-parametric test of pairwise associations

```
### Spearman rank-order correlation (quantitative ~ quantitative)
```

The Spearman correlation is a non-parametric measure of the monotonicity of the relationship between two datasets.

When to use it? Observe the data distribution: - presence of **outliers** - the distribution of the residuals is not Gaussian.

Like other correlation coefficients, this one varies between -1 and +1 with 0 implying no correlation. Correlations of -1 or +1 imply an exact monotonic relationship. Positive correlations imply that as  $x$  increases, so does  $y$ . Negative correlations imply that as  $x$  increases,  $y$  decreases.

```
import numpy as np
import scipy.stats as stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

x = np.array([44.4, 45.9, 41.9, 53.3, 44.7, 44.1, 50.7, 45.2, 46, 47, 48, 60.1])
y = np.array([2.6, 3.1, 2.5, 5.0, 3.6, 4.0, 5.2, 2.8, 4, 4.1, 4.5, 3.8])

plt.plot(x, y, "bo")

# Non-Parametric Spearman
cor, pval = stats.spearmanr(x, y)
print("Non-Parametric Spearman cor test, cor: %.4f, pval: %.4f" % (cor, pval))

# Parametric Pearson cor test
cor, pval = stats.pearsonr(x, y)
print("Parametric Pearson cor test: cor: %.4f, pval: %.4f" % (cor, pval))
```

#### Wilcoxon signed-rank test (quantitative ~ cte)

Source: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilcoxon\\_signed-rank\\_test](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilcoxon_signed-rank_test)

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test is a non-parametric statistical hypothesis test used when comparing two related samples, matched samples, or repeated measurements on a single sample to assess whether their population mean ranks differ (i.e. it is a paired difference test). It is equivalent to one-sample test of the difference of paired samples.

It can be used as an alternative to the paired Student's  $t$ -test,  $t$ -test for matched pairs, or the  $t$ -test for dependent samples when the population cannot be assumed to be normally distributed.

When to use it? Observe the data distribution: - presence of outliers - the distribution of the residuals is not Gaussian

It has a lower sensitivity compared to  $t$ -test. May be problematic to use when the sample size is small.

Null hypothesis  $H_0$ : difference between the pairs follows a symmetric distribution around zero.

```
import scipy.stats as stats
n = 20
# Buisness Volume time 0
bv0 = np.random.normal(loc=3, scale=.1, size=n)
# Buisness Volume time 1
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

bv1 = bv0 + 0.1 + np.random.normal(loc=0, scale=.1, size=n)

# create an outlier
bv1[0] -= 10

# Paired t-test
print(stats.ttest_rel(bv0, bv1))

# Wilcoxon
print(stats.wilcoxon(bv0, bv1))
    
```

### Mann–Whitney $U$ test (quantitative ~ categorial (2 levels))

In statistics, the Mann–Whitney  $U$  test (also called the Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon, Wilcoxon rank-sum test or Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test) is a nonparametric test of the null hypothesis that two samples come from the same population against an alternative hypothesis, especially that a particular population tends to have larger values than the other.

It can be applied on unknown distributions contrary to e.g. a  $t$ -test that has to be applied only on normal distributions, and it is nearly as efficient as the  $t$ -test on normal distributions.

```

import scipy.stats as stats
n = 20
# Buismess Volume group 0
bv0 = np.random.normal(loc=1, scale=.1, size=n)

# Buismess Volume group 1
bv1 = np.random.normal(loc=1.2, scale=.1, size=n)

# create an outlier
bv1[0] -= 10

# Two-samples t-test
print(stats.ttest_ind(bv0, bv1))

# Wilcoxon
print(stats.mannwhitneyu(bv0, bv1))
    
```

### 4.1.6 Linear model




Fig. 3: Linear model

Given  $n$  random samples  $(y_i, x_{1i}, \dots, x_{pi})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the linear regression models the relation between the observations  $y_i$  and the independent variables  $x_i^p$  is formulated as

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + \varepsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

- The  $\beta$ 's are the model parameters, ie, the regression coefficients.
- $\beta_0$  is the intercept or the bias.
- $\varepsilon_i$  are the **residuals**.
- **An independent variable (IV)**. It is a variable that stands alone and isn't changed by the other variables you are trying to measure. For example, someone's age might be an independent variable. Other factors (such as what they eat, how much they go to school, how much television they watch) aren't going to change a person's age. In fact, when you are looking for some kind of relationship between variables you are trying to see if the independent variable causes some kind of change in the other variables, or dependent variables. In Machine Learning, these variables are also called the **predictors**.
- **A dependent variable**. It is something that depends on other factors. For example, a test score could be a dependent variable because it could change depending on several factors such as how much you studied, how much sleep you got the night before you took the test, or even how hungry you were when you took it. Usually when you are looking for a relationship between two things you are trying to find out what makes the dependent variable change the way it does. In Machine Learning this variable is called a **target variable**.

### Simple regression: test association between two quantitative variables

Using the dataset “salary”, explore the association between the dependant variable (e.g. Salary) and the independent variable (e.g.: Experience is quantitative).

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

url = 'https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv'
salary = pd.read_csv(url)
```

#### 1. Model the data

Model the data on some **hypothesis** e.g.: salary is a linear function of the experience.

$$\text{salary}_i = \beta \text{ experience}_i + \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i,$$

more generally

$$y_i = \beta x_i + \beta_0 + \varepsilon_i$$

- $\beta$ : the slope or coefficient or parameter of the model,
- $\beta_0$ : the **intercept** or **bias** is the second parameter of the model,
- $\varepsilon_i$ : is the  $i$ th error, or residual with  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .

The simple regression is equivalent to the Pearson correlation.

## 2. Fit: estimate the model parameters

The goal it so estimate  $\beta$ ,  $\beta_0$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

Minimizes the **mean squared error (MSE)** or the **Sum squared error (SSE)**. The so-called **Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)** finds  $\beta, \beta_0$  that minimizes the  $SSE = \sum_i \epsilon_i^2$

$$SSE = \sum_i (y_i - \beta x_i - \beta_0)^2$$

Recall from calculus that an extreme point can be found by computing where the derivative is zero, i.e. to find the intercept, we perform the steps:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta_0} &= \sum_i (y_i - \beta x_i - \beta_0) = 0 \\ \sum_i y_i &= \beta \sum_i x_i + n \beta_0 \\ n \bar{y} &= n \beta \bar{x} + n \beta_0 \\ \beta_0 &= \bar{y} - \beta \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

To find the regression coefficient, we perform the steps:

$$\frac{\partial SSE}{\partial \beta} = \sum_i x_i (y_i - \beta x_i - \beta_0) = 0$$

Plug in  $\beta_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i x_i (y_i - \beta x_i - \bar{y} + \beta \bar{x}) &= 0 \\ \sum_i x_i y_i - \bar{y} \sum_i x_i &= \beta \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Divide both sides by  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i y_i - \bar{y} \bar{x} &= \frac{1}{n} \beta \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x}) \\ \beta &= \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i y_i - \bar{y} \bar{x}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})} = \frac{Cov(x, y)}{Var(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

```
from scipy import stats
import numpy as np
y, x = salary.salary, salary.experience
beta, beta0, r_value, p_value, std_err = stats.linregress(x,y)
print("y = %f x + %f,  r: %f, r-squared: %f,\np-value: %f, std_err: %f"
      % (beta, beta0, r_value, r_value**2, p_value, std_err))

print("Regression line with the scatterplot")
yhat = beta * x + beta0 # regression line
plt.plot(x, yhat, 'r-', x, y, 'o')
plt.xlabel('Experience (years)')
plt.ylabel('Salary')
plt.show()

print("Using seaborn")
import seaborn as sns
sns.regplot(x="experience", y="salary", data=salary);
```

### 3. F-Test

#### 3.1 Goodness of fit

The goodness of fit of a statistical model describes how well it fits a set of observations. Measures of goodness of fit typically summarize the discrepancy between observed values and the values expected under the model in question. We will consider the **explained variance** also known as the coefficient of determination, denoted  $R^2$  pronounced **R-squared**.

The total sum of squares,  $SS_{tot}$  is the sum of the sum of squares explained by the regression,  $SS_{reg}$ , plus the sum of squares of residuals unexplained by the regression,  $SS_{res}$ , also called the SSE, i.e. such that

$$SS_{tot} = SS_{reg} + SS_{res}$$




Fig. 4: title

The mean of  $y$  is

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i y_i.$$

The total sum of squares is the total squared sum of deviations from the mean of  $y$ , i.e.

$$SS_{tot} = \sum_i (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

The regression sum of squares, also called the explained sum of squares:

$$SS_{reg} = \sum_i (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2,$$

where  $\hat{y}_i = \beta x_i + \beta_0$  is the estimated value of salary  $\hat{y}_i$  given a value of experience  $x_i$ .

The sum of squares of the residuals, also called the residual sum of squares (RSS) is:

$$SS_{res} = \sum_i (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2.$$

$R^2$  is the explained sum of squares of errors. It is the variance explain by the regression divided by the total variance, i.e.

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{explained SS}}{\text{total SS}} = \frac{SS_{reg}}{SS_{tot}} = 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}}.$$

### 3.2 Test

Let  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = SS_{\text{res}}/(n - 2)$  be an estimator of the variance of  $\epsilon$ . The 2 in the denominator stems from the 2 estimated parameters: intercept and coefficient.

- **Unexplained variance:**  $\frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim \chi_{n-2}^2$
- **Explained variance:**  $\frac{SS_{\text{reg}}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim \chi_1^2$ . The single degree of freedom comes from the difference between  $\frac{SS_{\text{tot}}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} (\sim \chi_{n-1}^2)$  and  $\frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{\hat{\sigma}^2} (\sim \chi_{n-2}^2)$ , i.e.  $(n - 1) - (n - 2)$  degree of freedom.

The Fisher statistics of the ratio of two variances:

$$F = \frac{\text{Explained variance}}{\text{Unexplained variance}} = \frac{SS_{\text{reg}}/1}{SS_{\text{res}}/(n-2)} \sim F(1, n-2)$$

Using the  $F$ -distribution, compute the probability of observing a value greater than  $F$  under  $H_0$ , i.e.:  $P(x > F|H_0)$ , i.e. the survival function (1 – Cumulative Distribution Function) at  $x$  of the given  $F$ -distribution.

## Multiple regression

### Theory

Multiple Linear Regression is the most basic supervised learning algorithm.

Given: a set of training data  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  with corresponding targets  $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ .

In linear regression, we assume that the model that generates the data involves only a linear combination of the input variables, i.e.

$$y(x_i, \beta) = \beta^0 + \beta^1 x_i^1 + \dots + \beta^P x_i^P,$$

or, simplified

$$y(x_i, \beta) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{P-1} \beta_j x_i^j.$$

Extending each sample with an intercept,  $x_i := [1, x_i] \in R^{P+1}$  allows us to use a more general notation based on linear algebra and write it as a simple dot product:

$$y(x_i, \beta) = x_i^T \beta,$$

where  $\beta \in R^{P+1}$  is a vector of weights that define the  $P + 1$  parameters of the model. From now we have  $P$  regressors + the intercept.

Minimize the Mean Squared Error MSE loss:

$$MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - y(x_i, \beta))^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2$$

Let  $X = [x_0^T, \dots, x_N^T]$  be a  $N \times P + 1$  matrix of  $N$  samples of  $P$  input features with one column of one and let be  $y = [y_1, \dots, y_N]$  be a vector of the  $N$  targets. Then, using linear algebra, the **mean squared error (MSE) loss can be rewritten**:

$$MSE(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2.$$

The  $\beta$  that minimises the MSE can be found by:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{N} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 \right) = 0 \quad (4.23)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \nabla_{\beta} (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = 0 \quad (4.24)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \nabla_{\beta} (y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y + \beta X^T X \beta) = 0 \quad (4.25)$$

$$-2X^T y + 2X^T X \beta = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

$$X^T X \beta = X^T y \quad (4.27)$$

$$\beta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y, \quad (4.28)$$

where  $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$  is a pseudo inverse of  $X$ .

## Fit with numpy

```
import numpy as np
from scipy import linalg
np.random.seed(seed=42) # make the example reproducible

# Dataset
N, P = 50, 4
X = np.random.normal(size=N * P).reshape((N, P))
## Our model needs an intercept so we add a column of 1s:
X[:, 0] = 1
print(X[:5, :])

betastar = np.array([10, 1., .5, 0.1])
e = np.random.normal(size=N)
y = np.dot(X, betastar) + e

# Estimate the parameters
Xpinv = linalg.pinv2(X)
betahat = np.dot(Xpinv, y)
print("Estimated beta:\n", betahat)
```

### 4.1.7 Linear model with statsmodels

Sources: <http://statsmodels.sourceforge.net/devel/examples/>

#### Multiple regression

##### Interface with Numpy

```
import statsmodels.api as sm

## Fit and summary:
model = sm.OLS(y, X).fit()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(model.summary())

# prediction of new values
ypred = model.predict(X)

# residuals + prediction == true values
assert np.all(ypred + model.resid == y)
```

## Interface with Pandas

Use R language syntax for data.frame. For an additive model:  $y_i = \beta^0 + x_i^1\beta^1 + x_i^2\beta^2 + \epsilon_i \equiv y \sim x_1 + x_2$ .

```
import statsmodels.formula.api as smfrmfa

df = pd.DataFrame(np.column_stack([X, y]), columns=['inter', 'x1','x2', 'x3', 'y'])
print(df.columns, df.shape)
# Build a model excluding the intercept, it is implicit
model = smfrmfa.ols("y~x1 + x2 + x3", df).fit()
print(model.summary())
```

## Multiple regression with categorical independent variables or factors: Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA)

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) is a linear model that blends ANOVA and linear regression. ANCOVA evaluates whether population means of a dependent variable (DV) are equal across levels of a categorical independent variable (IV) often called a treatment, while statistically controlling for the effects of other quantitative or continuous variables that are not of primary interest, known as covariates (CV).

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

try:
    df = pd.read_csv("../datasets/salary_table.csv")
except:
    url = 'https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv'
    df = pd.read_csv(url)
```

```
import seaborn as sns
fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 3)

sns.distplot(df.salary[df.management == "Y"], color="r", bins=10, label="Manager:Y",  
             ax=axes[0])
sns.distplot(df.salary[df.management == "N"], color="b", bins=10, label="Manager:Y",  
             ax=axes[0])

sns.regplot("experience", "salary", data=df, ax=axes[1])

sns.regplot("experience", "salary", color=df.management, data=df, ax=axes[2])
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
#sns.stripplot("experience", "salary", hue="management", data=df, ax=axes[2])
```

---

```
TypeError
```

```
Traceback (most recent call last)
```

```
~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/matplotlib/colors.py in to_rgba(c, alpha)
173     try:
--> 174         rgba = _colors_full_map.cache[c, alpha]
175     except (KeyError, TypeError): # Not in cache, or unhashable.
```

```
~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/pandas/core/generic.py in __hash__(self)
1815         raise TypeError('{0!r} objects are mutable, thus they cannot be'
-> 1816                     ' hashed'.format(self.__class__.__name__))
1817
```

```
TypeError: 'Series' objects are mutable, thus they cannot be hashed
```

During handling of the above exception, another exception occurred:

```
ValueError
```

```
Traceback (most recent call last)
```

```
<ipython-input-25-04b3a5659054> in <module>
    7 sns.regplot("experience", "salary", data=df, ax=axes[1])
    8
--> 9 sns.regplot("experience", "salary", color=df.management, data=df, ax=axes[2])
   10
   11 #sns.stripplot("experience", "salary", hue="management", data=df, ax=axes[2])
```

```
~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/seaborn/regression.py in regplot(x, y, data, x_
    ↵estimator, x_bins, x_ci, scatter, fit_reg, ci, n_boot, units, order, logistic, lowess,_
    ↵robust, logx, x_partial, y_partial, truncate, dropna, x_jitter, y_jitter, label, color,_
    ↵marker, scatter_kws, line_kws, ax)
    787     scatter_kws["marker"] = marker
    788     line_kws = {} if line_kws is None else copy.copy(line_kws)
--> 789     plotter.plot(ax, scatter_kws, line_kws)
    790     return ax
    791
```

```
~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/seaborn/regression.py in plot(self, ax, scatter_
    ↵kws, line_kws)
    332
    333     # Ensure that color is hex to avoid matplotlib weirdness
--> 334     color = mpl.colors.rgb2hex(mpl.colors.colorConverter.to_rgb(color))
    335
    336     # Let color in keyword arguments override overall plot color
```

---

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/matplotlib/colors.py in to_rgb(arg)
 334     if *arg* is *RGBA*, the *A* will simply be discarded.
 335     """
--> 336     return to_rgb(arg)
 337
 338     @staticmethod

~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/matplotlib/colors.py in to_rgb(c)
 279 def to_rgb(c):
 280     """Convert *c* to an RGB color, silently dropping the alpha channel."""
--> 281     return to_rgba(c)[:3]
 282
 283

~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/matplotlib/colors.py in to_rgba(c, alpha)
 174     rgba = _colors_full_map.cache[c, alpha]
 175 except (KeyError, TypeError): # Not in cache, or unhashable.
--> 176     rgba = _to_rgba_no_colorcycle(c, alpha)
 177     try:
 178         _colors_full_map.cache[c, alpha] = rgba

~/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/matplotlib/colors.py in _to_rgba_no_colorcycle(c,_
alpha)
 225     # float)` and `np.array(...).astype(float)` all convert "0.5" to 0.5.
 226     # Test dimensionality to reject single floats.
--> 227     raise ValueError("Invalid RGBA argument: {!r}".format(orig_c))
 228 # Return a tuple to prevent the cached value from being modified.
 229 c = tuple(c.astype(float))


ValueError: Invalid RGBA argument: 0      Y
1   N
2   Y
3   N
4   N
5   Y
6   N
7   N
8   N
9   N
10  Y
11  Y
12  Y
13  N
14  Y
15  N
16  N
17  N
18  N
19  Y
20  N
21  Y
22  N

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
23    Y
24    Y
25    N
26    Y
27    Y
28    N
29    N
30    N
31    Y
32    Y
33    Y
34    N
35    N
36    Y
37    N
38    Y
39    N
40    Y
41    Y
42    N
43    N
44    N
45    N
Name: management, dtype: object
```



### One-way AN(C)OVA

- ANOVA: one categorical independent variable, i.e. one factor.
- ANCOVA: ANOVA with some covariates.

```
import statsmodels.formula.api as smfrmla

oneway = smfrmla.ols('salary ~ management + experience', df).fit()
print(oneway.summary())
aov = sm.stats.anova_lm(oneway, typ=2) # Type 2 ANOVA DataFrame
print(aov)
```

OLS Regression Results

---

Dep. Variable:	salary	R-squared:	0.865
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.859
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	138.2
Date:	Wed, 31 Jul 2019	Prob (F-statistic):	1.90e-19
Time:	15:57:36	Log-Likelihood:	-407.76
No. Observations:	46	AIC:	821.5
Df Residuals:	43	BIC:	827.0
Df Model:	2		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

---

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	1.021e+04	525.999	19.411	0.000	9149.578	1.13e+04
management[T.Y]	7145.0151	527.320	13.550	0.000	6081.572	8208.458
experience	527.1081	51.106	10.314	0.000	424.042	630.174

---

Omnibus:	11.437	Durbin-Watson:	2.193
Prob(Omnibus):	0.003	Jarque-Bera (JB):	11.260
Skew:	-1.131	Prob(JB):	0.00359
Kurtosis:	3.872	Cond. No.	22.4

---

Warnings:

[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors **is** correctly specified.

---

NameError	Traceback (most recent call last)
-----------	-----------------------------------

```
<ipython-input-2-a5cf0bbbce11> in <module>
  3 oneway = smfrmla.ols('salary ~ management + experience', salary).fit()
  4 print(oneway.summary())
----> 5 aov = sm.stats.anova_lm(oneway, typ=2) # Type 2 ANOVA DataFrame
      6 print(aov)

NameError: name 'sm' is not defined
```

## Two-way AN(C)OVA

Ancova with two categorical independent variables, i.e. two factors.

```
import statsmodels.formula.api as smfrmla
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
twoway = smfrmla.ols('salary ~ education + management + experience', df).fit()
print(twoway.summary())
aov = sm.stats.anova_lm(twoway, typ=2) # Type 2 ANOVA DataFrame
print(aov)
```

## Comparing two nested models

oneway is nested within twoway. Comparing two nested models tells us if the additional predictors (i.e. education) of the full model significantly decrease the residuals. Such comparison can be done using an  $F$ -test on residuals:

```
print(twoway.compare_f_test(oneway)) # return F, pval, df
```

## Factor coding

See <http://statsmodels.sourceforge.net/devel/contrasts.html>

By default Pandas use “dummy coding”. Explore:

```
print(twoway.model.data.param_names)
print(twoway.model.data.exog[:10, :])
```

## Contrasts and post-hoc tests

```
# t-test of the specific contribution of experience:
ttest_exp = twoway.t_test([0, 0, 0, 0, 1])
ttest_exp.pvalue, ttest_exp.tvalue
print(ttest_exp)

# Alternatively, you can specify the hypothesis tests using a string
twoway.t_test('experience')

# Post-hoc is salary of Master different salary of Ph.D?
# ie. t-test salary of Master = salary of Ph.D.
print(twoway.t_test('education[T.Master] = education[T.Ph.D]'))
```

### 4.1.8 Multiple comparisons

```
import numpy as np
np.random.seed(seed=42) # make example reproducible

# Dataset
n_samples, n_features = 100, 1000
n_info = int(n_features/10) # number of features with information
n1, n2 = int(n_samples/2), n_samples - int(n_samples/2)
snr = .5
Y = np.random.randn(n_samples, n_features)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

grp = np.array(["g1"] * n1 + ["g2"] * n2)

# Add some group effect for Pinfo features
Y[grp=="g1", :n_info] += snr

#
import scipy.stats as stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
tvals, pvals = np.full(n_features, np.NAN), np.full(n_features, np.NAN)
for j in range(n_features):
    tvals[j], pvals[j] = stats.ttest_ind(Y[grp=="g1", j], Y[grp=="g2", j],
                                         equal_var=True)

fig, axis = plt.subplots(3, 1)#, sharex='col')

axis[0].plot(range(n_features), tvals, 'o')
axis[0].set_ylabel("t-value")

axis[1].plot(range(n_features), pvals, 'o')
axis[1].axhline(y=0.05, color='red', linewidth=3, label="p-value=0.05")
#axis[1].axhline(y=0.05, label="toto", color='red')
axis[1].set_ylabel("p-value")
axis[1].legend()

axis[2].hist([pvals[n_info:], pvals[:n_info]], stacked=True, bins=100, label=["Negatives", "Positives"])
axis[2].set_xlabel("p-value histogram")
axis[2].set_ylabel("density")
axis[2].legend()

plt.tight_layout()

```

Note that under the null hypothesis the distribution of the  $p$ -values is uniform.

Statistical measures:

- **True Positive (TP)** equivalent to a hit. The test correctly concludes the presence of an effect.
- True Negative (TN). The test correctly concludes the absence of an effect.
- **False Positive (FP)** equivalent to a false alarm, **Type I error**. The test improperly concludes the presence of an effect. Thresholding at  $p\text{-value} < 0.05$  leads to 47 FP.
- False Negative (FN) equivalent to a miss, Type II error. The test improperly concludes the absence of an effect.

```

P, N = n_info, n_features - n_info # Positives, Negatives
TP = np.sum(pvals[:n_info] < 0.05) # True Positives
FP = np.sum(pvals[n_info:] < 0.05) # False Positives
print("No correction, FP: %i (expected: %.2f), TP: %i" % (FP, N * 0.05, TP))

```

## Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons

The Bonferroni correction is based on the idea that if an experimenter is testing  $P$  hypotheses, then one way of maintaining the familywise error rate (FWER) is to test each individual

hypothesis at a statistical significance level of  $1/P$  times the desired maximum overall level.

So, if the desired significance level for the whole family of tests is  $\alpha$  (usually 0.05), then the Bonferroni correction would test each individual hypothesis at a significance level of  $\alpha/P$ . For example, if a trial is testing  $P = 8$  hypotheses with a desired  $\alpha = 0.05$ , then the Bonferroni correction would test each individual hypothesis at  $\alpha = 0.05/8 = 0.00625$ .

```
import statsmodels.sandbox.stats.multicomp as multicomp
_, pvals_fwer, _, _ = multicomp.multipletests(pvals, alpha=0.05,
                                              method='bonferroni')
TP = np.sum(pvals_fwer[:n_info] < 0.05) # True Positives
FP = np.sum(pvals_fwer[n_info:] < 0.05) # False Positives
print("FWER correction, FP: %i, TP: %i" % (FP, TP))
```

### The False discovery rate (FDR) correction for multiple comparisons

FDR-controlling procedures are designed to control the expected proportion of rejected null hypotheses that were incorrect rejections (“false discoveries”). FDR-controlling procedures provide less stringent control of Type I errors compared to the familywise error rate (FWER) controlling procedures (such as the Bonferroni correction), which control the probability of at least one Type I error. Thus, FDR-controlling procedures have greater power, at the cost of increased rates of Type I errors.

```
import statsmodels.sandbox.stats.multicomp as multicomp
_, pvals_fdr, _, _ = multicomp.multipletests(pvals, alpha=0.05,
                                              method='fdr_bh')
TP = np.sum(pvals_fdr[:n_info] < 0.05) # True Positives
FP = np.sum(pvals_fdr[n_info:] < 0.05) # False Positives
print("FDR correction, FP: %i, TP: %i" % (FP, TP))
```

### 4.1.9 Exercises

#### Simple linear regression and correlation (application)

Load the dataset: birthwt Risk Factors Associated with Low Infant Birth Weight at <https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/birthwt.csv>

1. Test the association of mother’s (bwt) age and birth weight using the correlation test and linear regression.
2. Test the association of mother’s weight (lwt) and birth weight using the correlation test and linear regression.
3. Produce two scatter plot of: (i) age by birth weight; (ii) mother’s weight by birth weight.

Conclusion ?

#### Simple linear regression (maths)

Considering the salary and the experience of the salary table. [https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary\\_table.csv](https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv)

Compute:

- Estimate the model parameters  $\beta, \beta_0$  using `scipy.stats.linregress(x, y)`
- Compute the predicted values  $\hat{y}$

Compute:

- $\bar{y}$ : `y_mu`
- $SS_{\text{tot}}$ : `ss_tot`
- $SS_{\text{reg}}$ : `ss_reg`
- $SS_{\text{res}}$ : `ss_res`
- Check partition of variance formula based on sum of squares by using `assert np.allclose(val1, val2, atol=1e-05)`
- Compute  $R^2$  and compare it with the `r_value` above
- Compute the  $F$  score
- Compute the  $p$ -value:
- Plot the  $F(1, n)$  distribution for 100  $f$  values within [10, 25]. Draw  $P(F(1, n) > F)$ , i.e. color the surface defined by the  $x$  values larger than  $F$  below the  $F(1, n)$ .
- $P(F(1, n) > F)$  is the  $p$ -value, compute it.

## Multiple regression

Considering the simulated data used below:

1. What are the dimensions of `pinv(X)`?
2. Compute the MSE between the predicted values and the true values.

```
import numpy as np
from scipy import linalg
np.random.seed(seed=42) # make the example reproducible

# Dataset
N, P = 50, 4
X = np.random.normal(size=N * P).reshape((N, P))
## Our model needs an intercept so we add a column of 1s:
X[:, 0] = 1
print(X[:5, :])

betastar = np.array([10, 1., .5, 0.1])
e = np.random.normal(size=N)
y = np.dot(X, betastar) + e

# Estimate the parameters
Xpinv = linalg.pinv2(X)
betahat = np.dot(Xpinv, y)
print("Estimated beta:\n", betahat)
```

## Two sample t-test (maths)

Given the following two sample, test whether their means are equals.

```
height = np.array([ 1.83,  1.83,  1.73,  1.82,  1.83,
                   1.73, 1.99,  1.85,  1.68,  1.87,
                   1.66,  1.71,  1.73,  1.64,  1.70,
                   1.60,  1.79,  1.73,  1.62,  1.77])
grp = np.array(["M"] * 10 + ["F"] * 10)
```

- Compute the means/std-dev per groups.
- Compute the  $t$ -value (standard two sample t-test with equal variances).
- Compute the  $p$ -value.
- The  $p$ -value is one-sided: a two-sided test would test  $P(T > tval)$  and  $P(T < -tval)$ . What would the two sided  $p$ -value be?
- Compare the two-sided  $p$ -value with the one obtained by `stats.ttest_ind` using `assert np.allclose(arr1, arr2)`.

## Two sample t-test (application)

Risk Factors Associated with Low Infant Birth Weight: <https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/birthwt.csv>

1. Explore the data
2. Recode smoke factor
3. Compute the means/std-dev per groups.
4. Plot birth weight by smoking (box plot, violin plot or histogram)
5. Test the effect of smoking on birth weight

## Two sample t-test and random permutations

Generate 100 samples following the model:

$$y = g + \varepsilon$$

Where the noise  $\varepsilon \sim N(1, 1)$  and  $g \in \{0, 1\}$  is a group indicator variable with 50 ones and 50 zeros.

- Write a function `tstat(y, g)` that compute the two samples t-test of  $y$  splited in two groups defined by  $g$ .
- Sample the t-statistic distribution under the null hypothesis using random permutations.
- Assess the p-value.

## Univariate associations (developpement)

Write a function `univar_stat(df, target, variables)` that computes the parametric statistics and  $p$ -values between the target variable (provided as a string) and all variables (provided as a list of strings) of the pandas DataFrame `df`. The target is a quantitative variable but variables may be quantitative or qualitative. The function returns a DataFrame with four columns: `variable`, `test`, `value`, `p_value`.

Apply it to the salary dataset available at [https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary\\_table.csv](https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/salary_table.csv), with target being `S`: salaries for IT staff in a corporation.

## Multiple comparisons

This exercise has 2 goals: apply your knowledge of statistics using vectorized numpy operations. Given the dataset provided for multiple comparisons, compute the two-sample  $t$ -test (assuming equal variance) for each (column) feature of the `Y` array given the two groups defined by `grp` variable. You should return two vectors of size `n_features`: one for the  $t$ -values and one for the  $p$ -values.

## ANOVA

Perform an ANOVA dataset described below

- Compute between and within variances
- Compute  $F$ -value: `fval`
- Compare the  $p$ -value with the one obtained by `stats.f_oneway` using `assert np.allclose(arr1, arr2)`

```
# dataset
mu_k = np.array([1, 2, 3])      # means of 3 samples
sd_k = np.array([1, 1, 1])       # sd of 3 samples
n_k = np.array([10, 20, 30])     # sizes of 3 samples
grp = [0, 1, 2]                  # group labels
n = np.sum(n_k)
label = np.hstack([[k] * n_k[k] for k in [0, 1, 2]])

y = np.zeros(n)
for k in grp:
    y[label == k] = np.random.normal(mu_k[k], sd_k[k], n_k[k])

# Compute with scipy
fval, pval = stats.f_oneway(y[label == 0], y[label == 1], y[label == 2])
```

---

**Note:** Click [here](#) to download the full example code

---

## 4.2 Lab 1: Brain volumes study

The study provides the brain volumes of grey matter (gm), white matter (wm) and cerebrospinal fluid (csf) of 808 anatomical MRI scans. Manipulate data \_\_\_\_\_

Set the working directory within a directory called “brainvol”

Create 2 subdirectories: *data* that will contain downloaded data and *reports* for results of the analysis.

```
import os
import os.path
import pandas as pd
import tempfile
import urllib.request

WD = os.path.join(tempfile.gettempdir(), "brainvol")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
#os.chdir(WD)

# use cookiecutter file organization
# https://drivendata.github.io/cookiecutter-data-science/
os.makedirs(os.path.join(WD, "data"), exist_ok=True)
#os.makedirs("reports", exist_ok=True)
```

### Fetch data

- Demographic data *demo.csv* (columns: *participant\_id*, *site*, *group*, *age*, *sex*) and tissue volume data: *group* is Control or Patient. *site* is the recruiting site.
- Gray matter volume *gm.csv* (columns: *participant\_id*, *session*, *gm\_vol*)
- White matter volume *wm.csv* (columns: *participant\_id*, *session*, *wm\_vol*)
- Cerebrospinal Fluid *csf.csv* (columns: *participant\_id*, *session*, *csf\_vol*)

```
base_url = 'https://raw.githubusercontent.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/brain_volumes/%s'
data = dict()
for file in ["demo.csv", "gm.csv", "wm.csv", "csf.csv"]:
    urllib.request.urlretrieve(base_url % file, os.path.join(WD, "data", file))

demo = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(WD, "data", "demo.csv"))
gm = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(WD, "data", "gm.csv"))
wm = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(WD, "data", "wm.csv"))
csf = pd.read_csv(os.path.join(WD, "data", "csf.csv"))

print("tables can be merge using shared columns")
print(gm.head())
```

Out:

```
tables can be merge using shared columns
  participant_id session      gm_vol
0      sub-S1-0002  ses-01  0.672506
1      sub-S1-0002  ses-02  0.678772
2      sub-S1-0002  ses-03  0.665592
3      sub-S1-0004  ses-01  0.890714
4      sub-S1-0004  ses-02  0.881127
```

**Merge tables** according to *participant\_id*

```
brain_vol = pd.merge(pd.merge(pd.merge(demo, gm), wm), csf)
assert brain_vol.shape == (808, 9)
```

**Drop rows with missing values**

```
brain_vol = brain_vol.dropna()
assert brain_vol.shape == (766, 9)
```

**Compute Total Intra-cranial volume**  $tiv\_vol = gm\_vol + csf\_vol + wm\_vol$ .

```
brain_vol["tiv_vol"] = brain_vol["gm_vol"] + brain_vol["wm_vol"] + brain_vol["csf_vol"]
```

**Compute tissue fractions**  $gm\_f = gm\_vol / tiv\_vol$ ,  $wm\_f = wm\_vol / tiv\_vol$ .

```
brain_vol["gm_f"] = brain_vol["gm_vol"] / brain_vol["tiv_vol"]
brain_vol["wm_f"] = brain_vol["wm_vol"] / brain_vol["tiv_vol"]
```

**Save in a excel file** *brain\_vol.xlsx*

```
brain_vol.to_excel(os.path.join(WD, "data", "brain_vol.xlsx"),
                   sheet_name='data', index=False)
```

#### 4.2.1 Descriptive Statistics

Load excel file *brain\_vol.xlsx*

```
import os
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
import statsmodels.formula.api as smfrmla
import statsmodels.api as sm

brain_vol = pd.read_excel(os.path.join(WD, "data", "brain_vol.xlsx"),
                         sheet_name='data')
# Round float at 2 decimals when printing
pd.options.display.float_format = '{:.2f}'.format
```

**Descriptive statistics** Most of participants have several MRI sessions (column *session*) Select on rows from session one “ses-01”

```
brain_vol1 = brain_vol[brain_vol.session == "ses-01"]
# Check that there are no duplicates
assert len(brain_vol1.participant_id.unique()) == len(brain_vol1.participant_id)
```

Global descriptives statistics of numerical variables

```
desc_glob_num = brain_vol1.describe()
print(desc_glob_num)
```

Out:

	age	gm_vol	wm_vol	csf_vol	tiv_vol	gm_f	wm_f	
count	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00	244.00
mean	34.54	0.71	0.44	0.31	1.46	0.49	0.30	
std	12.09	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.17	0.04	0.03	
min	18.00	0.48	0.05	0.12	0.83	0.37	0.06	
25%	25.00	0.66	0.40	0.25	1.34	0.46	0.28	
50%	31.00	0.70	0.43	0.30	1.45	0.49	0.30	
75%	44.00	0.77	0.48	0.37	1.57	0.52	0.31	
max	61.00	1.03	0.62	0.63	2.06	0.60	0.36	

Global Descriptive statistics of categorical variable

```
desc_glob_cat = brain_vol1[["site", "group", "sex"]].describe(include='all')
print(desc_glob_cat)

print("Get count by level")
desc_glob_cat = pd.DataFrame({col: brain_vol1[col].value_counts().to_dict()
                               for col in ["site", "group", "sex"]})
print(desc_glob_cat)
```

Out:

site	group	sex	
count	244	244 244	
unique	7	2 2	
top	S7	Patient M	
freq	65	157 155	
Get count by level			
	site	group	sex
Control	nan	87.00	nan
F	nan	nan	89.00
M	nan	nan	155.00
Patient	nan	157.00	nan
S1	13.00	nan	nan
S3	29.00	nan	nan
S4	15.00	nan	nan
S5	62.00	nan	nan
S6	1.00	nan	nan
S7	65.00	nan	nan
S8	59.00	nan	nan

Remove the single participant from site 6

```
brain_vol = brain_vol[brain_vol.site != "S6"]
brain_vol1 = brain_vol[brain_vol.session == "ses-01"]
desc_glob_cat = pd.DataFrame({col: brain_vol1[col].value_counts().to_dict()
                               for col in ["site", "group", "sex"]})
print(desc_glob_cat)
```

Out:

site	group	sex
Control	nan	86.00 nan
F	nan	nan 88.00
M	nan	nan 155.00
Patient	nan	157.00 nan

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

S1	13.00	nan	nan
S3	29.00	nan	nan
S4	15.00	nan	nan
S5	62.00	nan	nan
S7	65.00	nan	nan
S8	59.00	nan	nan

Descriptives statistics of numerical variables per clinical status

```
desc_group_num = brain_vol1[["group", 'gm_vol']].groupby("group").describe()
print(desc_group_num)
```

Out:

gm_vol	count	mean	std	min	25%	50%	75%	max
group								
Control	86.00	0.72	0.09	0.48	0.66	0.71	0.78	1.03
Patient	157.00	0.70	0.08	0.53	0.65	0.70	0.76	0.90

## 4.2.2 Statistics

Objectives:

1. Site effect of gray matter atrophy
2. Test the association between the age and gray matter atrophy in the control and patient population independently.
3. Test for differences of atrophy between the patients and the controls
4. Test for interaction between age and clinical status, ie: is the brain atrophy process in patient population faster than in the control population.
5. The effect of the medication in the patient population.

