

NFA

Dhruva Sambrani

February 12, 2020

Formal Defn of an NFA

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$$

$$\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$$

An NFA N accepts a string $s = w_1w_2w_3\dots w_n$ iff \exists a sequence of $r_0r_1\dots r_n \in Q$ such that $r_n \in F$ and $r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, w_i)$

Equivalence of NFA and DFA

Theorem: L is regular iff it can be recognized by an NFA.

Proof:

If L is recognisable by a NFA (let) $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

Consider the DFA, $D = (Q', \Sigma, \delta', q_0', F')$ where -

- $Q' = \mathcal{P}(Q)$
- $\delta' : Q' \rightarrow Q'$ and
 - $\delta'(A, c) \rightarrow \bigcup_{a \in A} E(\delta(a, c))$, where
 - * $a \in A$
 - * $E(A) =$ the set of states connected to some $q \in A$ by ϵ . Trivially, some q s map to themselves via a ϵ .
- $q_0' = E(q_0)$
- $F' = \{A' \in Q' \mid A' \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$

If S is accepted by N iff $\exists r_0\dots r_n \in Q$ $r_0=q_0$ and $r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, w_i)$

$\implies r_{i+1} \in R_i = E(\delta(r_i, w_i))$ and $r_n \in R_n \in F$.