```
import statsmodels.api as sm
import statsmodels.formula.api as smfmla
import scipy.stats
import seaborn as sns
```


### 1 Site effect on Grey Matter atrophy

The model is Oneway Anova  $gm\_f \sim site$  The ANOVA test has important assumptions that must be satisfied in order for the associated p-value to be valid.

- The samples are independent.
- Each sample is from a normally distributed population.
- The population standard deviations of the groups are all equal. This property is known as homoscedasticity.

Plot

```
sns.violinplot("site", "gm_f", data=brain_vol1)
```



Stats with scipy

```
fstat, pval = scipy.stats.f_oneway(*[brain_vol1.gm_f[brain_vol1.site == s]
                                         for s in brain_vol1.site.unique()])
print("Oneway Anova gm_f ~ site F=% .2f, p-value=%E" % (fstat, pval))
```

Out:

```
Oneway Anova gm_f ~ site F=14.82, p-value=1.188136E-12
```

Stats with statsmodels

```
anova = smfrmla.ols("gm_f ~ site", data=brain_vol1).fit()
# print(anova.summary())
print("Site explains %.2f%% of the grey matter fraction variance" %
      (anova.rsquared * 100))

print(sm.stats.anova_lm(anova, typ=2))
```

Out:


```
Site explains 23.82% of the grey matter fraction variance
      sum_sq      df      F   PR(>F)
site        0.11    5.00 14.82    0.00
Residual    0.35  237.00    nan     nan
```

2. Test the association between the age and gray matter atrophy in the control and patient population independently.

## Plot

```
sns.lmplot("age", "gm_f", hue="group", data=brain_vol1)

brain_vol1_ctl = brain_vol1[brain_vol1.group == "Control"]
brain_vol1_pat = brain_vol1[brain_vol1.group == "Patient"]
```



## Stats with scipy

```
print("--- In control population ---")
beta, beta0, r_value, p_value, std_err = \
    scipy.stats.linregress(x=brain_vol1_ctl.age, y=brain_vol1_ctl.gm_f)

print("gm_f = %f * age + %f" % (beta, beta0))
print("Corr: %f, r-squared: %f, p-value: %f, std_err: %f" \
    % (r_value, r_value**2, p_value, std_err))

print("--- In patient population ---")
beta, beta0, r_value, p_value, std_err = \
    scipy.stats.linregress(x=brain_vol1_pat.age, y=brain_vol1_pat.gm_f)

print("gm_f = %f * age + %f" % (beta, beta0))
print("Corr: %f, r-squared: %f, p-value: %f, std_err: %f" \
    % (r_value, r_value**2, p_value, std_err))

print("Decrease seems faster in patient than in control population")
```

Out:

```
--- In control population ---
gm_f = -0.001181 * age + 0.529829
Corr: -0.325122, r-squared: 0.105704, p-value: 0.002255, std_err: 0.000375
--- In patient population ---
gm_f = -0.001899 * age + 0.556886
Corr: -0.528765, r-squared: 0.279592, p-value: 0.000000, std_err: 0.000245
Decrease seems faster in patient than in control population
```

Stats with statsmodels

```
print("--- In control population ---")
lr = smfrmla.ols("gm_f ~ age", data=brain_vol1_ctl).fit()
print(lr.summary())
print("Age explains %.2f%% of the grey matter fraction variance" %
      (lr.rsquared * 100))

print("--- In patient population ---")
lr = smfrmla.ols("gm_f ~ age", data=brain_vol1_pat).fit()
print(lr.summary())
print("Age explains %.2f%% of the grey matter fraction variance" %
      (lr.rsquared * 100))
```

Out:

```
--- In control population ---
              OLS Regression Results
=====
Dep. Variable:          gm_f    R-squared:       0.106
Model:                 OLS     Adj. R-squared:   0.095
Method:                Least Squares  F-statistic:    9.929
Date:            mar., 25 juin 2019  Prob (F-statistic): 0.00226
Time:                  00:21:37  Log-Likelihood:   159.34
No. Observations:      86     AIC:             -314.7
Df Residuals:          84     BIC:             -309.8
Df Model:                   1
Covariance Type:        nonrobust
=====
            coef    std err          t      P>|t|      [0.025      0.975]
-----
Intercept    0.5298    0.013      40.350      0.000      0.504      0.556
age         -0.0012    0.000      -3.151      0.002     -0.002     -0.000
=====
Omnibus:           0.946  Durbin-Watson:    1.628
Prob(Omnibus):      0.623  Jarque-Bera (JB):  0.782
Skew:               0.233  Prob(JB):        0.676
Kurtosis:            2.962  Cond. No.       111.
=====

Warnings:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly
    specified.
Age explains 10.57% of the grey matter fraction variance
--- In patient population ---
              OLS Regression Results
=====
```

(continues on next page)


(continued from previous page)

Dep. Variable:	gm_f	R-squared:	0.280			
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.275			
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	60.16			
Date:	mar., 25 juin 2019	Prob (F-statistic):	1.09e-12			
Time:	00:21:37	Log-Likelihood:	289.38			
No. Observations:	157	AIC:	-574.8			
Df Residuals:	155	BIC:	-568.7			
Df Model:	1					
Covariance Type:	nonrobust					
<hr/>						
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
<hr/>						
Intercept	0.5569	0.009	60.817	0.000	0.539	0.575
age	-0.0019	0.000	-7.756	0.000	-0.002	-0.001
<hr/>						
Omnibus:		2.310	Durbin-Watson:		1.325	
Prob(Omnibus):		0.315	Jarque-Bera (JB):		1.854	
Skew:		0.230	Prob(JB):		0.396	
Kurtosis:		3.268	Cond. No.		111.	
<hr/>						
Warnings:						
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.						
Age explains 27.96% of the grey matter fraction variance						

Before testing for differences of atrophy between the patients and the controls **Preliminary tests for age x group effect** (patients would be older or younger than Controls)

Plot

```
sns.violinplot("group", "age", data=brain_vol1)
```



Stats with scipy

```
print(scipy.stats.ttest_ind(brain_vol1_ctl.age, brain_vol1_pat.age))
```

Out:

```
Ttest_indResult(statistic=-1.2155557697674162, pvalue=0.225343592508479)
```

Stats with statsmodels

```
print(smfrmla.ols("age ~ group", data=brain_vol1).fit().summary())
print("No significant difference in age between patients and controls")
```

Out:

```
OLS Regression Results
=====
Dep. Variable:           age   R-squared:      0.006
Model:                 OLS    Adj. R-squared:  0.002
Method:                Least Squares  F-statistic:   1.478
Date:            mar., 25 juin 2019  Prob (F-statistic):  0.225
Time:              00:21:38    Log-Likelihood: -949.69
No. Observations:      243    AIC:             1903.
Df Residuals:          241    BIC:             1910.
Df Model:                  1
Covariance Type:    nonrobust
=====

      coef    std err        t     P>|t|    [0.025    0.975]
(continues on next page)
```

(continued from previous page)

```
-----
Intercept      33.2558    1.305    25.484    0.000    30.685    35.826
group[T.Patient] 1.9735    1.624    1.216    0.225   -1.225    5.172
=====
Omnibus:          35.711 Durbin-Watson:           2.096
Prob(Omnibus):    0.000 Jarque-Bera (JB):        20.726
Skew:              0.569 Prob(JB):            3.16e-05
Kurtosis:         2.133 Cond. No.             3.12
=====

Warnings:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
No significant difference in age between patients and controls
```

**Preliminary tests for sex x group** (more/less males in patients than in Controls)

```
crosstab = pd.crosstab(brain_vol1.sex, brain_vol1.group)
print("Observed contingency table")
print(crosstab)

chi2, pval, dof, expected = scipy.stats.chi2_contingency(crosstab)

print("Chi2 = %f, pval = %f" % (chi2, pval))
print("Expected contingency table under the null hypothesis")
print(expected)
print("No significant difference in sex between patients and controls")
```

Out:

```
Observed contingency table
group  Control  Patient
sex
F       33       55
M       53      102
Chi2 = 0.143253, pval = 0.705068
Expected contingency table under the null hypothesis
[[ 31.14403292  56.85596708]
 [ 54.85596708 100.14403292]]
No significant difference in sex between patients and controls
```

**3. Test for differences of atrophy between the patients and the controls**

```
print(sm.stats.anova_lm(smfrm1a.ols("gm_f ~ group", data=brain_vol1).fit(), typ=2))
print("No significant difference in age between patients and controls")
```

Out:

```
sum_sq      df      F  PR(>F)
group      0.00    1.00  0.01    0.92
Residual   0.46  241.00  nan     nan
No significant difference in age between patients and controls
```

This model is simplistic we should adjust for age and site

```
print(sm.stats.anova_lm(smfrmla.ols(
    "gm_f ~ group + age + site", data=brain_vol1).fit(), typ=2))
print("No significant difference in age between patients and controls")
```

Out:

sum_sq	df	F	PR(>F)
group	0.00	1.00	1.82 0.18
site	0.11	5.00	19.79 0.00
age	0.09	1.00	86.86 0.00
Residual	0.25	235.00	nan nan

No significant difference in age between patients and controls

**4. Test for interaction between age and clinical status, ie: is the brain atrophy process in patient population faster than in the control population.**

```
ancova = smfrmla.ols("gm_f ~ group:age + age + site", data=brain_vol1).fit()
print(sm.stats.anova_lm(ancova, typ=2))

print("= Parameters =")
print(ancova.params)

print("%.3f%% of grey matter loss per year (almost %.1f%% per decade)" %\
      (ancova.params.age * 100, ancova.params.age * 100 * 10))

print("grey matter loss in patients is accelerated by %.3f%% per decade" %
      (ancova.params['group[T.Patient]:age'] * 100 * 10))
```

Out:

sum_sq	df	F	PR(>F)
site	0.11	5.00	20.28 0.00
age	0.10	1.00	89.37 0.00
group:age	0.00	1.00	3.28 0.07
Residual	0.25	235.00	nan nan

= Parameters =

Intercept	0.52
site[T.S3]	0.01
site[T.S4]	0.03
site[T.S5]	0.01
site[T.S7]	0.06
site[T.S8]	0.02
age	-0.00
group[T.Patient]:age	-0.00

dtype: float64  
 $-0.148\%$  of grey matter loss per year (almost  $-1.5\%$  per decade)  
grey matter loss in patients is accelerated by  $-0.232\%$  per decade

Total running time of the script: ( 0 minutes 5.546 seconds)

### 4.3 Multivariate statistics

Multivariate statistics includes all statistical techniques for analyzing samples made of two or more variables. The data set (a  $N \times P$  matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ ) is a collection of  $N$  independent samples

column vectors  $[x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_N]$  of length  $P$

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{x}_1^T - \\ \vdots \\ -\mathbf{x}_i^T - \\ \vdots \\ -\mathbf{x}_P^T - \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1j} & \cdots & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{i1} & \cdots & x_{ij} & \cdots & x_{iP} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & \cdots & x_{Nj} & \cdots & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{X} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N1} & \cdots & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix}_{N \times P}.$$

### 4.3.1 Linear Algebra

#### Euclidean norm and distance

The Euclidean norm of a vector  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^P$  is denoted

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_i^P a_i^2}$$

The Euclidean distance between two vectors  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^P$  is

$$\|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_i^P (a_i - b_i)^2}$$

#### Dot product and projection

Source: [Wikipedia](#)

#### Algebraic definition

The dot product, denoted “.” of two  $P$ -dimensional vectors  $\mathbf{a} = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_P]$  and  $\mathbf{a} = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_P]$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{b} = \sum_i a_i b_i = [a_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}^T \ \dots \ a_P] \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b} \\ \vdots \\ b_P \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Euclidean norm of a vector can be computed using the dot product, as

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_2 = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}}.$$

#### Geometric definition: projection

In Euclidean space, a Euclidean vector is a geometrical object that possesses both a magnitude and a direction. A vector can be pictured as an arrow. Its magnitude is its length, and its direction is the direction that the arrow points. The magnitude of a vector  $\mathbf{a}$  is denoted by  $\|\mathbf{a}\|_2$ . The dot product of two Euclidean vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  is defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2 \cos \theta,$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .

In particular, if  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are orthogonal, then the angle between them is  $90^\circ$  and

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0.$$

At the other extreme, if they are codirectional, then the angle between them is  $0^\circ$  and

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_2$$

This implies that the dot product of a vector  $\mathbf{a}$  by itself is

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2.$$

The scalar projection (or scalar component) of a Euclidean vector  $\mathbf{a}$  in the direction of a Euclidean vector  $\mathbf{b}$  is given by

$$a_b = \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \cos \theta,$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .

In terms of the geometric definition of the dot product, this can be rewritten

$$a_b = \frac{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2},$$




Fig. 5: Projection.

```
import numpy as np
np.random.seed(42)

a = np.random.randn(10)
b = np.random.randn(10)

np.dot(a, b)
```

```
-4.085788532659924
```

### 4.3.2 Mean vector

The mean ( $P \times 1$ ) column-vector  $\mu$  whose estimator is

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} x_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ij} \\ \vdots \\ x_{iP} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_j \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_P \end{bmatrix}.$$

### 4.3.3 Covariance matrix

- The covariance matrix  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{XX}}$  is a **symmetric** positive semi-definite matrix whose element in the  $j, k$  position is the covariance between the  $j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  elements of a random vector i.e. the  $j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
- The covariance matrix generalizes the notion of covariance to multiple dimensions.
- The covariance matrix describe the shape of the sample distribution around the mean assuming an elliptical distribution:

$$\Sigma_{\mathbf{XX}} = E(\mathbf{X} - E(\mathbf{X}))^T E(\mathbf{X} - E(\mathbf{X})),$$

whose estimator  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$  is a  $P \times P$  matrix given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} = \frac{1}{N-1} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T)^T (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T).$$

If we assume that  $\mathbf{X}$  is centered, i.e.  $\mathbf{X}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T$  then the estimator is

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} = \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \frac{1}{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{N1} \\ x_{1j} & \cdots & x_{Nj} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{1P} & \cdots & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k} & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & \cdots & x_{Nk} & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{11} & \cdots & s_{1k} & s_{1P} \\ \ddots & \ddots & s_{jk} & \vdots \\ & s_k & s_{kP} & \vdots \\ & & & s_P \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$s_{jk} = s_{kj} = \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{x}_k = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ij} x_{ik}$$

is an estimator of the covariance between the  $j^{th}$  and  $k^{th}$  variables.

```
## Avoid warnings and force inline plot
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings("ignore")
##
import numpy as np
import scipy
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
import pystatsml.plot_utils
import seaborn as sns # nice color
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
np.random.seed(42)
colors = sns.color_palette()

n_samples, n_features = 100, 2

mean, Cov, X = [None] * 4, [None] * 4, [None] * 4
mean[0] = np.array([-2.5, 2.5])
Cov[0] = np.array([[1, 0],
                  [0, 1]])

mean[1] = np.array([2.5, 2.5])
Cov[1] = np.array([[1, .5],
                  [.5, 1]])


mean[2] = np.array([-2.5, -2.5])
Cov[2] = np.array([[1, .9],
                  [.9, 1]])

mean[3] = np.array([2.5, -2.5])
Cov[3] = np.array([[1, -.9],
                  [-.9, 1]])

# Generate dataset
for i in range(len(mean)):
    X[i] = np.random.multivariate_normal(mean[i], Cov[i], n_samples)

# Plot
for i in range(len(mean)):
    # Points
    plt.scatter(X[i][:, 0], X[i][:, 1], color=colors[i], label="class %i" % i)
    # Means
    plt.scatter(mean[i][0], mean[i][1], marker="o", s=200, facecolors='w',
                edgecolors=colors[i], linewidth=2)
    # Ellipses representing the covariance matrices
    pystatsml.plot_utils.plot_cov_ellipse(Cov[i], pos=mean[i], facecolor='none',
                                           linewidth=2, edgecolor=colors[i])

plt.axis('equal')
_ = plt.legend(loc='upper left')
```



#### 4.3.4 Correlation matrix

```

import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns


url = 'https://python-graph-gallery.com/wp-content/uploads/mtcars.csv'
df = pd.read_csv(url)

# Compute the correlation matrix
corr = df.corr()

# Generate a mask for the upper triangle
mask = np.zeros_like(corr, dtype=np.bool)
mask[np.triu_indices_from(mask)] = True

f, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(5.5, 4.5))
cmap = sns.color_palette("RdBu_r", 11)
# Draw the heatmap with the mask and correct aspect ratio
_ = sns.heatmap(corr, mask=mask, cmap=cmap, vmax=1, center=0,
                 square=True, linewidths=.5, cbar_kws={"shrink": .5})

```



Re-order correlation matrix using AgglomerativeClustering

```
# convert correlation to distances
d = 2 * (1 - np.abs(corr))

from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
clustering = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=3, linkage='single', affinity="precomputed")
lab=0


clusters = [list(corr.columns[clustering.labels_==lab]) for lab in set(clustering.labels_)]
print(clusters)

reordered = np.concatenate(clusters)

R = corr.loc(reordered, reordered)

f, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(5.5, 4.5))
# Draw the heatmap with the mask and correct aspect ratio
sns.heatmap(R, mask=None, cmap=cmap, vmax=1, center=0,
            square=True, linewidths=.5, cbar_kws={"shrink": .5})
```

```
[['mpg', 'cyl', 'disp', 'hp', 'wt', 'qsec', 'vs', 'carb'], ['am', 'gear'], ['drat']]
```



### 4.3.5 Precision matrix

In statistics, precision is the reciprocal of the variance, and the precision matrix is the matrix inverse of the covariance matrix.

It is related to **partial correlations** that measures the degree of association between two variables, while controlling the effect of other variables.

```
import numpy as np

Cov = np.array([[1.0, 0.9, 0.9, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0],
                [0.9, 1.0, 0.9, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0],
                [0.9, 0.9, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0],
                [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0, 0.9, 0.0],
                [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.9, 1.0, 0.0],
                [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0]])

print("# Precision matrix:")
Prec = np.linalg.inv(Cov)
print(Prec.round(2))

print("# Partial correlations:")
Pcor = np.zeros(Prec.shape)
Pcor[:, :] = np.NaN

for i, j in zip(*np.triu_indices_from(Prec, 1)):
    Pcor[i, j] = - Prec[i, j] / np.sqrt(Prec[i, i] * Prec[j, j])

print(Pcor.round(2))
```

```
# Precision matrix:
[[ 6.79 -3.21 -3.21  0.    0.    0.   ]
 [-3.21  6.79 -3.21  0.    0.    0.   ]
 [-3.21 -3.21  6.79  0.    0.    0.   ]
 [ 0.    -0.    -0.    5.26 -4.74 -0.   ]
 [ 0.     0.    -4.74  5.26  0.    0.   ]
 [ 0.     0.    0.    0.    0.    1.   ]]

# Partial correlations:
[[  nan  0.47  0.47 -0.   -0.   -0.   ]
 [  nan  nan  0.47 -0.   -0.   -0.   ]
 [  nan  nan  nan -0.   -0.   -0.   ]
 [  nan  nan  nan  nan  0.9   0.   ]
 [  nan  nan  nan  nan  nan -0.   ]
 [  nan  nan  nan  nan  nan  nan ]]
```

### 4.3.6 Mahalanobis distance

- The Mahalanobis distance is a measure of the distance between two points  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mu$  where the dispersion (i.e. the covariance structure) of the samples is taken into account.
- The dispersion is considered through covariance matrix.

This is formally expressed as

$$D_M(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)}.$$

#### Intuitions

- Distances along the principal directions of dispersion are contracted since they correspond to likely dispersion of points.
- Distances orthogonal to the principal directions of dispersion are dilated since they correspond to unlikely dispersion of points.

For example

$$D_M(\mathbf{1}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{1}}.$$

```
ones  = np.ones(Cov.shape[0])
d_euc = np.sqrt(np.dot(ones, ones))
d_mah = np.sqrt(np.dot(np.dot(ones, Prec), ones))

print("Euclidean norm of ones=% .2f. Mahalanobis norm of ones=% .2f" % (d_euc, d_mah))
```

```
Euclidean norm of ones=2.45. Mahalanobis norm of ones=1.77
```

The first dot product that distances along the principal directions of dispersion are contracted:

```
print(np.dot(ones, Prec))
```

```
[0.35714286 0.35714286 0.35714286 0.52631579 0.52631579 1.]
```

```

import numpy as np
import scipy
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
import pystatsml.plot_utils
%matplotlib inline
np.random.seed(40)
colors = sns.color_palette()

mean = np.array([0, 0])
Cov = np.array([[1, .8],
               [.8, 1]])
samples = np.random.multivariate_normal(mean, Cov, 100)
x1 = np.array([0, 2])
x2 = np.array([2, 2])

plt.scatter(samples[:, 0], samples[:, 1], color=colors[0])
plt.scatter(mean[0], mean[1], color=colors[0], s=200, label="mean")
plt.scatter(x1[0], x1[1], color=colors[1], s=200, label="x1")
plt.scatter(x2[0], x2[1], color=colors[2], s=200, label="x2")

# plot covariance ellipsis
pystatsml.plot_utils.plot_cov_ellipse(Cov, pos=mean, facecolor='none',
                                       linewidth=2, edgecolor=colors[0])
# Compute distances
d2_m_x1 = scipy.spatial.distance.euclidean(mean, x1)
d2_m_x2 = scipy.spatial.distance.euclidean(mean, x2)

Covi = scipy.linalg.inv(Cov)
dm_m_x1 = scipy.spatial.distance.mahalanobis(mean, x1, Covi)
dm_m_x2 = scipy.spatial.distance.mahalanobis(mean, x2, Covi)

# Plot distances
vm_x1 = (x1 - mean) / d2_m_x1
vm_x2 = (x2 - mean) / d2_m_x2
jitter = .1
plt.plot([mean[0] - jitter, d2_m_x1 * vm_x1[0] - jitter],
         [mean[1], d2_m_x1 * vm_x1[1]], color='k')
plt.plot([mean[0] - jitter, d2_m_x2 * vm_x2[0] - jitter],
         [mean[1], d2_m_x2 * vm_x2[1]], color='k')


plt.plot([mean[0] + jitter, dm_m_x1 * vm_x1[0] + jitter],
         [mean[1], dm_m_x1 * vm_x1[1]], color='r')
plt.plot([mean[0] + jitter, dm_m_x2 * vm_x2[0] + jitter],
         [mean[1], dm_m_x2 * vm_x2[1]], color='r')

plt.legend(loc='lower right')
plt.text(-6.1, 3,
         'Euclidian: d(m, x1) = %.1f < d(m, x2) = %.1f' % (d2_m_x1, d2_m_x2), color='k')
plt.text(-6.1, 3.5,
         'Mahalanobis: d(m, x1) = %.1f > d(m, x2) = %.1f' % (dm_m_x1, dm_m_x2), color='r')

plt.axis('equal')
print('Euclidian d(m, x1) = %.2f < d(m, x2) = %.2f' % (d2_m_x1, d2_m_x2))
print('Mahalanobis d(m, x1) = %.2f > d(m, x2) = %.2f' % (dm_m_x1, dm_m_x2))

```

```
Euclidian d(m, x1) = 2.00 < d(m, x2) = 2.83
Mahalanobis d(m, x1) = 3.33 > d(m, x2) = 2.11
```



If the covariance matrix is the identity matrix, the Mahalanobis distance reduces to the Euclidean distance. If the covariance matrix is diagonal, then the resulting distance measure is called a normalized Euclidean distance.

More generally, the Mahalanobis distance is a measure of the distance between a point  $x$  and a distribution  $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, \Sigma)$ . It is a multi-dimensional generalization of the idea of measuring how many standard deviations away  $x$  is from the mean. This distance is zero if  $x$  is at the mean, and grows as  $x$  moves away from the mean: along each principal component axis, it measures the number of standard deviations from  $x$  to the mean of the distribution.

#### 4.3.7 Multivariate normal distribution

The distribution, or probability density function (PDF) (sometimes just density), of a continuous random variable is a function that describes the relative likelihood for this random variable to take on a given value.

The multivariate normal distribution, or multivariate Gaussian distribution, of a  $P$ -dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_P]^T$  is

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{P/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mu)\right\}.$$

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import scipy.stats
from scipy.stats import multivariate_normal
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

def multivariate_normal_pdf(X, mean, sigma):
    """Multivariate normal probability density function over X (n_samples x n_features)"""
    P = X.shape[1]
    det = np.linalg.det(sigma)
    norm_const = 1.0 / (((2*np.pi) ** (P/2)) * np.sqrt(det))
    X_mu = X - mu
    inv = np.linalg.inv(sigma)
    d2 = np.sum(np.dot(X_mu, inv) * X_mu, axis=1)
    return norm_const * np.exp(-0.5 * d2)

# mean and covariance
mu = np.array([0, 0])
sigma = np.array([[1, -.5],
                 [-.5, 1]])

# x, y grid
x, y = np.mgrid[-3:3:.1, -3:3:.1]
X = np.stack((x.ravel(), y.ravel())).T
norm = multivariate_normal_pdf(X, mean, sigma).reshape(x.shape)

# Do it with scipy
norm_scp = multivariate_normal(mu, sigma).pdf(np.stack((x, y), axis=2))
assert np.allclose(norm, norm_scp)


# Plot
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10, 7))
ax = fig.gca(projection='3d')
surf = ax.plot_surface(x, y, norm, rstride=3,
                       cstride=3, cmap=plt.cm.coolwarm,
                       linewidth=1, antialiased=False
                      )

ax.set_zlim(0, 0.2)
ax.xaxis.set_major_locator(plt.LinearLocator(10))
ax.xaxis.set_major_formatter(plt.FormatStrFormatter('%.02f'))

ax.set_xlabel('X')
ax.set_ylabel('Y')
ax.set_zlabel('p(x)')

plt.title('Bivariate Normal/Gaussian distribution')
fig.colorbar(surf, shrink=0.5, aspect=7, cmap=plt.cm.coolwarm)
plt.show()

```



### 4.3.8 Exercises

#### Dot product and Euclidean norm

Given  $\mathbf{a} = [2, 1]^T$  and  $\mathbf{b} = [1, 1]^T$

1. Write a function `euclidean(x)` that computes the Euclidean norm of vector,  $\mathbf{x}$ .
2. Compute the Euclidean norm of  $\mathbf{a}$ .
3. Compute the Euclidean distance of  $\|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}\|_2$ .
4. Compute the projection of  $\mathbf{b}$  in the direction of vector  $\mathbf{a}$ :  $b_a$ .
5. Simulate a dataset  $\mathbf{X}$  of  $N = 100$  samples of 2-dimensional vectors.
6. Project all samples in the direction of the vector  $\mathbf{a}$ .

#### Covariance matrix and Mahalanobis norm

1. Sample a dataset  $\mathbf{X}$  of  $N = 100$  samples of 2-dimensional vectors from the bivariate normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  where  $\mu = [1, 1]^T$  and  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.8 \\ 0.8, 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
2. Compute the mean vector  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and center  $\mathbf{X}$ . Compare the estimated mean  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  to the true mean,  $\mu$ .
3. Compute the empirical covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S}$ . Compare the estimated covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  to the true covariance matrix,  $\Sigma$ .

4. Compute  $S^{-1}$  ( $S_{inv}$ ) the inverse of the covariance matrix by using `scipy.linalg.inv(S)`.
5. Write a function `mahalanobis(x, xbar, Sinv)` that computes the Mahalanobis distance of a vector  $x$  to the mean,  $\bar{x}$ .
6. Compute the Mahalanobis and Euclidean distances of each sample  $x_i$  to the mean  $\bar{x}$ . Store the results in a  $100 \times 2$  data frame.

## 4.4 Time Series in python

Two libraries:

- Pandas: <https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/timeseries.html>
- scipy <http://www.statsmodels.org/devel/tsa.html>

### 4.4.1 Stationarity

A TS is said to be stationary if its statistical properties such as mean, variance remain constant over time.

- constant mean
- constant variance
- an autocovariance that does not depend on time.

what is making a TS non-stationary. There are 2 major reasons behind non-stationaruty of a TS:

1. Trend – varying mean over time. For eg, in this case we saw that on average, the number of passengers was growing over time.
2. Seasonality – variations at specific time-frames. eg people might have a tendency to buy cars in a particular month because of pay increment or festivals.

### 4.4.2 Pandas Time Series Data Structure

A Series is similar to a list or an array in Python. It represents a series of values (numeric or otherwise) such as a column of data. It provides additional functionality, methods, and operators, which make it a more powerful version of a list.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np

# Create a Series from a list
ser = pd.Series([1, 3])
print(ser)

# String as index
prices = {'apple': 4.99,
          'banana': 1.99,
          'orange': 3.99}
ser = pd.Series(prices)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(ser)

x = pd.Series(np.arange(1,3), index=[x for x in 'ab'])
print(x)
print(x['b'])
```

```
0    1
1    3
dtype: int64
apple    4.99
banana   1.99
orange   3.99
dtype: float64
a    1
b    2
dtype: int64
2
```

#### 4.4.3 Time Series Analysis of Google Trends

source: <https://www.datacamp.com/community/tutorials/time-series-analysis-tutorial>

Get Google Trends data of keywords such as ‘diet’ and ‘gym’ and see how they vary over time while learning about trends and seasonality in time series data.

In the Facebook Live code along session on the 4th of January, we checked out Google trends data of keywords ‘diet’, ‘gym’ and ‘finance’ to see how they vary over time. We asked ourselves if there could be more searches for these terms in January when we’re all trying to turn over a new leaf?

In this tutorial, you’ll go through the code that we put together during the session step by step. You’re not going to do much mathematics but you are going to do the following:

- Read data
- Recode data
- Exploratory Data Analysis

#### 4.4.4 Read data

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns

# Plot appears on its own windows
%matplotlib inline
# Tools / Preferences / Ipython Console / Graphics / Graphics Backend / Backend:_
# "automatic"
# Interactive Matplotlib Jupyter Notebook
# %matplotlib inline
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

try:
    url = "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/databricks/databricks_mlflow_experiments/master/datasets/multiTimeline.csv"
    df = pd.read_csv(url, skiprows=2)
except:
    df = pd.read_csv("../datasets/multiTimeline.csv", skiprows=2)

print(df.head())

# Rename columns
df.columns = ['month', 'diet', 'gym', 'finance']

# Describe
print(df.describe())

```

	Month	diet: (Worldwide)	gym: (Worldwide)	finance: (Worldwide)
0	2004-01	100	31	48
1	2004-02	75	26	49
2	2004-03	67	24	47
3	2004-04	70	22	48
4	2004-05	72	22	43
	diet	gym	finance	
count	168.000000	168.000000	168.000000	
mean	49.642857	34.690476	47.148810	
std	8.033080	8.134316	4.972547	
min	34.000000	22.000000	38.000000	
25%	44.000000	28.000000	44.000000	
50%	48.500000	32.500000	46.000000	
75%	53.000000	41.000000	50.000000	
max	100.000000	58.000000	73.000000	

#### 4.4.5 Recode data

Next, you'll turn the ‘month’ column into a DateTime data type and make it the index of the DataFrame.

Note that you do this because you saw in the result of the `.info()` method that the ‘Month’ column was actually an of data type object. Now, that generic data type encapsulates everything from strings to integers, etc. That’s not exactly what you want when you want to be looking at time series data. That’s why you’ll use `.to_datetime()` to convert the ‘month’ column in your DataFrame to a DateTime.

Be careful! Make sure to include the `inplace` argument when you’re setting the index of the DataFrame `df` so that you actually alter the original index and set it to the ‘month’ column.

```

df.month = pd.to_datetime(df.month)
df.set_index('month', inplace=True)

print(df.head())

```

month	diet	gym	finance
2004-01-01	100	31	48

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

2004-02-01	75	26	49
2004-03-01	67	24	47
2004-04-01	70	22	48
2004-05-01	72	22	43


#### 4.4.6 Exploratory Data Analysis

You can use a built-in pandas visualization method `.plot()` to plot your data as 3 line plots on a single figure (one for each column, namely, ‘diet’, ‘gym’, and ‘finance’).

```
df.plot()
plt.xlabel('Year');

# change figure parameters
# df.plot(figsize=(20,10), linewidth=5, fontsize=20)

# Plot single column
# df[['diet']].plot(figsize=(20,10), linewidth=5, fontsize=20)
# plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize=20);
```



Note that this data is relative. As you can read on Google trends:

Numbers represent search interest relative to the highest point on the chart for the given region and time. A value of 100 is the peak popularity for the term. A value of 50 means that the term is half as popular. Likewise a score of 0 means the term was less than 1% as popular as the peak.

#### 4.4.7 Resampling, Smoothing, Windowing, Rolling average: Trends

Rolling average, for each time point, take the average of the points on either side of it. Note that the number of points is specified by a window size.

Remove Seasonality with pandas Series.


See: <http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/timeseries.html> A: 'year end frequency' year frequency

```
diet = df['diet']

diet_resamp_yr = diet.resample('A').mean()
diet_roll_yr = diet.rolling(12).mean()

ax = diet.plot(alpha=0.5, style='-' ) # store axis (ax) for latter plots
diet_resamp_yr.plot(style=':', label='Resample at year frequency', ax=ax)
diet_roll_yr.plot(style='--', label='Rolling average (smooth), window size=12', ax=ax)
ax.legend()
```

```
<matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x7fac506ad160>
```




Rolling average (smoothing) with Numpy

```
x = np.asarray(df[['diet']])
win = 12
win_half = int(win / 2)
# print([(idx-win_half), (idx+win_half)) for idx in np.arange(win_half, len(x))])

diet_smooth = np.array([x[(idx-win_half):(idx+win_half)].mean() for idx in np.arange(win_
half, len(x))])
plt.plot(diet_smooth)
```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7fac50620fd0>]
```




Trends Plot Diet and Gym

Build a new DataFrame which is the concatenation diet and gym smoothed data

```
gym = df['gym']

df_avg = pd.concat([diet.rolling(12).mean(), gym.rolling(12).mean()], axis=1)
df_avg.plot()
plt.xlabel('Year')
```


```
Text(0.5, 0, 'Year')
```



Detrending

```
df_dtrend = df[["diet", "gym"]] - df_avg  
df_dtrend.plot()  
plt.xlabel('Year')
```

```
Text(0.5, 0, 'Year')
```




#### 4.4.8 First-order differencing: Seasonal Patterns

```
# diff = original - shifted data
# (exclude first term for some implementation details)
assert np.all((diet.diff() == diet - diet.shift())[1:])

df.diff().plot()
plt.xlabel('Year')
```


```
Text(0.5, 0, 'Year')
```



#### 4.4.9 Periodicity and Correlation

```
df.plot()
plt.xlabel('Year');
print(df.corr())
```


	diet	gym	finance
diet	1.000000	-0.100764	-0.034639
gym	-0.100764	1.000000	-0.284279
finance	-0.034639	-0.284279	1.000000



Plot correlation matrix

```
sns.heatmap(df.corr(), cmap="coolwarm")
```

```
<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7fac4e2cc588>
```



'diet' and 'gym' are negatively correlated! Remember that you have a seasonal and a trend component. From the correlation coefficient, 'diet' and 'gym' are negatively correlated:


- trends components are negatively correlated.
- seasonal components would positively correlated and their

The actual correlation coefficient is actually capturing both of those.

Seasonal correlation: correlation of the first-order differences of these time series

```
df.diff().plot()  
plt.xlabel('Year');  
  
print(df.diff().corr())
```

	diet	gym	finance
diet	1.000000	0.758707	0.373828
gym	0.758707	1.000000	0.301111
finance	0.373828	0.301111	1.000000



Plot correlation matrix

```
sns.heatmap(df.diff().corr(), cmap="coolwarm")
```

```
<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7fac4e17a550>
```




Decomposing time serie in trend, seasonality and residuals

```
from statsmodels.tsa.seasonal import seasonal_decompose

x = gym

x = x.astype(float) # force float
decomposition = seasonal_decompose(x)
trend = decomposition.trend
seasonal = decomposition.seasonal
residual = decomposition.resid

plt.subplot(411)
plt.plot(x, label='Original')
plt.legend(loc='best')
plt.subplot(412)
plt.plot(trend, label='Trend')
plt.legend(loc='best')
plt.subplot(413)
plt.plot(seasonal,label='Seasonality')
plt.legend(loc='best')
plt.subplot(414)
plt.plot(residual, label='Residuals')
plt.legend(loc='best')
plt.tight_layout()
```



#### 4.4.10 Autocorrelation


A time series is periodic if it repeats itself at equally spaced intervals, say, every 12 months. Autocorrelation Function (ACF): It is a measure of the correlation between the TS with a lagged version of itself. For instance at lag 5, ACF would compare series at time instant  $t_1 \dots t_2$  with series at instant  $t_{1-5} \dots t_{2-5}$  ( $t_{1-5}$  and  $t_2$  being end points).

Plot

```
# from pandas.plotting import autocorrelation_plot
from pandas.plotting import autocorrelation_plot

x = df["diet"].astype(float)
autocorrelation_plot(x)
```

```
<matplotlib.axes._subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7fac4df44550>
```




Compute Autocorrelation Function (ACF)

```
from statsmodels.tsa.stattools import acf

x_diff = x.diff().dropna() # first item is NA
lag_acf = acf(x_diff, nlags=36)
plt.plot(lag_acf)
plt.title('Autocorrelation Function')
```

Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Autocorrelation Function')



ACF peaks every 12 months: Time series is correlated with itself shifted by 12 months.

#### 4.4.11 Time Series Forecasting with Python using Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) models

Source:

- [https://www.packtpub.com/mapt/book/big\\_data\\_and\\_business\\_intelligence/9781783553358/7/ch07lvl1sec77/arma-models](https://www.packtpub.com/mapt/book/big_data_and_business_intelligence/9781783553358/7/ch07lvl1sec77/arma-models)
- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Autoregressive%20moving-average\\_model](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Autoregressive%20moving-average_model)
- ARIMA: <https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2016/02/time-series-forecasting-codes-python/>

ARMA models are often used to forecast a time series. These models combine autoregressive and moving average models. In moving average models, we assume that a variable is the sum of the mean of the time series and a linear combination of noise components.

The autoregressive and moving average models can have different orders. In general, we can define an ARMA model with p autoregressive terms and q moving average terms as follows:

$$x_t = \sum_i^p a_i x_{t-i} + \sum_i^q b_i \varepsilon_{t-i} + \varepsilon_t$$

#### Choosing p and q

Plot the partial autocorrelation functions for an estimate of p, and likewise using the autocorrelation functions for an estimate of q.

Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF): This measures the correlation between the TS with a lagged version of itself but after eliminating the variations already explained by the intervening comparisons. Eg at lag 5, it will check the correlation but remove the effects already explained by lags 1 to 4.

```
from statsmodels.tsa.stattools import acf, pacf

x = df["gym"].astype(float)

x_diff = x.diff().dropna() # first item is NA
# ACF and PACF plots:

lag_acf = acf(x_diff, nlags=20)
lag_pacf = pacf(x_diff, nlags=20, method='ols')

#Plot ACF:
plt.subplot(121)
plt.plot(lag_acf)
plt.axhline(y=0, linestyle='--', color='gray')
plt.axhline(y=-1.96/np.sqrt(len(x_diff)), linestyle='--', color='gray')
plt.axhline(y=1.96/np.sqrt(len(x_diff)), linestyle='--', color='gray')
plt.title('Autocorrelation Function (q=1)')


#Plot PACF:
plt.subplot(122)
plt.plot(lag_pacf)
plt.axhline(y=0, linestyle='--', color='gray')
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
plt.axhline(y=-1.96/np.sqrt(len(x_diff)),linestyle='--',color='gray')
plt.axhline(y=1.96/np.sqrt(len(x_diff)),linestyle='--',color='gray')
plt.title('Partial Autocorrelation Function (p=1)')
plt.tight_layout()
```

Autocorrelation Function (q=1) Partial Autocorrelation Function (p=1)



In this plot, the two dotted lines on either sides of 0 are the confidence intervals. These can be used to determine the p and q values as:

- p: The lag value where the PACF chart crosses the upper confidence interval for the first time, in this case p=1.
- q: The lag value where the ACF chart crosses the upper confidence interval for the first time, in this case q=1.

### Fit ARMA model with statsmodels

1. Define the model by calling `ARMA()` and passing in the p and q parameters.
2. The model is prepared on the training data by calling the `fit()` function.
3. Predictions can be made by calling the `predict()` function and specifying the index of the time or times to be predicted.

```
from statsmodels.tsa.arima_model import ARMA


model = ARMA(x, order=(1, 1)).fit() # fit model

print(model.summary())
plt.plot(x)
plt.plot(model.predict(), color='red')
plt.title('RSS: %.4f' % sum((model.fittedvalues-x)**2))
```

```
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/statsmodels/tsa/base/tsa_
→model.py:171: ValueWarning: No frequency information was provided, so inferred_
→frequency MS will be used.
    % freq, ValueWarning)
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/statsmodels/tsa/base/
→tsa_model.py:191: FutureWarning: Creating a DatetimeIndex by passing range_
→endpoints is deprecated. Use pandas.date_range instead.
    start=index[0], end=index[-1], freq=freq)
```

ARMA Model Results						
Dep. Variable:	gym	No. Observations:	168			
Model:	ARMA(1, 1)	Log Likelihood	-436.852			
Method:	css-mle	S.D. of innovations	3.229			
Date:	Wed, 05 Jun 2019	AIC	881.704			
Time:	14:36:33	BIC	894.200			
Sample:	01-01-2004 - 12-01-2017	HQIC	886.776			
		Roots				
coef	std err	z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]	
const	36.4315	8.827	4.127	0.000	19.131	53.732
ar.L1.gym	0.9967	0.005	220.566	0.000	0.988	1.006
ma.L1.gym	-0.7494	0.054	-13.931	0.000	-0.855	-0.644
Real	Imaginary		Modulus	Frequency		
AR.1	1.0033	+0.0000j	1.0033	0.0000		
MA.1	1.3344	+0.0000j	1.3344	0.0000		

Text(0.5, 1.0, 'RSS: 1794.4651')



## MACHINE LEARNING

### 5.1 Dimension reduction and feature extraction

#### 5.1.1 Introduction

In machine learning and statistics, dimensionality reduction or dimension reduction is the process of reducing the number of features under consideration, and can be divided into feature selection (not addressed here) and feature extraction.

Feature extraction starts from an initial set of measured data and builds derived values (features) intended to be informative and non-redundant, facilitating the subsequent learning and generalization steps, and in some cases leading to better human interpretations. Feature extraction is related to dimensionality reduction.

The input matrix  $\mathbf{X}$ , of dimension  $N \times P$ , is

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & \mathbf{X} & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & \dots & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the rows represent the samples and columns represent the variables.

The goal is to learn a transformation that extracts a few relevant features. This is generally done by exploiting the covariance  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{XX}}$  between the input features.

#### 5.1.2 Singular value decomposition and matrix factorization

##### Matrix factorization principles

Decompose the data matrix  $\mathbf{X}_{N \times P}$  into a product of a mixing matrix  $\mathbf{U}_{N \times K}$  and a dictionary matrix  $\mathbf{V}_{P \times K}$ .

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{UV}^T,$$

If we consider only a subset of components  $K < \text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) < \min(P, N - 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$  is approximated by a matrix  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ :

$$\mathbf{X} \approx \hat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{UV}^T,$$

Each line of  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a linear combination (mixing  $\mathbf{u}_i$ ) of dictionary items  $\mathbf{V}$ .

$N P$ -dimensional data points lie in a space whose dimension is less than  $N - 1$  (2 dots lie on a line, 3 on a plane, etc.).




Fig. 1: Matrix factorization

### Singular value decomposition (SVD) principles

Singular-value decomposition (SVD) factorises the data matrix  $\mathbf{X}_{N \times P}$  into a product:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}^T,$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{1P} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{N1} & x_{NP} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{1K} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ u_{N1} & u_{NK} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_{1P} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{K1} & v_{KP} \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### U: right-singular

- $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K]$  is a  $P \times K$  orthogonal matrix.
- It is a **dictionary** of patterns to be combined (according to the mixing coefficients) to reconstruct the original samples.
- $\mathbf{V}$  performs the initial **rotations (projection)** along the  $K = \min(N, P)$  **principal component directions**, also called **loadings**.
- Each  $\mathbf{v}_j$  performs the linear combination of the variables that has maximum sample variance, subject to being uncorrelated with the previous  $\mathbf{v}_{j-1}$ .

#### D: singular values

- $\mathbf{D}$  is a  $K \times K$  diagonal matrix made of the singular values of  $\mathbf{X}$  with  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_K \geq 0$ .
- $\mathbf{D}$  scale the projection along the coordinate axes by  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_K$ .

- Singular values are the square roots of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ .

### V: left-singular vectors

- $\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_K]$  is an  $N \times K$  orthogonal matrix.
- Each row  $\mathbf{v}_i$  provides the **mixing coefficients** of dictionary items to reconstruct the sample  $\mathbf{x}_i$
- It may be understood as the coordinates on the new orthogonal basis (obtained after the initial rotation) called **principal components** in the PCA.

### SVD for variables transformation

$\mathbf{V}$  transforms correlated variables ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) into a set of uncorrelated ones ( $\mathbf{UD}$ ) that better expose the various relationships among the original data items.

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{UD}\mathbf{V}^T, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{UD}\mathbf{V}^T\mathbf{V}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{UDI}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{UD} \quad (5.4)$$

At the same time, SVD is a method for identifying and ordering the dimensions along which data points exhibit the most variation.

```
import numpy as np
import scipy
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
%matplotlib inline

np.random.seed(42)

# dataset
n_samples = 100
experience = np.random.normal(size=n_samples)
salary = 1500 + experience + np.random.normal(size=n_samples, scale=.5)
X = np.column_stack([experience, salary])

# PCA using SVD
X -= X.mean(axis=0) # Centering is required
U, s, Vh = scipy.linalg.svd(X, full_matrices=False)
# U : Unitary matrix having left singular vectors as columns.
#     Of shape (n_samples,n_samples) or (n_samples,n_comps), depending on
#     full_matrices.
#
# s : The singular values, sorted in non-increasing order. Of shape (n_comps,),
#     with n_comps = min(n_samples, n_features).
#
# Vh: Unitary matrix having right singular vectors as rows.
#     Of shape (n_features, n_features) or (n_comps, n_features) depending
#     on full_matrices.
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

plt.figure(figsize=(9, 3))

plt.subplot(131)
plt.scatter(U[:, 0], U[:, 1], s=50)
plt.axis('equal')
plt.title("U: Rotated and scaled data")

plt.subplot(132)


# Project data
PC = np.dot(X, Vh.T)
plt.scatter(PC[:, 0], PC[:, 1], s=50)
plt.axis('equal')
plt.title("XV: Rotated data")
plt.xlabel("PC1")
plt.ylabel("PC2")

plt.subplot(133)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], s=50)
for i in range(Vh.shape[0]):
    plt.arrow(x=0, y=0, dx=Vh[i, 0], dy=Vh[i, 1], head_width=0.2,
              head_length=0.2, linewidth=2, fc='r', ec='r')
    plt.text(Vh[i, 0], Vh[i, 1], 'v%i' % (i+1), color="r", fontsize=15,
             horizontalalignment='right', verticalalignment='top')
plt.axis('equal')
plt.ylim(-4, 4)

plt.title("X: original data (v1, v2:PC dir.)")
plt.xlabel("experience")
plt.ylabel("salary")

plt.tight_layout()

```



### 5.1.3 Principal components analysis (PCA)

Sources:

- C. M. Bishop *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Springer, 2006
- Everything you did and didn't know about PCA
- Principal Component Analysis in 3 Simple Steps

## Principles

- Principal components analysis is the main method used for linear dimension reduction.
- The idea of principal component analysis is to find the  $K$  **principal components directions** (called the **loadings**)  $\mathbf{V}_{K \times P}$  that capture the variation in the data as much as possible.
- It converts a set of  $N$   $P$ -dimensional observations  $\mathbf{N}_{N \times P}$  of possibly correlated variables into a set of  $N$   $K$ -dimensional samples  $\mathbf{C}_{N \times K}$ , where the  $K < P$ . The new variables are linearly uncorrelated. The columns of  $\mathbf{C}_{N \times K}$  are called the **principal components**.
- The dimension reduction is obtained by using only  $K < P$  components that exploit correlation (covariance) among the original variables.
- PCA is mathematically defined as an orthogonal linear transformation  $\mathbf{V}_{K \times P}$  that transforms the data to a new coordinate system such that the greatest variance by some projection of the data comes to lie on the first coordinate (called the first principal component), the second greatest variance on the second coordinate, and so on.

$$\mathbf{C}_{N \times K} = \mathbf{X}_{N \times P} \mathbf{V}_{P \times K}$$

- PCA can be thought of as fitting a  $P$ -dimensional ellipsoid to the data, where each axis of the ellipsoid represents a principal component. If some axis of the ellipse is small, then the variance along that axis is also small, and by omitting that axis and its corresponding principal component from our representation of the dataset, we lose only a commensurately small amount of information.
- Finding the  $K$  largest axes of the ellipse will permit to project the data onto a space having dimensionality  $K < P$  while maximizing the variance of the projected data.

## Dataset preprocessing

### Centering

Consider a data matrix,  $\mathbf{X}$ , with column-wise zero empirical mean (the sample mean of each column has been shifted to zero), ie.  $\mathbf{X}$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^T$ .

### Standardizing

Optionally, standardize the columns, i.e., scale them by their standard-deviation. Without standardization, a variable with a high variance will capture most of the effect of the PCA. The principal direction will be aligned with this variable. Standardization will, however, raise noise variables to the same level as informative variables.

The covariance matrix of centered standardized data is the correlation matrix.

### Eigendecomposition of the data covariance matrix

To begin with, consider the projection onto a one-dimensional space ( $K = 1$ ). We can define the direction of this space using a  $P$ -dimensional vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , which for convenience (and without loss of generality) we shall choose to be a unit vector so that  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 = 1$  (note that we are only

interested in the direction defined by  $\mathbf{v}$ , not in the magnitude of  $\mathbf{v}$  itself). PCA consists of two main steps:

### Projection in the directions that capture the greatest variance

Each  $P$ -dimensional data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is then projected onto  $\mathbf{v}$ , where the coordinate (in the coordinate system of  $\mathbf{v}$ ) is a scalar value, namely  $\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{v}$ . I.e., we want to find the vector  $\mathbf{v}$  that maximizes these coordinates along  $\mathbf{v}$ , which we will see corresponds to maximizing the variance of the projected data. This is equivalently expressed as

$$\mathbf{v} = \arg \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|=1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{v})^2.$$

We can write this in matrix form as

$$\mathbf{v} = \arg \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|=1} \frac{1}{N} \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v},$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$  is a biased estimate of the covariance matrix of the data, i.e.

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}.$$

We now maximize the projected variance  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{v}$ . Clearly, this has to be a constrained maximization to prevent  $\|\mathbf{v}_2\| \rightarrow \infty$ . The appropriate constraint comes from the normalization condition  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 \equiv \|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} = 1$ . To enforce this constraint, we introduce a Lagrange multiplier that we shall denote by  $\lambda$ , and then make an unconstrained maximization of

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v} - \lambda(\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} - 1).$$

By setting the gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{v}$  equal to zero, we see that this quantity has a stationary point when

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}.$$

We note that  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$ .

If we left-multiply the above equation by  $\mathbf{v}^T$  and make use of  $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v} = 1$ , we see that the variance is given by

$$\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v} = \lambda,$$

and so the variance will be at a maximum when  $\mathbf{v}$  is equal to the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue,  $\lambda$ . This eigenvector is known as the first principal component.

We can define additional principal components in an incremental fashion by choosing each new direction to be that which maximizes the projected variance amongst all possible directions that are orthogonal to those already considered. If we consider the general case of a  $K$ -dimensional projection space, the optimal linear projection for which the variance of the projected data is maximized is now defined by the  $K$  eigenvectors,  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K$ , of the data covariance matrix  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$  that corresponds to the  $K$  largest eigenvalues,  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_K$ .

[Back to SVD](#)

The sample covariance matrix of **centered data**  $\mathbf{X}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} = \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}.$$

We rewrite  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  using the SVD decomposition of  $\mathbf{X}$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} &= (\mathbf{UDV}^T)^T (\mathbf{UDV}^T) \\ &= \mathbf{VD}^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{UDV}^T \\ &= \mathbf{VD}^2 \mathbf{V}^T \\ \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{D}^2 \\ \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{D}^2 \\ \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{N-1} \mathbf{D}^2 \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

Considering only the  $k^{th}$  right-singular vectors  $\mathbf{v}_k$  associated to the singular value  $d_k$

$$\mathbf{v}_k^T \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}} \mathbf{v}_k = \frac{1}{N-1} d_k^2,$$

It turns out that if you have done the singular value decomposition then you already have the Eigenvalue decomposition for  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ . Where - The eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$  are equivalent to the right singular vectors,  $\mathbf{V}$ , of  $\mathbf{X}$ . - The eigenvalues,  $\lambda_k$ , of  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{XX}}$ , i.e. the variances of the components, are equal to  $\frac{1}{N-1}$  times the squared singular values,  $d_k$ .

Moreover computing PCA with SVD do not require to form the matrix  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ , so computing the SVD is now the standard way to calculate a principal components analysis from a data matrix, unless only a handful of components are required.

## PCA outputs

The SVD or the eigendecomposition of the data covariance matrix provides three main quantities:

1. **Principal component directions or loadings** are the **eigenvectors** of  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ . The  $\mathbf{V}_{K \times P}$  or the **right-singular vectors** of an SVD of  $\mathbf{X}$  are called principal component directions of  $\mathbf{X}$ . They are generally computed using the SVD of  $\mathbf{X}$ .
2. **Principal components** is the  $N \times K$  matrix  $\mathbf{C}$  which is obtained by projecting  $\mathbf{X}$  onto the principal components directions, i.e.

$$\mathbf{C}_{N \times K} = \mathbf{X}_{N \times P} \mathbf{V}_{P \times K}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{UDV}^T$  and  $\mathbf{V}$  is orthogonal ( $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$ ):

$$\mathbf{C}_{N \times K} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}_{N \times P}^T \mathbf{V}_{P \times K} \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{N \times K} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_{N \times K}^T \mathbf{I}_{K \times K} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{N \times K} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_{N \times K}^T \quad (5.7)$$

$$(5.8)$$

Thus  $\mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{u}_j d_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, K$ . Hence  $\mathbf{u}_j$  is simply the projection of the row vectors of  $\mathbf{X}$ , i.e., the input predictor vectors, on the direction  $\mathbf{v}_j$ , scaled by  $d_j$ .

$$\mathbf{c}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1,1}v_{1,1} + \dots + x_{1,P}v_{1,P} \\ x_{2,1}v_{1,1} + \dots + x_{2,P}v_{1,P} \\ \vdots \\ x_{N,1}v_{1,1} + \dots + x_{N,P}v_{1,P} \end{bmatrix}$$

3. The **variance** of each component is given by the eigen values  $\lambda_k, k = 1, \dots, K$ . It can be obtained from the singular values:

$$var(\mathbf{c}_k) = \frac{1}{N-1} (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v}_k)^2 \quad (5.9)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N-1} (\mathbf{u}_k d_k)^2 \quad (5.10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{N-1} d_k^2 \quad (5.11)$$

## Determining the number of PCs

We must choose  $K^* \in [1, \dots, K]$ , the number of required components. This can be done by calculating the explained variance ratio of the  $K^*$  first components and by choosing  $K^*$  such that the **cumulative explained variance** ratio is greater than some given threshold (e.g.,  $\approx 90\%$ ). This is expressed as

$$\text{cumulative explained variance}(\mathbf{c}_k) = \frac{\sum_j^{K^*} var(\mathbf{c}_k)}{\sum_j^K var(\mathbf{c}_k)}.$$

## Interpretation and visualization

### PCs

Plot the samples projected on first the principal components as e.g. PC1 against PC2.

### PC directions

Exploring the loadings associated with a component provides the contribution of each original variable in the component.

Remark: The loadings (PC directions) are the coefficients of multiple regression of PC on original variables:

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{v} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v} \quad (5.13)$$

$$(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{v} \quad (5.14)$$

Another way to evaluate the contribution of the original variables in each PC can be obtained by computing the correlation between the PCs and the original variables, i.e. columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{x}_j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, P$ . For the  $k^{th}$  PC, compute and plot the correlations with all original variables

$$\text{cor}(\mathbf{c}_k, \mathbf{x}_j), j = 1 \dots K, j = 1 \dots K.$$

These quantities are sometimes called the *correlation loadings*.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

np.random.seed(42)

# dataset
n_samples = 100
experience = np.random.normal(size=n_samples)
salary = 1500 + experience + np.random.normal(size=n_samples, scale=.5)
X = np.column_stack([experience, salary])


# PCA with scikit-learn
pca = PCA(n_components=2)
pca.fit(X)
print(pca.explained_variance_ratio_)

PC = pca.transform(X)

plt.subplot(121)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1])
plt.xlabel("x1"); plt.ylabel("x2")

plt.subplot(122)
plt.scatter(PC[:, 0], PC[:, 1])
plt.xlabel("PC1 (var=% .2f)" % pca.explained_variance_ratio_[0])
plt.ylabel("PC2 (var=% .2f)" % pca.explained_variance_ratio_[1])
plt.axis('equal')
plt.tight_layout()
```

[0.93646607 0.06353393]



### 5.1.4 Multi-dimensional Scaling (MDS)

Resources:

- [http://www.stat.pitt.edu/sungkyu/course/2221Fall13/lec8\\_mds\\_combined.pdf](http://www.stat.pitt.edu/sungkyu/course/2221Fall13/lec8_mds_combined.pdf)
- [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multidimensional\\_scaling](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Multidimensional_scaling)
- Hastie, Tibshirani and Friedman (2009). *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*. New York: Springer, Second Edition.

The purpose of MDS is to find a low-dimensional projection of the data in which the pairwise distances between data points is preserved, as closely as possible (in a least-squares sense).

- Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the  $(N \times N)$  pairwise distance matrix where  $d_{ij}$  is a distance between points  $i$  and  $j$ .
- The MDS concept can be extended to a wide variety of data types specified in terms of a similarity matrix.

Given the dissimilarity (distance) matrix  $\mathbf{D}_{N \times N} = [d_{ij}]$ , MDS attempts to find  $K$ -dimensional projections of the  $N$  points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N \in \mathbb{R}^K$ , concatenated in an  $\mathbf{X}_{N \times K}$  matrix, so that  $d_{ij} \approx \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|$  are as close as possible. This can be obtained by the minimization of a loss function called the **stress function**

$$\text{stress}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i \neq j} (d_{ij} - \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|)^2.$$

This loss function is known as *least-squares* or *Kruskal-Shepard scaling*.

A modification of *least-squares* scaling is the *Sammon mapping*

$$\text{stress}_{\text{Sammon}}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(d_{ij} - \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|)^2}{d_{ij}}.$$

The Sammon mapping performs better at preserving small distances compared to the *least-squares* scaling.

### Classical multidimensional scaling

Also known as *principal coordinates analysis*, PCoA.

- The distance matrix,  $\mathbf{D}$ , is transformed to a *similarity matrix*,  $\mathbf{B}$ , often using centered inner products.
- The loss function becomes

$$\text{stress}_{\text{classical}}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i \neq j} (b_{ij} - \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle)^2.$$

- The stress function in classical MDS is sometimes called *strain*.
- The solution for the classical MDS problems can be found from the eigenvectors of the similarity matrix.
- If the distances in  $\mathbf{D}$  are Euclidean and double centered inner products are used, the results are equivalent to PCA.

### Example

The eurodist dataset provides the road distances (in kilometers) between 21 cities in Europe. Given this matrix of pairwise (non-Euclidean) distances  $\mathbf{D} = [d_{ij}]$ , MDS can be used to recover the coordinates of the cities in some Euclidean referential whose orientation is arbitrary.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Pairwise distance between European cities
try:
    url = '../datasets/eurodist.csv'
    df = pd.read_csv(url)
except:
    url = 'https://raw.githubusercontent.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/eurodist.csv'
    df = pd.read_csv(url)

print(df.iloc[:5, :5])

city = df["city"]
D = np.array(df.iloc[:, 1:]) # Distance matrix

# Arbitrary choice of K=2 components
from sklearn.manifold import MDS
mds = MDS(dissimilarity='precomputed', n_components=2, random_state=40, max_iter=3000,
           eps=1e-9)
X = mds.fit_transform(D)
```

	city	Athens	Barcelona	Brussels	Calais
0	Athens	0	3313	2963	3175
1	Barcelona	3313	0	1318	1326

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

2	Brussels	2963	1318	0	204
3	Calais	3175	1326	204	0
4	Cherbourg	3339	1294	583	460

Recover coordinates of the cities in Euclidean referential whose orientation is arbitrary:

```
from sklearn import metrics
Declidean = metrics.pairwise.pairwise_distances(X, metric='euclidean')
print(np.round(Declidean[:5, :5]))
```


```
[[ 0. 3116. 2994. 3181. 3428.]
 [3116. 0. 1317. 1289. 1128.]
 [2994. 1317. 0. 198. 538.]
 [3181. 1289. 198. 0. 358.]
 [3428. 1128. 538. 358. 0.]]
```

Plot the results:

```
# Plot: apply some rotation and flip
theta = 80 * np.pi / 180.
rot = np.array([[np.cos(theta), -np.sin(theta)],
               [np.sin(theta), np.cos(theta)]])
Xr = np.dot(X, rot)
# flip x
Xr[:, 0] *= -1
plt.scatter(Xr[:, 0], Xr[:, 1])

for i in range(len(city)):
    plt.text(Xr[i, 0], Xr[i, 1], city[i])
plt.axis('equal')
```

```
(-1894.1017744377398,
 2914.3652937179477,
 -1712.9885463201906,
 2145.4522453884565)
```



### Determining the number of components

We must choose  $K^* \in \{1, \dots, K\}$  the number of required components. Plotting the values of the stress function, obtained using  $k \leq N - 1$  components. In general, start with  $1, \dots, K \leq 4$ . Choose  $K^*$  where you can clearly distinguish an *elbow* in the stress curve.


Thus, in the plot below, we choose to retain information accounted for by the first *two* components, since this is where the *elbow* is in the stress curve.

```
k_range = range(1, min(5, D.shape[0]-1))
stress = [MDS(dissimilarity='precomputed', n_components=k,
             random_state=42, max_iter=300, eps=1e-9).fit(D).stress_ for k in k_range]

print(stress)
plt.plot(k_range, stress)
plt.xlabel("k")
plt.ylabel("stress")
```

```
[48644495.28571428, 3356497.365752386, 2858455.495887962, 2756310.637628011]
```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'stress')
```



### 5.1.5 Nonlinear dimensionality reduction

Sources:

- Scikit-learn documentation
- Wikipedia

Nonlinear dimensionality reduction or **manifold learning** cover unsupervised methods that attempt to identify low-dimensional manifolds within the original  $P$ -dimensional space that represent high data density. Then those methods provide a mapping from the high-dimensional space to the low-dimensional embedding.

#### Isomap

Isomap is a nonlinear dimensionality reduction method that combines a procedure to compute the distance matrix with MDS. The distances calculation is based on geodesic distances evaluated on neighborhood graph:

1. Determine the neighbors of each point. All points in some fixed radius or K nearest neighbors.
2. Construct a neighborhood graph. Each point is connected to other if it is a K nearest neighbor. Edge length equal to Euclidean distance.
3. Compute shortest path between pairwise of points  $d_{ij}$  to build the distance matrix  $\mathbf{D}$ .
4. Apply MDS on  $\mathbf{D}$ .

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
from sklearn import manifold, datasets
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
X, color = datasets.samples_generator.make_s_curve(1000, random_state=42)

fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
plt.suptitle("Isomap Manifold Learning", fontsize=14)

ax = fig.add_subplot(121, projection='3d')
ax.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], X[:, 2], c=color, cmap=plt.cm.Spectral)
ax.view_init(4, -72)
plt.title('2D "S shape" manifold in 3D')

Y = manifold.Isomap(n_neighbors=10, n_components=2).fit_transform(X)
ax = fig.add_subplot(122)
plt.scatter(Y[:, 0], Y[:, 1], c=color, cmap=plt.cm.Spectral)
plt.title("Isomap")
plt.xlabel("First component")
plt.ylabel("Second component")
plt.axis('tight')
```

(-5.4164373180970316, 5.276311544714793, -1.2910940054965336, 1.23497771017066)



## 5.1.6 Exercises

### PCA

#### Write a basic PCA class

Write a class BasicPCA with two methods:

- `fit(X)` that estimates the data mean, principal components directions  $V$  and the explained variance of each component.
- `transform(X)` that projects the data onto the principal components.

Check that your BasicPCA gave similar results, compared to the results from sklearn.

### Apply your Basic PCA on the `iris` dataset

The data set is available at: <https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/iris.csv>

- Describe the data set. Should the dataset been standardized?
- Describe the structure of correlations among variables.
- Compute a PCA with the maximum number of components.
- Compute the cumulative explained variance ratio. Determine the number of components  $K$  by your computed values.
- Print the  $K$  principal components directions and correlations of the  $K$  principal components with the original variables. Interpret the contribution of the original variables into the PC.
- Plot the samples projected into the  $K$  first PCs.
- Color samples by their species.

### MDS

Apply MDS from sklearn on the `iris` dataset available at:

<https://raw.github.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/iris.csv>

- Center and scale the dataset.
- Compute Euclidean pairwise distances matrix.
- Select the number of components.
- Show that classical MDS on Euclidean pairwise distances matrix is equivalent to PCA.

## 5.2 Clustering

Wikipedia: Cluster analysis or clustering is the task of grouping a set of objects in such a way that objects in the same group (called a cluster) are more similar (in some sense or another) to each other than to those in other groups (clusters). Clustering is one of the main tasks of exploratory data mining, and a common technique for statistical data analysis, used in many fields, including machine learning, pattern recognition, image analysis, information retrieval, and bioinformatics.

Sources: <http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/clustering.html>

### 5.2.1 K-means clustering

Source: C. M. Bishop *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*, Springer, 2006

Suppose we have a data set  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  that consists of  $N$  observations of a random  $D$ -dimensional Euclidean variable  $x$ . Our goal is to partition the data set into some number,  $K$ , of clusters, where we shall suppose for the moment that the value of  $K$  is given. Intuitively, we might think of a cluster as comprising a group of data points whose inter-point distances are small compared to the distances to points outside of the cluster. We can formalize this notion by first introducing a set of  $D$ -dimensional vectors  $\mu_k$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , in which  $\mu_k$  is a **prototype** associated with the  $k^{\text{th}}$  cluster. As we shall see shortly, we can think of the  $\mu_k$  as representing the centres of the clusters. Our goal is then to find an assignment of data points to clusters, as well as a set of vectors  $\{\mu_k\}$ , such that the sum of the squares of the distances of each data point to its closest prototype vector  $\mu_k$ , is at a minimum.

It is convenient at this point to define some notation to describe the assignment of data points to clusters. For each data point  $x_i$ , we introduce a corresponding set of binary indicator variables  $r_{ik} \in \{0, 1\}$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, K$ , that describes which of the  $K$  clusters the data point  $x_i$  is assigned to, so that if data point  $x_i$  is assigned to cluster  $k$  then  $r_{ik} = 1$ , and  $r_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \neq k$ . This is known as the 1-of- $K$  coding scheme. We can then define an objective function, denoted **inertia**, as

$$J(r, \mu) = \sum_i^N \sum_k^K r_{ik} \|x_i - \mu_k\|_2^2$$

which represents the sum of the squares of the Euclidean distances of each data point to its assigned vector  $\mu_k$ . Our goal is to find values for the  $\{r_{ik}\}$  and the  $\{\mu_k\}$  so as to minimize the function  $J$ . We can do this through an iterative procedure in which each iteration involves two successive steps corresponding to successive optimizations with respect to the  $r_{ik}$  and the  $\mu_k$ . First we choose some initial values for the  $\mu_k$ . Then in the first phase we minimize  $J$  with respect to the  $r_{ik}$ , keeping the  $\mu_k$  fixed. In the second phase we minimize  $J$  with respect to the  $\mu_k$ , keeping  $r_{ik}$  fixed. This two-stage optimization process is then repeated until convergence. We shall see that these two stages of updating  $r_{ik}$  and  $\mu_k$  correspond respectively to the expectation (E) and maximization (M) steps of the expectation-maximisation (EM) algorithm, and to emphasize this we shall use the terms E step and M step in the context of the  $K$ -means algorithm.

Consider first the determination of the  $r_{ik}$ . Because  $J$  is a linear function of  $r_{ik}$ , this optimization can be performed easily to give a closed form solution. The terms involving different  $i$  are independent and so we can optimize for each  $i$  separately by choosing  $r_{ik}$  to be 1 for whichever value of  $k$  gives the minimum value of  $\|x_i - \mu_k\|^2$ . In other words, we simply assign the  $i$ th data point to the closest cluster centre. More formally, this can be expressed as

$$r_{ik} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = \arg \min_j \|x_i - \mu_j\|^2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

Now consider the optimization of the  $\mu_k$  with the  $r_{ik}$  held fixed. The objective function  $J$  is a quadratic function of  $\mu_k$ , and it can be minimized by setting its derivative with respect to  $\mu_k$  to zero giving

$$2 \sum_i r_{ik} (x_i - \mu_k) = 0$$

which we can easily solve for  $\mu_k$  to give

$$\mu_k = \frac{\sum_i r_{ik} x_i}{\sum_i r_{ik}}.$$

The denominator in this expression is equal to the number of points assigned to cluster  $k$ , and so this result has a simple interpretation, namely set  $\mu_k$  equal to the mean of all of the data points  $x_i$  assigned to cluster  $k$ . For this reason, the procedure is known as the  $K$ -means algorithm.

The two phases of re-assigning data points to clusters and re-computing the cluster means are repeated in turn until there is no further change in the assignments (or until some maximum number of iterations is exceeded). Because each phase reduces the value of the objective function  $J$ , convergence of the algorithm is assured. However, it may converge to a local rather than global minimum of  $J$ .

```
from sklearn import cluster, datasets
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns # nice color
%matplotlib inline

iris = datasets.load_iris()
X = iris.data[:, :2] # use only 'sepal length' and 'sepal width'
y_iris = iris.target


km2 = cluster.KMeans(n_clusters=2).fit(X)
km3 = cluster.KMeans(n_clusters=3).fit(X)
km4 = cluster.KMeans(n_clusters=4).fit(X)

plt.figure(figsize=(9, 3))
plt.subplot(131)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=km2.labels_)
plt.title("K=2, J=% .2f" % km2.inertia_)

plt.subplot(132)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=km3.labels_)
plt.title("K=3, J=% .2f" % km3.inertia_)

plt.subplot(133)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=km4.labels_.astype(np.float))
plt.title("K=4, J=% .2f" % km4.inertia_)
```

Text(0.5, 1.0, 'K=4, J=27.99')



## Exercises

### 1. Analyse clusters

- Analyse the plot above visually. What would a good value of  $K$  be?
- If you instead consider the inertia, the value of  $J$ , what would a good value of  $K$  be?
- Explain why there is such difference.
- For  $K = 2$  why did  $K$ -means clustering not find the two “natural” clusters? See the assumptions of  $K$ -means: [http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto\\_examples/cluster/plot\\_kmeans\\_assumptions.html#example-cluster-plot-kmeans-assumptions-py](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/cluster/plot_kmeans_assumptions.html#example-cluster-plot-kmeans-assumptions-py)

### 2. Re-implement the $K$ -means clustering algorithm (homework)

Write a function `kmeans(X, K)` that return an integer vector of the samples' labels.

#### 5.2.2 Gaussian mixture models

The Gaussian mixture model (GMM) is a simple linear superposition of Gaussian components over the data, aimed at providing a rich class of density models. We turn to a formulation of Gaussian mixtures in terms of discrete latent variables: the  $K$  hidden classes to be discovered.

Differences compared to  $K$ -means:

- Whereas the  $K$ -means algorithm performs a hard assignment of data points to clusters, in which each data point is associated uniquely with one cluster, the GMM algorithm makes a soft assignment based on posterior probabilities.
- Whereas the classic  $K$ -means is only based on Euclidean distances, classic GMM use a Mahalanobis distances that can deal with non-spherical distributions. It should be noted that Mahalanobis could be plugged within an improved version of  $K$ -Means clustering. The Mahalanobis distance is unitless and scale-invariant, and takes into account the correlations of the data set.

The Gaussian mixture distribution can be written as a linear superposition of  $K$  Gaussians in the form:

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) p(k),$$

where:

- The  $p(k)$  are the mixing coefficients also know as the class probability of class  $k$ , and they sum to one:  $\sum_{k=1}^K p(k) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{N}(x | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) = p(x | k)$  is the conditional distribution of  $x$  given a particular class  $k$ . It is the multivariate Gaussian distribution defined over a  $P$ -dimensional vector  $x$  of continuous variables.

The goal is to maximize the log-likelihood of the GMM:

$$\ln \prod_{i=1}^N p(x_i) = \ln \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) p(k) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^N \ln \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) p(k) \right\}.$$

To compute the classes parameters:  $p(k)$ ,  $\mu_k$ ,  $\Sigma_k$  we sum over all samples, by weighting each sample  $i$  by its responsibility or contribution to class  $k$ :  $p(k|x_i)$  such that for each point its contribution to all classes sum to one  $\sum_k p(k|x_i) = 1$ . This contribution is the conditional probability of class  $k$  given  $x$ :  $p(k|x)$  (sometimes called the posterior). It can be computed using Bayes' rule:

$$p(k|x) = \frac{p(x|k)p(k)}{p(x)} \quad (5.16)$$

$$= \frac{\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)p(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)p(k)} \quad (5.17)$$

Since the class parameters,  $p(k)$ ,  $\mu_k$  and  $\Sigma_k$ , depend on the responsibilities  $p(k|x)$  and the responsibilities depend on class parameters, we need a two-step iterative algorithm: the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm. We discuss this algorithm next.

### ### The expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm for Gaussian mixtures

Given a Gaussian mixture model, the goal is to maximize the likelihood function with respect to the parameters (comprised of the means and covariances of the components and the mixing coefficients).

Initialize the means  $\mu_k$ , covariances  $\Sigma_k$  and mixing coefficients  $p(k)$

1. **E step.** For each sample  $i$ , evaluate the responsibilities for each class  $k$  using the current parameter values

$$p(k|x_i) = \frac{\mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)p(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x_i|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)p(k)}$$

2. **M step.** For each class, re-estimate the parameters using the current responsibilities

$$\mu_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N p(k|x_i)x_i \quad (5.18)$$

$$\Sigma_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^N p(k|x_i)(x_i - \mu_k^{\text{new}})(x_i - \mu_k^{\text{new}})^T \quad (5.19)$$

$$p^{\text{new}}(k) = \frac{N_k}{N} \quad (5.20)$$

3. Evaluate the log-likelihood

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \ln \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, \Sigma_k)p(k) \right\},$$

and check for convergence of either the parameters or the log-likelihood. If the convergence criterion is not satisfied return to step 1.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns # nice color
import sklearn
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

from sklearn.mixture import GaussianMixture

import pystatsml.plot_utils

colors = sns.color_palette()

iris = datasets.load_iris()
X = iris.data[:, :2] # 'sepal length (cm)' 'sepal width (cm)'
y_iris = iris.target


gmm2 = GaussianMixture(n_components=2, covariance_type='full').fit(X)
gmm3 = GaussianMixture(n_components=3, covariance_type='full').fit(X)
gmm4 = GaussianMixture(n_components=4, covariance_type='full').fit(X)

plt.figure(figsize=(9, 3))
plt.subplot(131)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=[colors[lab] for lab in gmm2.predict(X)])#, color=colors)
for i in range(gmm2.covariances_.shape[0]):
    pystatsml.plot_utils.plot_cov_ellipse(cov=gmm2.covariances_[i, :], pos=gmm2.means_[i, :],
                                           facecolor='none', linewidth=2, edgecolor=colors[i])
    plt.scatter(gmm2.means_[i, 0], gmm2.means_[i, 1], edgecolor=colors[i],
                marker="o", s=100, facecolor="w", linewidth=2)
plt.title("K=2")

plt.subplot(132)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=[colors[lab] for lab in gmm3.predict(X)])
for i in range(gmm3.covariances_.shape[0]):
    pystatsml.plot_utils.plot_cov_ellipse(cov=gmm3.covariances_[i, :], pos=gmm3.means_[i, :],
                                           facecolor='none', linewidth=2, edgecolor=colors[i])
    plt.scatter(gmm3.means_[i, 0], gmm3.means_[i, 1], edgecolor=colors[i],
                marker="o", s=100, facecolor="w", linewidth=2)
plt.title("K=3")

plt.subplot(133)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=[colors[lab] for lab in gmm4.predict(X)]) # .astype(np.float)
for i in range(gmm4.covariances_.shape[0]):
    pystatsml.plot_utils.plot_cov_ellipse(cov=gmm4.covariances_[i, :], pos=gmm4.means_[i, :],
                                           facecolor='none', linewidth=2, edgecolor=colors[i])
    plt.scatter(gmm4.means_[i, 0], gmm4.means_[i, 1], edgecolor=colors[i],
                marker="o", s=100, facecolor="w", linewidth=2)
plt.title("K=4")

```



### 5.2.3 Model selection

```
### Bayesian information criterion
```

In statistics, the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) is a criterion for model selection among a finite set of models; the model with the lowest BIC is preferred. It is based, in part, on the likelihood function and it is closely related to the Akaike information criterion (AIC).

```
X = iris.data
y_iris = iris.target

bic = list()
#print(X)

ks = np.arange(1, 10)


for k in ks:
    gmm = GaussianMixture(n_components=k, covariance_type='full')
    gmm.fit(X)
    bic.append(gmm.bic(X))

k_chosen = ks[np.argmin(bic)]

plt.plot(ks, bic)
plt.xlabel("k")
plt.ylabel("BIC")

print("Choose k=", k_chosen)
```

```
Choose k= 2
```



#### 5.2.4 Hierarchical clustering

Hierarchical clustering is an approach to clustering that build hierarchies of clusters in two main approaches:

- **Agglomerative:** A *bottom-up* strategy, where each observation starts in their own cluster, and pairs of clusters are merged upwards in the hierarchy.
- **Divisive:** A *top-down* strategy, where all observations start out in the same cluster, and then the clusters are split recursively downwards in the hierarchy.

In order to decide which clusters to merge or to split, a measure of dissimilarity between clusters is introduced. More specific, this comprise a *distance* measure and a *linkage* criterion. The distance measure is just what it sounds like, and the linkage criterion is essentially a function of the distances between points, for instance the minimum distance between points in two clusters, the maximum distance between points in two clusters, the average distance between points in two clusters, etc. One particular linkage criterion, the Ward criterion, will be discussed next.

#### Ward clustering

Ward clustering belongs to the family of agglomerative hierarchical clustering algorithms. This means that they are based on a “bottoms up” approach: each sample starts in its own cluster, and pairs of clusters are merged as one moves up the hierarchy.

In Ward clustering, the criterion for choosing the pair of clusters to merge at each step is the minimum variance criterion. Ward’s minimum variance criterion minimizes the total within-cluster variance by each merge. To implement this method, at each step: find the pair of clusters that leads to minimum increase in total within-cluster variance after merging. This increase is a weighted squared distance between cluster centers.

The main advantage of agglomerative hierarchical clustering over  $K$ -means clustering is that you can benefit from known neighborhood information, for example, neighboring pixels in an

image.

```
from sklearn import cluster, datasets
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns # nice color

iris = datasets.load_iris()
X = iris.data[:, :2] # 'sepal length (cm)' 'sepal width (cm)'
y_iris = iris.target


ward2 = cluster.AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=2, linkage='ward').fit(X)
ward3 = cluster.AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=3, linkage='ward').fit(X)
ward4 = cluster.AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=4, linkage='ward').fit(X)

plt.figure(figsize=(9, 3))
plt.subplot(131)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=ward2.labels_)
plt.title("K=2")

plt.subplot(132)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=ward3.labels_)
plt.title("K=3")

plt.subplot(133)
plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=ward4.labels_) # .astype(np.float))
plt.title("K=4")
```

Text(0.5, 1.0, 'K=4')



## 5.2.5 Exercises

Perform clustering of the iris dataset based on all variables using Gaussian mixture models. Use PCA to visualize clusters.

## 5.3 Linear methods for regression

### 5.3.1 Ordinary least squares

Linear regression models the **output**, or **target** variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  as a linear combination of the  $(P - 1)$ -dimensional input  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{(P-1)}$ . Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be the  $N \times P$  matrix with each row an input vector (with a 1 in the first position), and similarly let  $y$  be the  $N$ -dimensional vector of outputs in the **training set**, the linear model will predict the  $y$  given  $\mathbf{X}$  using the **parameter vector**, or **weight vector**  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^P$  according to

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^N$  are the **residuals**, or the errors of the prediction. The  $\beta$  is found by minimizing an **objective function**, which is the **loss function**,  $L(\beta)$ , i.e. the error measured on the data. This error is the **sum of squared errors (SSE) loss**.

$$L(\beta) = \text{SSE}(\beta) \tag{5.21}$$

$$= \sum_i^N (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta)^2 \tag{5.22}$$

$$= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \tag{5.23}$$

$$= \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2, \tag{5.24}$$

Minimizing the SSE is the Ordinary Least Square **OLS** regression as objective function. which is a simple **ordinary least squares (OLS)** minimization whose analytic solution is:

$$\beta_{\text{OLS}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

The gradient of the loss:

$$\partial \frac{L(\beta, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \beta} = 2 \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i (\mathbf{x}_i \cdot \beta - y_i)$$

### 5.3.2 Linear regression with scikit-learn

Scikit learn offer many models for supervised learning, and they all follow the same application programming interface (API), namely:

```
model = Estimator()
model.fit(X, y)
predictions = model.predict(X)
```

```
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('once')
```

```
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
%matplotlib inline

# Fit Ordinary Least Squares: OLS
csv = pd.read_csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/neurospin/pystatsml/master/datasets/
                   ↪Advertising.csv', index_col=0)
X = csv[['TV', 'Radio']]
y = csv['Sales']

lr = lm.LinearRegression().fit(X, y)
y_pred = lr.predict(X)
print("R-squared =", metrics.r2_score(y, y_pred))

print("Coefficients =", lr.coef_)

# Plot
fig = plt.figure()
ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection='3d')


ax.scatter(csv['TV'], csv['Radio'], csv['Sales'], c='r', marker='o')

xx1, xx2 = np.meshgrid(
    np.linspace(csv['TV'].min(), csv['TV'].max(), num=10),
    np.linspace(csv['Radio'].min(), csv['Radio'].max(), num=10))

XX = np.column_stack([xx1.ravel(), xx2.ravel()])

yy = lr.predict(XX)
ax.plot_surface(xx1, xx2, yy.reshape(xx1.shape), color='None')
ax.set_xlabel('TV')
ax.set_ylabel('Radio')
_ = ax.set_zlabel('Sales')
```

```
R-squared = 0.8971942610828956
Coefficients = [0.04575482 0.18799423]
```



### 5.3.3 Overfitting

In statistics and machine learning, overfitting occurs when a statistical model describes random errors or noise instead of the underlying relationships. Overfitting generally occurs when a model is **excessively complex**, such as having **too many parameters relative to the number of observations**. A model that has been overfit will generally have poor predictive performance, as it can exaggerate minor fluctuations in the data.

A learning algorithm is trained using some set of training samples. If the learning algorithm has the capacity to overfit the training samples the performance on the **training sample set** will improve while the performance on unseen **test sample set** will decline.

The overfitting phenomenon has three main explanations: - excessively complex models, - multicollinearity, and - high dimensionality.

#### Model complexity

Complex learners with too many parameters relative to the number of observations may overfit the training dataset.

#### Multicollinearity

Predictors are highly correlated, meaning that one can be linearly predicted from the others. In this situation the coefficient estimates of the multiple regression may change erratically in response to small changes in the model or the data. Multicollinearity does not reduce the predictive power or reliability of the model as a whole, at least not within the sample data set; it only affects computations regarding individual predictors. That is, a multiple regression model with correlated predictors can indicate how well the entire bundle of predictors predicts the outcome variable, but it may not give valid results about any individual predictor, or about which predictors are redundant with respect to others. In case of perfect multicollinearity the predictor matrix is singular and therefore cannot be inverted. Under these circumstances, for a

general linear model  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$ , the ordinary least-squares estimator,  $\beta_{OLS} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$ , does not exist.

An example where correlated predictor may produce an unstable model follows:

```
import numpy as np
from mpl_toolkits.mplot3d import Axes3D
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt


bv = np.array([10, 20, 30, 40, 50])           # business volume
tax = .2 * bv                                # Tax
bp = .1 * bv + np.array([-1, .2, .1, -.2, .1]) # business potential

X = np.column_stack([bv, tax])
beta_star = np.array([.1, 0]) # true solution
...
Since tax and bv are correlated, there is an infinite number of linear combinations
leading to the same prediction.
"""

# 10 times the bv then subtract it 9 times using the tax variable:
beta_medium = np.array([.1 * 10, -.1 * 9 * (1/.2)])
# 100 times the bv then subtract it 99 times using the tax variable:
beta_large = np.array([.1 * 100, -.1 * 99 * (1/.2)])

# Check that all model lead to the same result
assert np.all(np.dot(X, beta_star) == np.dot(X, beta_medium))
assert np.all(np.dot(X, beta_star) == np.dot(X, beta_large))
```

Multicollinearity between the predictors: business volumes and tax produces unstable models with arbitrary large coefficients.



Dealing with multicollinearity:

- Regularisation by e.g.  $\ell_2$  shrinkage: Introduce a bias in the solution by making  $(X^T X)^{-1}$  non-singular. See  $\ell_2$  shrinkage.
- Feature selection: select a small number of features. See: Isabelle Guyon and André Elisseeff *An introduction to variable and feature selection* The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2003.
- Feature selection: select a small number of features using  $\ell_1$  shrinkage.
- Extract few independent (uncorrelated) features using e.g. principal components analysis (PCA), partial least squares regression (PLS-R) or regression methods that cut the number of predictors to a smaller set of uncorrelated components.

## High dimensionality

High dimensions means a large number of input features. Linear predictor associate one parameter to each input feature, so a high-dimensional situation ( $P$ , number of features, is large) with a relatively small number of samples  $N$  (so-called large  $P$  small  $N$  situation) generally lead to an overfit of the training data. Thus it is generally a bad idea to add many input features into the learner. This phenomenon is called the **curse of dimensionality**.

One of the most important criteria to use when choosing a learning algorithm is based on the relative size of  $P$  and  $N$ .

- Remember that the “covariance” matrix  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  used in the linear model is a  $P \times P$  matrix of rank  $\min(N, P)$ . Thus if  $P > N$  the equation system is overparameterized and admit an

infinity of solutions that might be specific to the learning dataset. See also ill-conditioned or singular matrices.

- The sampling density of  $N$  samples in an  $P$ -dimensional space is proportional to  $N^{1/P}$ . Thus a high-dimensional space becomes very sparse, leading to poor estimations of samples densities.
- Another consequence of the sparse sampling in high dimensions is that all sample points are close to an edge of the sample. Consider  $N$  data points uniformly distributed in a  $P$ -dimensional unit ball centered at the origin. Suppose we consider a nearest-neighbor estimate at the origin. The median distance from the origin to the closest data point is given by the expression

$$d(P, N) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}^N\right)^{1/P}.$$

A more complicated expression exists for the mean distance to the closest point. For  $N = 500$ ,  $P = 10$ ,  $d(P, N) \approx 0.52$ , more than halfway to the boundary. Hence most data points are closer to the boundary of the sample space than to any other data point. The reason that this presents a problem is that prediction is much more difficult near the edges of the training sample. One must extrapolate from neighboring sample points rather than interpolate between them. (Source: T Hastie, R Tibshirani, J Friedman. *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction*. Second Edition, 2009.)

- Structural risk minimization provides a theoretical background of this phenomenon. (See VC dimension.)
- See also bias-variance trade-off.

```
import seaborn # nicer plots

def fit_on_increasing_size(model):
    n_samples = 100
    n_features_ = np.arange(10, 800, 20)
    r2_train, r2_test, snr = [], [], []
    for n_features in n_features_:
        # Sample the dataset (* 2 nb of samples)
        n_features_info = int(n_features/10)
        np.random.seed(42) # Make reproducible
        X = np.random.randn(n_samples * 2, n_features)
        beta = np.zeros(n_features)
        beta[:n_features_info] = 1
        Xbeta = np.dot(X, beta)
        eps = np.random.randn(n_samples * 2)
        y = Xbeta + eps
        # Split the dataset into train and test sample
        Xtrain, Xtest = X[:n_samples, :], X[n_samples:, :]
        ytrain, ytest = y[:n_samples], y[n_samples:]
        # fit/predict
        lr = model.fit(Xtrain, ytrain)
        y_pred_train = lr.predict(Xtrain)
        y_pred_test = lr.predict(Xtest)
        snr.append(Xbeta.std() / eps.std())
        r2_train.append(metrics.r2_score(ytrain, y_pred_train))
        r2_test.append(metrics.r2_score(ytest, y_pred_test))
    return n_features_, np.array(r2_train), np.array(r2_test), np.array(snr)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

def plot_r2_snr(n_features_, r2_train, r2_test, xvline, snr, ax):
    """
    Two scales plot. Left y-axis: train test r-squared. Right y-axis SNR.
    """
    ax.plot(n_features_, r2_train, label="Train r-squared", linewidth=2)
    ax.plot(n_features_, r2_test, label="Test r-squared", linewidth=2)
    ax.axvline(x=xvline, linewidth=2, color='k', ls='--')
    ax.axhline(y=0, linewidth=1, color='k', ls='--')
    ax.set_xlim(-0.2, 1.1)
    ax.set_xlabel("Number of input features")
    ax.set_ylabel("r-squared")
    ax.legend(loc='best')
    ax.set_title("Prediction perf.")
    ax_right = ax.twinx()
    ax_right.plot(n_features_, snr, 'r-', label="SNR", linewidth=1)
    ax_right.set_ylabel("SNR", color='r')
    for tl in ax_right.get_yticklabels():
        tl.set_color('r')

# Model = linear regression
mod = lm.LinearRegression()

# Fit models on dataset
n_features, r2_train, r2_test, snr = fit_on_increasing_size(model=mod)


argmax = n_features[np.argmax(r2_test)]

# plot
fig, axis = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(9, 3))

# Left pane: all features
plot_r2_snr(n_features, r2_train, r2_test, argmax, snr, axis[0])

# Right pane: Zoom on 100 first features
plot_r2_snr(n_features[n_features <= 100],
            r2_train[n_features <= 100], r2_test[n_features <= 100],
            argmax,
            snr[n_features <= 100],
            axis[1])
plt.tight_layout()

```



## Exercises

Study the code above and:

- Describe the datasets:  $N$ : nb\_samples,  $P$ : nb\_features.
- What is n\_features\_info?
- Give the equation of the generative model.
- What is modified by the loop?
- What is the SNR?

Comment the graph above, in terms of training and test performances:

- How does the train and test performance changes as a function of  $x$ ?
- Is it the expected results when compared to the SNR?
- What can you conclude?

### 5.3.4 Ridge regression ( $\ell_2$ -regularization)

Overfitting generally leads to excessively complex weight vectors, accounting for noise or spurious correlations within predictors. To avoid this phenomenon the learning should **constrain the solution** in order to fit a global pattern. This constraint will reduce (bias) the capacity of the learning algorithm. Adding such a penalty will force the coefficients to be small, i.e. to shrink them toward zeros.

Therefore the **loss function**  $L(\beta)$  (generally the SSE) is combined with a **penalty function**  $\Omega(\beta)$  leading to the general form:

$$\text{Penalized}(\beta) = L(\beta) + \lambda\Omega(\beta)$$

The respective contribution of the loss and the penalty is controlled by the **regularization parameter**  $\lambda$ .

Ridge regression impose a  $\ell_2$  penalty on the coefficients, i.e. it penalizes with the Euclidean norm of the coefficients while minimizing SSE. The objective function becomes:

$$\text{Ridge}(\beta) = \sum_i^N (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \beta)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2 \quad (5.25)$$

$$= \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2. \quad (5.26)$$

The  $\beta$  that minimises  $F_{Ridge}(\beta)$  can be found by the following derivation:

$$\nabla_{\beta} \text{Ridge}(\beta) = 0 \quad (5.27)$$

$$\nabla_{\beta}((y - X\beta)^T(y - X\beta) + \lambda\beta^T\beta) = 0 \quad (5.28)$$

$$\nabla_{\beta}((y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y + \beta^T X^T X \beta + \lambda\beta^T\beta)) = 0 \quad (5.29)$$

$$-2X^T y + 2X^T X \beta + 2\lambda\beta = 0 \quad (5.30)$$

$$-X^T y + (X^T X + \lambda I)\beta = 0 \quad (5.31)$$

$$(X^T X + \lambda I)\beta = X^T y \quad (5.32)$$

$$\beta = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T y \quad (5.33)$$

- The solution adds a positive constant to the diagonal of  $X^T X$  before inversion. This makes the problem nonsingular, even if  $X^T X$  is not of full rank, and was the main motivation behind ridge regression.
- Increasing  $\lambda$  shrinks the  $\beta$  coefficients toward 0.
- This approach **penalizes** the objective function by the **Euclidian (:math:'ell\_2')** norm of the coefficients such that solutions with large coefficients become unattractive.

The gradient of the loss:

$$\partial \frac{L(\beta, X, y)}{\partial \beta} = 2(\sum_i x_i(x_i \cdot \beta - y_i) + \lambda\beta)$$

The ridge penalty shrinks the coefficients toward zero. The figure illustrates: the OLS solution on the left. The  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  penalties in the middle pane. The penalized OLS in the right pane. The right pane shows how the penalties shrink the coefficients toward zero. The black points are the minimum found in each case, and the white points represent the true solution used to generate the data.




Fig. 2:  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  shrinkages

```

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import sklearn.linear_model as lm

# lambda is alpha!
mod = lm.Ridge(alpha=10)

# Fit models on dataset
n_features, r2_train, r2_test, snr = fit_on_increasing_size(model=mod)


argmax = n_features[np.argmax(r2_test)]

# plot
fig, axis = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(9, 3))

# Left pane: all features
plot_r2_snr(n_features, r2_train, r2_test, argmax, snr, axis[0])

# Right pane: Zoom on 100 first features
plot_r2_snr(n_features[n_features <= 100],
            r2_train[n_features <= 100], r2_test[n_features <= 100],
            argmax,
            snr[n_features <= 100],
            axis[1])
plt.tight_layout()

```



## Exercice

What benefit has been obtained by using  $\ell_2$  regularization?

### 5.3.5 Lasso regression ( $\ell_1$ -regularization)

Lasso regression penalizes the coefficients by the  $\ell_1$  norm. This constraint will reduce (bias) the capacity of the learning algorithm. To add such a penalty forces the coefficients to be small, i.e. it shrinks them toward zero. The objective function to minimize becomes:

$$\text{Lasso}(\beta) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\beta\|_1. \quad (5.34)$$

This penalty forces some coefficients to be exactly zero, providing a feature selection property.

```

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import sklearn.linear_model as lm

# lambda is alpha !
mod = lm.Lasso(alpha=.1)

# Fit models on dataset
n_features, r2_train, r2_test, snr = fit_on_increasing_size(model=mod)


argmax = n_features[np.argmax(r2_test)]

# plot
fig, axis = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(9, 3))

# Left pane: all features
plot_r2_snr(n_features, r2_train, r2_test, argmax, snr, axis[0])

# Right pane: Zoom on 200 first features
plot_r2_snr(n_features[n_features <= 200],
            r2_train[n_features <= 200], r2_test[n_features <= 200],
            argmax,
            snr[n_features <= 200],
            axis[1])
plt.tight_layout()

```



### Sparsity of the $\ell_1$ norm

#### Occam's razor

Occam's razor (also written as Ockham's razor, and **lex parsimoniae** in Latin, which means law of parsimony) is a problem solving principle attributed to William of Ockham (1287-1347), who was an English Franciscan friar and scholastic philosopher and theologian. The principle can be interpreted as stating that **among competing hypotheses, the one with the fewest assumptions should be selected**.

#### Principle of parsimony

The simplest of two competing theories is to be preferred. Definition of parsimony: Economy of explanation in conformity with Occam's razor.

Among possible models with similar loss, choose the simplest one:

- Choose the model with the smallest coefficient vector, i.e. smallest  $\ell_2$  ( $\|\beta\|_2$ ) or  $\ell_1$  ( $\|\beta\|_1$ ) norm of  $\beta$ , i.e.  $\ell_2$  or  $\ell_1$  penalty. See also bias-variance tradeoff.
- Choose the model that uses the smallest number of predictors. In other words, choose the model that has many predictors with zero weights. Two approaches are available to obtain this: (i) Perform a feature selection as a preprocessing prior to applying the learning algorithm, or (ii) embed the feature selection procedure within the learning process.

### Sparsity-induced penalty or embedded feature selection with the $\ell_1$ penalty

The penalty based on the  $\ell_1$  norm promotes **sparsity** (scattered, or not dense): it forces many coefficients to be exactly zero. This also makes the coefficient vector scattered.

The figure below illustrates the OLS loss under a constraint acting on the  $\ell_1$  norm of the coefficient vector. I.e., it illustrates the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\beta}{\text{minimize}} \quad \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|_2^2 \\ & \text{subject to } \|\beta\|_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$




Fig. 3: Sparsity of L1 norm

## Optimization issues

*Section to be completed*

- No more closed-form solution.
- Convex but not differentiable.
- Requires specific optimization algorithms, such as the fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm (FISTA): Amir Beck and Marc Teboulle, *A Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm for Linear Inverse Problems* SIAM J. Imaging Sci., 2009.

### 5.3.6 Elastic-net regression ( $\ell_2$ - $\ell_1$ -regularization)

The Elastic-net estimator combines the  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  penalties, and results in the problem to

$$\text{Enet}(\beta) = \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}^T \beta\|_2^2 + \alpha (\rho \|\beta\|_1 + (1 - \rho) \|\beta\|_2^2), \quad (5.35)$$

where  $\alpha$  acts as a global penalty and  $\rho$  as an  $\ell_1/\ell_2$  ratio.

## Rational

- If there are groups of highly correlated variables, Lasso tends to arbitrarily select only one from each group. These models are difficult to interpret because covariates that are strongly associated with the outcome are not included in the predictive model. Conversely, the elastic net encourages a grouping effect, where strongly correlated predictors tend to be in or out of the model together.
- Studies on real world data and simulation studies show that the elastic net often outperforms the lasso, while enjoying a similar sparsity of representation.

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
import sklearn.linear_model as lm

mod = lm.ElasticNet(alpha=.5, l1_ratio=.5)

# Fit models on dataset
n_features, r2_train, r2_test, snr = fit_on_increasing_size(model=mod)

argmax = n_features[np.argmax(r2_test)]

# plot
fig, axis = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(9, 3))


# Left pane: all features
plot_r2_snr(n_features, r2_train, r2_test, argmax, snr, axis[0])

# Right pane: Zoom on 100 first features
plot_r2_snr(n_features[n_features <= 100],
            r2_train[n_features <= 100], r2_test[n_features <= 100],
            argmax,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
snr[n_features <= 100],  
axis[1])  
plt.tight_layout()
```



## 5.4 Linear classification

Given a training set of  $N$  samples,  $D = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$ , where  $x_i$  is a multidimensional input vector with dimension  $P$  and class label (target or response).

Multiclass Classification problems can be seen as several binary classification problems  $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$  where the classifier aims to discriminate the sample of the current class (label 1) versus the samples of other classes (label 0).

Therefore, for each class the classifier seek for a vector of parameters  $w$  that performs a linear combination of the input variables,  $x^T w$ . This step performs a **projection** or a **rotation** of input sample into a good discriminative one-dimensional sub-space, that best discriminate sample of current class vs sample of other classes.

This score (a.k.a decision function) is tranformed, using the nonlinear activation funtion  $f(\cdot)$ , to a “posterior probabilities” of class 1:  $p(y = 1|x) = f(x^T w)$ , where,  $p(y = 0|x) = 1 - p(y = 0|x)$ .

The decision surfaces (orthogonal hyperplan to  $w$ ) correspond to  $f(x) = \text{constant}$ , so that  $x^T w = \text{constant}$  and hence the decision surfaces are linear functions of  $x$ , even if the function  $f(\cdot)$  is nonlinear.

A thresholding of the activation (shifted by the bias or intercept) provides the predicted class label.

The vector of parameters, that defines the discriminative axis, minimizes an **objective function**  $J(w)$  that is a sum of of **loss function**  $L(w)$  and some penalties on the weights vector  $\Omega(w)$ .

$$\min_w J = \sum_i L(y_i, f(x_i^T w)) + \Omega(w),$$

### 5.4.1 Fisher's linear discriminant with equal class covariance

This geometric method does not make any probabilistic assumptions, instead it relies on distances. It looks for the **linear projection** of the data points onto a vector,  $w$ , that maximizes the between/within variance ratio, denoted  $F(w)$ . Under a few assumptions, it will provide the same results as linear discriminant analysis (LDA), explained below.

Suppose two classes of observations,  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ , have means  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  and the same total within-class scatter (“covariance”) matrix,

$$S_W = \sum_{i \in C_0} (x_i - \mu_0)(x_i - \mu_0)^T + \sum_{j \in C_1} (x_j - \mu_1)(x_j - \mu_1)^T \quad (5.36)$$

$$= \mathbf{X}_c^T \mathbf{X}_c, \quad (5.37)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_c$  is the  $(N \times P)$  matrix of data centered on their respective means:

$$\mathbf{X}_c = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_0 - \mu_0 \\ \mathbf{X}_1 - \mu_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_0$  and  $\mathbf{X}_1$  are the  $(N_0 \times P)$  and  $(N_1 \times P)$  matrices of samples of classes  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ .

Let  $S_B$  being the scatter “between-class” matrix, given by

$$S_B = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T.$$

The linear combination of features  $w^T x$  have means  $w^T \mu_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ , and variance  $w^T X_c^T X_c w$ . Fisher defined the separation between these two distributions to be the ratio of the variance between the classes to the variance within the classes:

$$F_{\text{Fisher}}(w) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{between}}^2}{\sigma_{\text{within}}^2} \quad (5.38)$$

$$= \frac{(w^T \mu_1 - w^T \mu_0)^2}{w^T X_c^T X_c w} \quad (5.39)$$

$$= \frac{(w^T (\mu_1 - \mu_0))^2}{w^T X_c^T X_c w} \quad (5.40)$$

$$= \frac{w^T (\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T w}{w^T X_c^T X_c w} \quad (5.41)$$

$$= \frac{w^T S_B w}{w^T S_W w}. \quad (5.42)$$

### The Fisher most discriminant projection

In the two-class case, the maximum separation occurs by a projection on the  $(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$  using the Mahalanobis metric  $S_W^{-1}$ , so that

$$w \propto S_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0).$$

### Demonstration

Differentiating  $F_{\text{Fisher}}(w)$  with respect to  $w$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} F_{\text{Fisher}}(\mathbf{w}) &= 0 \\
 \nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \left( \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}} \right) &= 0 \\
 (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w})(2\mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}) - (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w})(2\mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}) &= 0 \\
 (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w})(\mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}) &= (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w})(\mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}) \\
 \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w} &= \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}} (\mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}) \\
 \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w} &= \lambda (\mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w}) \\
 \mathbf{S}_W^{-1} \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{w}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we do not care about the magnitude of  $\mathbf{w}$ , only its direction, we replaced the scalar factor  $(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_B \mathbf{w}) / (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_W \mathbf{w})$  by  $\lambda$ .

In the multiple-class case, the solutions  $\mathbf{w}$  are determined by the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{S}_W^{-1} \mathbf{S}_B$  that correspond to the  $K - 1$  largest eigenvalues.

However, in the two-class case (in which  $\mathbf{S}_B = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T$ ) it is easy to show that  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$  is the unique eigenvector of  $\mathbf{S}_W^{-1} \mathbf{S}_B$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \mathbf{w} &= \lambda \mathbf{w} \\
 \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0) &= \lambda \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0),
 \end{aligned}$$

where here  $\lambda = (\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0)$ . Which leads to the result

$$\mathbf{w} \propto \mathbf{S}_W^{-1}(\mu_1 - \mu_0).$$

### The separating hyperplane

The separating hyperplane is a  $P - 1$ -dimensional hyper surface, orthogonal to the projection vector,  $\mathbf{w}$ . There is no single best way to find the origin of the plane along  $\mathbf{w}$ , or equivalently the classification threshold that determines whether a point should be classified as belonging to  $C_0$  or to  $C_1$ . However, if the projected points have roughly the same distribution, then the threshold can be chosen as the hyperplane exactly between the projections of the two means, i.e. as

$$T = \mathbf{w} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\mu_1 - \mu_0).$$

```
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

### 5.4.2 Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)

Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) is a probabilistic generalization of Fisher's linear discriminant. It uses Bayes' rule to fix the threshold based on prior probabilities of classes.




Fig. 4: The Fisher most discriminant projection

1. First compute the **class-conditional distributions** of  $x$  given class  $C_k$ :  $p(x|C_k) = \mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, S_W)$ . Where  $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, S_W)$  is the multivariate Gaussian distribution defined over a P-dimensional vector  $x$  of continuous variables, which is given by

$$\mathcal{N}(x|\mu_k, S_W) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{P/2}|S_W|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu_k)^T S_W^{-1}(x - \mu_k)\right\}$$

2. Estimate the **prior probabilities** of class  $k$ ,  $p(C_k) = N_k/N$ .
3. Compute **posterior probabilities** (ie. the probability of a each class given a sample) combining conditional with priors using Bayes' rule:

$$p(C_k|x) = \frac{p(C_k)p(x|C_k)}{p(x)}$$

Where  $p(x)$  is the marginal distribution obtained by suming of classes: As usual, the denominator in Bayes' theorem can be found in terms of the quantities appearing in the numerator, because

$$p(x) = \sum_k p(x|C_k)p(C_k)$$

4. Classify  $x$  using the Maximum-a-Posteriori probability:  $C_k = \arg \max_{C_k} p(C_k|x)$

LDA is a **generative model** since the class-conditional distributions cal be used to generate samples of each classes.

LDA is useful to deal with imbalanced group sizes (eg.:  $N_1 \gg N_0$ ) since priors probabilities can be used to explicitly re-balance the classification by setting  $p(C_0) = p(C_1) = 1/2$  or whatever seems relevant.

LDA can be generalised to the multiclass case with  $K > 2$ .

With  $N_1 = N_0$ , LDA lead to the same solution than Fisher's linear discriminant.

## Exercise

How many parameters are required to estimate to perform a LDA ?

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis as LDA

# Dataset
n_samples, n_features = 100, 2
mean0, mean1 = np.array([0, 0]), np.array([0, 2])
Cov = np.array([[1, .8], [.8, 1]])
np.random.seed(42)
X0 = np.random.multivariate_normal(mean0, Cov, n_samples)
X1 = np.random.multivariate_normal(mean1, Cov, n_samples)
X = np.vstack([X0, X1])
y = np.array([0] * X0.shape[0] + [1] * X1.shape[0])

# LDA with scikit-learn
lda = LDA()
proj = lda.fit(X, y).transform(X)
y_pred_lda = lda.predict(X)

errors = y_pred_lda != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%.2f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y_pred_lda)))
```

Nb errors=10, error rate=0.05

### 5.4.3 Logistic regression


Logistic regression is called a generalized linear models. ie.: it is a linear model with a link function that maps the output of linear multiple regression to the posterior probability of class 1  $p(1|x)$  using the logistic sigmoid function:

$$p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)}$$

```
def logistic(x): return 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x))
def logistic_loss(x): return np.log(1 + np.exp(-x))

x = np.linspace(-6, 6, 100)
plt.subplot(121)
plt.plot(x, logistic(x))
plt.grid(True)
plt.title('Logistic (sigmoid)')

x = np.linspace(-3, 3, 100)
plt.subplot(122)
plt.plot(x, logistic_loss(x), label='Logistic loss')
plt.plot(x, np.maximum(0, 1 - x), label='Hinge loss')
plt.legend()
plt.title('Losses')
plt.grid(True)
```



The **Loss function** for sample  $i$  is the negative log of the probability:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i, y_i) = \begin{cases} -\log(p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i)) & \text{if } y_i = 1 \\ -\log(1 - p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i)) & \text{if } y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

For the whole dataset  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}$  the loss function to minimize  $L(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})$  is the negative negative log likelihood (nll) that can be simplified using a 0/1 coding of the label in the case of binary classification:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) = -\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) \quad (5.43)$$

$$= -\log \prod_i \{p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i)^{y_i} * (1 - p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i))^{(1-y_i)}\} \quad (5.44)$$

$$= \sum_i \{y_i \log p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i))\} \quad (5.45)$$

$$= \sum_i \{y_i \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i - \log(1 + \exp(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i))\} \quad (5.46)$$

$$(5.47)$$

This is solved by numerical method using the gradient of the loss:

$$\partial \frac{L(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i (y_i - p(1|\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}_i))$$

*Logistic regression is a discriminative model since it focuses only*

on the posterior probability of each class  $p(C_k|x)$ . It only requires to estimate the  $P$  weight of the  $w$  vector. Thus it should be favoured over LDA with many input features. In small dimension and balanced situations it would provide similar predictions than LDA.

However imbalanced group sizes cannot be explicitly controlled. It can be managed using a reweighting of the input samples.

```
from sklearn import linear_model
logreg = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1e8, solver='lbfgs')
# This class implements regularized logistic regression. C is the Inverse of
# regularization strength.
# Large value => no regularization.

logreg.fit(X, y)
y_pred_logreg = logreg.predict(X)

errors = y_pred_logreg != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%.2f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y_pred_logreg)))
print(logreg.coef_)
```

```
Nb errors=10, error rate=0.05
[[-5.1516729  5.57303883]]
```

## Exercise

Explore the Logistic Regression parameters and proposes a solution in cases of highly imbalanced training dataset  $N_1 \gg N_0$  when we know that in reality both classes have the same probability  $p(C_1) = p(C_0)$ .

### 5.4.4 Overfitting

VC dimension (for Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension) is a measure of the **capacity** (complexity, expressive power, richness, or flexibility) of a statistical classification algorithm, defined as the

cardinality of the largest set of points that the algorithm can shatter.

Theorem: Linear classifier in  $R^P$  have VC dimension of  $P + 1$ . Hence in dimension two ( $P = 2$ ) any random partition of 3 points can be learned.




Fig. 5: In 2D we can shatter any three non-collinear points

#### 5.4.5 Ridge Fisher's linear classification (L2-regularization)

When the matrix  $S_W$  is not full rank or  $P \gg N$ , the The Fisher most discriminant projection estimate of the is not unique. This can be solved using a biased version of  $S_W$ :

$$S_W^{Ridge} = S_W + \lambda I$$

where  $I$  is the  $P \times P$  identity matrix. This leads to the regularized (ridge) estimator of the Fisher's linear discriminant analysis:

$$\mathbf{w}^{Ridge} \propto (S_W + \lambda I)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$$




Fig. 6: The Ridge Fisher's most discriminant projection

Increasing  $\lambda$  will:

- Shrinks the coefficients toward zero.
- The covariance will converge toward the diagonal matrix, reducing the contribution of the pairwise covariances.

### 5.4.6 Ridge logistic regression (L2-regularization)

The **objective function** to be minimized is now the combination of the logistic loss (negative log likelihood)  $-\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  with a penalty of the L2 norm of the weights vector. In the two-class case, using the 0/1 coding we obtain:

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}} \text{Logistic ridge}(\mathbf{w}) = -\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|^2$$

```
# Dataset
# Build a classification task using 3 informative features
from sklearn import datasets

X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=100,
                                    n_features=20,
                                    n_informative=3,
                                    n_redundant=0,
                                    n_repeated=0,
                                    n_classes=2,
                                    random_state=0,
                                    shuffle=False)
```

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

from sklearn import linear_model
lr = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1, solver='lbfgs')
# This class implements regularized logistic regression. C is the Inverse of
# regularization strength.
# Large value => no regularization.

lr.fit(X, y)
y_pred_lr = lr.predict(X)

# Retrieve proba from coef vector
print(lr.coef_.shape)
probas = 1 / (1 + np.exp(- (np.dot(X, lr.coef_.T) + lr.intercept_))).ravel()
print("Diff", np.max(np.abs(lr.predict_proba(X)[:, 1] - probas)))
#plt.plot(lr.predict_proba(X)[:, 1], probas, "ob")

errors = y_pred_lr != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%.2f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y)))
print(lr.coef_)
```

```
(1, 20)
Diff 0.0
Nb errors=26, error rate=0.26
[[ -0.12899737  0.7579822 -0.01228473 -0.11412421  0.25491221  0.4329847
   0.14564739  0.16763962  0.85071394  0.02116803 -0.1611039 -0.0146019
  -0.03399884  0.43127728 -0.05831644 -0.0812323  0.15877844  0.29387389
   0.54659524  0.03376169]]
```

### 5.4.7 Lasso logistic regression (L1-regularization)

The **objective function** to be minimized is now the combination of the logistic loss  $-\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  with a penalty of the L1 norm of the weights vector. In the two-class case, using the 0/1 coding

we obtain:

$$\min_w \text{Logistic Lasso}(w) = -\log \mathcal{L}(w, X, y) + \lambda \|w\|_1$$

```
from sklearn import linear_model
lrl1 = linear_model.LogisticRegression(penalty='l1')
# This class implements regularized logistic regression. C is the Inverse of
# regularization strength.
# Large value => no regularization.

lrl1.fit(X, y)
y_pred_lrl1 = lrl1.predict(X)

errors = y_pred_lrl1 != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%.2f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y_pred_lrl1)))
print(lrl1.coef_)
```

```
Nb errors=27, error rate=0.27
[[ -0.11336316  0.68156408  0.          0.          0.19754033  0.36479462
   0.08060044  0.06204721  0.76015327  0.          -0.10807533  0.
   0.          0.33749815  0.          0.          0.07902301  0.20159244
   0.48384949  0.          ]]
```

## 5.4.8 Ridge linear Support Vector Machine (L2-regularization)

Support Vector Machine seek for separating hyperplane with maximum margin to enforce robustness against noise. Like logistic regression it is a **discriminative method** that only focuses of predictions.

Here we present the non separable case of Maximum Margin Classifiers with  $\pm 1$  coding (ie.:  $y_i \{-1, +1\}$ ). In the next figure the legend apply to samples of “dot” class.




Fig. 7: Linear lar margin classifiers

Linear SVM for classification (also called SVM-C or SVC) minimizes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad \text{Linear SVM}(\mathbf{w}) &= \text{penalty}(\mathbf{w}) + C \text{ Hinge loss}(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \|\mathbf{w}\|_2 + C \sum_i^N \xi_i \\ \text{with } \forall i \quad &y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i) \geq 1 - \xi_i \end{aligned}$$

Here we introduced the slack variables:  $\xi_i$ , with  $\xi_i = 0$  for points that are on or inside the correct margin boundary and  $\xi_i = |y_i - (\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)|$  for other points. Thus:

1. If  $y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i) \geq 1$  then the point lies outside the margin but on the correct side of the decision boundary. In this case  $\xi_i = 0$ . The constraint is thus not active for this point. It does not contribute to the prediction.
2. If  $1 > y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i) \geq 0$  then the point lies inside the margin and on the correct side of the decision boundary. In this case  $0 < \xi_i \leq 1$ . The constraint is active for this point. It does contribute to the prediction as a support vector.
3. If  $0 < y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)$  then the point is on the wrong side of the decision boundary (misclassification). In this case  $0 < \xi_i > 1$ . The constraint is active for this point. It does contribute to the prediction as a support vector.

This loss is called the hinge loss, defined as:

$$\max(0, 1 - y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i))$$

So linear SVM is closed to Ridge logistic regression, using the hinge loss instead of the logistic loss. Both will provide very similar predictions.

```
from sklearn import svm

svmlin = svm.LinearSVC()
# Remark: by default LinearSVC uses squared_hinge as loss
svmlin.fit(X, y)
y_pred_svmlin = svmlin.predict(X)

errors = y_pred_svmlin != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%.2f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y_pred_svmlin)))
print(svmlin.coef_)
```

```
Nb errors=26, error rate=0.26
[[ -0.05611793  0.31190163  0.00272654 -0.05149524  0.09939507  0.17726398
   0.06519624  0.08921402  0.35339904  0.00601774 -0.06201743 -0.0074171
  -0.02157476  0.18272478 -0.021628   -0.04060656  0.07204039  0.13084102
   0.23722535  0.00824206]]
```

#### 5.4.9 Lasso linear Support Vector Machine (L1-regularization)

Linear SVM for classification (also called SVM-C or SVC) with l1-regularization

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad F_{\text{Lasso linear SVM}}(\mathbf{w}) &= \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + C \sum_i^N \xi_i \\ \text{with } \forall i \quad &y_i(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i) \geq 1 - \xi_i \end{aligned}$$

```
from sklearn import svm

svmlinl1 = svm.LinearSVC(penalty='l1', dual=False)
# Remark: by default LinearSVC uses squared_hinge as loss

svmlinl1.fit(X, y)
y_pred_svmlinl1 = svmlinl1.predict(X)

errors = y_pred_svmlinl1 != y
print("Nb errors=%i, error rate=%f" % (errors.sum(), errors.sum() / len(y_pred_
    ↪svmlinl1)))
print(svmlinl1.coef_)
```

```
Nb errors=26, error rate=0.26
[[ -0.05333654  0.29933406  0.          -0.03541405  0.09261181  0.1676299
   0.05808103  0.07587848  0.34065038  0.          -0.05558853 -0.00194747
  -0.0131254   0.16866271 -0.01450672 -0.02500394  0.0607376   0.11739367
   0.22485292  0.0047323 ]]
```

## Exercise

Compare predictions of Logistic regression (LR) and their SVM counterparts, ie.: L2 LR vs L2 SVM and L1 LR vs L1 SVM

- Compute the correlation between pairs of weights vectors.
- Compare the predictions of two classifiers using their decision function:
  - Give the equation of the decision function for a linear classifier, assuming that there is no intercept.
  - Compute the correlation decision function.
  - Plot the pairwise decision function of the classifiers.
- Conclude on the differences between Linear SVM and logistic regression.

#### 5.4.10 Elastic-net classification (L2-L1-regularization)

The **objective function** to be minimized is now the combination of the logistic loss  $\log L(\mathbf{w})$  or the hinge loss with combination of L1 and L2 penalties. In the two-class case, using the 0/1 coding we obtain:

$$\min \text{Logistic enet}(\mathbf{w}) = -\log \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}) + \alpha (\rho \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + (1 - \rho) \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \quad (5.48)$$

$$\min \text{Hinge enet}(\mathbf{w}) = \text{Hinge loss}(\mathbf{w}) + \alpha (\rho \|\mathbf{w}\|_1 + (1 - \rho) \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2) \quad (5.49)$$

```
from sklearn import datasets
from sklearn import linear_model as lm
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=100,
                                    n_features=20,
                                    n_informative=3,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
n_redundant=0,  
n_repeated=0,  
n_classes=2,  
random_state=0,  
shuffle=False)  
  
enetloglike = lm.SGDClassifier(loss="log", penalty="elasticnet",  
                                 alpha=0.0001, l1_ratio=0.15, class_weight='balanced')  
enetloglike.fit(X, y)  
  
enethinge = lm.SGDClassifier(loss="hinge", penalty="elasticnet",  
                               alpha=0.0001, l1_ratio=0.15, class_weight='balanced')  
enethinge.fit(X, y)
```

```
SGDClassifier(alpha=0.0001, average=False, class_weight='balanced',  
              early_stopping=False, epsilon=0.1, eta0=0.0, fit_intercept=True,  
              l1_ratio=0.15, learning_rate='optimal', loss='hinge',  
              max_iter=1000, n_iter_no_change=5, n_jobs=None,  
              penalty='elasticnet', power_t=0.5, random_state=None,  
              shuffle=True, tol=0.001, validation_fraction=0.1, verbose=0,  
              warm_start=False)
```

## Exercise

Compare predictions of Elastic-net Logistic regression (LR) and Hinge-loss Elastic-net

- Compute the correlation between pairs of weights vectors.
- Compare the predictions of two classifiers using their decision function:
  - Compute the correlation decision function.
  - Plot the pairwise decision function of the classifiers.
- Conclude on the differences between the two losses.

### 5.4.11 Metrics of classification performance evaluation

#### Metrics for binary classification

source: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity\\_and\\_specificity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity_and_specificity)

Imagine a study evaluating a new test that screens people for a disease. Each person taking the test either has or does not have the disease. The test outcome can be positive (classifying the person as having the disease) or negative (classifying the person as not having the disease). The test results for each subject may or may not match the subject's actual status. In that setting:

- True positive (TP): Sick people correctly identified as sick
- False positive (FP): Healthy people incorrectly identified as sick
- True negative (TN): Healthy people correctly identified as healthy
- False negative (FN): Sick people incorrectly identified as healthy

- **Accuracy** (ACC):

$$\text{ACC} = (\text{TP} + \text{TN}) / (\text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{FN} + \text{TN})$$

- **Sensitivity** (SEN) or **recall** of the positive class or true positive rate (TPR) or hit rate:

$$\text{SEN} = \text{TP} / \text{P} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FN})$$

- **Specificity** (SPC) or **recall** of the negative class or true negative rate:

$$\text{SPC} = \text{TN} / \text{N} = \text{TN} / (\text{TN} + \text{FP})$$

- **Precision** or positive predictive value (PPV):

$$\text{PPV} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FP})$$

- **Balanced accuracy** (bACC): is a useful performance measure is the balanced accuracy which avoids inflated performance estimates on imbalanced datasets (Brodersen, et al. (2010). “The balanced accuracy and its posterior distribution”). It is defined as the arithmetic mean of sensitivity and specificity, or the average accuracy obtained on either class:

$$\text{bACC} = 1/2 * (\text{SEN} + \text{SPC})$$

- F1 Score (or F-score) which is a weighted average of precision and recall are usefull to deal with imballaced datasets

The four outcomes can be formulated in a  $2 \times 2$  contingency table or confusion matrix [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity\\_and\\_specificity](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sensitivity_and_specificity)

For more precision see: [http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/model\\_evaluation.html](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/model_evaluation.html)

```
from sklearn import metrics
y_pred = [0, 1, 0, 0]
y_true = [0, 1, 0, 1]

metrics.accuracy_score(y_true, y_pred)

# The overall precision an recall
metrics.precision_score(y_true, y_pred)
metrics.recall_score(y_true, y_pred)

# Recalls on individual classes: SEN & SPC
recalls = metrics.recall_score(y_true, y_pred, average=None)
recalls[0] # is the recall of class 0: specificity
recalls[1] # is the recall of class 1: sensitivity

# Balanced accuracy
b_acc = recalls.mean()

# The overall precision an recall on each individual class
p, r, f, s = metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support(y_true, y_pred)
```

## Significance of classification rate

P-value associated to classification rate. Compared the number of correct classifications (=accuracy  $\times N$ ) to the null hypothesis of Binomial distribution of parameters  $p$  (typically 50% of chance level) and  $N$  (Number of observations).

Is 65% of accuracy a significant prediction rate among 70 observations?

Since this is an exact, **two-sided** test of the null hypothesis, the p-value can be divided by 2 since we test that the accuracy is superior to the chance level.

```
import scipy.stats

acc, N = 0.65, 70
pval = scipy.stats.binom_test(x=int(acc * N), n=N, p=0.5) / 2
print(pval)
```

```
0.01123144774625465
```

### Area Under Curve (AUC) of Receiver operating characteristic (ROC)

Some classifier may have found a good discriminative projection  $w$ . However if the threshold to decide the final predicted class is poorly adjusted, the performances will highlight an high specificity and a low sensitivity or the contrary.

In this case it is recommended to use the AUC of a ROC analysis which basically provide a measure of overlap of the two classes when points are projected on the discriminative axis. For more detail on ROC and AUC see:[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Receiver\\_operating\\_characteristic](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Receiver_operating_characteristic).

```
from sklearn import metrics
score_pred = np.array([.1, .2, .3, .4, .5, .6, .7, .8])
y_true = np.array([0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1])
thres = .9
y_pred = (score_pred > thres).astype(int)

print("Predictions:", y_pred)
metrics.accuracy_score(y_true, y_pred)

# The overall precision an recall on each individual class
p, r, f, s = metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support(y_true, y_pred)
print("Recalls:", r)
# 100% of specificity, 0% of sensitivity

# However AUC=1 indicating a perfect separation of the two classes
auc = metrics.roc_auc_score(y_true, score_pred)
print("AUC:", auc)
```

```
Predictions: [0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
Recalls: [1. 0.]
AUC: 1.0
```

### 5.4.12 Imbalanced classes

Learning with discriminative (logistic regression, SVM) methods is generally based on minimizing the misclassification of training samples, which may be unsuitable for imbalanced datasets where the recognition might be biased in favor of the most numerous class. This problem can be addressed with a generative approach, which typically requires more parameters to be determined leading to reduced performances in high dimension.

Dealing with imbalanced class may be addressed by three main ways (see Japkowicz and Stephen (2002) for a review), resampling, reweighting and one class learning.

In **sampling strategies**, either the minority class is oversampled or majority class is undersampled or some combination of the two is deployed. Undersampling (Zhang and Mani, 2003) the majority class would lead to a poor usage of the left-out samples. Sometime one cannot afford such strategy since we are also facing a small sample size problem even for the majority class. Informed oversampling, which goes beyond a trivial duplication of minority class samples, requires the estimation of class conditional distributions in order to generate synthetic samples. Here generative models are required. An alternative, proposed in (Chawla et al., 2002) generate samples along the line segments joining any/all of the k minority class nearest neighbors. Such procedure blindly generalizes the minority area without regard to the majority class, which may be particularly problematic with high-dimensional and potentially skewed class distribution.

**Reweighting**, also called cost-sensitive learning, works at an algorithmic level by adjusting the costs of the various classes to counter the class imbalance. Such reweighting can be implemented within SVM (Chang and Lin, 2001) or logistic regression (Friedman et al., 2010) classifiers. Most classifiers of Scikit learn offer such reweighting possibilities.

The `class_weight` parameter can be positioned into the "balanced" mode which uses the values of  $y$  to automatically adjust weights inversely proportional to class frequencies in the input data as  $N/(2N_k)$ .

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import linear_model
from sklearn import datasets
from sklearn import metrics
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# dataset
X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=500,
                                    n_features=5,
                                    n_informative=2,
                                    n_redundant=0,
                                    n_repeated=0,
                                    n_classes=2,
                                    random_state=1,
                                    shuffle=False)

print(*["#samples of class %i = %i;" % (lev, np.sum(y == lev)) for lev in np.unique(y)])\n\n

print('# No Reweighting balanced dataset')
lr_inter = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1)
lr_inter.fit(X, y)
p, r, f, s = metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support(y, lr_inter.predict(X))
print("SPC: %.3f; SEN: %.3f" % tuple(r))
print('# => The predictions are balanced in sensitivity and specificity\n')

# Create imbalanced dataset, by subsampling sample of class 0: keep only 10% of
# class 0's samples and all class 1's samples.
n0 = int(np.int(np.sum(y == 0) / 20))
subsample_idx = np.concatenate((np.where(y == 0)[0][:n0], np.where(y == 1)[0]))
Ximb = X[subsample_idx, :]
yimb = y[subsample_idx]
print(*["#samples of class %i = %i;" % (lev, np.sum(yimb == lev)) for lev in
       np.unique(yimb)])\n\n

print('# No Reweighting on imbalanced dataset')
lr_inter = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
lr_inter.fit(Ximb, yimb)
p, r, f, s = metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support(yimb, lr_inter.predict(Ximb))
print("SPC: %.3f; SEN: %.3f" % tuple(r))
print('# => Sensitivity >> specificity\n')

print('# Reweighting on imbalanced dataset')
lr_inter_reweight = linear_model.LogisticRegression(C=1, class_weight="balanced")
lr_inter_reweight.fit(Ximb, yimb)
p, r, f, s = metrics.precision_recall_fscore_support(yimb,
                                                       lr_inter_reweight.predict(Ximb))
print("SPC: %.3f; SEN: %.3f" % tuple(r))
print('# => The predictions are balanced in sensitivity and specificity\n')
```

```
#samples of class 0 = 250; #samples of class 1 = 250;
# No Reweighting balanced dataset
SPC: 0.940; SEN: 0.928
# => The predictions are balanced in sensitivity and specificity

#samples of class 0 = 12; #samples of class 1 = 250;
# No Reweighting on imbalanced dataset
SPC: 0.750; SEN: 0.992
# => Sensitivity >> specificity

# Reweighting on imbalanced dataset
SPC: 1.000; SEN: 0.972
# => The predictions are balanced in sensitivity and specificity
```

### 5.4.13 Exercise

#### Fisher linear discriminant rule

Write a class `FisherLinearDiscriminant` that implements the Fisher's linear discriminant analysis. This class must be compliant with the scikit-learn API by providing two methods: - `fit(X, y)` which fits the model and returns the object itself; - `predict(X)` which returns a vector of the predicted values. Apply the object on the dataset presented for the LDA.

## 5.5 Non linear learning algorithms

### 5.5.1 Support Vector Machines (SVM)

SVM are based kernel methods require only a user-specified kernel function  $K(x_i, x_j)$ , i.e., a **similarity function** over pairs of data points  $(x_i, x_j)$  into kernel (dual) space on which learning algorithms operate linearly, i.e. every operation on points is a linear combination of  $K(x_i, x_j)$ .

Outline of the SVM algorithm:

1. Map points  $x$  into kernel space using a kernel function:  $x \rightarrow K(x, .)$ .
2. Learning algorithms operate linearly by dot product into high-kernel space  $K(., x_i) \cdot K(., x_j)$ .

- Using the kernel trick (Mercer's Theorem) replace dot product in hgh dimensional space by a simpler operation such that  $K(., x_i) \cdot K(., x_j) = K(x_i, x_j)$ . Thus we only need to compute a similarity measure for each pairs of point and store in a  $N \times N$  Gram matrix.
- Finally, The learning process consist of estimating the  $\alpha_i$  of the decision function that maximises the hinge loss (of  $f(x)$ ) plus some penalty when applied on all training points.

$$f(x) = \text{sign} \left( \sum_i^N \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x) \right).$$

3. Predict a new point  $x$  using the decision function.




Fig. 8: Support Vector Machines.

#### Gaussian kernel (RBF, Radial Basis Function):

One of the most commonly used kernel is the Radial Basis Function (RBF) Kernel. For a pair of points  $x_i, x_j$  the RBF kernel is defined as:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x_i - x_j\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (5.50)$$

$$= \exp(-\gamma \|x_i - x_j\|^2) \quad (5.51)$$

Where  $\sigma$  (or  $\gamma$ ) defines the kernel width parameter. Basically, we consider a Gaussian function centered on each training sample  $x_i$ . it has a ready interpretation as a similarity measure as it decreases with squared Euclidean distance between the two feature vectors.

Non linear SVM also exists for regression problems.

```
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings(action='once')
```

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn import datasets
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# dataset
X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=10, n_features=2, n_redundant=0,
                                    n_classes=2,
                                    random_state=1,
                                    shuffle=False)
clf = SVC(kernel='rbf')#, gamma=1)
clf.fit(X, y)
print("#Errors: %i" % np.sum(y != clf.predict(X)))

clf.decision_function(X)

# Usefull internals:
# Array of support vectors
clf.support_vectors_

# indices of support vectors within original X
np.all(X[clf.support_,:] == clf.support_vectors_)
```

```
#Errors: 0
```

```
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/svm/base.py:196:_
  FutureWarning: The default value of gamma will change from 'auto' to 'scale' in version_
  0.22 to account better for unscaled features. Set gamma explicitly to 'auto' or 'scale'_ 
  to avoid this warning.
  "avoid this warning.", FutureWarning)
```

```
True
```

## 5.5.2 Decision tree

A tree can be “learned” by splitting the training dataset into subsets based on an features value test.

Each internal node represents a “test” on an feature resulting on the split of the current sample. At each step the algorithm selects the feature and a cutoff value that maximises a given metric. Different metrics exist for regression tree (target is continuous) or classification tree (the target is qualitative).

This process is repeated on each derived subset in a recursive manner called recursive partitioning. The recursion is completed when the subset at a node has all the same value of the target variable, or when splitting no longer adds value to the predictions. This general principle is implemented by many recursive partitioning tree algorithms.

Decision trees are simple to understand and interpret however they tend to overfit the data. However decision trees tend to overfit the training set. Leo Breiman propose random forest to




Fig. 9: Classification tree.

deal with this issue.

A single decision tree is usually overfits the data it is learning from because it learn from only one pathway of decisions. Predictions from a single decision tree usually don't make accurate predictions on new data.

### 5.5.3 Random forest

A random forest is a meta estimator that fits a number of **decision tree learners** on various sub-samples of the dataset and use averaging to improve the predictive accuracy and control over-fitting.

Random forest models reduce the risk of overfitting by introducing randomness by:

- building multiple trees (`n_estimators`)
- drawing observations with replacement (i.e., a bootstrapped sample)
- splitting nodes on the best split among a random subset of the features selected at every node

```
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

forest = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators = 100)
forest.fit(X, y)

print("#Errors: %i" % np.sum(y != forest.predict(X)))
```

```
#Errors: 0
```

### 5.5.4 Extra Trees (Low Variance)

Extra Trees is like Random Forest, in that it builds multiple trees and splits nodes using random subsets of features, but with two key differences: it does not bootstrap observations (meaning it samples without replacement), and nodes are split on random splits, not best splits. So, in summary, ExtraTrees: builds multiple trees with bootstrap = False by default, which means it samples without replacement nodes are split based on random splits among a random subset of the features selected at every node In Extra Trees, randomness doesn't come from bootstrapping of data, but rather comes from the random splits of all observations. ExtraTrees is named for (Extremely Randomized Trees).

## 5.6 Resampling Methods

```
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
```

### 5.6.1 Left out samples validation

The **training error** can be easily calculated by applying the statistical learning method to the observations used in its training. But because of overfitting, the training error rate can dramatically underestimate the error that would be obtained on new samples.

The **test error** is the average error that results from a learning method to predict the response on a new samples that is, on samples that were not used in training the method. Given a data set, the use of a particular learning method is warranted if it results in a low test error. The test error can be easily calculated if a designated test set is available. Unfortunately, this is usually not the case.

Thus the original dataset is generally split in a training and a test (or validation) data sets. Large training set (80%) small test set (20%) might provide a poor estimation of the predictive performances. On the contrary, large test set and small training set might produce a poorly estimated learner. This is why, on situation where we cannot afford such split, it recommended to use cross-validation scheme to estimate the predictive power of a learning algorithm.

### 5.6.2 Cross-Validation (CV)

Cross-Validation scheme randomly divides the set of observations into  $K$  groups, or **folds**, of approximately equal size. The first fold is treated as a validation set, and the method  $f()$  is fitted on the remaining union of  $K - 1$  folds:  $(f(\mathbf{X}_{-K}, \mathbf{y}_{-K}))$ .

The measure of performance (the score function  $\mathcal{S}$ ), either a error measure or an correct prediction measure is an average of a loss error or correct prediction measure, noted  $\mathcal{L}$ , between a true target value and the predicted target value. The score function is evaluated of the on the observations in the held-out fold. For each sample  $i$  we consider the model estimated  $f(\mathbf{X}_{-k(i)}, \mathbf{y}_{-k(i)})$  on the data set without the group  $k$  that contains  $i$  noted  $-k(i)$ . This procedure is repeated  $K$

times; each time, a different group of observations is treated as a test set. Then we compare the predicted value ( $f_{-k(i)}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \hat{y}_i$ ) with true value  $y_i$  using a Error or Loss function  $\mathcal{L}(y, \hat{y})$ .

For 10-fold we can either average over 10 values (Macro measure) or concatenate the 10 experiments and compute the micro measures.

Two strategies [micro vs macro estimates](#):

**Micro measure: average(individual scores)**: compute a score  $\mathcal{S}$  for each sample and average over all samples. It is simillar to **average score(concatenation)**: an averaged score computed over all concatenated samples.

$$\mathcal{S}(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i^N \mathcal{L} \left( y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_{-k(i)}, \mathbf{y}_{-k(i)}) \right).$$

**Macro measure mean(CV scores)** (the most commonly used method): compute a score  $\mathcal{S}$  on each each fold  $k$  and average accross folds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(f) &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_k^K \mathcal{S}_k(f). \\ \mathcal{S}(f) &= \frac{1}{K} \sum_k^K \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i \in k} \mathcal{L} \left( y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_{-k(i)}, \mathbf{y}_{-k(i)}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

These two measures (an average of average vs. a global average) are generally similar. They may differ slightly if folds are of different sizes.

This validation scheme is known as the **K-Fold CV**. Typical choices of  $K$  are 5 or 10, [Kohavi 1995]. The extreme case where  $K = N$  is known as **leave-one-out cross-validation**, LOO-CV.

## CV for regression

Usually the error function  $\mathcal{L}()$  is the r-squared score. However other function could be used.

```
%matplotlib inline
import warnings
warnings.filterwarnings('once')
```

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold

X, y = datasets.make_regression(n_samples=100, n_features=100,
                                n_informative=10, random_state=42)
estimator = lm.Ridge(alpha=10)

cv = KFold(n_splits=5, random_state=42)
r2_train, r2_test = list(), list()

for train, test in cv.split(X):
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
estimator.fit(X[train, :], y[train])
r2_train.append(metrics.r2_score(y[train], estimator.predict(X[train, :])))
r2_test.append(metrics.r2_score(y[test], estimator.predict(X[test, :])))

print("Train r2:%.2f" % np.mean(r2_train))
print("Test r2:%.2f" % np.mean(r2_test))
```

```
Train r2:0.99
Test r2:0.73
```

Scikit-learn provides user-friendly function to perform CV:

```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score

scores = cross_val_score(estimator=estimator, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())

# provide a cv
cv = KFold(n_splits=5, random_state=42)
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=estimator, X=X, y=y, cv=cv)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())
```

```
Test r2:0.73
Test r2:0.73
```

## CV for classification

With classification problems it is essential to sample folds where each set contains approximately the same percentage of samples of each target class as the complete set. This is called **stratification**. In this case, we will use `StratifiedKFold` which is a variation of k-fold which returns stratified folds.

Usually the error function  $L()$  are, at least, the sensitivity and the specificity. However other function could be used.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import StratifiedKFold

X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=100, n_features=100,
                                    n_informative=10, random_state=42)

estimator = lm.LogisticRegression(C=1, solver='lbfgs')

cv = StratifiedKFold(n_splits=5)

# Lists to store scores by folds (for macro measure only)
recalls_train, recalls_test, acc_test = list(), list(), list()

# Or vector of test predictions (for both macro and micro measures, not for training_
# samples)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

y_test_pred = np.zeros(len(y))

for train, test in cv.split(X, y):
    estimator.fit(X[train, :], y[train])
    recalls_train.append(metrics.recall_score(y[train], estimator.predict(X[train, :]),_
→average=None))
    recalls_test.append(metrics.recall_score(y[test], estimator.predict(X[test, :]),_
→average=None))
    acc_test.append(metrics.accuracy_score(y[test], estimator.predict(X[test, :])))

    # Store test predictions (for micro measures)
    y_test_pred[test] = estimator.predict(X[test, :])

print("== Macro measures ==")
# Use lists of scores
recalls_train = np.array(recalls_train)
recalls_test = np.array(recalls_test)
print("Train SPC:%.2f; SEN:%.2f" % tuple(recalls_train.mean(axis=0)))
print("Test SPC:%.2f; SEN:%.2f" % tuple(recalls_test.mean(axis=0)), )
print("Test ACC:%.2f, ballanced ACC:%.2f" %
      (np.mean(acc_test), recalls_test.mean(axis=1).mean()), "Folds:", acc_test)

# Or use vector to test predictions
acc_test = [metrics.accuracy_score(y[test], y_test_pred[test]) for train, test in cv.
→split(X, y)]
print("Test ACC:%.2f" % np.mean(acc_test), "Folds:", acc_test)

print("== Micro measures ==")
print("Test SPC:%.2f; SEN:%.2f" %
      tuple(metrics.recall_score(y, y_test_pred, average=None)))
print("Test ACC:%.2f" % metrics.accuracy_score(y, y_test_pred))

```

```

== Macro measures ==
Train SPC:1.00; SEN:1.00
Test SPC:0.78; SEN:0.82
Test ACC:0.80, ballanced ACC:0.80 Folds: [0.9, 0.7, 0.95, 0.7, 0.75]
Test ACC:0.80 Folds: [0.9, 0.7, 0.95, 0.7, 0.75]
== Micro measures ==
Test SPC:0.78; SEN:0.82
Test ACC:0.80

```

Scikit-learn provides user-friendly function to perform CV:

```

from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score

scores = cross_val_score(estimator=estimator, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
scores.mean()

# provide CV and score
def balanced_acc(estimator, X, y, **kwargs):
    ...
    Balanced accuracy scorer
    ...
    return metrics.recall_score(y, estimator.predict(X), average=None).mean()

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=estimator, X=X, y=y, cv=5, scoring='balanced_accuracy')
print("Test ACC: %.2f" % scores.mean())
```

```
Test ACC: 0.80
```

Note that with Scikit-learn user-friendly function we average the scores' average obtained on individual folds which may provide slightly different results than the overall average presented earlier.

### 5.6.3 Parallel computation with joblib

Dataset

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import StratifiedKFold
X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=20, n_features=5, n_informative=2, random_state=42)
cv = StratifiedKFold(n_splits=5)
```

**Use cross\_validate function**

```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_validate

estimator = lm.LogisticRegression(C=1, solver='lbfgs')
cv_results = cross_validate(estimator, X, y, cv=cv, n_jobs=5)
print(np.mean(cv_results['test_score']), cv_results['test_score'])
```

```
0.8 [0.5 0.5 1. 1. 1.]
```

## Sequential computation

If we want have full control of the operations performed within each fold (retrieve the models parameters, etc.). We would like to parallelize the following sequential code:

```
estimator = lm.LogisticRegression(C=1, solver='lbfgs')
y_test_pred_seq = np.zeros(len(y)) # Store predictions in the original order
coefs_seq = list()
for train, test in cv.split(X, y):
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = X[train, :], X[test, :], y[train], y[test]
    estimator.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y_test_pred_seq[test] = estimator.predict(X_test)
    coefs_seq.append(estimator.coef_)

test_accs = [metrics.accuracy_score(y[test], y_test_pred_seq[test]) for train, test in cv.split(X, y)]
print(np.mean(test_accs), test_accs)
coefs_cv = np.array(coefs_seq)
print(coefs_cv)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print(coefs_cv.mean(axis=0))
print("Std Err of the coef")
print(coefs_cv.std(axis=0) / np.sqrt(coefs_cv.shape[0]))
```

```
0.8 [0.5, 0.5, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0]
[[[-0.87692513  0.6260013   1.18714373 -0.30685978 -0.38037393]]
 [[-0.7464993   0.62138165  1.10144804  0.19800115 -0.40112109]]
 [[-0.96020317  0.51135134  1.1210943   0.08039112 -0.2643663 ]]
 [[-0.85755505  0.52010552  1.06637346 -0.10994258 -0.29152132]]
 [[-0.89914467  0.51481483  1.08675378 -0.24767837 -0.27899525]]
 [[-0.86806546  0.55873093  1.11256266 -0.07721769 -0.32327558]]
Std Err of the coef
[[0.03125544 0.02376198 0.01850211 0.08566194 0.02510739]]
```

## Parallel computation with joblib

```
from sklearn.externals.joblib import Parallel, delayed, logger
from sklearn.base import is_classifier, clone

def _split_fit_predict(estimator, X, y, train, test):
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = X[train, :], X[test, :], y[train], y[test]
    estimator.fit(X_train, y_train)
    return [estimator.predict(X_test), estimator.coef_]

estimator = lm.LogisticRegression(C=1, solver='lbfgs')

parallel = Parallel(n_jobs=5)
cv_ret = parallel(
    delayed(_split_fit_predict)(
        clone(estimator), X, y, train, test)
    for train, test in cv.split(X, y))

y_test_pred_cv, coefs_cv = zip(*cv_ret)

# Retrieve predictions in the original order
y_test_pred = np.zeros(len(y))
for i, (train, test) in enumerate(cv.split(X, y)):
    y_test_pred[test] = y_test_pred_cv[i]

test_accs = [metrics.accuracy_score(y[test], y_test_pred[test]) for train, test in cv.
    split(X, y)]
print(np.mean(test_accs), test_accs)
```

```
0.65 [0.5, 0.25, 0.75, 0.75, 1.0]
```

Test same predictions and same coefficients

```
assert np.all(y_test_pred == y_test_pred_seq)
assert np.allclose(np.array(coefs_cv).squeeze(), np.array(coefs_seq).squeeze())
```

## 5.6.4 CV for model selection: setting the hyper parameters

It is important to note CV may be used for two separate goals:

1. **Model assessment:** having chosen a final model, estimating its prediction error (generalization error) on new data.
2. **Model selection:** estimating the performance of different models in order to choose the best one. One special case of model selection is the selection model's hyper parameters. Indeed remember that most of learning algorithm have a hyper parameters (typically the regularization parameter) that has to be set.

Generally we must address the two problems simultaneously. The usual approach for both problems is to randomly divide the dataset into three parts: a training set, a validation set, and a test set.

- The **training set** (train) is used to fit the models;
- the **validation set** (val) is used to estimate prediction error for model selection or to determine the hyper parameters over a grid of possible values.
- the **test set** (test) is used for assessment of the generalization error of the final chosen model.

### Grid search procedure

Model selection of the best hyper parameters over a grid of possible values

For each possible values of hyper parameters  $\alpha_k$ :

1. Fit the learner on training set:  $f(X_{train}, y_{train}, \alpha_k)$
2. Evaluate the model on the validation set and keep the parameter(s) that minimises the error measure  
$$\alpha_* = \arg \min L(f(X_{train}), y_{val}, \alpha_k)$$
3. Refit the learner on all training + validation data using the best hyper parameters:  $f^* \equiv f(X_{train \cup val}, y_{train \cup val}, \alpha_*)$
4. \*\* Model assessment \*\* of  $f^*$  on the test set:  $L(f^*(X_{test}), y_{test})$

## Nested CV for model selection and assessment

Most of time, we cannot afford such three-way split. Thus, again we will use CV, but in this case we need two nested CVs.

One **outer CV loop, for model assessment**. This CV performs  $K$  splits of the dataset into training plus validation  $(X_{-K}, y_{-K})$  set and a test set  $X_K, y_K$

One **inner CV loop, for model selection**. For each run of the outer loop, the inner loop performs  $L$  splits of dataset  $(X_{-K}, y_{-K})$  into training set:  $(X_{-K,-L}, y_{-K,-L})$  and a validation set:  $(X_{-K,L}, y_{-K,L})$ .

## Implementation with scikit-learn

Note that the inner CV loop combined with the learner form a new learner with an automatic model (parameter) selection procedure. This new learner can be easily constructed using Scikit-learn. The learned is wrapped inside a GridSearchCV class.

Then the new learned can be plugged into the classical outer CV loop.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold

# Dataset
noise_sd = 10
X, y, coef = datasets.make_regression(n_samples=50, n_features=100, noise=noise_sd,
                                         n_informative=2, random_state=42, coef=True)

# Use this to tune the noise parameter such that snr < 5
print("SNR:", np.std(np.dot(X, coef)) / noise_sd)

# param grid over alpha & l1_ratio
param_grid = {'alpha': 10. ** np.arange(-3, 3), 'l1_ratio':[.1, .5, .9]}

# Warp
model = GridSearchCV(lm.ElasticNet(max_iter=10000), param_grid, cv=5)
```

SNR: 2.6358469446381614

## Regression models with built-in cross-validation

Sklearn will automatically select a grid of parameters, most of time use the defaults values.

n\_jobs is the number of CPUs to use during the cross validation. If -1, use all the CPUs.

1) Biased usage: fit on all data, ommit outer CV loop

```
model.fit(X, y)
print("Train r2:%.2f" % metrics.r2_score(y, model.predict(X)))
print(model.best_params_)
```

Train r2:0.96  
 {'alpha': 1.0, 'l1\_ratio': 0.9}

2) User made outer CV, useful to extract specific information

```
cv = KFold(n_splits=5, random_state=42)
r2_train, r2_test = list(), list()
alphas = list()

for train, test in cv.split(X, y):
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = X[train, :], X[test, :], y[train], y[test]
    model.fit(X_train, y_train)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
r2_test.append(metrics.r2_score(y_test, model.predict(X_test)))
r2_train.append(metrics.r2_score(y_train, model.predict(X_train)))

alphas.append(model.best_params_)

print("Train r2:%.2f" % np.mean(r2_train))
print("Test r2:%.2f" % np.mean(r2_test))
print("Selected alphas:", alphas)
```

```
Train r2:1.00
Test r2:0.55
Selected alphas: [{'alpha': 0.001, 'l1_ratio': 0.9}, {'alpha': 0.001, 'l1_ratio': 0.9}, {
    ↪ 'alpha': 0.001, 'l1_ratio': 0.9}, {'alpha': 0.01, 'l1_ratio': 0.9}, {'alpha': 0.001,
    ↪ 'l1_ratio': 0.9}]
```

## 3) User-friendly sklearn for outer CV

```
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=model, X=X, y=y, cv=cv)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())
```

```
Test r2:0.55
```

```
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score

# Dataset
X, y, coef = datasets.make_regression(n_samples=50, n_features=100, noise=10,
                                       n_informative=2, random_state=42, coef=True)

print("== Ridge (L2 penalty) ==")
model = lm.RidgeCV(cv=3)
# Let sklearn select a list of alphas with default LOO-CV
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=model, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())

print("== Lasso (L1 penalty) ==")
model = lm.LassoCV(n_jobs=-1, cv=3)
# Let sklearn select a list of alphas with default 3CV
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=model, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())

print("== ElasticNet (L1 penalty) ==")
model = lm.ElasticNetCV(l1_ratio=[.1, .5, .9], n_jobs=-1, cv=3)
# Let sklearn select a list of alphas with default 3CV
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=model, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
print("Test r2:%.2f" % scores.mean())
```

```
== Ridge (L2 penalty) ==
Test r2:0.16
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
== Lasso (L1 penalty) ==
Test r2: 0.74
== ElasticNet (L1 penalty) ==
Test r2: 0.58
```

## Classification models with built-in cross-validation

```
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score

X, y = datasets.make_classification(n_samples=100, n_features=100,
                                    n_informative=10, random_state=42)

# provide CV and score
def balanced_acc(estimator, X, y, **kwargs):
    """
    Balanced accuracy scorer
    ...
    return metrics.recall_score(y, estimator.predict(X), average=None).mean()

print("== Logistic Ridge (L2 penalty) ==")
model = lm.LogisticRegressionCV(class_weight='balanced', scoring=balanced_acc, n_jobs=-1, cv=3)
# Let sklearn select a list of alphas with default LOO-CV
scores = cross_val_score(estimator=model, X=X, y=y, cv=5)
print("Test ACC: %.2f" % scores.mean())
```

```
== Logistic Ridge (L2 penalty) ==
Test ACC: 0.77
```

### 5.6.5 Random Permutations

A permutation test is a type of non-parametric randomization test in which the null distribution of a test statistic is estimated by randomly permuting the observations.

Permutation tests are highly attractive because they make no assumptions other than that the observations are independent and identically distributed under the null hypothesis.

1. Compute a observed statistic  $t_{obs}$  on the data.
2. Use randomization to compute the distribution of  $t$  under the null hypothesis: Perform  $N$  random permutation of the data. For each sample of permuted data,  $i$  the data compute the statistic  $t_i$ . This procedure provides the distribution of  $t$  under the null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $P(t|H_0)$
3. Compute the p-value =  $P(t > t_{obs}|H_0) |\{t_i > t_{obs}\}|$ , where  $t_i$ 's include  $t_{obs}$ .

#### Example with a correlation

The statistic is the correlation.

```
import numpy as np
import scipy.stats as stats
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
%matplotlib inline
#%matplotlib qt

np.random.seed(42)
x = np.random.normal(loc=10, scale=1, size=100)
y = x + np.random.normal(loc=-3, scale=3, size=100) # snr = 1/2

# Permutation: simulate the null hypothesis
nperm = 10000
perms = np.zeros(nperm + 1)

perms[0] = np.corrcoef(x, y)[0, 1]

for i in range(1, nperm):
    perms[i] = np.corrcoef(np.random.permutation(x), y)[0, 1]

# Plot
# Re-weight to obtain distribution
weights = np.ones(perms.shape[0]) / perms.shape[0]
plt.hist([perms[perms >= perms[0]], perms], histtype='stepfilled',
         bins=100, label=["t>t obs (p-value)", "t<t obs"],
         weights=[weights[perms >= perms[0]], weights])


plt.xlabel("Statistic distribution under null hypothesis")
plt.axvline(x=perms[0], color='blue', linewidth=1, label="observed statistic")
_ = plt.legend(loc="upper left")

# One-tailed empirical p-value
pval_perm = np.sum(perms >= perms[0]) / perms.shape[0]

# Compare with Pearson's correlation test
_, pval_test = stats.pearsonr(x, y)

print("Permutation two tailed p-value=%f. Pearson test p-value=%f" % (2*pval_perm,_
                           -pval_test))
```

```
Permutation two tailed p-value=0.06959. Pearson test p-value=0.07355
```



### Exercise

Given the logistic regression presented above and its validation given a 5 folds CV.

1. Compute the p-value associated with the prediction accuracy using a permutation test.
2. Compute the p-value associated with the prediction accuracy using a parametric test.

### 5.6.6 Bootstrapping

Bootstrapping is a random sampling with replacement strategy which provides an non-parametric method to assess the variability of performances scores such standard errors or confidence intervals.

A great advantage of bootstrap is its simplicity. It is a straightforward way to derive estimates of standard errors and confidence intervals for complex estimators of complex parameters of the distribution, such as percentile points, proportions, odds ratio, and correlation coefficients.

1. Perform  $B$  sampling, with replacement, of the dataset.
2. For each sample  $i$  fit the model and compute the scores.
3. Assess standard errors and confidence intervals of scores using the scores obtained on the  $B$  resampled dataset.

```
import numpy as np
from sklearn import datasets
import sklearn.linear_model as lm
import sklearn.metrics as metrics
import pandas as pd

# Regression dataset
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

n_features = 5
n_features_info = 2
n_samples = 100
X = np.random.randn(n_samples, n_features)
beta = np.zeros(n_features)
beta[:n_features_info] = 1
Xbeta = np.dot(X, beta)
eps = np.random.randn(n_samples)
y = Xbeta + eps

# Fit model on all data (!! risk of overfit)
model = lm.RidgeCV()
model.fit(X, y)
print("Coefficients on all data:")
print(model.coef_)

# Bootstrap loop
nboot = 100 # !! Should be at least 1000
scores_names = ["r2"]
scores_boot = np.zeros((nboot, len(scores_names)))
coefs_boot = np.zeros((nboot, X.shape[1]))

orig_all = np.arange(X.shape[0])
for boot_i in range(nboot):
    boot_tr = np.random.choice(orig_all, size=len(orig_all), replace=True)
    boot_te = np.setdiff1d(orig_all, boot_tr, assume_unique=False)
    Xtr, ytr = X[boot_tr, :], y[boot_tr]
    Xte, yte = X[boot_te, :], y[boot_te]
    model.fit(Xtr, ytr)
    y_pred = model.predict(Xte).ravel()
    scores_boot[boot_i, :] = metrics.r2_score(yte, y_pred)
    coefs_boot[boot_i, :] = model.coef_

# Compute Mean, SE, CI
scores_boot = pd.DataFrame(scores_boot, columns=scores_names)
scores_stat = scores_boot.describe(percentiles=[.975, .5, .025])

print("r-squared: Mean=% .2f, SE=% .2f, CI=(% .2f % .2f)" %\
      tuple(scores_stat.loc[["mean", "std", "5%", "95%"], "r2"]))

coefs_boot = pd.DataFrame(coefs_boot)
coefs_stat = coefs_boot.describe(percentiles=[.975, .5, .025])
print("Coefficients distribution")
print(coefs_stat)

```

```

Coefficients on all data:
[ 1.00410865  0.99691306  0.07761941 -0.00258414 -0.11027306]
r-squared: Mean=0.62, SE=0.09, CI=(nan nan)
Coefficients distribution
          0         1         2         3         4
count 100.000000 100.000000 100.000000 100.000000 100.000000
mean   0.999161  0.989796  0.082503  0.008377 -0.123808
std    0.104293  0.083106  0.077885  0.090046  0.079712
min    0.725956  0.794574 -0.079430 -0.238130 -0.308226
2.5%   0.811708  0.817187 -0.045332 -0.168047 -0.277814

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

50%	1.002689	0.976775	0.075614	0.009420	-0.120354
97.5%	1.183657	1.157988	0.224363	0.171311	0.029574
max	1.215166	1.189473	0.291901	0.203635	0.068477

```
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/pandas/core/indexing.py:1017:_
  ↪FutureWarning:
Passing list-like to .loc or [] with any missing label will raise
KeyError in the future, you can use .reindex() as an alternative.

See the documentation here:
https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/indexing.html#deprecate-loc-reindex-listlike
  return getattr(section, self.name)[new_key]
```

## 5.7 Ensemble learning: bagging, boosting and stacking

These methods are **Ensemble learning** techniques. These models are machine learning paradigms where multiple models (often called “weak learners”) are trained to **solve the same problem** and **combined** to get **better** results. The main hypothesis is that when **weak models** are **correctly combined** we can obtain **more accurate and/or robust models**.

### 5.7.1 Single weak learner

In machine learning, no matter if we are facing a classification or a regression problem, the choice of the model is extremely important to have any chance to obtain good results. This choice can depend on many variables of the problem: quantity of data, dimensionality of the space, distribution hypothesis...

A **low bias and a low variance**, although they most often vary in opposite directions, are the **two most fundamental features** expected for a model. Indeed, to be able to “solve” a problem, we want our model to have **enough degrees of freedom** to resolve the underlying complexity of the data we are working with, but we also want it to have **not too much degrees of freedom** to avoid **high variance** and be **more robust**. This is the well known **bias-variance tradeoff**.

In ensemble learning theory, we call **weak learners** (or **base models**) models that can be used as building blocks for designing more complex models by **combining several of them**. Most of the time, these basic models **perform not so well** by themselves either because they have a **high bias** (low degree of freedom models, for example) **or** because they have **too much variance** to be robust (high degree of freedom models, for example). Then, the idea of ensemble methods is to combining several of them together in order to create a **strong learner** (or **ensemble model**) that achieves better performances.

Usually, ensemble models are used in order to :

- **decrease the variance** for **bagging** (Bootstrap Aggregating) technique
- **reduce bias** for the boosting technique
- **improving the predictive force** for stacking technique.

To understand these techniques, first, we will explore what is bootstrapping and its different **hypothesis**.




Fig. 10: towardsdatascience blog

### 5.7.2 Bootstrapping

Bootstrapping is a statistical technique which consists in **generating samples of size B** (called **bootstrap samples**) from an initial dataset of size N by **randomly drawing with replacement B observations**.

Illustration of the bootstrapping process.

Under some assumptions, these samples have pretty **good statistical properties**: in first approximation, they can be seen as being drawn both directly from the true underlying (and often unknown) data distribution and independently from each others. So, they can be considered as **representative and independent samples of the true data distribution**. The **hypothesis** that have to be **verified** to make this approximation valid are **twofold**: - First, the size N of the initial dataset should be **large enough to capture most of the complexity** of the underlying distribution so that sampling from the dataset is a **good approximation** of sampling from the real distribution (**representativity**).

- Second, the size N of the dataset should be **large enough compared to the size B of the bootstrap samples** so that samples are not too much correlated (**independence**).

Bootstrap samples are often used, for example, to **evaluate variance or confidence intervals** of a statistical estimators. By definition, a statistical estimator is a function of some observations and, so, a random variable with variance coming from these observations. In order to estimate the variance of such an estimator, we need to evaluate it on several independent samples drawn from the distribution of interest. In most of the cases, considering truly independent samples would require too much data compared to the amount really available. We can then use bootstrapping to generate several bootstrap samples that can be considered as being “almost-representative” and “almost-independent” (almost i.i.d. samples). These bootstrap samples will allow us to **approximate the variance** of the estimator, by evaluating its value for each of them.



Fig. 11: towardsdatascience blog




Fig. 12: towardsdatascience blog

Bootstrapping is often used to evaluate variance or confidence interval of some statistical estimators.

### 5.7.3 Bagging

In **parallel methods** we fit the different considered learners independently from each others and, so, it is possible to train them concurrently. The most famous such approach is “bagging” (standing for “**bootstrap aggregating**”) that aims at producing an ensemble model that is **more robust** than the individual models composing it.

When training a model, no matter if we are dealing with a classification or a regression problem, we obtain a function that takes an input, returns an output and that is defined with respect to the training dataset.

The idea of bagging is then simple: we want to fit several independent models and “average” their predictions in order to obtain a model with a lower variance. However, we can’t, in practice, fit fully independent models because it would require too much data. So, we rely on the good “approximate properties” of bootstrap samples (representativity and independence) to fit models that are almost independent.

First, we create **multiple bootstrap samples** so that each new bootstrap sample will act as another (almost) independent dataset drawn from true distribution. Then, we can **fit a weak learner for each of these samples and finally aggregate them such that we kind of “average” their outputs** and, so, obtain an ensemble model with **less variance** than its components. Roughly speaking, as the bootstrap samples are approximatively **independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.)**, so are the learned base models. Then, “averaging” weak learners outputs do not change the expected answer but reduce its variance.

So, assuming that we have L bootstrap samples (approximations of L independent datasets) of size B denoted

$$\{z_1^1, z_2^1, \dots, z_B^1\}, \{z_1^2, z_2^2, \dots, z_B^2\}, \dots, \{z_1^L, z_2^L, \dots, z_B^L\} \quad z_b^l \equiv b\text{-th observation of the } l\text{-th bootstrap sample}$$

Fig. 13: Medium Science Blog

Each  $\{\dots\}$  is a bootstrap sample of B observation

we can fit L almost independent weak learners (one on each dataset)

$$w_1(\cdot), w_2(\cdot), \dots, w_L(\cdot)$$

Fig. 14: Medium Science Blog

and then aggregate them into some kind of averaging process in order to get an ensemble model with a lower variance. For example, we can define our strong model such that

There are several possible ways to aggregate the multiple models fitted in parallel. - For a **regression problem**, the outputs of individual models can literally be **averaged** to obtain the output of the ensemble model. - For **classification problem** the class outputted by each model can be seen as a **vote** and the class that receives the **majority of the votes** is returned by the ensemble model (this is called **hard-voting**). Still for a classification problem, we can also consider the **probabilities of each classes** returned by all the models, **average** these

$$s_L(.) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=1}^L w_l(.) \quad (\text{simple average, for regression problem})$$

$$s_L(.) = \arg \max_k [\text{card}(l|w_l(.) = k)] \quad (\text{simple majority vote, for classification problem})$$

Fig. 15: Medium Science Blog

**probabilities** and keep the class with the **highest average probability** (this is called **soft-voting**). -> Averages or votes can either be simple or weighted if any relevant weights can be used.

Finally, we can mention that one of the big advantages of bagging is that **it can be parallelised**. As the different models are fitted independently from each others, intensive parallelisation techniques can be used if required.




Fig. 16: Medium Science Blog

Bagging consists in fitting several base models on different bootstrap samples and build an ensemble model that “average” the results of these weak learners.

Question : - Can you name an algorithms based on Bagging technique , Hint : **leaf**

##### Examples

Here, we are trying some example of **stacking**

- Bagged Decision Trees for Classification

```
import pandas
from sklearn import model_selection
from sklearn.ensemble import BaggingClassifier
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier

names = ['preg', 'plas', 'pres', 'skin', 'test', 'mass', 'pedi', 'age', 'class']
dataframe = pandas.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/jbrownlee/Datasets/master/pima-indians-diabetes.data.csv", names=names)

array = dataframe.values
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
x = array[:,0:8]
y = array[:,8]
max_features = 3

kfold = model_selection.KFold(n_splits=10, random_state=2020)
rf = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_features=max_features)
num_trees = 100

model = BaggingClassifier(base_estimator=rf, n_estimators=num_trees, random_state=2020)
results = model_selection.cross_val_score(model, x, y, cv=kfold)
print("Accuracy: %.2f (+/- %.2f)" % (results.mean(), results.std()))
```

- Random Forest Classification

```
import pandas
from sklearn import model_selection
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

names = ['preg', 'plas', 'pres', 'skin', 'test', 'mass', 'pedi', 'age', 'class']
dataframe = pandas.read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/jbrownlee/Datasets/master/pima-indians-diabetes.data.csv", names=names)

array = dataframe.values
x = array[:,0:8]
y = array[:,8]

kfold = model_selection.KFold(n_splits=10, random_state=2020)
rf = DecisionTreeClassifier()
num_trees = 100
max_features = 3

kfold = model_selection.KFold(n_splits=10, random_state=2020)
model = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=num_trees, max_features=max_features)
results = model_selection.cross_val_score(model, x, y, cv=kfold)
print("Accuracy: %.2f (+/- %.2f)" % (results.mean(), results.std()))
```

Both of these algorithms will print, Accuracy: 0.77 (+/- 0.07). They are equivalent.

#### 5.7.4 Boosting

In **sequential methods** the different combined weak models are **no longer** fitted **independently** from each others. The idea is to fit models **iteratively** such that the training of model at a given step depends on the models fitted at the previous steps. “Boosting” is the most famous of these approaches and it produces an ensemble model that is in general **less biased** than the weak learners that compose it.

Boosting methods work in the same spirit as bagging methods: we build a **family of models** that are **aggregated** to obtain a strong learner that performs better.

However, unlike bagging that mainly aims at reducing variance, boosting is a technique that consists in fitting sequentially multiple weak learners in a very adaptative way: each model in the sequence is fitted giving more importance to observations in the dataset that were badly handled by the previous models in the sequence. Intuitively, each new model focus its efforts on the most difficult observations to fit up to now, so that we obtain, at the

end of the process, a strong learner with **lower bias** (even if we can notice that boosting can also have the effect of reducing variance).

-> Boosting, like bagging, can be used for regression as well as for classification problems.

Being **mainly focused at reducing bias**, the **base models** that are often considered for boosting are\* \*models with low variance but high bias. For example, if we want to use trees as our base models, we will choose most of the time shallow decision trees with only a few depths.\*\*

Another important reason that motivates the use of low variance but high bias models as weak learners for boosting is that these models are in general less computationally expensive to fit (few degrees of freedom when parametrised). Indeed, as computations to fit the different models **can't be done in parallel** (unlike bagging), it could become too expensive to fit sequentially several complex models.

Once the weak learners have been chosen, we still need to define **how** they will be sequentially **fitted** and **how** they will be **aggregated**. We will discuss these questions in the two following subsections, describing more especially two important boosting algorithms: **adaboost** and **gradient boosting**.

In a nutshell, these two meta-algorithms differ on how they **create** and **aggregate** the weak learners during the sequential process. **Adaptive boosting** updates the weights attached to each of the training dataset **observations** whereas **gradient boosting** updates the value of these **observations**. This main difference comes from the way both methods try to **solve the optimisation problem** of finding the best model that can be written as a weighted sum of weak learners.




Fig. 17: Medium Science Blog

Boosting consists in, iteratively, fitting a weak learner, aggregate it to the ensemble model and “update” the training dataset to better take into account the strengths and weakness of the current ensemble model when fitting the next base model.

## 1/ Adaptative boosting

In adaptative boosting (often called “adaboost”), we try to define our ensemble model as a weighted sum of L weak learners

$$s_L(.) = \sum_{l=1}^L c_l \times w_l(.) \quad \text{where } c_l \text{'s are coefficients and } w_l \text{'s are weak learners}$$

Fig. 18: Medium Science Blog

Finding the best ensemble model with this form is a difficult optimisation problem. Then, instead of trying to solve it in one single shot (finding all the coefficients and weak learners that give the best overall additive model), we make use of an iterative optimisation process that is much more tractable, even if it can lead to a sub-optimal solution. More especially, we add the weak learners one by one, looking at each iteration for the best possible pair (coefficient, weak learner) to add to the current ensemble model. In other words, we define recurrently the  $(s_l)$ 's such that

$$s_l(.) = s_{l-1}(.) + c_l \times w_l(.)$$

Fig. 19: towardsdatascience Blog

where  $c_1$  and  $w_1$  are chosen such that  $s_1$  is the model that fit the best the training data and, so, that is the best possible improvement over  $s_{(l-1)}$ . We can then denote

$$(c_l, w_l(.)) = \arg \min_{c, w(.)} E(s_{l-1}(.) + c \times w(.)) = \arg \min_{c, w(.)} \sum_{n=1}^N e(y_n, s_{l-1}(x_n) + c \times w(x_n))$$

Fig. 20: towardsdatascience Blog

where  $E(.)$  is the fitting error of the given model and  $e(.,.)$  is the loss/error function. Thus, instead of optimising “globally” over all the  $L$  models in the sum, we approximate the optimum by optimising “locally” building and adding the weak learners to the strong model one by one.

More especially, when considering a binary classification, we can show that the adaboost algorithm can be re-written into a process that proceeds as follow. First, it updates the observations weights in the dataset and train a new weak learner with a special focus given to the observations misclassified by the current ensemble model. Second, it adds the weak learner to the weighted sum according to an update coefficient that expresse the performances of this weak model: the better a weak learner performs, the more it contributes to the strong learner.

So, assume that we are facing a binary classification problem, with  $N$  observations in our dataset and we want to use adaboost algorithm with a given family of weak models. At the very beginning of the algorithm (first model of the sequence), all the observations have the same weights  $1/N$ . Then, we repeat  $L$  times (for the  $L$  learners in the sequence) the following steps:

fit the best possible weak model with the current observations weights

compute the value of the update coefficient that is some kind of scalar evaluation metric of the weak learner that indicates how much this weak learner should be taken into account into the ensemble model

update the strong learner by adding the new weak learner multiplied by its update coefficient  
compute new observations weights that expresse which observations we would like to focus

on at the next iteration (weights of observations wrongly predicted by the aggregated model increase and weights of the correctly predicted observations decrease)

Repeating these steps, we have then build **sequentially** our **L models** and **aggregate** them into a **simple linear combination weighted by coefficients expressing the performance of each learner**.

**Notice** that there exists variants of the initial adaboost algorithm such that LogitBoost (classification) or L2Boost (regression) that mainly differ by their choice of loss function.




Fig. 21: Medium Science Blog

Adaboost updates weights of the observations at each iteration. Weights of well classified observations decrease relatively to weights of misclassified observations. Models that perform better have higher weights in the final ensemble model.

## 2/ Gradient boosting

In **gradient boosting**, the ensemble model we try to build is **also a weighted sum of weak learners**

$$s_L(\cdot) = \sum_{l=1}^L c_l \times w_l(\cdot) \quad \text{where } c_l\text{'s are coefficients and } w_l\text{'s are weak learners}$$

Fig. 22: Medium Science Blog

Just as we mentioned for **adaboost**, finding the **optimal model under this form is too difficult and an iterative approach is required**. The main difference with **adaptative boosting** is in the **definition of the sequential optimisation process**. Indeed, **gradient boosting** casts the problem into a **gradient descent one**: at each iteration we fit a **weak learner** to the **opposite of the gradient of the current fitting error with respect to the current ensemble model**. Let's try to clarify this last point. First, theoretical gradient descent process over the ensemble model can be written

$$s_l(\cdot) = s_{l-1}(\cdot) - c_l \times \nabla_{s_{l-1}} E(s_{l-1})(\cdot)$$

Fig. 23: Medium Science Blog

where  $E(\cdot)$  is the fitting error of the given model,  $c_l$  is a coefficient corresponding to the step size and

$$-\nabla_{s_{l-1}} E(s_{l-1})(\cdot)$$

Fig. 24: Medium Science Blog

This entity is the **opposite of the gradient of the fitting error with respect to the ensemble model at step l-1**. This opposite of the gradient is a function that can, in practice, only be evaluated for observations in the training dataset (for which we know inputs and outputs): these evaluations are called pseudo-residuals attached to each observations. Moreover, even if we know for the observations the values of these pseudo-residuals, we don't want to add to our ensemble model any kind of function: we only want to add a new instance of weak model. So, the natural thing to do is to fit a weak learner to the pseudo-residuals computed for each observation. Finally, the coefficient  $c_l$  is computed following a one dimensional optimisation process (line-search to obtain the best step size  $c_l$ ).

So, assume that we want to use gradient boosting technique with a given family of weak models. At the very beginning of the algorithm (first model of the sequence), the pseudo-residuals are set equal to the observation values. Then, we repeat L times (for the L models of the sequence) the following steps:

fit the best possible weak model to pseudo-residuals (approximate the opposite of the gradient with respect to the current strong learner)

compute the value of the optimal step size that defines by how much we update the ensemble model in the direction of the new weak learner

update the ensemble model by adding the new weak learner multiplied by the step size (make a step of gradient descent)

compute new pseudo-residuals that indicate, for each observation, in which direction we would like to update next the ensemble model predictions

Repeating these steps, we have then build sequentially our L models and aggregate them **following a gradient descent approach**. Notice that, while adaptative boosting tries to solve at each iteration exactly the “local” optimisation problem (find the best weak learner and its coefficient to add to the strong model), gradient boosting uses instead a gradient descent approach and can more easily be adapted to large number of loss functions. Thus, gradient boosting can be considered as a generalization of adaboost to arbitrary differentiable loss functions.

**Note** There is an algorithm which gained huge popularity after a **Kaggle’s competitions**. It is **XGBoost (Extreme Gradient Boosting)**. This is a gradient boosting algorithm which has more flexibility (varying number of terminal nodes and left weights) parameters to avoid sub-learners correlations. Having these important qualities, **XGBOOST** is one of the most used algorithm in data science. **LIGHTGBM** is a recent implementation of this algorithm. It was

published by Microsoft and it gives us the same scores (if parameters are equivalents) but it runs **quicker** than a classic XGBOOST.




Fig. 25: Medium Science Blog

Gradient boosting updates values of the observations at each iteration. Weak learners are trained to fit the pseudo-residuals that indicate in which direction to correct the current ensemble model predictions to lower the error.

### Examples

Here, we are trying an example of **Boosting** and compare it to a **Bagging**. Both of algorithms take the same weak learners to build the macro-model

- Adaboost Classifier

```
from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.metrics import f1_score

breast_cancer = load_breast_cancer()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

x = pd.DataFrame(breast_cancer.data, columns=breast_cancer.feature_names)
y = pd.Categorical.from_codes(breast_cancer.target, breast_cancer.target_names)
# Transforming string Target to an int
encoder = LabelEncoder()
binary_encoded_y = pd.Series(encoder.fit_transform(y))

#Train Test Split
train_x, test_x, train_y, test_y = train_test_split(x, binary_encoded_y, random_state=1)
clf_boosting = AdaBoostClassifier(
    DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=1),
    n_estimators=200
)
clf_boosting.fit(train_x, train_y)
predictions = clf_boosting.predict(test_x)
print("For Boosting : F1 Score {}, Accuracy {}".format(round(f1_score(test_y,predictions),2),round(accuracy_score(test_y,predictions),2)))

```

- Random Forest as a **bagging classifier**

```

from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

breast_cancer = load_breast_cancer()
x = pd.DataFrame(breast_cancer.data, columns=breast_cancer.feature_names)
y = pd.Categorical.from_codes(breast_cancer.target, breast_cancer.target_names)
# Transforming string Target to an int
encoder = LabelEncoder()
binary_encoded_y = pd.Series(encoder.fit_transform(y))

#Train Test Split
train_x, test_x, train_y, test_y = train_test_split(x, binary_encoded_y, random_state=1)
clf_bagging = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=200, max_depth=1)
clf_bagging.fit(train_x, train_y)
predictions = clf_bagging.predict(test_x)
print("For Bagging : F1 Score {}, Accuracy {}".format(round(f1_score(test_y,predictions),2),round(accuracy_score(test_y,predictions),2)))

```

Comparaison

Metric	Bagging	Boosting
Accuracy	0.91	0.97
F1-Score	0.88	0.95

### 5.7.5 Overview of stacking

Stacking mainly differ from **bagging** and **boosting** on two points : - First stacking often considers **heterogeneous weak learners** (different learning algorithms are combined) whereas bagging and boosting consider mainly homogeneous weak learners. - Second, stacking learns to combine the base models using a **meta-model** whereas bagging and boosting combine weak learners following deterministic algorithms.

As we already mentioned, the idea of stacking is to learn several different weak learners and **combine them by training a meta-model** to output predictions based on the multiple predictions returned by these weak models. So, we need to define two things in order to build our stacking model: the L learners we want to fit and the meta-model that combines them.

For example, for a classification problem, we can choose as weak learners a KNN classifier, a logistic regression and a SVM, and decide to learn a neural network as meta-model. Then, the neural network will take as inputs the outputs of our three weak learners and will learn to return final predictions based on it.

So, assume that we want to fit a stacking ensemble composed of L weak learners. Then we have to follow the steps thereafter:

- split the **training data in two folds**
- choose **L weak learners** and fit them to data of the **first fold**
- for each of the L weak learners, **make predictions** for observations in the **second fold**
- fit the **meta-model** on the **second fold**, using **predictions made by the weak learners as inputs**

In the previous steps, we split the dataset in two folds because predictions on data that have been used for the training of the weak learners are **not relevant for the training of the meta-model**.




Fig. 26: Medium Science Blog

Stacking consists in training a meta-model to produce outputs based on the outputs returned by some lower layer weak learners.

A possible extension of stacking is multi-level stacking. It consists in doing **stacking with multiple layers**. As an example,




Fig. 27: Medium Science Blog

Multi-level stacking considers several layers of stacking: some meta-models are trained on outputs returned by lower layer meta-models and so on. Here we have represented a 3-layers stacking model.

### Examples

Here, we are trying an example of **Stacking** and compare it to a **Bagging** & a **Boosting**. We note that, many other applications (datasets) would show more difference between these techniques.

```
from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.metrics import f1_score
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression

breast_cancer = load_breast_cancer()
x = pd.DataFrame(breast_cancer.data, columns=breast_cancer.feature_names)
y = pd.Categorical.from_codes(breast_cancer.target, breast_cancer.target_names)

# Transforming string Target to an int
encoder = LabelEncoder()
binary_encoded_y = pd.Series(encoder.fit_transform(y))

# Train Test Split
train_x, test_x, train_y, test_y = train_test_split(x, binary_encoded_y, random_
state=2020)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

boosting_clf_ada_boost= AdaBoostClassifier(
    DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=1),
    n_estimators=3
)
bagging_clf_rf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=200, max_depth=1,random_state=2020)

clf_rf = RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=200, max_depth=1,random_state=2020)
clf_ada_boost = AdaBoostClassifier(
    DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=1,random_state=2020),
    n_estimators=3
)

clf_logistic_reg = LogisticRegression(solver='liblinear',random_state=2020)

#Customizing and Exception message
class NumberOfClassifierException(Exception):
    pass

#Creating a stacking class
class Stacking():

    """
        This is a test class for stacking !
        Please fill Free to change it to fit your needs
        We suppose that at least the First N-1 Classifiers have
        a predict_proba function.
    """

    def __init__(self,classifiers):
        if(len(classifiers) < 2):
            raise NumberOfClassifierException("You must fit your classifier with 2
classifiers at least");
        else:
            self._classifiers = classifiers

    def fit(self,data_x,data_y):

        stacked_data_x = data_x.copy()
        for classifier in self._classifiers[:-1]:
            classifier.fit(data_x,data_y)
            stacked_data_x = np.column_stack((stacked_data_x,classifier.predict_proba(data_
x)))

        last_classifier = self._classifiers[-1]
        last_classifier.fit(stacked_data_x,data_y)

    def predict(self,data_x):

        stacked_data_x = data_x.copy()
        for classifier in self._classifiers[:-1]:
            prob_predictions = classifier.predict_proba(data_x)

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
stacked_data_x = np.column_stack((stacked_data_x,prob_predictions))

last_classifier = self._classifiers[-1]
return last_classifier.predict(stacked_data_x)

bagging_clf_rf.fit(train_x, train_y)
boosting_clf_ada_boost.fit(train_x, train_y)

classifiers_list = [clf_rf,clf_ada_boost,clf_logistic_reg]
clf_stacking = Stacking(classifiers_list)
clf_stacking.fit(train_x,train_y)

predictions_bagging = bagging_clf_rf.predict(test_x)
predictions_boosting = boosting_clf_ada_boost.predict(test_x)
predictions_stacking = clf_stacking.predict(test_x)

print("For Bagging : F1 Score {}, Accuracy {}".format(round(f1_score(test_y,predictions_
    ↪bagging),2),round(accuracy_score(test_y,predictions_bagging),2)))
print("For Boosting : F1 Score {}, Accuracy {}".format(round(f1_score(test_y,predictions_
    ↪boosting),2),round(accuracy_score(test_y,predictions_boosting),2)))
print("For Stacking : F1 Score {}, Accuracy {}".format(round(f1_score(test_y,predictions_
    ↪stacking),2),round(accuracy_score(test_y,predictions_stacking),2)))
```

Comparaison

Metric	Bagging	Boosting	Stacking
Accuracy	0.90	0.94	0.98
F1-Score	0.88	0.93	0.98

## 5.8 Gradient descent

Gradient descent is an **optimization algorithm** used to **minimize some function** by iteratively **moving in the direction of steepest descent** as defined by the **negative of the gradient**. In machine learning, we use gradient descent to **update the parameters of our model**. Parameters refer to **coefficients** in **Linear Regression** and **weights** in **neural networks**.

This section aims to provide you an explanation of gradient descent and **intuitions** towards the **behaviour of different algorithms for optimizing it**. These explanations will help you put them to use.

We are first going to introduce the gradient descent, solve it for a regression problem and look at its different variants. Then, we will then briefly summarize challenges during training. Finally, we will introduce the **most common optimization algorithms** by showing their motivation to resolve these challenges and list some advices for facilitate the algorithm choice.

### 5.8.1 Introduction

Consider the 3-dimensional graph below in **the context of a cost function**. Our **goal** is to **move from the mountain in the top right corner (high cost) to the dark blue sea in the bottom**

**left (low cost).** The **arrows** represent the **direction** of steepest descent (negative gradient) from any given point—the direction that **decreases the cost function** as quickly as possible




Fig. 28: adalta.it

Starting at the top of the mountain, we take our first step **downhill** in the **direction specified by the negative gradient**. Next we **recalculate the negative gradient** (passing in the coordinates of our new point) and take another step in the direction it specifies. We continue this **process iteratively until** we get to the **bottom of our graph**, or to a **point where we can no longer move downhill—a local minimum**.

### Learning rate

The **size of these steps** is called the **learning rate**. With a **high learning rate** we can cover more ground each step, but we **risk overshooting the lowest point** since the slope of the hill is constantly changing. With a **very low learning rate**, we can **confidently move in the direction of the negative gradient** since we are **recalculating it so frequently**. A **low learning rate** is **more precise**, but calculating the gradient is **time-consuming**, so it will take us a very long time to get to the bottom.




Fig. 29: jeremyjordan

## Cost function

A **Loss Function (Error function)** tells us “**how good**” our **model** is at making predictions for a **given set of parameters**. The cost function has its own curve and its own gradients. The **slope of this curve** tells us how to **update our parameters** to make the model more **accurate**.

### 5.8.2 Numerical solution for gradient descent

Let’s run gradient descent using a **linear regression cost function**.

There are **two parameters** in our cost function we can control: - \$ .. raw:: latex

beta'\_0\$ : (the bias) - \$:raw-latex:\$:beta\_1\$ : (weight or coefficient)

Since we need to consider the **impact each one** has on the final prediction, we need to use **partial derivatives**. We calculate the **partial derivatives of the cost function with respect to each parameter and store the results in a gradient**.

**Given the cost function**

$$f(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial MSE}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - (\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0))^2 = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^n ((\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0) - y_i)^2$$

**The gradient can be calculated as**

$$f'(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2N} \sum -2((\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0) - y_i) \\ \frac{1}{2N} \sum -2x_i((\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0) - y_i) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-1}{N} \sum ((\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0) - y_i) \\ \frac{-1}{N} \sum x_i((\beta_1 x_i + \beta_0) - y_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

To solve for the gradient, we **iterate** through our **data points** using our **:math:beta\_1** and **:math:beta\_0** values and compute the

**partial derivatives**. This **new gradient** tells us the **slope of our cost function at our current position** (current parameter values) and the **direction we should move to update our parameters**. The **size of our update is controlled by the learning rate**.

**Pseudocode of this algorithm**

```
Function gradient_descent(X, Y, learning_rate, number_iterations):

    m : 1
    b : 1
    m_deriv : 0
    b_deriv : 0
    data_length : length(X)
    loop i : 1 --> number_iterations:
        loop i : 1 -> data_length :
            m_deriv : m_deriv - X[i] * ((m*X[i] + b) - Y[i])
            b_deriv : b_deriv - ((m*X[i] + b) - Y[i])
        m : m - (m_deriv / data_length) * learning_rate
        b : b - (b_deriv / data_length) * learning_rate

    return m, b
```

### 5.8.3 Gradient descent variants

There are **three variants of gradient descent**, which differ in how much data we use to compute the gradient of the objective function. Depending on the **amount of data**, we make a trade-off between the accuracy of the parameter update and the time it takes to perform an update.

#### Batch gradient descent

Batch gradient descent, known also as Vanilla gradient descent, computes the gradient of the cost function with respect to the parameters  $\theta$  for the **entire training dataset**:

$$\theta = \theta - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

As we need to calculate the gradients for the **whole dataset** to perform just one update, batch gradient descent can be **very slow** and is **intractable** for datasets that don't fit in memory. Batch gradient descent also **doesn't allow us to update our model online**.

#### Stochastic gradient descent

Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) in contrast performs a parameter update for each training example  $x^{(i)}$  and label  $y^{(i)}$

- Choose an initial vector of parameters  $w$  and learning rate  $\eta$ .
- Repeat until an approximate minimum is obtained:
  - Randomly shuffle examples in the training set.
  - For  $i \in 1, \dots, n$ 
    - \*  $\theta = \theta - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta; x^{(i)}; y^{(i)})$

Batch gradient descent performs **redundant computations for large datasets**, as it **recomputes gradients for similar examples before each parameter update**. SGD does away with this redundancy by performing **one update at a time**. It is therefore usually **much faster** and can also be used to learn online. SGD performs frequent updates with a high variance that cause the objective function to fluctuate heavily as in the image below.

While batch gradient descent **converges to the minimum of the basin** the parameters are placed in, SGD's fluctuation, on the one hand, **enables it to jump to new and potentially better local minima**. On the other hand, **this ultimately complicates convergence to the exact minimum**, as SGD will keep overshooting. However, it has been shown that when we slowly decrease the learning rate, SGD shows the same **convergence behaviour as batch gradient descent**, almost certainly converging to a local or the global minimum for non-convex and convex optimization respectively.

#### Mini-batch gradient descent

Mini-batch gradient descent finally takes the best of both worlds and performs an update for every mini-batch of  $n$  training examples:

$$\theta = \theta - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta; x^{(i:i+n)}; y^{(i:i+n)})$$

This way, it :




Fig. 30: Wikipedia

- reduces the variance of the parameter updates, which can lead to **more stable convergence**.
- can make use of **highly optimized matrix optimizations** common to state-of-the-art deep learning libraries that make computing the gradient very efficient. **Common mini-batch sizes range between 50 and 256**, but can vary for different applications.

Mini-batch gradient descent is **typically the algorithm of choice** when training a neural network.

#### 5.8.4 Gradient Descent challenges

Vanilla mini-batch gradient descent, however, does not guarantee good convergence, but offers a few challenges that need to be addressed:

- Choosing a proper **learning rate** can be difficult. A learning rate that is **too small** leads to **painfully slow convergence**, while a learning rate that is **too large** can hinder convergence and cause the loss function to **fluctuate** around the minimum or even to **diverge**.
- **Learning rate schedules** try to **adjust the learning rate during training** by e.g. annealing, i.e. reducing the learning rate according to a pre-defined schedule or when the change in objective between epochs falls below a threshold. These schedules and thresholds, however, have to be defined in advance and are thus unable to adapt to a dataset's characteristics.
- Additionally, the same learning rate applies to all parameter updates. **If our data is sparse and our features have very different frequencies**, we might not want to update all of them to the same extent, but perform a **larger update for rarely occurring features**.
- Another key challenge of **minimizing highly non-convex error** functions common for neural networks is **avoiding getting trapped in their numerous suboptimal local minima**. These **saddle points (local minimas)** are usually surrounded by a plateau of the same error, which makes it **notoriously hard for SGD to escape**, as the gradient is close to zero in all dimensions.

#### 5.8.5 Gradient descent optimization algorithms

In the following, we will outline some **algorithms** that are **widely used** by the **deep learning community** to deal with the aforementioned **challenges**.

##### Momentum

SGD has trouble **navigating ravines** (**areas where the surface curves much more steeply in one dimension than in another**), which are common around local optima. In these scenarios, SGD oscillates across the slopes of the ravine while only making hesitant progress along the bottom towards the local optimum as in the image below.

##### Source

No momentum: moving toward local largest gradient create oscillations.

With momentum: accumulate velocity to avoid oscillations.




Fig. 31: Wikipedia




Fig. 32: No momentum: oscillations toward local largest gradient




Fig. 33: With momentum: accumulate velocity to avoid oscillations

**Momentum** is a method that helps accelerate SGD in the **relevant direction and dampens oscillations** as can be seen in image above. It does this by **adding a fraction :math:`\gamma` of the update vector of the past time step to the current update vector**

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \rho v_{t-1} + \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \\ \theta &= \theta - v_t \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

```
vx = 0
while True:
    dx = gradient(J, x)
    vx = rho * vx + dx
    x -= learning_rate * vx
```

**Note:** The momentum term :math:`\rho` is usually set to 0.9 or a similar value.

Essentially, when using momentum, we push a **ball down a hill**. The **ball accumulates momentum as it rolls downhill**, becoming faster and faster on the way (until it reaches its terminal velocity if there is air resistance, i.e. :math:`\rho < 1` ).

The same thing happens to our parameter updates: The **momentum term increases for dimensions whose gradients point in the same directions and reduces updates for dimensions whose gradients change directions**. As a result, we gain **faster convergence and reduced oscillation**.

### AdaGrad: adaptive learning rates

- Added element-wise scaling of the gradient based on the historical sum of squares in each dimension.
- “Per-parameter learning rates” or “adaptive learning rates”

```
grad_squared = 0
while True:
    dx = gradient(J, x)
    grad_squared += dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)
```

- Progress along “steep” directions is damped.
- Progress along “flat” directions is accelerated.
- Problem: step size over long time => Decays to zero.

### RMSProp: “Leaky AdaGrad”

```
grad_squared = 0
while True:
    dx = gradient(J, x)
    grad_squared += decay_rate * grad_squared + (1 - decay_rate) * dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * dx / (np.sqrt(grad_squared) + 1e-7)
```

- decay\_rate = 1: gradient descent
- decay\_rate = 0: AdaGrad

## Nesterov accelerated gradient

However, a ball that rolls down a hill, blindly following the slope, is highly **unsatisfactory**. We'd like to have a smarter ball, a ball that has **a notion of where it is going** so that it **knows to slow down before the hill slopes up again**. Nesterov accelerated gradient (NAG) is a way to give **our momentum term this kind of prescience**. We know that we will use our momentum term  $\gamma v_{t-1}$  to move the parameters  $\theta$ .

Computing  $\theta - \gamma v_{t-1}$  thus gives us **an approximation of the next position of the parameters** (the gradient is missing for the full update), a rough idea where our parameters are going to be. We can now effectively look ahead by calculating the gradient not w.r.t. to our current parameters  $\theta$  but w.r.t. the approximate future position of our parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \gamma v_{t-1} + \eta \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta - \gamma v_{t-1}) \\ \theta &= \theta - v_t \end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

Again, we set the momentum term  $\gamma$  to a value of around 0.9. While **Momentum first computes the current gradient and then takes a big jump in the direction of the updated accumulated gradient**, NAG first makes a **big jump in the direction of the previous accumulated gradient, measures the gradient and then makes a correction**, which results in the complete NAG update. This anticipatory update prevents us from going too fast and results in **increased responsiveness**, which has significantly increased the performance of RNNs on a number of tasks

## Adam

**Adaptive Moment Estimation (Adam)** is a method that computes **adaptive learning rates** for each parameter. In addition to storing an **exponentially decaying average of past squared gradients** :math:`v\_t` , Adam also keeps an **exponentially decaying average of past gradients** :math:`m\_t` , **similar to momentum**. Whereas momentum can be seen as a ball running down a slope, Adam behaves like a **heavy ball with friction**, which thus prefers **flat minima in the error surface**. We compute the decaying averages of past and past squared gradients  $m_t$  and  $v_t$  respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m_t &= \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) \\ v_t &= \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

$m_t$  and  $v_t$  are estimates of the first moment (the mean) and the second moment (the uncentered variance) of the gradients respectively, hence the name of the method. Adam (almost)

```
first_moment = 0
second_moment = 0
while True:
    dx = gradient(J, x)
    # Momentum:
    first_moment = beta1 * first_moment + (1 - beta1) * dx
    # AdaGrad/RMSProp
    second_moment = beta2 * second_moment + (1 - beta2) * dx * dx
    x -= learning_rate * first_moment / (np.sqrt(second_moment) + 1e-7)
```

As  $m_t$  and  $v_t$  are initialized as vectors of 0's, the authors of Adam observe that they are biased towards zero, especially during the initial time steps, and especially when the decay rates are small (i.e.  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are close to 1). They counteract these biases by computing bias-corrected first and second moment estimates:

$$\hat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{1 - \beta_1^t} \quad (5.55)$$

$$\hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1 - \beta_2^t} \quad (5.56)$$

They then use these to update the parameters (Adam update rule):

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon} \hat{m}_t$$

- $\hat{m}_t$  Accumulate gradient: velocity.
- $\hat{v}_t$  Element-wise scaling of the gradient based on the historical sum of squares in each dimension.
- Choose Adam as default optimizer
- Default values of 0.9 for  $\beta_1$ , 0.999 for  $\beta_2$ , and  $10^{-7}$  for  $\epsilon$ .
- learning rate in a range between  $1e-3$  and  $5e-4$



## DEEP LEARNING

### 6.1 Backpropagation

#### 6.1.1 Course outline:

1. Backpropagation and chaine rule
2. Lab: with numpy and pytorch

```
%matplotlib inline
```

#### 6.1.2 Backpropagation and chaine rule

We will set up a two layer network [source pytorch tuto](#) :

$$\mathbf{Y} = \max(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}^{(1)}, 0)\mathbf{W}^{(2)}$$

A fully-connected ReLU network with one hidden layer and no biases, trained to predict y from x using Euclidean error.

#### Chaine rule

Forward pass with **local** partial derivatives of ouput given inputs:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} x \rightarrow & \boxed{z^{(1)} = x^T w^{(1)}} & \rightarrow & \boxed{h^{(1)} = \max(z^{(1)}, 0)} & \rightarrow & \boxed{z^{(2)} = h^{(1)T} w^{(2)}} & \rightarrow & \boxed{L(z^{(2)}, y) = (z^{(2)} - y)^2} \\ w^{(1)} \nearrow & & & w^{(2)} \nearrow & & & & \\ \frac{\partial z^{(1)}}{\partial w^{(1)}} = x & & \frac{\partial h^{(1)}}{\partial z^{(1)}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z^{(1)} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} & & \frac{\partial z^{(2)}}{\partial w^{(2)}} = h^{(1)} & & \frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{(2)}} = 2(z^{(2)} - y) & \\ \frac{\partial z^{(1)}}{\partial x} = w^{(1)} & & & \frac{\partial z^{(2)}}{\partial h^{(1)}} = w^{(2)} & & & \end{array}$$

Backward: compute gradient of the loss given each parameters vectors applying chaine rule from the loss downstream to the parameters:

For  $w^{(2)}$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w^{(2)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{(2)}} \frac{\partial z^{(2)}}{\partial w^{(2)}} = 2(z^{(2)} - y)h^{(1)} \quad (6.1)$$

$$= 2(z^{(2)} - y)h^{(1)} \quad (6.2)$$

For  $w^{(1)}$ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w^{(1)}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z^{(2)}} \frac{\partial z^{(2)}}{\partial h^{(1)}} \frac{\partial h^{(1)}}{\partial z^{(1)}} \frac{\partial z^{(1)}}{\partial w^{(1)}} \quad (6.3)$$

$$= 2(z^{(2)} - y)w^{(2)} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z^{(1)} > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} x \quad (6.4)$$

### Recap: Vector derivatives

Given a function  $z = x$  with  $z$  the output,  $x$  the input and  $w$  the coefficients.

- Scalar to Scalar:  $x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathbb{R}$

Regular derivative:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} = x \in \mathbb{R}$$

If  $w$  changes by a small amount, how much will  $z$  change?

- Vector to Scalar:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N, z \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \mathbb{R}^N$

Derivative is **Gradient** of partial derivative:  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} = \nabla_w z = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_i} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_N} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6.5)$$

For each element  $w_i$  of  $w$ , if it changes by a small amount then how much will  $y$  change?

- Vector to Vector:  $w \in \mathbb{R}^N, z \in \mathbb{R}^M$

Derivative is **Jacobian** of partial derivative:

TO COMPLETE

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial w} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$$

### Backpropagation summary

Backpropagation algorithm in a graph: 1. Forward pass, for each node compute local partial derivatives of output given inputs 2. Backward pass: apply chain rule from the end to each parameters - Update parameter with gradient descent using the current upstream gradient and the current local gradient - Compute upstream gradient for the backward nodes

Think locally and remember that at each node:

- For the loss the gradient is the error
- At each step, the upstream gradient is obtained by multiplying the upstream gradient (an error) with the current parameters (vector or matrix).
- At each step, the current local gradient equal the input, therefore the current update is the current upstream gradient time the input.

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
import sklearn.model_selection
```

### 6.1.3 Lab: with numpy and pytorch

#### Load iris data set

Goal: Predict  $Y = [\text{petal\_length}, \text{petal\_width}] = f(X = [\text{sepal\_length}, \text{sepal\_width}])$

- Plot data with seaborn
- Remove setosa samples
- Recode ‘versicolor’:1, ‘virginica’:2
- Scale X and Y
- Split data in train/test 50%/50%

```
iris = sns.load_dataset("iris")
#g = sns.pairplot(iris, hue="species")
df = iris[iris.species != "setosa"]
g = sns.pairplot(df, hue="species")
df['species_n'] = iris.species.map({'versicolor':1, 'virginica':2})


# Y = 'petal_length', 'petal_width'; X = 'sepal_length', 'sepal_width'
X_iris = np.asarray(df.loc[:, ['sepal_length', 'sepal_width']], dtype=np.float32)
Y_iris = np.asarray(df.loc[:, ['petal_length', 'petal_width']], dtype=np.float32)
label_iris = np.asarray(df.species_n, dtype=int)

# Scale
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
scalerx, scalery = StandardScaler(), StandardScaler()
X_iris = scalerx.fit_transform(X_iris)
Y_iris = StandardScaler().fit_transform(Y_iris)

# Split train test
X_iris_tr, X_iris_val, Y_iris_tr, Y_iris_val, label_iris_tr, label_iris_val = \
    sklearn.model_selection.train_test_split(X_iris, Y_iris, label_iris, train_size=0.5,
    stratify=label_iris)
```

```
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/ipykernel_launcher.py:5:
  SettingWithCopyWarning:
A value is trying to be set on a copy of a slice from a DataFrame.
Try using .loc[row_indexer,col_indexer] = value instead

See the caveats in the documentation: http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/indexing.html#indexing-view-versus-copy
    ...n
```



## Backpropagation with numpy

This implementation uses numpy to manually compute the forward pass, loss, and backward pass.

```
# X=X_iris_tr; Y=Y_iris_tr; X_val=X_iris_val; Y_val=Y_iris_val

def two_layer_regression_numpy_train(X, Y, X_val, Y_val, lr, nite):
    # N is batch size; D_in is input dimension;
    # H is hidden dimension; D_out is output dimension.
    # N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
    N, D_in, H, D_out = X.shape[0], X.shape[1], 100, Y.shape[1]

    W1 = np.random.randn(D_in, H)
    W2 = np.random.randn(H, D_out)

    losses_tr, losses_val = list(), list()

    learning_rate = lr
    for t in range(nite):
        # Forward pass: compute predicted y
        z1 = X.dot(W1)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

h1 = np.maximum(z1, 0)
Y_pred = h1.dot(W2)

# Compute and print loss
loss = np.square(Y_pred - Y).sum()

# Backprop to compute gradients of w1 and w2 with respect to loss
grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (Y_pred - Y)
grad_w2 = h1.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
grad_h1 = grad_y_pred.dot(W2.T)
grad_z1 = grad_h1.copy()
grad_z1[z1 < 0] = 0
grad_w1 = X.T.dot(grad_z1)

# Update weights
W1 -= learning_rate * grad_w1
W2 -= learning_rate * grad_w2

# Forward pass for validation set: compute predicted y
z1 = X_val.dot(W1)
h1 = np.maximum(z1, 0)
y_pred_val = h1.dot(W2)
loss_val = np.square(y_pred_val - Y_val).sum()

losses_tr.append(loss)
losses_val.append(loss_val)

if t % 10 == 0:
    print(t, loss, loss_val)

return W1, W2, losses_tr, losses_val

```


W1, W2, losses\_tr, losses\_val = two\_layer\_regression\_numpy\_train(X=X\_iris\_tr, Y=Y\_iris\_tr,  
 ↪ X\_val=X\_iris\_val, Y\_val=Y\_iris\_val,  
 ↪ lr=1e-4, nite=50)  
 plt.plot(np.arange(len(losses\_tr)), losses\_tr, "-b", np.arange(len(losses\_val)), losses\_val, "-r")

```

0 15126.224825529907 2910.260853330454
10 71.5381374591153 104.97056197642135
20 50.756938353833334 80.02800827986354
30 46.546510744624236 72.85211241738614
40 44.41413064447564 69.31127324764276

```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f960cf5e9b0>,
 <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f960cf5eb00>]
```



## Backpropagation with PyTorch Tensors

[source](#)

Numpy is a great framework, but it cannot utilize GPUs to accelerate its numerical computations. For modern deep neural networks, GPUs often provide speedups of 50x or greater, so unfortunately numpy won't be enough for modern deep learning. Here we introduce the most fundamental PyTorch concept: the Tensor. A PyTorch Tensor is conceptually identical to a numpy array: a Tensor is an n-dimensional array, and PyTorch provides many functions for operating on these Tensors. Behind the scenes, Tensors can keep track of a computational graph and gradients, but they're also useful as a generic tool for scientific computing. Also unlike numpy, PyTorch Tensors can utilize GPUs to accelerate their numeric computations. To run a PyTorch Tensor on GPU, you simply need to cast it to a new datatype. Here we use PyTorch Tensors to fit a two-layer network to random data. Like the numpy example above we need to manually implement the forward and backward passes through the network:

```
import torch

# X=X_iris_tr; Y=Y_iris_tr; X_val=X_iris_val; Y_val=Y_iris_val

def two_layer_regression_tensor_train(X, Y, X_val, Y_val, lr, nite):

    dtype = torch.float
    device = torch.device("cpu")
    # device = torch.device("cuda:0") # Uncomment this to run on GPU

    # N is batch size; D_in is input dimension;
    # H is hidden dimension; D_out is output dimension.
    N, D_in, H, D_out = X.shape[0], X.shape[1], 100, Y.shape[1]

    # Create random input and output data
    X = torch.from_numpy(X)
    Y = torch.from_numpy(Y)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

X_val = torch.from_numpy(X_val)
Y_val = torch.from_numpy(Y_val)

# Randomly initialize weights
W1 = torch.randn(D_in, H, device=device, dtype=dtype)
W2 = torch.randn(H, D_out, device=device, dtype=dtype)

losses_tr, losses_val = list(), list()

learning_rate = lr
for t in range(nite):
    # Forward pass: compute predicted y
    z1 = X.mm(W1)
    h1 = z1.clamp(min=0)
    y_pred = h1.mm(W2)

    # Compute and print loss
    loss = (y_pred - Y).pow(2).sum().item()

    # Backprop to compute gradients of w1 and w2 with respect to loss
    grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - Y)
    grad_w2 = h1.t().mm(grad_y_pred)
    grad_h1 = grad_y_pred.mm(W2.t())
    grad_z1 = grad_h1.clone()
    grad_z1[z1 < 0] = 0
    grad_w1 = X.t().mm(grad_z1)

    # Update weights using gradient descent
    W1 -= learning_rate * grad_w1
    W2 -= learning_rate * grad_w2

    # Forward pass for validation set: compute predicted y
    z1 = X_val.mm(W1)
    h1 = z1.clamp(min=0)
    y_pred_val = h1.mm(W2)
    loss_val = (y_pred_val - Y_val).pow(2).sum().item()

    losses_tr.append(loss)
    losses_val.append(loss_val)

    if t % 10 == 0:
        print(t, loss, loss_val)

return W1, W2, losses_tr, losses_val

```

W1, W2, losses\_tr, losses\_val = two\_layer\_regression\_tensor\_train(X=X\_iris\_tr, Y=Y\_iris\_tr,  
 X\_val=X\_iris\_val, Y\_val=Y\_iris\_val,  
 lr=1e-4, nite=50)

plt.plot(np.arange(len(losses\_tr)), losses\_tr, "-b", np.arange(len(losses\_val)), losses\_val, "r")

```

0 8086.1591796875 5429.57275390625
10 225.77589416503906 331.83734130859375
20 86.46501159667969 117.72447204589844


```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
30 52.375606536865234 73.84156036376953
40 43.16458511352539 64.0667495727539
```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f960033c470>,
 <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f960033c5c0>]
```



## Backpropagation with PyTorch: Tensors and autograd

source

A fully-connected ReLU network with one hidden layer and no biases, trained to predict y from x by minimizing squared Euclidean distance. This implementation computes the forward pass using operations on PyTorch Tensors, and uses PyTorch autograd to compute gradients. A PyTorch Tensor represents a node in a computational graph. If x is a Tensor that has x.requires\_grad=True then x.grad is another Tensor holding the gradient of x with respect to some scalar value.

```
import torch

# X=X_iris_tr; Y=Y_iris_tr; X_val=X_iris_val; Y_val=Y_iris_val
# del X, Y, X_val, Y_val

def two_layer_regression_autograd_train(X, Y, X_val, Y_val, lr, nite):

    dtype = torch.float
    device = torch.device("cpu")
    # device = torch.device("cuda:0") # Uncomment this to run on GPU

    # N is batch size; D_in is input dimension;
    # H is hidden dimension; D_out is output dimension.
    N, D_in, H, D_out = X.shape[0], X.shape[1], 100, Y.shape[1]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

# Setting requires_grad=False indicates that we do not need to compute gradients
# with respect to these Tensors during the backward pass.
X = torch.from_numpy(X)
Y = torch.from_numpy(Y)
X_val = torch.from_numpy(X_val)
Y_val = torch.from_numpy(Y_val)

# Create random Tensors for weights.
# Setting requires_grad=True indicates that we want to compute gradients with
# respect to these Tensors during the backward pass.
W1 = torch.randn(D_in, H, device=device, dtype=dtype, requires_grad=True)
W2 = torch.randn(H, D_out, device=device, dtype=dtype, requires_grad=True)

losses_tr, losses_val = list(), list()

learning_rate = lr
for t in range(nite):
    # Forward pass: compute predicted y using operations on Tensors; these
    # are exactly the same operations we used to compute the forward pass using
    # Tensors, but we do not need to keep references to intermediate values since
    # we are not implementing the backward pass by hand.
    y_pred = X.mm(W1).clamp(min=0).mm(W2)

    # Compute and print loss using operations on Tensors.
    # Now loss is a Tensor of shape (1,)
    # loss.item() gets the scalar value held in the loss.
    loss = (y_pred - Y).pow(2).sum()

    # Use autograd to compute the backward pass. This call will compute the
    # gradient of loss with respect to all Tensors with requires_grad=True.
    # After this call w1.grad and w2.grad will be Tensors holding the gradient
    # of the loss with respect to w1 and w2 respectively.
    loss.backward()

    # Manually update weights using gradient descent. Wrap in torch.no_grad()
    # because weights have requires_grad=True, but we don't need to track this
    # in autograd.
    # An alternative way is to operate on weight.data and weight.grad.data.
    # Recall that tensor.data gives a tensor that shares the storage with
    # tensor, but doesn't track history.
    # You can also use torch.optim.SGD to achieve this.
    with torch.no_grad():
        W1 -= learning_rate * W1.grad
        W2 -= learning_rate * W2.grad

        # Manually zero the gradients after updating weights
        W1.grad.zero_()
        W2.grad.zero_()

    y_pred = X_val.mm(W1).clamp(min=0).mm(W2)

    # Compute and print loss using operations on Tensors.
    # Now loss is a Tensor of shape (1,)
    # loss.item() gets the scalar value held in the loss.
    loss_val = (y_pred - Y).pow(2).sum()

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
if t % 10 == 0:
    print(t, loss.item(), loss_val.item())


losses_tr.append(loss.item())
losses_val.append(loss_val.item())

return W1, W2, losses_tr, losses_val

W1, W2, losses_tr, losses_val = two_layer_regression_autograd_train(X=X_iris_tr, Y=Y_iris_
    ↪tr, X_val=X_iris_val, Y_val=Y_iris_val,
                           lr=1e-4, nite=50)
plt.plot(np.arange(len(losses_tr)), losses_tr, "-b", np.arange(len(losses_val)), losses_
    ↪val, "-r")
```

```
0 8307.1806640625 2357.994873046875
10 111.97289276123047 250.04209899902344
20 65.83244323730469 201.63694763183594
30 53.70908737182617 183.17051696777344
40 48.719329833984375 173.3616943359375
```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff2ad978>,
 <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff2adac8>]
```



## Backpropagation with PyTorch: nn

[source](#)

This implementation uses the `nn` package from PyTorch to build the network. PyTorch autograd makes it easy to define computational graphs and take gradients, but raw autograd can be a bit too low-level for defining complex neural networks; this is where the `nn` package can help. The `nn` package defines a set of Modules, which you can think of as a neural network layer that has produces output from input and may have some trainable weights.

```

import torch

# X=X_iris_tr; Y=Y_iris_tr; X_val=X_iris_val; Y_val=Y_iris_val
# del X, Y, X_val, Y_val

def two_layer_regression_nn_train(X, Y, X_val, Y_val, lr, nite):

    # N is batch size; D_in is input dimension;
    # H is hidden dimension; D_out is output dimension.
    N, D_in, H, D_out = X.shape[0], X.shape[1], 100, Y.shape[1]

    X = torch.from_numpy(X)
    Y = torch.from_numpy(Y)
    X_val = torch.from_numpy(X_val)
    Y_val = torch.from_numpy(Y_val)

    # Use the nn package to define our model as a sequence of layers. nn.Sequential
    # is a Module which contains other Modules, and applies them in sequence to
    # produce its output. Each Linear Module computes output from input using a
    # linear function, and holds internal Tensors for its weight and bias.
    model = torch.nn.Sequential(
        torch.nn.Linear(D_in, H),
        torch.nn.ReLU(),
        torch.nn.Linear(H, D_out),
    )

    # The nn package also contains definitions of popular loss functions; in this
    # case we will use Mean Squared Error (MSE) as our loss function.
    loss_fn = torch.nn.MSELoss(reduction='sum')

    losses_tr, losses_val = list(), list()

    learning_rate = lr
    for t in range(nite):
        # Forward pass: compute predicted y by passing x to the model. Module objects
        # override the __call__ operator so you can call them like functions. When
        # doing so you pass a Tensor of input data to the Module and it produces
        # a Tensor of output data.
        y_pred = model(X)

        # Compute and print loss. We pass Tensors containing the predicted and true
        # values of y, and the loss function returns a Tensor containing the
        # loss.
        loss = loss_fn(y_pred, Y)

        # Zero the gradients before running the backward pass.
        model.zero_grad()

        # Backward pass: compute gradient of the loss with respect to all the learnable
        # parameters of the model. Internally, the parameters of each Module are stored
        # in Tensors with requires_grad=True, so this call will compute gradients for
        # all learnable parameters in the model.
        loss.backward()

        # Update the weights using gradient descent. Each parameter is a Tensor, so
        # we can access its gradients like we did before.
        with torch.no_grad():

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
for param in model.parameters():
    param -= learning_rate * param.grad
y_pred = model(X_val)
loss_val = (y_pred - Y_val).pow(2).sum()

if t % 10 == 0:
    print(t, loss.item(), loss_val.item())

losses_tr.append(loss.item())
losses_val.append(loss_val.item())


return model, losses_tr, losses_val

model, losses_tr, losses_val = two_layer_regression_nn_train(X=X_iris_tr, Y=Y_iris_tr, X_
    ↪val=X_iris_val, Y_val=Y_iris_val,
    lr=1e-4, nite=50)

plt.plot(np.arange(len(losses_tr)), losses_tr, "-b", np.arange(len(losses_val)), losses_
    ↪val, "-r")
```

```
0 82.32025146484375 91.3389892578125
10 50.322200775146484 63.563087463378906
20 40.825225830078125 57.13555145263672
30 37.53572082519531 55.74506378173828
40 36.191200256347656 55.499732971191406
```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff296668>,
 <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff2967b8>]
```



## Backpropagation with PyTorch optim

This implementation uses the nn package from PyTorch to build the network. Rather than manually updating the weights of the model as we have been doing, we use the optim package to

define an Optimizer that will update the weights for us. The optim package defines many optimization algorithms that are commonly used for deep learning, including SGD+momentum, RMSProp, Adam, etc.

```
import torch

# X=X_iris_tr; Y=Y_iris_tr; X_val=X_iris_val; Y_val=Y_iris_val

def two_layer_regression_nn_optim_train(X, Y, X_val, Y_val, lr, nite):

    # N is batch size; D_in is input dimension;
    # H is hidden dimension; D_out is output dimension.
    N, D_in, H, D_out = X.shape[0], X.shape[1], 100, Y.shape[1]

    X = torch.from_numpy(X)
    Y = torch.from_numpy(Y)
    X_val = torch.from_numpy(X_val)
    Y_val = torch.from_numpy(Y_val)

    # Use the nn package to define our model and loss function.
    model = torch.nn.Sequential(
        torch.nn.Linear(D_in, H),
        torch.nn.ReLU(),
        torch.nn.Linear(H, D_out),
    )
    loss_fn = torch.nn.MSELoss(reduction='sum')

    losses_tr, losses_val = list(), list()

    # Use the optim package to define an Optimizer that will update the weights of
    # the model for us. Here we will use Adam; the optim package contains many other
    # optimization algorithms. The first argument to the Adam constructor tells the
    # optimizer which Tensors it should update.
    learning_rate = lr
    optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate)
    for t in range(nite):
        # Forward pass: compute predicted y by passing x to the model.
        y_pred = model(X)

        # Compute and print loss.
        loss = loss_fn(y_pred, Y)

        # Before the backward pass, use the optimizer object to zero all of the
        # gradients for the variables it will update (which are the learnable
        # weights of the model). This is because by default, gradients are
        # accumulated in buffers( i.e, not overwritten) whenever .backward()
        # is called. Checkout docs of torch.autograd.backward for more details.
        optimizer.zero_grad()

        # Backward pass: compute gradient of the loss with respect to model
        # parameters
        loss.backward()

        # Calling the step function on an Optimizer makes an update to its
        # parameters
        optimizer.step()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
with torch.no_grad():
    y_pred = model(X_val)
    loss_val = loss_fn(y_pred, Y_val)

    if t % 10 == 0:
        print(t, loss.item(), loss_val.item())


    losses_tr.append(loss.item())
    losses_val.append(loss_val.item())

return model, losses_tr, losses_val

model, losses_tr, losses_val = two_layer_regression_nn_optim_train(X=X_iris_tr, Y=Y_iris_
    ↪tr, X_val=X_iris_val, Y_val=Y_iris_val,
                           lr=1e-3, nite=50)
plt.plot(np.arange(len(losses_tr)), losses_tr, "-b", np.arange(len(losses_val)), losses_
    ↪val, "-r")
```

```
0 92.271240234375 83.96189880371094
10 64.25907135009766 59.872535705566406
20 47.6252555847168 50.228126525878906
30 40.33802032470703 50.60377502441406
40 38.19448471069336 54.03163528442383
```

```
[<matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff200080>,
 <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7f95ff2001d0>]
```



## 6.2 Multilayer Perceptron (MLP)

### 6.2.1 Course outline:

1. Recall of linear classifier
2. MLP with scikit-learn
3. MLP with pytorch
4. Test several MLP architectures
5. Limits of MLP

```
%matplotlib inline
```

Sources:

Deep learning

- [cs231n.stanford.edu](http://cs231n.stanford.edu)

Pytorch

- [WWW tutorials](#)
- [github tutorials](#)
- [github examples](#)

MNIST and pytorch:

- [MNIST nextjournal.com/gkoehler/pytorch-mnist](#)
- [MNIST github/pytorch/examples](#)
- [MNIST kaggle](#)

```
import os
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.nn.functional as F
import torch.optim as optim
from torchvision import datasets, transforms
```

Set working directory

```
from pathlib import Path
WD = os.path.join(Path.home(), "data", "pystatml", "dl_mnist_pytorch")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
os.chdir(WD)
print("Working dir is:", os.getcwd())
os.makedirs("data", exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs("models", exist_ok=True)
```

Working **dir is:** /tmp/dl\_cnn\_mnist\_pytorch

Hyperparameters

```
n_epochs = 5
batch_size_train = 64
batch_size_test = 1000
learning_rate = 0.01
momentum = 0.5
log_interval = 10
random_seed = 1
no_cuda = True

use_cuda = not no_cuda and torch.cuda.is_available()
device = torch.device("cuda" if use_cuda else "cpu")
```

## 6.2.2 Dataset: MNIST Handwritten Digit Recognition

```
def load_mnist(batch_size_train, batch_size_test):

    train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
        datasets.MNIST('data', train=True, download=True,
                       transform=transforms.Compose([
                           transforms.ToTensor(),
                           transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)) # Mean and Std of
        ↪the MNIST dataset
                           ])),
        batch_size=batch_size_train, shuffle=True)

    test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
        datasets.MNIST('data', train=False, transform=transforms.Compose([
            transforms.ToTensor(),
            transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)) # Mean and Std of the MNIST dataset
        ])),
        batch_size=batch_size_test, shuffle=True)
    return train_loader, test_loader

train_loader, test_loader = load_mnist(batch_size_train, batch_size_test)
data_shape = train_loader.dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
D_out = len(train_loader.dataset.targets.unique())

print("Train dataset:", train_loader.dataset.data.shape, train_loader.dataset.targets.
      ↪shape)
print("Test dataset:", test_loader.dataset.data.shape, test_loader.dataset.targets.shape)
```

```
Train dataset: torch.Size([60000, 28, 28]) torch.Size([60000])
Test dataset: torch.Size([10000, 28, 28]) torch.Size([10000])
```

Now let's take a look at some mini-batches examples.

```
batch_idx, (example_data, example_targets) = next(enumerate(train_loader))
print("Train batch:", example_data.shape, example_targets.shape)
batch_idx, (example_data, example_targets) = next(enumerate(test_loader))
print("Test batch:", example_data.shape, example_targets.shape)
```

```
Train batch: torch.Size([64, 1, 28, 28]) torch.Size([64])
Test batch: torch.Size([1000, 1, 28, 28]) torch.Size([1000])
```

So one test data batch is a tensor of shape: . This means we have 1000 examples of 28x28 pixels in grayscale (i.e. no rgb channels, hence the one). We can plot some of them using matplotlib.


```
def show_data_label_prediction(data, y_true, y_pred=None, shape=(2, 3)):
    y_pred = [None] * len(y_true) if y_pred is None else y_pred
    fig = plt.figure()
    for i in range(np.prod(shape)):
        plt.subplot(*shape, i+1)
        plt.tight_layout()
        plt.imshow(data[i][0], cmap='gray', interpolation='none')
        plt.title("True: {} Pred: {}".format(y_true[i], y_pred[i]))
        plt.xticks([])
        plt.yticks([])

show_data_label_prediction(data=example_data, y_true=example_targets, y_pred=None,
                           shape=(2, 3))
```

True: 3 Pred: None



True: 0 Pred: None



True: 4 Pred: None



True: 6 Pred: None



True: 8 Pred: None



True: 6 Pred: None



### 6.2.3 Recall of linear classifier

#### Binary logistic regression

1 neuron as output layer

$$f(x) = \sigma(x^T w)$$

#### Softmax Classifier (Multinomial Logistic Regression)

- Input  $x$ : a vector of dimension (0) (layer 0).
- Output  $f(x)$  a vector of (1) (layer 1) possible labels

The model as (1) neurons as output layer

$$f(x) = \text{softmax}(x^T W + b)$$

Where  $W$  is a  $(0) \times (1)$  of coefficients and  $b$  is a (1)-dimentional vector of bias.

MNIST classification using multinomial logistic

source: Logistic regression MNIST

Here we fit a multinomial logistic regression with L2 penalty on a subset of the MNIST digits classification task.

[source: scikit-learn.org]([https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto\\_examples/linear\\_model/plot\\_sparse\\_logistic\\_regression\\_mnist.html](https://scikit-learn.org/stable/auto_examples/linear_model/plot_sparse_logistic_regression_mnist.html))

```
X_train = train_loader.dataset.data.numpy()
#print(X_train.shape)
X_train = X_train.reshape((X_train.shape[0], -1))
y_train = train_loader.dataset.targets.numpy()

X_test = test_loader.dataset.data.numpy()
X_test = X_test.reshape((X_test.shape[0], -1))
y_test = test_loader.dataset.targets.numpy()

print(X_train.shape, y_train.shape)
```

(60000, 784) (60000,)

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np

#from sklearn.datasets import fetch_openml
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
#from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
from sklearn.utils import check_random_state

scaler = StandardScaler()
X_train = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test = scaler.transform(X_test)

# Turn up tolerance for faster convergence
clf = LogisticRegression(C=50., multi_class='multinomial', solver='sag', tol=0.1)
clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
#sparsity = np.mean(clf.coef_ == 0) * 100
score = clf.score(X_test, y_test)
#print('Best C % .4f' % clf.C_)
#print("Sparsity with L1 penalty: %.2f%%" % sparsity)
print("Test score with penalty: %.4f" % score)
```

Test score with penalty: 0.9000

```
coef = clf.coef_.copy()
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
scale = np.abs(coef).max()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```

for i in range(10):
    l1_plot = plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)
    l1_plot.imshow(coef[i].reshape(28, 28), interpolation='nearest',
                  cmap=plt.cm.RdBu, vmin=-scale, vmax=scale)
    l1_plot.set_xticks(())
    l1_plot.set_yticks(())
    l1_plot.set_xlabel('Class %i' % i)
plt.suptitle('Classification vector for...')

plt.show()

```

Classification vector for...



## 6.2.4 Model Two Layer MLP

### MLP with Scikit-learn

```

from sklearn.neural_network import MLPClassifier

mlp = MLPClassifier(hidden_layer_sizes=(100, ), max_iter=n_epochs, alpha=1e-4,
                     solver='sgd', verbose=10, tol=1e-4, random_state=1,
                     learning_rate_init=learning_rate, batch_size=batch_size_train)

mlp.fit(X_train, y_train)
print("Training set score: %f" % mlp.score(X_train, y_train))
print("Test set score: %f" % mlp.score(X_test, y_test))

print("Coef shape=", len(mlp.coefs_))

fig, axes = plt.subplots(4, 4)
# use global min / max to ensure all weights are shown on the same scale
vmin, vmax = mlp.coefs_[0].min(), mlp.coefs_[0].max()
for coef, ax in zip(mlp.coefs_[0].T, axes.ravel()):
    ax.matshow(coef.reshape(28, 28), cmap=plt.cm.gray, vmin=.5 * vmin,

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
vmax=.5 * vmax)
ax.set_xticks(())
ax.set_yticks(())

plt.show()
```

```
Iteration 1, loss = 0.29116957
Iteration 2, loss = 0.13429166
Iteration 3, loss = 0.09556213
Iteration 4, loss = 0.07421811
Iteration 5, loss = 0.05473047
```

```
/home/edouard/anaconda3/lib/python3.7/site-packages/sklearn/neural_network/multilayer_
perceptron.py:566: ConvergenceWarning: Stochastic Optimizer: Maximum iterations (5)_
reached and the optimization hasn't converged yet.
% self.max_iter, ConvergenceWarning)
```

```
Training set score: 0.989067
Test set score: 0.971900
Coef shape= 2
```



## MLP with pytorch

```
class TwoLayerMLP(nn.Module):

    def __init__(self, d_in, d_hidden, d_out):
        super(TwoLayerMLP, self).__init__()
        self.d_in = d_in

        self.linear1 = nn.Linear(d_in, d_hidden)
        self.linear2 = nn.Linear(d_hidden, d_out)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

def forward(self, X):
    X = X.view(-1, self.d_in)
    X = self.linear1(X)
    return F.log_softmax(self.linear2(X), dim=1)

model = TwoLayerMLP(D_in, 50, D_out)

```

Explore the model and compute the number of parameters

```

for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in
                                             model.parameters()]))

```

```

torch.Size([50, 784])
torch.Size([50])
torch.Size([10, 50])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 39760

```

## Train the Model

- First we want to make sure our network is in training mode.
- Then we iterate over all training data once per epoch. Loading the individual batches is handled by the DataLoader.
- First we need to manually set the gradients to zero using `optimizer.zero_grad()` since PyTorch by default accumulates gradients.
- Forward pass: We produce the output of our network and compute a negative log-likelihood loss between the output and the ground truth label.
- Backward pass: The `backward()` call we now collect a new set of gradients which we propagate back into each of the network's parameters using `optimizer.step()`.
- We'll also keep track of the progress with some printouts. In order to create a nice training curve later on we also create two lists for saving training and testing losses. On the x-axis we want to display the number of training examples the network has seen during training.
- Save model state: Neural network modules as well as optimizers have the ability to save and load their internal state using `.state_dict()`. With this we can continue training from previously saved state dicts if needed - we'd just need to call `.load_state_dict(state_dict)`.

```

def train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch, device, log_interval=10, batch_max=np.
         inf, save_model=True):
    train_losses, train_counter = list(), list()
    # epoch = 1; log_interval=10; train_losses=[]; train_counter=[]

    model.train()

    # Iterate over minibatch

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
for batch_idx, (data, target) in enumerate(train_loader):
    if batch_idx > batch_max:
        break
    # batch_idx, (data, target) = next(enumerate(train_loader))
    # print(data.shape)
    data, target = data.to(device), target.to(device)
    optimizer.zero_grad()

    # Forward
    output = model(data)
    loss = F.nll_loss(output, target)

    # Bakward
    loss.backward()

    # Update params
    optimizer.step()

    # Track losses
    train_losses.append(loss.item())
    train_counter.append(data.shape[0]) # (batch_idx * data.shape[0]) + ((epoch-
→1)*len(train_loader.dataset))

    # Log
    if batch_idx % log_interval == 0:
        print('Train Epoch: {} [{}/{} ({:.0f}%)]\tLoss: {:.6f}'.format(
            epoch, batch_idx * len(data), len(train_loader.dataset),
            100. * batch_idx / len(train_loader), loss.item()))

    if save_model:
        torch.save(model.state_dict(), 'models/mod-%s.pth' % model.__class__.__
→name__)
        torch.save(optimizer.state_dict(),
                   'models/mod-%s_opt-%s.pth' % (model.__class__.__name__,
                                                 optimizer.__class__.__name__))

return model, train_losses, train_counter
```

## Evaluate/test the Model

- First we want to make sure our network is in evaluation mode `model.eval()`.
- Then we iterate over all test data once per epoch. Loading the individual batches is handled by the `DataLoader`.
- Using the context manager `torch.no_grad()` we can avoid storing the computations done producing the output of our network in the computation graph.

Test loop. Here we sum up the test loss and keep track of correctly classified digits to compute the accuracy of the network.

```
def test(model, test_loader, device, batch_max=np.inf):

    model.eval()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

test_loss = 0
correct = 0
output, pred, target = list(), list(), list()

# Iterate over mini-batches
with torch.no_grad():
    for batch_idx, (data, target_) in enumerate(test_loader):
        if batch_idx > batch_max:
            break
        # batch_idx, (data, target) = next(enumerate(test_loader))
        # print(target_.shape)
        data, target_ = data.to(device), target_.to(device) # target.shape == 1000
        output_ = model(data) # output.shape == (1000, 10)

        # Compute loss
        test_loss += F.nll_loss(output_, target_, reduction='sum').item() # sum up_
        ↵batch loss
        pred_ = output_.argmax(dim=1) # get the index of the max log-probability

        # An correct classification
        correct += pred_.eq(target_.view_as(pred_)).sum().item() # view_as(other):_
        ↵View this tensor as the same size as other

        # Track output, class-prediction and true target
        output.append(output_)
        pred.append(pred_)
        target.append(target_)

    output = torch.cat(output)
    pred = torch.cat(pred)
    target = torch.cat(target)
    assert pred.eq(target.view_as(pred)).sum().item() == correct

    test_loss /= len(target)
    print('Average loss: {:.4f}, Accuracy: {}/{} ({:.1f}%)'.format(
        test_loss, correct, len(target),
        100. * correct / len(target)))
    return pred, output, target, test_loss

```

Initialize the network and the optimizer.

```

# If we were using a GPU for training, we should have also sent the network parameters_
        ↵to the GPU
model = TwoLayerMLP(D_in, 50, D_out)
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

```

Time to run the training! We'll manually add a test() call before we loop over n\_epochs to evaluate our model with randomly initialized parameters.

```

pred, output, target, test_loss = test(model, test_loader, device)
print("Test accuracy = {}".format((target == pred).sum() * 100. / len(target)))

```

```
Average loss: 2.3782, Accuracy: 814/10000 (8.1%)
Test accuracy = 8%
```

Train one epoch

```
model, train_losses, train_counter = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, 1, device, log_
↪interval=100)
pred, output, target, test_loss = test(model, test_loader, device)
```

```
Train Epoch: 1 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.329973
Train Epoch: 1 [6400/60000 (11%)]    Loss: 0.582099
Train Epoch: 1 [12800/60000 (21%)]    Loss: 0.479563
Train Epoch: 1 [19200/60000 (32%)]    Loss: 0.437866
Train Epoch: 1 [25600/60000 (43%)]    Loss: 0.409541
Train Epoch: 1 [32000/60000 (53%)]    Loss: 0.334534
Train Epoch: 1 [38400/60000 (64%)]    Loss: 0.503681
Train Epoch: 1 [44800/60000 (75%)]    Loss: 0.220133
Train Epoch: 1 [51200/60000 (85%)]    Loss: 0.313128
Train Epoch: 1 [57600/60000 (96%)]    Loss: 0.255208
Average loss: 0.3085, Accuracy: 9114/10000 (91.1%)
```


Evaluating the Model's Performance

```
print("Test accuracy = {}%".format((target == pred).sum() * 100. / len(target)))
test_counter, test_losses = [len(train_loader.dataset)], [test_loss]

fig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, '-b',
          np.cumsum(test_counter), test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')
```

```
Test accuracy = 91%
```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'negative log likelihood loss')
```



let's again look at a few examples as we did earlier and compare the model's output.

```
with torch.no_grad():
    output = model(example_data)
y_pred = output.argmax(dim=1)

show_data_label_prediction(data=example_data, y_true=example_targets, y_pred=y_pred, shape=(3, 4))
```

True: 6 Pred: 6    True: 2 Pred: 2    True: 3 Pred: 3    True: 5 Pred: 6



True: 5 Pred: 5    True: 7 Pred: 7    True: 6 Pred: 6    True: 9 Pred: 9



True: 3 Pred: 3    True: 5 Pred: 5    True: 4 Pred: 4    True: 2 Pred: 2



Look at some missclassified images

```
errors = example_targets != y_pred
print("Nb errors = {}, (rate = {:.2f}%)".format(errors.sum(), 100 * errors.sum().item() / len(errors)))
err_idx = np.where(errors)
show_data_label_prediction(data=example_data[err_idx], y_true=example_targets[err_idx], y_pred=y_pred[err_idx], shape=(3, 4))
```

Nb errors = 78, (rate = 7.80%)

True: 5 Pred: 6    True: 8 Pred: 3    True: 9 Pred: 4    True: 3 Pred: 8



True: 2 Pred: 8    True: 7 Pred: 2    True: 5 Pred: 9    True: 2 Pred: 8



True: 1 Pred: 5    True: 4 Pred: 1    True: 1 Pred: 8    True: 7 Pred: 1



## Reload model

```
model = TwoLayerMLP(D_in, 50, D_out)
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

model.load_state_dict(torch.load('models/mod-%s.pth' % model.__class__.__name__))
optimizer.load_state_dict(torch.load('models/mod-%s_opt-%s.pth' % (model.__class__.__name__, optimizer.__class__.__name__)))
```

## Continue training from checkpoints

```
for epoch in range(2, n_epochs + 1):
    # Train
    model, train_losses_, train_counter_ = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch, device,
                                                log_interval=50)
    train_losses += train_losses_
    train_counter += train_counter_

    # Test
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

pred, output, target, test_loss = test(model, test_loader, device)
test_counter.append(len(train_loader.dataset))
test_losses.append(test_loss)
print("Test accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target == pred).sum().item() * 100. / len(target)))

fig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, color='blue')
plt.plot(np.cumsum(test_counter), test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')

```

```

Train Epoch: 2 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.297746
Train Epoch: 2 [3200/60000 (5%)] Loss: 0.199552
Train Epoch: 2 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.195754
Train Epoch: 2 [9600/60000 (16%)] Loss: 0.440798
Train Epoch: 2 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.292575
Train Epoch: 2 [16000/60000 (27%)] Loss: 0.317209
Train Epoch: 2 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.216033
Train Epoch: 2 [22400/60000 (37%)] Loss: 0.288942
Train Epoch: 2 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.366744
Train Epoch: 2 [28800/60000 (48%)] Loss: 0.567203
Train Epoch: 2 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.317032
Train Epoch: 2 [35200/60000 (59%)] Loss: 0.367193
Train Epoch: 2 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.197807
Train Epoch: 2 [41600/60000 (69%)] Loss: 0.366751
Train Epoch: 2 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.290842
Train Epoch: 2 [48000/60000 (80%)] Loss: 0.441283
Train Epoch: 2 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.163710
Train Epoch: 2 [54400/60000 (91%)] Loss: 0.237622
Train Epoch: 2 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.362765
Average loss: 0.2824, Accuracy: 9208/10000 (92.1%)
Test accuracy = 92.1%
Train Epoch: 3 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.399636
Train Epoch: 3 [3200/60000 (5%)] Loss: 0.162228
Train Epoch: 3 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.281458
Train Epoch: 3 [9600/60000 (16%)] Loss: 0.241161
Train Epoch: 3 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.142354
Train Epoch: 3 [16000/60000 (27%)] Loss: 0.157609
Train Epoch: 3 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.260297
Train Epoch: 3 [22400/60000 (37%)] Loss: 0.117068
Train Epoch: 3 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.223944
Train Epoch: 3 [28800/60000 (48%)] Loss: 0.292779
Train Epoch: 3 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.236018
Train Epoch: 3 [35200/60000 (59%)] Loss: 0.395386
Train Epoch: 3 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.285232
Train Epoch: 3 [41600/60000 (69%)] Loss: 0.296275
Train Epoch: 3 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.222346
Train Epoch: 3 [48000/60000 (80%)] Loss: 0.181757
Train Epoch: 3 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.229358
Train Epoch: 3 [54400/60000 (91%)] Loss: 0.256007
Train Epoch: 3 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.229135
Average loss: 0.2748, Accuracy: 9221/10000 (92.2%)
Test accuracy = 92.2%


```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Train Epoch: 4 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.191067
Train Epoch: 4 [3200/60000 (5%)] Loss: 0.264568
Train Epoch: 4 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.344848
Train Epoch: 4 [9600/60000 (16%)] Loss: 0.322829
Train Epoch: 4 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.299770
Train Epoch: 4 [16000/60000 (27%)] Loss: 0.129960
Train Epoch: 4 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.337604
Train Epoch: 4 [22400/60000 (37%)] Loss: 0.240242
Train Epoch: 4 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.299063
Train Epoch: 4 [28800/60000 (48%)] Loss: 0.202881
Train Epoch: 4 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.552626
Train Epoch: 4 [35200/60000 (59%)] Loss: 0.173612
Train Epoch: 4 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.430574
Train Epoch: 4 [41600/60000 (69%)] Loss: 0.329040
Train Epoch: 4 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.409610
Train Epoch: 4 [48000/60000 (80%)] Loss: 0.443014
Train Epoch: 4 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.112904
Train Epoch: 4 [54400/60000 (91%)] Loss: 0.123398
Train Epoch: 4 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.182847
Average loss: 0.2795, Accuracy: 9206/10000 (92.1%)
Test accuracy = 92.1%
Train Epoch: 5 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.445330
Train Epoch: 5 [3200/60000 (5%)] Loss: 0.343841
Train Epoch: 5 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.086157
Train Epoch: 5 [9600/60000 (16%)] Loss: 0.174552
Train Epoch: 5 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.277791
Train Epoch: 5 [16000/60000 (27%)] Loss: 0.416586
Train Epoch: 5 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.293505
Train Epoch: 5 [22400/60000 (37%)] Loss: 0.200359
Train Epoch: 5 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.306837
Train Epoch: 5 [28800/60000 (48%)] Loss: 0.195943
Train Epoch: 5 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.234034
Train Epoch: 5 [35200/60000 (59%)] Loss: 0.260997
Train Epoch: 5 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.454564
Train Epoch: 5 [41600/60000 (69%)] Loss: 0.569076
Train Epoch: 5 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.238641
Train Epoch: 5 [48000/60000 (80%)] Loss: 0.234421
Train Epoch: 5 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.116216
Train Epoch: 5 [54400/60000 (91%)] Loss: 0.269736
Train Epoch: 5 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.266678
Average loss: 0.2727, Accuracy: 9234/10000 (92.3%)
Test accuracy = 92.3%
```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'negative log likelihood loss')
```



### 6.2.5 Test several MLP architectures

- Define a MultiLayerMLP(784, 512, 256, 128) class that take the size of the layer as paraameters of the constructor.
- Add some non-linearity with relu acivation function

```
class MLP(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, d_layer):
        super(MLP, self).__init__()
        self.d_layer = d_layer
        layer_list = [nn.Linear(d_layer[l], d_layer[l+1]) for l in range(len(d_layer)-1)]
        self.linears = nn.ModuleList(layer_list)

    def forward(self, X):
        X = X.view(-1, self.d_layer[0])
        # relu(Wl x) for all hidden layer
        for layer in self.linears[:-1]:
            X = F.relu(layer(X))
        # softmax(Wl x) for output layer
        return F.log_softmax(self.linears[-1](X), dim=1)
```

```
#model = MLP([D_in, 50, D_out])
#model = MLP([D_in, 512, 256, 128, D_out])
model = MLP([D_in, 512, 256, 128, 64, D_out]) # 96.6% (5 epochs)
#model = MLP([D_in, 512, 256, 256, 128, 128, 64, 64, D_out]) # 98.0% 661514 parameters
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in
                                          model.parameters()]))\n\ntrain_losses, train_counter, test_losses, test_counter = [], [], [], []
for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
    # Train
    model, train_losses_, train_counter_ = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch,
                                                 device,
                                                 log_interval=100)
    train_losses += train_losses_
    train_counter += train_counter_\n\n    print("Test : ", end = ' ')
    pred, output, target, test_loss = test(model, test_loader, device)
    test_counter.append(np.sum(train_counter))
    test_losses.append(test_loss)
    #print("Test accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target == pred).sum().item() * 100. / len(target)))\n\nfig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, color='blue')
plt.plot(test_counter, test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')
```

```
torch.Size([512, 784])
torch.Size([512])
torch.Size([256, 512])
torch.Size([256])
torch.Size([128, 256])
torch.Size([128])
torch.Size([64, 128])
torch.Size([64])
torch.Size([10, 64])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 575050
Train Epoch: 1 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 2.318381
Train Epoch: 1 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 2.294190
Train Epoch: 1 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 2.248996
Train Epoch: 1 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 2.062119
Train Epoch: 1 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 1.157176
Train Epoch: 1 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.603920
Train Epoch: 1 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.508321
Train Epoch: 1 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.335120
Train Epoch: 1 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.239150
Train Epoch: 1 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.538230
Test : Average loss: 0.3753, Accuracy: 8820/10000 (88.2%)
Train Epoch: 2 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.335734
Train Epoch: 2 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.387856
Train Epoch: 2 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.272065
Train Epoch: 2 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.311317
Train Epoch: 2 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.202037
Train Epoch: 2 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.424480
```

(continues on next page)


(continued from previous page)

```

Train Epoch: 2 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.195544
Train Epoch: 2 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.191694
Train Epoch: 2 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.152726
Train Epoch: 2 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.141283
Test : Average loss: 0.1960, Accuracy: 9396/10000 (94.0%)
Train Epoch: 3 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.226082
Train Epoch: 3 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.399618
Train Epoch: 3 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.179177
Train Epoch: 3 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.194240
Train Epoch: 3 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.162789
Train Epoch: 3 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.108915
Train Epoch: 3 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.117389
Train Epoch: 3 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.318835
Train Epoch: 3 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.054453
Train Epoch: 3 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.112789
Test : Average loss: 0.1347, Accuracy: 9595/10000 (96.0%)
Train Epoch: 4 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.235682
Train Epoch: 4 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.154686
Train Epoch: 4 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.106302
Train Epoch: 4 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.092253
Train Epoch: 4 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.099480
Train Epoch: 4 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.160590
Train Epoch: 4 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.081968
Train Epoch: 4 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.091451
Train Epoch: 4 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.176540
Train Epoch: 4 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.165310
Test : Average loss: 0.1213, Accuracy: 9610/10000 (96.1%)
Train Epoch: 5 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.087467
Train Epoch: 5 [6400/60000 (11%)] Loss: 0.150616
Train Epoch: 5 [12800/60000 (21%)] Loss: 0.199906
Train Epoch: 5 [19200/60000 (32%)] Loss: 0.143086
Train Epoch: 5 [25600/60000 (43%)] Loss: 0.096592
Train Epoch: 5 [32000/60000 (53%)] Loss: 0.025440
Train Epoch: 5 [38400/60000 (64%)] Loss: 0.219382
Train Epoch: 5 [44800/60000 (75%)] Loss: 0.122088
Train Epoch: 5 [51200/60000 (85%)] Loss: 0.059545
Train Epoch: 5 [57600/60000 (96%)] Loss: 0.091633
Test : Average loss: 0.0996, Accuracy: 9685/10000 (96.8%)

```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'negative log likelihood loss')
```



### 6.2.6 Reduce the size of training dataset

Reduce the size of the training dataset by considering only a subset of batches. Reduce the size of the batch size to 16, and consider 8 mini-batches for training, ie 128 training samples.

```
train_loader, test_loader = load_mnist(16, batch_size_test)

n_epochs = 50
n_batch = 8

model = MLP([D_in, 512, 256, 128, 64, D_out]) # 11.5% (50 epochs)
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in model.parameters()])) 

train_losses, train_counter, test_losses, test_counter = [], [], [], []
for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
    print()
    model, train_losses_, train_counter_ = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch,
                                                device,
                                                log_interval=8,
                                                batch_max=n_batch, save_model=False)
    train_losses += train_losses_
    train_counter += train_counter_

    print("Test : ", end = ' ')
    pred_test, output_test, target_test, loss_test = test(model, test_loader, device)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

test_counter.append(np.sum(train_counter))
test_losses.append(loss_test)

# Train accuracy
print("Train: ", end = '')
pred_train, output_train, target_train, loss_train = test(model, train_loader, device,
↪ batch_max=n_batch)

#print("Train accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target_train == pred_train).sum().item() *_
↪ 100. / len(target_train)))
#print("Test accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target_test == pred_test).sum().item() * 100. /
↪ / len(target_test)))

fig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, color='blue')
plt.plot(test_counter, test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')

```

```

torch.Size([512, 784])
torch.Size([512])
torch.Size([256, 512])
torch.Size([256])
torch.Size([128, 256])
torch.Size([128])
torch.Size([64, 128])
torch.Size([64])
torch.Size([10, 64])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 575050

Train Epoch: 1 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.315003
Train Epoch: 1 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.266182
Train Epoch: 1 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.313123
Test : Average loss: 2.3036, Accuracy: 1024/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3036, Accuracy: 16/144 (11.1%)

Train Epoch: 2 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.290451
Train Epoch: 2 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.310462
Train Epoch: 2 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.335263
Test : Average loss: 2.3033, Accuracy: 1024/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3065, Accuracy: 11/144 (7.6%)

Train Epoch: 3 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.297959
Train Epoch: 3 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.280230
Train Epoch: 3 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.307546
Test : Average loss: 2.3031, Accuracy: 1024/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2911, Accuracy: 26/144 (18.1%)

Train Epoch: 4 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.308667
Train Epoch: 4 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.316840
Train Epoch: 4 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.272091
Test : Average loss: 2.3028, Accuracy: 1024/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3047, Accuracy: 21/144 (14.6%)

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Train Epoch: 5 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.278164
Train Epoch: 5 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.334745
Train Epoch: 5 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.313973
Test : Average loss: 2.3026, Accuracy: 1025/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3004, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 6 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.302509
Train Epoch: 6 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.310000
Train Epoch: 6 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.299502
Test : Average loss: 2.3024, Accuracy: 1024/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3049, Accuracy: 16/144 (11.1%)

Train Epoch: 7 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.348909
Train Epoch: 7 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.302036
Train Epoch: 7 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.330081
Test : Average loss: 2.3021, Accuracy: 1025/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3094, Accuracy: 10/144 (6.9%)

Train Epoch: 8 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.301638
Train Epoch: 8 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.300227
Train Epoch: 8 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.296846
Test : Average loss: 2.3019, Accuracy: 1026/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3047, Accuracy: 11/144 (7.6%)

Train Epoch: 9 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.307570
Train Epoch: 9 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.314411
Train Epoch: 9 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.299457
Test : Average loss: 2.3017, Accuracy: 1026/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2929, Accuracy: 19/144 (13.2%)

Train Epoch: 10 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.291459
Train Epoch: 10 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.293650
Train Epoch: 10 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.291519
Test : Average loss: 2.3014, Accuracy: 1026/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3051, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 11 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.336118
Train Epoch: 11 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.316343
Train Epoch: 11 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.309778
Test : Average loss: 2.3012, Accuracy: 1025/10000 (10.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3050, Accuracy: 9/144 (6.2%)

Train Epoch: 12 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.295599
Train Epoch: 12 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.306854
Train Epoch: 12 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.318225
Test : Average loss: 2.3010, Accuracy: 1026/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3101, Accuracy: 11/144 (7.6%)

Train Epoch: 13 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.288192
Train Epoch: 13 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.288405
Train Epoch: 13 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.299071
Test : Average loss: 2.3007, Accuracy: 1029/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3005, Accuracy: 8/144 (5.6%)

Train Epoch: 14 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.292028
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

Train Epoch: 14 [64/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.326027
Train Epoch: 14 [128/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.284010
Test : Average loss: 2.3005, Accuracy: 1030/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2905, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 15 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.325357
Train Epoch: 15 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.274829
Train Epoch: 15 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.319685
Test : Average loss: 2.3002, Accuracy: 1031/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3041, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 16 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.280993
Train Epoch: 16 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.301378
Train Epoch: 16 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.314730
Test : Average loss: 2.3000, Accuracy: 1034/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3100, Accuracy: 16/144 (11.1%)

Train Epoch: 17 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.322754
Train Epoch: 17 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.310432
Train Epoch: 17 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.289587
Test : Average loss: 2.2997, Accuracy: 1036/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2937, Accuracy: 19/144 (13.2%)

Train Epoch: 18 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.264712
Train Epoch: 18 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.296989
Train Epoch: 18 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.308502
Test : Average loss: 2.2995, Accuracy: 1035/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2981, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 19 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.277655
Train Epoch: 19 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.322859
Train Epoch: 19 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.307716
Test : Average loss: 2.2993, Accuracy: 1035/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2984, Accuracy: 7/144 (4.9%)

Train Epoch: 20 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.315597
Train Epoch: 20 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.299444
Train Epoch: 20 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.281145
Test : Average loss: 2.2990, Accuracy: 1035/10000 (10.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2976, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 21 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.314014
Train Epoch: 21 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.288031
Train Epoch: 21 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.328141
Test : Average loss: 2.2988, Accuracy: 1036/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2944, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 22 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.324478
Train Epoch: 22 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.327983
Train Epoch: 22 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.269611
Test : Average loss: 2.2986, Accuracy: 1037/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3020, Accuracy: 12/144 (8.3%)

Train Epoch: 23 [0/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.272523
Train Epoch: 23 [64/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.303648
Train Epoch: 23 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.323591

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Test : Average loss: 2.2983, Accuracy: 1037/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2958, Accuracy: 20/144 (13.9%)

Train Epoch: 24 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.314385
Train Epoch: 24 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.322125
Train Epoch: 24 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.343338
Test : Average loss: 2.2981, Accuracy: 1042/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3017, Accuracy: 10/144 (6.9%)

Train Epoch: 25 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.302010
Train Epoch: 25 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.294123
Train Epoch: 25 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.316058
Test : Average loss: 2.2979, Accuracy: 1041/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2841, Accuracy: 19/144 (13.2%)

Train Epoch: 26 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.293340
Train Epoch: 26 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.303976
Train Epoch: 26 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.330745
Test : Average loss: 2.2977, Accuracy: 1043/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3004, Accuracy: 15/144 (10.4%)

Train Epoch: 27 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.306753
Train Epoch: 27 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.273492
Train Epoch: 27 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.282012
Test : Average loss: 2.2974, Accuracy: 1044/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3021, Accuracy: 18/144 (12.5%)

Train Epoch: 28 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.308223
Train Epoch: 28 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.309164
Train Epoch: 28 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.308208
Test : Average loss: 2.2972, Accuracy: 1044/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2967, Accuracy: 11/144 (7.6%)

Train Epoch: 29 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.259127
Train Epoch: 29 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.313815
Train Epoch: 29 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.321640
Test : Average loss: 2.2970, Accuracy: 1048/10000 (10.5%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2948, Accuracy: 12/144 (8.3%)

Train Epoch: 30 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.278858
Train Epoch: 30 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.283127
Train Epoch: 30 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.299013
Test : Average loss: 2.2968, Accuracy: 1054/10000 (10.5%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2996, Accuracy: 19/144 (13.2%)

Train Epoch: 31 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.310127
Train Epoch: 31 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.308391
Train Epoch: 31 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.283700
Test : Average loss: 2.2965, Accuracy: 1060/10000 (10.6%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2932, Accuracy: 18/144 (12.5%)

Train Epoch: 32 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.255986
Train Epoch: 32 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.298204
Train Epoch: 32 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.318379
Test : Average loss: 2.2963, Accuracy: 1068/10000 (10.7%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2945, Accuracy: 22/144 (15.3%)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

Train Epoch: 33 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.298858
Train Epoch: 33 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.296788
Train Epoch: 33 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.296679
Test : Average loss: 2.2961, Accuracy: 1067/10000 (10.7%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3005, Accuracy: 12/144 (8.3%)

Train Epoch: 34 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.301634
Train Epoch: 34 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.266583
Train Epoch: 34 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.310963
Test : Average loss: 2.2958, Accuracy: 1077/10000 (10.8%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2908, Accuracy: 20/144 (13.9%)

Train Epoch: 35 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.271607
Train Epoch: 35 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.288141
Train Epoch: 35 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.315561
Test : Average loss: 2.2956, Accuracy: 1082/10000 (10.8%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3011, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 36 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.309817
Train Epoch: 36 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.339015
Train Epoch: 36 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.281708
Test : Average loss: 2.2954, Accuracy: 1086/10000 (10.9%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3030, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 37 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.284430
Train Epoch: 37 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.312742
Train Epoch: 37 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.304218
Test : Average loss: 2.2951, Accuracy: 1087/10000 (10.9%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2862, Accuracy: 22/144 (15.3%)

Train Epoch: 38 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.299268
Train Epoch: 38 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.310683
Train Epoch: 38 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.316426
Test : Average loss: 2.2949, Accuracy: 1099/10000 (11.0%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2968, Accuracy: 18/144 (12.5%)

Train Epoch: 39 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.296793
Train Epoch: 39 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.296304
Train Epoch: 39 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.296203
Test : Average loss: 2.2947, Accuracy: 1103/10000 (11.0%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2909, Accuracy: 23/144 (16.0%)

Train Epoch: 40 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.270435
Train Epoch: 40 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.300333
Train Epoch: 40 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.299311
Test : Average loss: 2.2944, Accuracy: 1105/10000 (11.1%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2904, Accuracy: 23/144 (16.0%)

Train Epoch: 41 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.320282
Train Epoch: 41 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.259141
Train Epoch: 41 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.327047
Test : Average loss: 2.2942, Accuracy: 1114/10000 (11.1%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2926, Accuracy: 15/144 (10.4%)

Train Epoch: 42 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.295831

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Train Epoch: 42 [64/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.337256
Train Epoch: 42 [128/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.278352
Test : Average loss: 2.2939, Accuracy: 1115/10000 (11.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2952, Accuracy: 16/144 (11.1%)

Train Epoch: 43 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.268743
Train Epoch: 43 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.275180
Train Epoch: 43 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.300224
Test : Average loss: 2.2937, Accuracy: 1120/10000 (11.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3051, Accuracy: 15/144 (10.4%)

Train Epoch: 44 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.347720
Train Epoch: 44 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.277349
Train Epoch: 44 [128/60000 (0%)]    Loss: 2.280195
Test : Average loss: 2.2935, Accuracy: 1125/10000 (11.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2988, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)

Train Epoch: 45 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.283477
Train Epoch: 45 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.295439
Train Epoch: 45 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.264756
Test : Average loss: 2.2932, Accuracy: 1122/10000 (11.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2952, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 46 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.296412
Train Epoch: 46 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.280835
Train Epoch: 46 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.281736
Test : Average loss: 2.2930, Accuracy: 1121/10000 (11.2%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2933, Accuracy: 14/144 (9.7%)


Train Epoch: 47 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.299070
Train Epoch: 47 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.307963
Train Epoch: 47 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.313239
Test : Average loss: 2.2927, Accuracy: 1131/10000 (11.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2848, Accuracy: 17/144 (11.8%)

Train Epoch: 48 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.280132
Train Epoch: 48 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.272142
Train Epoch: 48 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.288074
Test : Average loss: 2.2924, Accuracy: 1141/10000 (11.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3072, Accuracy: 11/144 (7.6%)

Train Epoch: 49 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.302387
Train Epoch: 49 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.298228
Train Epoch: 49 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.310489
Test : Average loss: 2.2921, Accuracy: 1139/10000 (11.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2893, Accuracy: 21/144 (14.6%)

Train Epoch: 50 [0/60000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.299777
Train Epoch: 50 [64/60000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.307879
Train Epoch: 50 [128/60000 (0%)]   Loss: 2.291359
Test : Average loss: 2.2919, Accuracy: 1149/10000 (11.5%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3042, Accuracy: 10/144 (6.9%)
```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'negative log likelihood loss')
```



### 6.2.7 Run MLP on CIFAR-10 dataset

The CIFAR-10 dataset consists of 60000 32x32 colour images in 10 classes, with 6000 images per class. There are 50000 training images and 10000 test images.

The dataset is divided into five training batches and one test batch, each with 10000 images. The test batch contains exactly 1000 randomly-selected images from each class. The training batches contain the remaining images in random order, but some training batches may contain more images from one class than another. Between them, the training batches contain exactly 5000 images from each class.

Here are the classes in the dataset, as well as 10 random images from each:

- airplane
- automobile
- bird
- cat
- deer
- dog
- frog
- horse
- ship
- truck

```
from pathlib import Path
WD = os.path.join(Path.home(), "data", "pystatml", "dl_cifar10_pytorch")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
os.chdir(WD)
print("Working dir is:", os.getcwd())
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
os.makedirs("data", exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs("models", exist_ok=True)
```

```
Working dir is: /tmp/dl_cnn_cifar10_pytorch
```

Load CIFAR-10 dataset

```
# ----- #
# An implementation of https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf      #
# See section 4.2 for the model architecture on CIFAR-10          #
# Some part of the code was referenced from below                  #
# https://github.com/pytorch/vision/blob/master/torchvision/models/resnet.py #
# ----- #

import numpy as np
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torchvision
import torchvision.transforms as transforms

# Device configuration
device = torch.device('cuda' if torch.cuda.is_available() else 'cpu')

# Hyper-parameters
num_epochs = 5
learning_rate = 0.001

# Image preprocessing modules
transform = transforms.Compose([
    transforms.Pad(4),
    transforms.RandomHorizontalFlip(),
    transforms.RandomCrop(32),
    transforms.ToTensor()])

# CIFAR-10 dataset
train_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                             train=True,
                                             transform=transform,
                                             download=True)

test_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                             train=False,
                                             transform=transforms.ToTensor())

# Data loader
train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=train_dataset,
                                            batch_size=100,
                                            shuffle=True)

test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=test_dataset,
                                         batch_size=100,
                                         shuffle=False)
```

Files already downloaded **and** verified

Output layer size: How many classes to predict?

```
data_shape = train_loader.dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
print(train_loader.dataset.data.shape, D_in, data_shape)
```

(50000, 32, 32, 3) 3072 (32, 32, 3)

Output layer size: How many classes to predict?

```
D_out = len(set(train_loader.dataset.targets))
print(D_out)
```

10

```
n_epochs = 10

model = MLP([D_in, 512, 256, 128, 64, D_out]) # 13.6% (10 epochs)
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in model.parameters()])) 

train_losses, train_counter, test_losses, test_counter = [], [], [], []
for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
    print()
    model, train_losses_, train_counter_ = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch, device,
                                                 log_interval=100)
    train_losses += train_losses_
    train_counter += train_counter_

    print("Test : ", end = '')
    pred_test, output_test, target_test, loss_test = test(model, test_loader, device)
    test_counter.append(np.sum(train_counter))
    test_losses.append(loss_test)

    # Train accuracy
    print("Train: ", end = '')
    pred_train, output_train, target_train, loss_train = test(model, train_loader, device,
                                                               batch_max=n_batch)

        #print("Train accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target_train == pred_train).sum().item() * 100. / len(target_train)))
        #print("Test accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target_test == pred_test).sum().item() * 100. / len(target_test)))

fig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, color='blue')
plt.plot(test_counter, test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')
```

```
Total number of parameters = 1746506

Train Epoch: 1 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.305330
Train Epoch: 1 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.300819
Train Epoch: 1 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.294654
Train Epoch: 1 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.296776
Train Epoch: 1 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.303657
Test : Average loss: 2.3037, Accuracy: 1000/10000 (10.0%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3007, Accuracy: 29/200 (14.5%)

Train Epoch: 2 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.299570
Train Epoch: 2 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.296798
Train Epoch: 2 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.312745
Train Epoch: 2 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.297625
Train Epoch: 2 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.304529
Test : Average loss: 2.3021, Accuracy: 1000/10000 (10.0%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3032, Accuracy: 12/200 (6.0%)

Train Epoch: 3 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.310580
Train Epoch: 3 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.303060
Train Epoch: 3 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.283691
Train Epoch: 3 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.303586
Train Epoch: 3 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.297644
Test : Average loss: 2.3007, Accuracy: 1002/10000 (10.0%)
Train: Average loss: 2.3030, Accuracy: 22/200 (11.0%)

Train Epoch: 4 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.298398
Train Epoch: 4 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.299345
Train Epoch: 4 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.299338
Train Epoch: 4 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.310185
Train Epoch: 4 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.309322
Test : Average loss: 2.2993, Accuracy: 1038/10000 (10.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2955, Accuracy: 25/200 (12.5%)

Train Epoch: 5 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.290088
Train Epoch: 5 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.302512
Train Epoch: 5 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.295251
Train Epoch: 5 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.298996
Train Epoch: 5 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.290232
Test : Average loss: 2.2977, Accuracy: 1263/10000 (12.6%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2994, Accuracy: 26/200 (13.0%)

Train Epoch: 6 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.298252
Train Epoch: 6 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.298319
Train Epoch: 6 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.297740
Train Epoch: 6 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.303694
Train Epoch: 6 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.302817
Test : Average loss: 2.2958, Accuracy: 1571/10000 (15.7%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2965, Accuracy: 32/200 (16.0%)

Train Epoch: 7 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.296415
Train Epoch: 7 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.303199
Train Epoch: 7 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.298098
Train Epoch: 7 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.288737
Train Epoch: 7 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.287759
Test : Average loss: 2.2937, Accuracy: 1640/10000 (16.4%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2941, Accuracy: 32/200 (16.0%)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```


Train Epoch: 8 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.294979
Train Epoch: 8 [10000/50000 (20%)]  Loss: 2.289360
Train Epoch: 8 [20000/50000 (40%)]  Loss: 2.295821
Train Epoch: 8 [30000/50000 (60%)]  Loss: 2.296136
Train Epoch: 8 [40000/50000 (80%)]  Loss: 2.295353
Test : Average loss: 2.2910, Accuracy: 1629/10000 (16.3%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2949, Accuracy: 34/200 (17.0%)

Train Epoch: 9 [0/50000 (0%)]      Loss: 2.293388
Train Epoch: 9 [10000/50000 (20%)]  Loss: 2.293746
Train Epoch: 9 [20000/50000 (40%)]  Loss: 2.297823
Train Epoch: 9 [30000/50000 (60%)]  Loss: 2.294853
Train Epoch: 9 [40000/50000 (80%)]  Loss: 2.283837
Test : Average loss: 2.2876, Accuracy: 1606/10000 (16.1%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2935, Accuracy: 29/200 (14.5%)

Train Epoch: 10 [0/50000 (0%)]     Loss: 2.292940
Train Epoch: 10 [10000/50000 (20%)] Loss: 2.297142
Train Epoch: 10 [20000/50000 (40%)] Loss: 2.287566
Train Epoch: 10 [30000/50000 (60%)] Loss: 2.284041
Train Epoch: 10 [40000/50000 (80%)] Loss: 2.287916
Test : Average loss: 2.2830, Accuracy: 1595/10000 (15.9%)
Train: Average loss: 2.2857, Accuracy: 27/200 (13.5%)

```

```
Text(0, 0.5, 'negative log likelihood loss')
```



### 6.2.8 Does dropout regularization improve the situation ?

```
class MLPDropOut(nn.Module):
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
def __init__(self, D_in, D_out):
    super(MLPDropOut, self).__init__()
    self.fc1 = nn.Linear(D_in, 512)
    self.fc1_drop = nn.Dropout(0.2)

    self.fc2 = nn.Linear(512, 256)
    self.fc2_drop = nn.Dropout(0.2)

    self.fc3 = nn.Linear(256, 128)
    self.fc3_drop = nn.Dropout(0.2)

    self.fc4 = nn.Linear(128, 64)
    self.fc4_drop = nn.Dropout(0.2)

    self.fc5 = nn.Linear(64, D_out)

def forward(self, x):
    x = x.view(-1, D_in)

    x = F.relu(self.fc1(x))
    x = self.fc1_drop(x)

    x = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
    x = self.fc2_drop(x)

    x = F.relu(self.fc3(x))
    x = self.fc3_drop(x)

    x = F.relu(self.fc4(x))
    x = self.fc4_drop(x)

    return F.log_softmax(self.fc5(x), dim=1)
```

```
model = MLPDropOut(D_in, D_out)
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=learning_rate, momentum=momentum)

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in
    model.parameters()]))
```

```
train_losses, train_counter, test_losses, test_counter = [], [], [], []
for epoch in range(1, n_epochs + 1):
    print()
    # Train
    model, train_losses_, train_counter_ = train(model, train_loader, optimizer, epoch,
        device, log_interval,
        batch_max=10)
    train_losses += train_losses_
    train_counter += train_counter_

    # Test
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

pred, output, target, test_loss = test(model, test_loader, device)
test_counter.append(np.sum(train_counter))
test_losses.append(test_loss)

# Train accuracy
pred_train, output_train, target_train, loss_train = test(model, train_loader, device)
#print("Train accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target_train == pred_train).sum().item() *_
#→100. / len(target_train)))
#print("Test accuracy = {:.1f}%".format((target == pred).sum().item() * 100. /_
#→len(target)))

fig = plt.figure()
plt.plot(np.cumsum(train_counter), train_losses, color='blue')
plt.plot(test_counter, test_losses, "or")
plt.legend(['Train Loss', 'Test Loss'], loc='upper right')
plt.xlabel('number of training examples seen')
plt.ylabel('negative log likelihood loss')

```

## 6.3 Convolutional neural network

### 6.3.1 Outline

2. Architectures
3. Train and test functions
4. CNN models
5. MNIST
6. CIFAR-10

Sources:

Deep learning - [cs231n.stanford.edu](https://cs231n.stanford.edu)

CNN - [Stanford cs231n](#)

Pytorch - [WWW tutorials](#) - [github tutorials](#) - [github examples](#)

MNIST and pytorch: - MNIST [nextjournal.com/gkoehler/pytorch-mnist](https://nextjournal.com/gkoehler/pytorch-mnist) - MNIST  
[github/pytorch/examples](https://github.com/pytorch/examples) - MNIST [kaggle](https://kaggle.com/c/mnist)

### 6.3.2 Architectures

Sources:

- [cv-tricks.com](http://cv-tricks.com)
- [zhenye-na.github.io()](https://zhenye-na.github.io/2018/12/01/cnn-deep-learning-ai-week2.html)

## LeNet

The first Convolutional Networks were developed by Yann LeCun in 1990's.




Fig. 1: LeNet

## AlexNet

(2012, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever and Geoff Hinton)




Fig. 2: AlexNet

- Deeper, bigger,
- Featured Convolutional Layers stacked on top of each other (previously it was common to only have a single CONV layer always immediately followed by a POOL layer).
- **ReLU(Rectified Linear Unit)** for the non-linear part, instead of a Tanh or Sigmoid.

The advantage of the ReLU over sigmoid is that it trains much faster than the latter because the derivative of sigmoid becomes very small in the saturating region and therefore the updates to the weights almost vanish. This is called **vanishing gradient problem**.

- **Dropout:** reduces the over-fitting by using a Dropout layer after every FC layer. Dropout layer has a probability,  $(p)$ , associated with it and is applied at every neuron of the response map separately. It randomly switches off the activation with the probability  $p$ .

Why does DropOut work?

AlexNet Network - Structural Details													
Input		Output			Layer	Stride	Pad	Kernel size	in	out	# of Param		
227	227	3	55	55	96	conv1	4	0	11	11	3	96	34944
55	55	96	27	27	96	maxpool1	2	0	3	3	96	96	0
27	27	96	27	27	256	conv2	1	2	5	5	96	256	614656
27	27	256	13	13	256	maxpool2	2	0	3	3	256	256	0
13	13	256	13	13	384	conv3	1	1	3	3	256	384	885120
13	13	384	13	13	384	conv4	1	1	3	3	384	384	1327488
13	13	384	13	13	256	conv5	1	1	3	3	384	256	884992
13	13	256	6	6	256	maxpool5	2	0	3	3	256	256	0
					fc6			1	1	9216	4096	37752832	
					fc7			1	1	4096	4096	16781312	
					fc8			1	1	4096	1000	4097000	
Total											62,378,344		

Fig. 3: AlexNet architecture




Fig. 4: Dropout

The idea behind the dropout is similar to the model ensembles. Due to the dropout layer, different sets of neurons which are switched off, represent a different architecture and all these different architectures are trained in parallel with weight given to each subset and the summation of weights being one. For  $n$  neurons attached to DropOut, the number of subset architectures formed is  $2^n$ . So it amounts to prediction being averaged over these ensembles of models. This provides a structured model regularization which helps in avoiding the overfitting. Another view of DropOut being helpful is that since neurons are randomly chosen, they tend to avoid developing co-adaptations among themselves thereby enabling them to develop meaningful features, independent of others.

- **Data augmentation** is carried out to reduce over-fitting. This Data augmentation includes mirroring and cropping the images to increase the variation in the training data-set.

**GoogLeNet.** (Szegedy et al. from Google 2014) was a Convolutional Network . Its main contribution was the development of an

- **Inception Module** that dramatically reduced the number of parameters in the network (4M, compared to AlexNet with 60M).




Fig. 5: Inception Module

- There are also several followup versions to the GoogLeNet, most recently Inception-v4.

**VGGNet.** (Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman 2014)

- 16 CONV/FC layers and, appealingly, features an extremely homogeneous architecture.
- Only performs 3x3 convolutions and 2x2 pooling from the beginning to the end. Replace large kernel-sized filters(11 and 5 in the first and second convolutional layer, respectively) with multiple 3X3 kernel-sized filters one after another.

With a given receptive field(the effective area size of input image on which output depends), multiple stacked smaller size kernel is better than the one with a larger size kernel because multiple non-linear layers increases the depth of the network which enables it to learn more complex features, and that too at a lower cost. For example, three 3X3 filters on top of each other with stride 1 ha a receptive size of 7, but the number of parameters involved is  $3*(9^2)$  in comparison to  $49^2$  parameters of kernels with a size of 7.




Fig. 6: VGGNet

VGG16 - Structural Details													
#	Input Image		output		Layer	Stride	Kernel	in	out	Param			
1	224	224	3	224	224	64	conv3-64	1	3	3	64	1792	
2	224	224	64	224	224	64	conv3064	1	3	3	64	36928	
	224	224	64	112	112	64	maxpool	2	2	2	64	64	0
3	112	112	64	112	112	128	conv3-128	1	3	3	64	128	73856
4	112	112	128	112	112	128	conv3-128	1	3	3	128	128	147584
	112	112	128	56	56	128	maxpool	2	2	2	128	128	65664
5	56	56	128	56	56	256	conv3-256	1	3	3	128	256	295168
6	56	56	256	56	56	256	conv3-256	1	3	3	256	256	590080
7	56	56	256	56	56	256	conv3-256	1	3	3	256	256	590080
	56	56	256	28	28	256	maxpool	2	2	2	256	256	0
8	28	28	256	28	28	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	256	512	1180160
9	28	28	512	28	28	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
10	28	28	512	28	28	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
	28	28	512	14	14	512	maxpool	2	2	2	512	512	0
11	14	14	512	14	14	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
12	14	14	512	14	14	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
13	14	14	512	14	14	512	conv3-512	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
	14	14	512	7	7	512	maxpool	2	2	2	512	512	0
14	1	1	25088	1	1	4096	fc		1	1	25088	4096	102764544
15	1	1	4096	1	1	4096	fc		1	1	4096	4096	16781312
16	1	1	4096	1	1	1000	fc		1	1	4096	1000	4097000
Total								138,423,208					

Fig. 7: VGGNet architecture

- Lot more memory and parameters (140M)

**ResNet.** (Kaiming He et al. 2015)

Resnet block variants ([Source](#)):




Fig. 8: ResNet block




Fig. 9: ResNet 18

- Skip connections
- Batch normalization.
- State of the art CNN models and are the default choice (as of May 10, 2016). In particular, also see more
- Recent developments that tweak the original architecture from Kaiming He et al. Identity Mappings in Deep Residual Networks (published March 2016).

Models in pytorch

ResNet18 - Structural Details														
#	Input Image			output			Layer	Stride	Pad	Kernel	in	out	Param	
1	227	227	3	112	112	64	conv1	2	1	7	7	3	64	9472
	112	112	64	56	56	64	maxpool	2	0.5	3	3	64	64	0
2	56	56	64	56	56	64	conv2-1	1	1	3	3	64	64	36928
3	56	56	64	56	56	64	conv2-2	1	1	3	3	64	64	36928
4	56	56	64	56	56	64	conv2-3	1	1	3	3	64	64	36928
5	56	56	64	56	56	64	conv2-4	1	1	3	3	64	64	36928
6	56	56	64	28	28	128	conv3-1	2	0.5	3	3	64	128	73856
7	28	28	128	28	28	128	conv3-2	1	1	3	3	128	128	147584
8	28	28	128	28	28	128	conv3-3	1	1	3	3	128	128	147584
9	28	28	128	28	28	128	conv3-4	1	1	3	3	128	128	147584
10	28	28	128	14	14	256	conv4-1	2	0.5	3	3	128	256	295168
11	14	14	256	14	14	256	conv4-2	1	1	3	3	256	256	590080
12	14	14	256	14	14	256	conv4-3	1	1	3	3	256	256	590080
13	14	14	256	14	14	256	conv4-4	1	1	3	3	256	256	590080
14	14	14	256	7	7	512	conv5-1	2	0.5	3	3	256	512	1180160
15	7	7	512	7	7	512	conv5-2	1	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
16	7	7	512	7	7	512	conv5-3	1	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
17	7	7	512	7	7	512	conv5-4	1	1	3	3	512	512	2359808
	7	7	512	1	1	512	avg pool	7	0	7	7	512	512	0
18	1	1	512	1	1	1000	fc					512	1000	513000
							Total							11,511,784

Fig. 10: ResNet 18 architecture

### 6.3.3 Architectures general guidelines

- ConvNets stack CONV,POOL,FC layers
- Trend towards smaller filters and deeper architectures: stack 3x3, instead of 5x5
- Trend towards getting rid of POOL/FC layers (just CONV)
- Historically architectures looked like [(CONV-RELU) x N POOL?] x M (FC-RELU) x K, SOFTMAX where N is usually up to ~5, M is large, 0 <= K <= 2.
- but recent advances such as ResNet/GoogLeNet have challenged this paradigm

### 6.3.4 Train function

```
%matplotlib inline

import os
import numpy as np
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.optim as optim
from torch.optim import lr_scheduler
import torchvision
import torchvision.transforms as transforms
from torchvision import models
#
from pathlib import Path
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Device configuration
device = torch.device('cuda' if torch.cuda.is_available() else 'cpu')

# %load train_val_model.py
import numpy as np
import torch
import time
import copy

def train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders, num_epochs=25,
                    scheduler=None, log_interval=None):
    since = time.time()

    best_model_wts = copy.deepcopy(model.state_dict())
    best_acc = 0.0

    # Store losses and accuracies accross epochs
    losses, accuracies = dict(train=[], val=[]), dict(train=[], val=[])

    for epoch in range(num_epochs):
        if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
            print('Epoch {}/{}'.format(epoch, num_epochs - 1))
            print('-' * 10)

        # Each epoch has a training and validation phase
        for phase in ['train', 'val']:
            if phase == 'train':
                model.train() # Set model to training mode
            else:
                model.eval() # Set model to evaluate mode

            running_loss = 0.0
            running_corrects = 0

            # Iterate over data.
            nsamples = 0
            for inputs, labels in dataloaders[phase]:
                inputs = inputs.to(device)
                labels = labels.to(device)
                nsamples += inputs.shape[0]

                # zero the parameter gradients
                optimizer.zero_grad()

                # forward
                # track history if only in train
                with torch.set_grad_enabled(phase == 'train'):
                    outputs = model(inputs)
                    _, preds = torch.max(outputs, 1)
                    loss = criterion(outputs, labels)

                # backward + optimize only if in training phase
                if phase == 'train':

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

        loss.backward()
        optimizer.step()

        # statistics
        running_loss += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)
        running_corrects += torch.sum(preds == labels.data)

    if scheduler is not None and phase == 'train':
        scheduler.step()

    #nsamples = dataloaders[phase].dataset.data.shape[0]
    epoch_loss = running_loss / nsamples
    epoch_acc = running_corrects.double() / nsamples

    losses[phase].append(epoch_loss)
    accuracies[phase].append(epoch_acc)
    if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
        print('{} Loss: {:.4f} Acc: {:.4f}'.format(
            phase, epoch_loss, epoch_acc))

    # deep copy the model
    if phase == 'val' and epoch_acc > best_acc:
        best_acc = epoch_acc
        best_model_wts = copy.deepcopy(model.state_dict())
    if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
        print()

    time_elapsed = time.time() - since
    print('Training complete in {:.0f}m {:.0f}s'.format(
        time_elapsed // 60, time_elapsed % 60))
    print('Best val Acc: {:.4f}'.format(best_acc))

    # load best model weights
    model.load_state_dict(best_model_wts)

return model, losses, accuracies

```

### 6.3.5 CNN models

#### LeNet-5

Here we implement LeNet-5 with relu activation. Sources: (1), (2).

```

import torch.nn as nn
import torch.nn.functional as F

class LeNet5(nn.Module):
    """
    layers: (nb channels in input layer,
             nb channels in 1rst conv,
             nb channels in 2nd conv,
             nb neurons for 1rst FC: TO BE TUNED,
             nb neurons for 2nd FC,
             nb neurons for 3rd FC,
    
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

        nb neurons output FC TO BE TUNED)
"""

def __init__(self, layers = (1, 6, 16, 1024, 120, 84, 10), debug=False):
    super(LeNet5, self).__init__()
    self.layers = layers
    self.debug = debug
    self.conv1 = nn.Conv2d(layers[0], layers[1], 5, padding=2)
    self.conv2 = nn.Conv2d(layers[1], layers[2], 5)
    self.fc1   = nn.Linear(layers[3], layers[4])
    self.fc2   = nn.Linear(layers[4], layers[5])
    self.fc3   = nn.Linear(layers[5], layers[6])

def forward(self, x):
    x = F.max_pool2d(F.relu(self.conv1(x)), 2) # same shape / 2
    x = F.max_pool2d(F.relu(self.conv2(x)), 2) # -4 / 2
    if self.debug:
        print("### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet=", x.shape[1:], ". FC size=", np.
→prod(x.shape[1:]))
    x = x.view(-1, self.layers[3])
    x = F.relu(self.fc1(x))
    x = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
    x = self.fc3(x)
    return F.log_softmax(x, dim=1)

```

## VGGNet like: conv-relu blocks

```

# Defining the network (LeNet-5)
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.nn.functional as F

class MiniVGGNet(torch.nn.Module):

    def __init__(self, layers=(1, 16, 32, 1024, 120, 84, 10), debug=False):
        super(MiniVGGNet, self).__init__()
        self.layers = layers
        self.debug = debug

        # Conv block 1
        self.conv11 = nn.Conv2d(in_channels=layers[0], out_channels=layers[1], kernel_
→size=3,
                           stride=1, padding=0, bias=True)
        self.conv12 = nn.Conv2d(in_channels=layers[1], out_channels=layers[1], kernel_
→size=3,
                           stride=1, padding=0, bias=True)

        # Conv block 2
        self.conv21 = nn.Conv2d(in_channels=layers[1], out_channels=layers[2], kernel_
→size=3,
                           stride=1, padding=0, bias=True)
        self.conv22 = nn.Conv2d(in_channels=layers[2], out_channels=layers[2], kernel_
→size=3,
                           stride=1, padding=1, bias=True)

        # Fully connected layer

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

self.fc1 = nn.Linear(layers[3], layers[4])
self.fc2 = nn.Linear(layers[4], layers[5])
self.fc3 = nn.Linear(layers[5], layers[6])

def forward(self, x):
    x = F.relu(self.conv11(x))
    x = F.relu(self.conv12(x))
    x = F.max_pool2d(x, 2)

    x = F.relu(self.conv21(x))
    x = F.relu(self.conv22(x))
    x = F.max_pool2d(x, 2)

    if self.debug:
        print("### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet=", x.shape[1:], ". FC size=", np.
→prod(x.shape[1:]))
        x = x.view(-1, self.layers[3])
        x = F.relu(self.fc1(x))
        x = F.relu(self.fc2(x))
        x = self.fc3(x)

    return F.log_softmax(x, dim=1)

```

### ResNet-like Model:

Stack multiple resnet blocks

```

# ----- #
# An implementation of https://arxiv.org/pdf/1512.03385.pdf      #
# See section 4.2 for the model architecture on CIFAR-10          #
# Some part of the code was referenced from below                  #
# https://github.com/pytorch/vision/blob/master/torchvision/models/resnet.py #
# ----- #

import torch.nn as nn

# 3x3 convolution
def conv3x3(in_channels, out_channels, stride=1):
    return nn.Conv2d(in_channels, out_channels, kernel_size=3,
                   stride=stride, padding=1, bias=False)

# Residual block
class ResidualBlock(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, in_channels, out_channels, stride=1, downsample=None):
        super(ResidualBlock, self).__init__()
        self.conv1 = conv3x3(in_channels, out_channels, stride)
        self.bn1 = nn.BatchNorm2d(out_channels)
        self.relu = nn.ReLU(inplace=True)
        self.conv2 = conv3x3(out_channels, out_channels)
        self.bn2 = nn.BatchNorm2d(out_channels)
        self.downsample = downsample

    def forward(self, x):
        residual = x
        out = self.conv1(x)

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
out = self.bn1(out)
out = self.relu(out)
out = self.conv2(out)
out = self.bn2(out)
if self.downsample:
    residual = self.downsample(x)
out += residual
out = self.relu(out)
return out

# ResNet
class ResNet(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, block, layers, num_classes=10):
        super(ResNet, self).__init__()
        self.in_channels = 16
        self.conv = conv3x3(3, 16)
        self.bn = nn.BatchNorm2d(16)
        self.relu = nn.ReLU(inplace=True)
        self.layer1 = self.make_layer(block, 16, layers[0])
        self.layer2 = self.make_layer(block, 32, layers[1], 2)
        self.layer3 = self.make_layer(block, 64, layers[2], 2)
        self.avg_pool = nn.AvgPool2d(8)
        self.fc = nn.Linear(64, num_classes)

    def make_layer(self, block, out_channels, blocks, stride=1):
        downsample = None
        if (stride != 1) or (self.in_channels != out_channels):
            downsample = nn.Sequential(
                conv3x3(self.in_channels, out_channels, stride=stride),
                nn.BatchNorm2d(out_channels))
        layers = []
        layers.append(block(self.in_channels, out_channels, stride, downsample))
        self.in_channels = out_channels
        for i in range(1, blocks):
            layers.append(block(out_channels, out_channels))
        return nn.Sequential(*layers)

    def forward(self, x):
        out = self.conv(x)
        out = self.bn(out)
        out = self.relu(out)
        out = self.layer1(out)
        out = self.layer2(out)
        out = self.layer3(out)
        out = self.avg_pool(out)
        out = out.view(out.size(0), -1)
        out = self.fc(out)
        return F.log_softmax(out, dim=1)
#return out
```

### 6.3.6 MNIST digit classification

```
from pathlib import Path
from torchvision import datasets, transforms
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

import os

WD = os.path.join(Path.home(), "data", "pystatml", "dl_mnist_pytorch")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
os.chdir(WD)
print("Working dir is:", os.getcwd())
os.makedirs("data", exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs("models", exist_ok=True)

def load_mnist(batch_size_train, batch_size_test):

    train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
        datasets.MNIST('data', train=True, download=True,
                       transform=transforms.Compose([
                           transforms.ToTensor(),
                           transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)))
                       ])),
    batch_size=batch_size_train, shuffle=True)

    test_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(
        datasets.MNIST('data', train=False, transform=transforms.Compose([
            transforms.ToTensor(),
            transforms.Normalize((0.1307,), (0.3081,)))
        ])),
    batch_size=batch_size_test, shuffle=True)
    return train_loader, test_loader

train_loader, val_loader = load_mnist(64, 1000)

dataloaders = dict(train=train_loader, val=val_loader)

# Info about the dataset
data_shape = dataloaders["train"].dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
D_out = len(set(dataloaders["train"].dataset.targets))
print("Datasets shape", {x: dataloaders[x].dataset.data.shape for x in ['train', 'val']})
print("N input features", D_in, "N output", D_out)

```

```

Working dir is: /home/edouard/data/pystatml/dl_mnist_pytorch
Datasets shape {'train': torch.Size([60000, 28, 28]), 'val': torch.Size([10000, 28, 28])}
N input features 784 N output 60000

```

## LeNet

Dry run in debug mode to get the shape of the last convnet layer.

```

model = LeNet5((1, 6, 16, 1, 120, 84, 10), debug=True)
batch_idx, (data_example, target_example) = next(enumerate(train_loader))
print(model)
_ = model(data_example)

```

```

LeNet5(
    (conv1): Conv2d(1, 6, kernel_size=(5, 5), stride=(1, 1), padding=(2, 2))
    (conv2): Conv2d(6, 16, kernel_size=(5, 5), stride=(1, 1))
    (fc1): Linear(in_features=1, out_features=120, bias=True)
    (fc2): Linear(in_features=120, out_features=84, bias=True)
    (fc3): Linear(in_features=84, out_features=10, bias=True)
)
### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet= torch.Size([16, 5, 5]) . FC size= 400
    
```

Set First FC layer to 400

```

model = LeNet5((1, 6, 16, 400, 120, 84, 10)) # 98.5% with 61706 parameters
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.5)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in
                                              model.parameters()])))

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
                                             num_epochs=5, log_interval=2)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
    
```


```

torch.Size([6, 1, 5, 5])
torch.Size([6])
torch.Size([16, 6, 5, 5])
torch.Size([16])
torch.Size([120, 400])
torch.Size([120])
torch.Size([84, 120])
torch.Size([84])
torch.Size([10, 84])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 61706
Epoch 0/4
-----
train Loss: 0.7802 Acc: 0.7439
val Loss: 0.1534 Acc: 0.9548

Epoch 2/4
-----
train Loss: 0.0841 Acc: 0.9741
val Loss: 0.0720 Acc: 0.9780

Epoch 4/4
-----
train Loss: 0.0547 Acc: 0.9831
val Loss: 0.0587 Acc: 0.9807

Training complete in 2m 2s
Best val Acc: 0.982500
    
```



## MiniVGGNet

```
model = MiniVGGNet(layers=(1, 16, 32, 1, 120, 84, 10), debug=True)

print(model)
_ = model(data_example)
```

```
MiniVGGNet(
    (conv11): Conv2d(1, 16, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
    (conv12): Conv2d(16, 16, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
    (conv21): Conv2d(16, 32, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
    (conv22): Conv2d(32, 32, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1), padding=(1, 1))
    (fc1): Linear(in_features=1, out_features=120, bias=True)
    (fc2): Linear(in_features=120, out_features=84, bias=True)
    (fc3): Linear(in_features=84, out_features=10, bias=True)
)
### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet= torch.Size([32, 5, 5]) . FC size= 800
```

Set First FC layer to 800

```
model = MiniVGGNet((1, 16, 32, 800, 120, 84, 10)) # 98.5% with 123502 parameters
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.5)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in
    model.parameters()])))

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
    num_epochs=5, log_interval=2)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

```
torch.Size([16, 1, 3, 3])
torch.Size([16])
torch.Size([16, 16, 3, 3])
torch.Size([16])
torch.Size([32, 16, 3, 3])
torch.Size([32])
torch.Size([32, 32, 3, 3])
torch.Size([32])
torch.Size([120, 800])
torch.Size([120])
torch.Size([84, 120])
torch.Size([84])
torch.Size([10, 84])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 123502
Epoch 0/4
-----
train Loss: 1.5729 Acc: 0.4257
val Loss: 0.2469 Acc: 0.9222

Epoch 2/4
-----
train Loss: 0.0816 Acc: 0.9744
val Loss: 0.0604 Acc: 0.9810

Epoch 4/4
-----
train Loss: 0.0507 Acc: 0.9844
val Loss: 0.0456 Acc: 0.9857

Training complete in 3m 57s
Best val Acc: 0.985700
```



### Reduce the size of training dataset

Reduce the size of the training dataset by considering only 10 minibatches for size 16.

```
train_loader, val_loader = load_mnist(16, 1000)

train_size = 10 * 16

# Stratified sub-sampling
targets = train_loader.dataset.targets.numpy()
n_classes = len(set(targets))

indices = np.concatenate([np.random.choice(np.where(targets == lab)[0], int(train_size / n_classes), replace=False)
    for lab in set(targets)])
np.random.shuffle(indices)

train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(train_loader.dataset, batch_size=16,
    sampler=torch.utils.data.SubsetRandomSampler(indices))

# Check train subsampling
train_labels = np.concatenate([labels.numpy() for inputs, labels in train_loader])
print("Train size=", len(train_labels), " Train label count=", {lab:np.sum(train_labels[lab]) for lab in set(train_labels)})
print("Batch sizes=", [inputs.size(0) for inputs, labels in train_loader])

# Put together train and val
dataloaders = dict(train=train_loader, val=val_loader)

# Info about the dataset
data_shape = dataloaders["train"].dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
D_out = len(set(dataloaders["train"].dataset.targets))
print("Datasets shape", {x: dataloaders[x].dataset.data.shape for x in ['train', 'val']})
print("N input features", D_in, "N output", D_out)
```

```
Train size= 160 Train label count= {0: 16, 1: 16, 2: 16, 3: 16, 4: 16, 5: 16, 6: 16, 7: 16, 8: 16, 9: 16}
Batch sizes= [16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 16]
Datasets shape {'train': torch.Size([60000, 28, 28]), 'val': torch.Size([10000, 28, 28])}
N input features 784 N output 60000
```

```
model = LeNet5((1, 6, 16, 400, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.5)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model_ln5, losses_ln5, accuracies_ln5 = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer,
                                                       dataloaders,
                                                       num_epochs=100, log_interval=20)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses_ln5['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses_ln5['train'], '-b', epochs, losses_ln5['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/99
-----
train Loss: 2.3077 Acc: 0.0813
val Loss: 2.3053 Acc: 0.1059


Epoch 20/99
-----
train Loss: 1.3674 Acc: 0.6250
val Loss: 1.3400 Acc: 0.5711

Epoch 40/99
-----
train Loss: 0.0816 Acc: 0.9875
val Loss: 0.5554 Acc: 0.8446

Epoch 60/99
-----
train Loss: 0.0084 Acc: 1.0000
val Loss: 0.7375 Acc: 0.8392

Epoch 80/99
-----
train Loss: 0.0038 Acc: 1.0000
val Loss: 0.8236 Acc: 0.8354

Training complete in 3m 24s
Best val Acc: 0.845400
```



```

model = MiniVGGNet((1, 16, 32, 800, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.5)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model_vgg, losses_vgg, accuracies_vgg = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer,
    dataloaders,
    num_epochs=100, log_interval=20)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses_vgg['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses_vgg['train'], '-b', epochs, losses_vgg['val'], '--r')

```

```

Epoch 0/99
-----
train Loss: 2.3051 Acc: 0.1000
val Loss: 2.3052 Acc: 0.0892

Epoch 20/99
-----
train Loss: 2.3013 Acc: 0.1000
val Loss: 2.3015 Acc: 0.0892

Epoch 40/99
-----
train Loss: 2.2907 Acc: 0.1688
val Loss: 2.2916 Acc: 0.1556

Epoch 60/99
-----
train Loss: 0.9562 Acc: 0.6687
val Loss: 1.0410 Acc: 0.6542


Epoch 80/99
-----
train Loss: 0.0176 Acc: 1.0000
val Loss: 0.7728 Acc: 0.8330

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Training complete in 6m 7s
Best val Acc: 0.833000
```



### 6.3.7 CIFAR-10 dataset

Source Yunjey Choi

```
from pathlib import Path
WD = os.path.join(Path.home(), "data", "pystatml", "dl_cifar10_pytorch")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
os.chdir(WD)
print("Working dir is:", os.getcwd())
os.makedirs("data", exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs("models", exist_ok=True)

import numpy as np
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torchvision
import torchvision.transforms as transforms

# Device configuration
device = torch.device('cuda' if torch.cuda.is_available() else 'cpu')

# Hyper-parameters
num_epochs = 5
learning_rate = 0.001

# Image preprocessing modules
transform = transforms.Compose([
    transforms.Pad(4),
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

transforms.RandomHorizontalFlip(),
transforms.RandomCrop(32),
transforms.ToTensor()])

# CIFAR-10 dataset
train_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                             train=True,
                                             transform=transform,
                                             download=True)

val_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                            train=False,
                                            transform=transforms.ToTensor())

# Data loader
train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=train_dataset,
                                             batch_size=100,
                                             shuffle=True)

val_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=val_dataset,
                                         batch_size=100,
                                         shuffle=False)

# Put together train and val
dataloaders = dict(train=train_loader, val=val_loader)

# Info about the dataset
data_shape = dataloaders["train"].dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
D_out = len(set(dataloaders["train"].dataset.targets))
print("Datasets shape", {x: dataloaders[x].dataset.data.shape for x in ['train', 'val']})
print("N input features", D_in, "N output", D_out)

```

```

Working dir is: /home/edouard/data/pystatml/dl_cifar10_pytorch
Files already downloaded and verified
Datasets shape {'train': (50000, 32, 32, 3), 'val': (10000, 32, 32, 3)}
N input features 3072 N output 10

```

## LeNet

```

model = LeNet5((3, 6, 16, 1, 120, 84, 10), debug=True)
batch_idx, (data_example, target_example) = next(enumerate(train_loader))
print(model)
_ = model(data_example)

```

```

LeNet5(
  (conv1): Conv2d(3, 6, kernel_size=(5, 5), stride=(1, 1), padding=(2, 2))
  (conv2): Conv2d(6, 16, kernel_size=(5, 5), stride=(1, 1))
  (fc1): Linear(in_features=1, out_features=120, bias=True)
  (fc2): Linear(in_features=120, out_features=84, bias=True)
  (fc3): Linear(in_features=84, out_features=10, bias=True)
)
### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet= torch.Size([16, 6, 6]) . FC size= 576

```

Set 576 neurons to the first FC layer

SGD with momentum lr=0.001, momentum=0.5

```
model = LeNet5((3, 6, 16, 576, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.001, momentum=0.5)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

# Explore the model
for parameter in model.parameters():
    print(parameter.shape)

print("Total number of parameters =", np.sum([np.prod(parameter.shape) for parameter in model.parameters()])))

model_ln5, losses_ln5, accuracies_ln5 = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer,
                                                       dataloaders,
                                                       num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses_ln5['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses_ln5['train'], '-b', epochs, losses_ln5['val'], '--r')
```

```
torch.Size([6, 3, 5, 5])
torch.Size([6])
torch.Size([16, 6, 5, 5])
torch.Size([16])
torch.Size([120, 576])
torch.Size([120])
torch.Size([84, 120])
torch.Size([84])
torch.Size([10, 84])
torch.Size([10])
Total number of parameters = 83126
Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 2.3039 Acc: 0.1000
val Loss: 2.3034 Acc: 0.1000

Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 2.2998 Acc: 0.1198
val Loss: 2.2989 Acc: 0.1128

Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 2.2859 Acc: 0.1255
val Loss: 2.2803 Acc: 0.1187


Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 2.1010 Acc: 0.2293
val Loss: 2.0502 Acc: 0.2534

Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 2.0024 Acc: 0.2610
val Loss: 1.9693 Acc: 0.2810
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

Training complete in 10m 7s  
 Best val Acc: 0.297700



Increase learning rate and momentum lr=0.01, momentum=0.9

```
model = LeNet5((3, 6, 16, 576, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model_ln5, losses_ln5, accuracies_ln5 = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer,
    dataloaders,
    num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses_ln5['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses_ln5['train'], '-b', epochs, losses_ln5['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 2.1291 Acc: 0.1970
val Loss: 1.8482 Acc: 0.3323

Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 1.4010 Acc: 0.4928
val Loss: 1.2678 Acc: 0.5464

Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 1.2146 Acc: 0.5648
val Loss: 1.1736 Acc: 0.5773

Epoch 15/24
-----
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
train Loss: 1.0973 Acc: 0.6109
val Loss: 0.9961 Acc: 0.6521
```

Epoch 20/24

```
-----
```

```
train Loss: 1.0290 Acc: 0.6350
val Loss: 1.0059 Acc: 0.6485
```

```
Training complete in 10m 12s
Best val Acc: 0.679800
```



Adaptative learning rate: Adam

```
model = LeNet5((3, 6, 16, 576, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=0.001)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
                                             num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

Epoch 0/24

```
-----
```

```
train Loss: 1.8770 Acc: 0.2999
val Loss: 1.6115 Acc: 0.4011
```

Epoch 5/24

```
-----
```

```
train Loss: 1.3517 Acc: 0.5087
val Loss: 1.2430 Acc: 0.5469
```

Epoch 10/24

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
-----
train Loss: 1.1864 Acc: 0.5764
val Loss: 1.0922 Acc: 0.6132
```


Epoch 15/24

```
-----
train Loss: 1.1072 Acc: 0.6060
val Loss: 1.0589 Acc: 0.6203
```

Epoch 20/24

```
-----
train Loss: 1.0429 Acc: 0.6274
val Loss: 1.0014 Acc: 0.6495
```

Training complete in 10m 39s
Best val Acc: 0.662600



## MiniVGGNet

```
model = MiniVGGNet(layers=(3, 16, 32, 1, 120, 84, 10), debug=True)
print(model)
_ = model(data_example)
```

```
MiniVGGNet(
  (conv11): Conv2d(3, 16, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
  (conv12): Conv2d(16, 16, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
  (conv21): Conv2d(16, 32, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
  (conv22): Conv2d(32, 32, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1), padding=(1, 1))
  (fc1): Linear(in_features=1, out_features=120, bias=True)
  (fc2): Linear(in_features=120, out_features=84, bias=True)
  (fc3): Linear(in_features=84, out_features=10, bias=True)
)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
### DEBUG: Shape of last convnet= torch.Size([32, 6, 6]) . FC size= 1152
```

Set 1152 neurons to the first FC layer

SGD with large momentum and learning rate

```
model = MiniVGGNet((3, 16, 32, 1152, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr=0.01, momentum=0.9)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
                                             num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

Epoch 0/24

```
-----
train Loss: 2.3029 Acc: 0.1004
val Loss: 2.3025 Acc: 0.1470
```

Epoch 5/24

```
-----
train Loss: 1.5146 Acc: 0.4449
val Loss: 1.4370 Acc: 0.4780
```

Epoch 10/24

```
-----
train Loss: 1.1438 Acc: 0.5917
val Loss: 1.0468 Acc: 0.6294
```


Epoch 15/24

```
-----
train Loss: 0.9395 Acc: 0.6684
val Loss: 0.8705 Acc: 0.6942
```

Epoch 20/24

```
-----
train Loss: 0.8252 Acc: 0.7105
val Loss: 0.7546 Acc: 0.7362
```

```
Training complete in 26m 49s
Best val Acc: 0.748000
```



Adam

```
model = MiniVGGNet((3, 16, 32, 1152, 120, 84, 10))
optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=0.001)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
                                             num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 1.8557 Acc: 0.3064
val Loss: 1.5630 Acc: 0.4324

Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 1.1917 Acc: 0.5718
val Loss: 1.0931 Acc: 0.6131

Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 0.9739 Acc: 0.6568
val Loss: 0.8952 Acc: 0.6822

Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 0.8584 Acc: 0.6974
val Loss: 0.7752 Acc: 0.7302


Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 0.7959 Acc: 0.7223
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
val Loss: 0.7538 Acc: 0.7379
```

```
Training complete in 26m 40s
Best val Acc: 0.752900
```



## ResNet

```
model = ResNet(ResidualBlock, [2, 2, 2]).to(device) # 195738 parameters
optimizer = torch.optim.Adam(model.parameters(), lr=0.001)
criterion = nn.NLLLoss()

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders,
                                             num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/24
```

```
-----  
train Loss: 1.4119 Acc: 0.4802  
val Loss: 1.2422 Acc: 0.5479
```

```
Epoch 5/24
```

```
-----  
train Loss: 0.6320 Acc: 0.7799  
val Loss: 0.7352 Acc: 0.7498
```

```
Epoch 10/24
```

```
-----  
train Loss: 0.4776 Acc: 0.8344  
val Loss: 0.5193 Acc: 0.8238
```


(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 0.3992 Acc: 0.8625
val Loss: 0.5309 Acc: 0.8268

Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 0.3475 Acc: 0.8796
val Loss: 0.4292 Acc: 0.8590

Training complete in 145m 57s
Best val Acc: 0.859000
```



## 6.4 Transfer Learning Tutorial

Sources:

- cs231n @ Stanford
- Sasank Chilamkurthy

Quote cs231n @ Stanford:

In practice, very few people train an entire Convolutional Network from scratch (with random initialization), because it is relatively rare to have a dataset of sufficient size. Instead, it is common to pretrain a ConvNet on a very large dataset (e.g. ImageNet, which contains 1.2 million images with 1000 categories), and then use the ConvNet either as an initialization or a fixed feature extractor for the task of interest.

These two major transfer learning scenarios look as follows:

- **ConvNet as fixed feature extractor:**
  - Take a ConvNet pretrained on ImageNet,

- Remove the last fully-connected layer (this layer's outputs are the 1000 class scores for a different task like ImageNet)
- Treat the rest of the ConvNet as a fixed feature extractor for the new dataset.

In practice:

- Freeze the weights for all of the network except that of the final fully connected layer. This last fully connected layer is replaced with a new one with random weights and only this layer is trained.

- **Finetuning the convnet:**

fine-tune the weights of the pretrained network by continuing the backpropagation. It is possible to fine-tune all the layers of the ConvNet

Instead of random initialization, we initialize the network with a pretrained network, like the one that is trained on imagenet 1000 dataset. Rest of the training looks as usual.

```
%matplotlib inline

import os
import numpy as np
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.optim as optim
from torch.optim import lr_scheduler
import torchvision
import torchvision.transforms as transforms
from torchvision import models
#
from pathlib import Path
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Device configuration
device = torch.device('cuda' if torch.cuda.is_available() else 'cpu')
```

#### 6.4.1 Training function

Combine train and test/validation into a single function.

Now, let's write a general function to train a model. Here, we will illustrate:

- Scheduling the learning rate
- Saving the best model

In the following, parameter scheduler is an LR scheduler object from `torch.optim.lr_scheduler`.

```
# %load train_val_model.py
import numpy as np
import torch
import time
import copy

def train_val_model(model, criterion, optimizer, dataloaders, num_epochs=25,
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

scheduler=None, log_interval=None):

since = time.time()

best_model_wts = copy.deepcopy(model.state_dict())
best_acc = 0.0

# Store losses and accuracies accross epochs
losses, accuracies = dict(train=[], val=[]), dict(train=[], val=[])

for epoch in range(num_epochs):
    if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
        print('Epoch {} / {}'.format(epoch, num_epochs - 1))
        print('-' * 10)

    # Each epoch has a training and validation phase
    for phase in ['train', 'val']:
        if phase == 'train':
            model.train() # Set model to training mode
        else:
            model.eval() # Set model to evaluate mode

        running_loss = 0.0
        running_corrects = 0

        # Iterate over data.
        nsamples = 0
        for inputs, labels in dataloaders[phase]:
            inputs = inputs.to(device)
            labels = labels.to(device)
            nsamples += inputs.shape[0]

            # zero the parameter gradients
            optimizer.zero_grad()

            # forward
            # track history if only in train
            with torch.set_grad_enabled(phase == 'train'):
                outputs = model(inputs)
                _, preds = torch.max(outputs, 1)
                loss = criterion(outputs, labels)

            # backward + optimize only if in training phase
            if phase == 'train':
                loss.backward()
                optimizer.step()

            # statistics
            running_loss += loss.item() * inputs.size(0)
            running_corrects += torch.sum(preds == labels.data)

        if scheduler is not None and phase == 'train':
            scheduler.step()

    #nsamples = dataloaders[phase].dataset.data.shape[0]
    epoch_loss = running_loss / nsamples

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
epoch_acc = running_corrects.double() / nsamples

losses[phase].append(epoch_loss)
accuracies[phase].append(epoch_acc)
if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
    print('{} Loss: {:.4f} Acc: {:.4f}'.format(
        phase, epoch_loss, epoch_acc))

# deep copy the model
if phase == 'val' and epoch_acc > best_acc:
    best_acc = epoch_acc
    best_model_wts = copy.deepcopy(model.state_dict())
if log_interval is not None and epoch % log_interval == 0:
    print()

time_elapsed = time.time() - since
print('Training complete in {:.0f}m {:.0f}s'.format(
    time_elapsed // 60, time_elapsed % 60))
print('Best val Acc: {:.4f}'.format(best_acc))

# load best model weights
model.load_state_dict(best_model_wts)

return model, losses, accuracies
```

## 6.4.2 CIFAR-10 dataset

Source Yunjey Choi

```
WD = os.path.join(Path.home(), "data", "pystatml", "dl_cifar10_pytorch")
os.makedirs(WD, exist_ok=True)
os.chdir(WD)
print("Working dir is:", os.getcwd())
os.makedirs("data", exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs("models", exist_ok=True)

# Image preprocessing modules
transform = transforms.Compose([
    transforms.Pad(4),
    transforms.RandomHorizontalFlip(),
    transforms.RandomCrop(32),
    transforms.ToTensor()])

# CIFAR-10 dataset
train_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                             train=True,
                                             transform=transform,
                                             download=True)

test_dataset = torchvision.datasets.CIFAR10(root='data/',
                                            train=False,
                                            transform=transforms.ToTensor())

# Data loader
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

train_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=train_dataset,
                                           batch_size=100,
                                           shuffle=True)

val_loader = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(dataset=test_dataset,
                                         batch_size=100,
                                         shuffle=False)

# Put together train and val
dataloaders = dict(train=train_loader, val=val_loader)

# Info about the dataset
data_shape = dataloaders["train"].dataset.data.shape[1:]
D_in = np.prod(data_shape)
D_out = len(set(dataloaders["train"].dataset.targets))
print("Datasets shape", {x: dataloaders[x].dataset.data.shape for x in ['train', 'val']})
print("N input features", D_in, "N output", D_out)

```

```

Working dir is: /home/edouard/data/pystatml/dl_cifar10_pytorch
Files already downloaded and verified
Datasets shape {'train': (50000, 32, 32, 3), 'val': (10000, 32, 32, 3)}
N input features 3072 N output 10

```

## Finetuning the convnet

- Load a pretrained model and reset final fully connected layer.
- SGD optimizer.

```

model_ft = models.resnet18(pretrained=True)
num_ftrs = model_ft.fc.in_features
# Here the size of each output sample is set to 10.
model_ft.fc = nn.Linear(num_ftrs, D_out)

model_ft = model_ft.to(device)

criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()

# Observe that all parameters are being optimized
optimizer_ft = optim.SGD(model_ft.parameters(), lr=0.001, momentum=0.9)

# Decay LR by a factor of 0.1 every 7 epochs
exp_lr_scheduler = lr_scheduler.StepLR(optimizer_ft, step_size=7, gamma=0.1)

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model_ft, criterion, optimizer_ft,
                                             dataloaders, scheduler=exp_lr_scheduler, num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')

```

```

Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 1.2478 Acc: 0.5603
val Loss: 0.9084 Acc: 0.6866

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)


```
Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 0.5801 Acc: 0.7974
val Loss: 0.5918 Acc: 0.7951

Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 0.4765 Acc: 0.8325
val Loss: 0.5257 Acc: 0.8178

Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 0.4555 Acc: 0.8390
val Loss: 0.5205 Acc: 0.8201

Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 0.4557 Acc: 0.8395
val Loss: 0.5183 Acc: 0.8212

Training complete in 277m 16s
Best val Acc: 0.822800
```



Adam optimizer

```
model_ft = models.resnet18(pretrained=True)
num_ftrs = model_ft.fc.in_features
# Here the size of each output sample is set to 10.
model_ft.fc = nn.Linear(num_ftrs, D_out)

model_ft = model_ft.to(device)

criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
# Observe that all parameters are being optimized
optimizer_ft = torch.optim.Adam(model_ft.parameters(), lr=0.001)

# Decay LR by a factor of 0.1 every 7 epochs
exp_lr_scheduler = lr_scheduler.StepLR(optimizer_ft, step_size=7, gamma=0.1)

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model_ft, criterion, optimizer_ft,
                                             dataloaders, scheduler=exp_lr_scheduler, num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 1.0491 Acc: 0.6407
val Loss: 0.8981 Acc: 0.6881


Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 0.5495 Acc: 0.8135
val Loss: 0.9076 Acc: 0.7147

Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 0.3352 Acc: 0.8834
val Loss: 0.4148 Acc: 0.8613

Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 0.2819 Acc: 0.9017
val Loss: 0.4019 Acc: 0.8646

Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 0.2719 Acc: 0.9050
val Loss: 0.4025 Acc: 0.8675

Training complete in 293m 37s
Best val Acc: 0.868800
```



### ResNet as a feature extractor

Freeze all the network except the final layer: `requires_grad == False` to freeze the parameters so that the gradients are not computed in `backward()`.

```
model_conv = torchvision.models.resnet18(pretrained=True)
for param in model_conv.parameters():
    param.requires_grad = False

# Parameters of newly constructed modules have requires_grad=True by default
num_ftrs = model_conv.fc.in_features
model_conv.fc = nn.Linear(num_ftrs, D_out)

model_conv = model_conv.to(device)

criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()

# Observe that only parameters of final layer are being optimized as
# opposed to before.
optimizer_conv = optim.SGD(model_conv.fc.parameters(), lr=0.001, momentum=0.9)

# Decay LR by a factor of 0.1 every 7 epochs
exp_lr_scheduler = lr_scheduler.StepLR(optimizer_conv, step_size=7, gamma=0.1)
model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model_conv, criterion, optimizer_conv,
                                             dataloaders, scheduler=exp_lr_scheduler, num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

```
Epoch 0/24
-----
train Loss: 1.9107 Acc: 0.3265
val Loss: 1.7982 Acc: 0.3798
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

Epoch 5/24
-----
train Loss: 1.6666 Acc: 0.4165
val Loss: 1.7067 Acc: 0.4097


Epoch 10/24
-----
train Loss: 1.6411 Acc: 0.4278
val Loss: 1.6737 Acc: 0.4269

Epoch 15/24
-----
train Loss: 1.6315 Acc: 0.4299
val Loss: 1.6724 Acc: 0.4218

Epoch 20/24
-----
train Loss: 1.6400 Acc: 0.4274
val Loss: 1.6755 Acc: 0.4250

Training complete in 61m 46s
Best val Acc: 0.430200

```



Adam optimizer

```

model_conv = torchvision.models.resnet18(pretrained=True)
for param in model_conv.parameters():
    param.requires_grad = False

# Parameters of newly constructed modules have requires_grad=True by default
num_ftrs = model_conv.fc.in_features
model_conv.fc = nn.Linear(num_ftrs, D_out)

model_conv = model_conv.to(device)

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
criterion = nn.CrossEntropyLoss()

# Observe that only parameters of final layer are being optimized as
# opposed to before.
optimizer_conv = optim.Adam(model_conv.fc.parameters(), lr=0.001)

# Decay LR by a factor of 0.1 every 7 epochs
exp_lr_scheduler = lr_scheduler.StepLR(optimizer_conv, step_size=7, gamma=0.1)

model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model_conv, criterion, optimizer_conv,
                                             exp_lr_scheduler, dataloaders, num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)

epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
_ = plt.plot(epochs, losses['train'], '-b', epochs, losses['val'], '--r')
```

---

-----  
**TypeError**

Traceback (most recent call last)

```
<ipython-input-16-dde92868b554> in <module>
    19
    20     model, losses, accuracies = train_val_model(model_conv, criterion, optimizer_conv,
--> 21         exp_lr_scheduler, dataloaders, num_epochs=25, log_interval=5)
    22
    23 epochs = np.arange(len(losses['train']))
```

  
**TypeError: train\_val\_model() got multiple values for argument 'num\_epochs'**

---

**CHAPTER  
SEVEN**

---

**INDICES AND TABLES**

- genindex
- modindex
- search