NOTES ON CATEGORY THEORY USING HASKELL

VAIBHAV KARVE

These notes were last updated August 7, 2018. They are notes taken from my reading of Category Theory for Programmers by Bartosz Milewski.

1. Introduction

(1) If $f: a \to b$ and $g: b \to c$ are morphisms in a category then so is $g \circ f: a \to c$, read as g after f. In Haskell, functions can be compased as follows:

```
> f :: A → B
> g :: B → C
> g . f --one way of writing composition
> g ∘ f -- another way
```

- (2) By definition, in a category the composition should be associative and for every object in a category must have a corresponding identity morphism (wrt composition). The identity morphisms for each object in the category can be defined simultaneously by creating an identity-polymorphism-template. Such a polymorphism (a function that will work on all pbjects/types) can be written as:
 - > id :: $a \rightarrow a$ -- a denotes a type variable instead of a type > id x = x In Haskell, names of concrete types start with uppercase letters, while names of types start with lowercase letters. In Haskell, the body of a function is always an expression and can never be a statement. The result of a function is also always an expression.
- (3) In Haskell, there is only one category the category of types (this is an approximate statement as it ignores the existence of "bottoms").
- (4) Types in Haskell are just a (finite or infinite) bunch (not necessarily sets) of values. Common types include Integer, Bool, Char and String. String is a synonym for list of Char.

```
> x :: Integer -- this is how the type of a variable is declared.
```

(5) In order to account for potentially non-terminating functions, each type is extended by a special value called *bottom* denoted by $_{-}$ |_ or $_{-}$. This value corresponds to a non-terminating computation. Hence, a function

```
f:: Bool \rightarrow Bool might may return a value of True, False or \bot.
```

(6) Even runtime errors can be treated as bottoms in the type system. If one wishes to explicitly return \bot as a value, one uses undefined:

```
> f:: Bool \rightarrow Bool
> f = undefined --we don't need the x because bottom is not only a part of Bool, it is also a part of Bool
-> Bool
```

- (7) Functions that may return bottom are partial, as opposed to total functions.
- (8) Thus, the category of Haskell types is not **Set**. It can be referred to as **Hask**.
- (9) Language has two parts –

- (a) Syntax or grammar which talks about the rules of writing the language correctly.
- (b) Semantics which talks about what sentences in the language actually mean/do.

Semantics of a program can be checked using either

- (a) operational semantics, which describes the mechanics of a program execution, and is harder to practically implement, or
- (b) denotational semantics, which is based on math, and is easier to implement.
- (10) In denotational semantics, every programming construct is given its mathematical interpretation. Then, proving a property of a program becomes equivalent to proving a theorem.
- (11) Factorial function in Haskell:

```
> fact n = prod [1..n]
```

(12) Eugino Moggi discovered that computational effect can be mapped to monads.

2. Types and Functions

- (1) In programming languages, functions that always produce the same result given the same input and have no side effects are called *pure functions*. In Haskell, all functions are pure. Hence, Haskell is a pure functional language.
- (2) It is easier to give pure functional languages denotational semantics and model them using category theory.
- (3) Since types are sets, what is the type corresponding to the empty set? It is a type called Void. Functions that take Void can be defined but can never be called. Such a function is polymorphic in the return type as it doesn't matter what it returns (since it can never be called).

```
> absurd :: Void -> a --this is just a theoretical construct. Not actually implementable.

Void is an empty or uninhabited type. However, since uninhabited types aren't really implemented in Haskell, Void and therefore absurd cannot be implemented as shown. One might be able to salvage the situation by considering bottom to be the only element in Void.
```

- (4) The Curry-Howard theorem connects logic with types. According to this, the Void type represents falsity and the type of the function absurd corresponds to the statement "from falsity follows everything" or in Latin: ex falso sequitur quodlibet.
- (5) The type for a single set is represented by the double parenthesis in Haskell: (). A function from this type can always be called but ut will always return a fixed value. In Haskell, a) the type, b) the constructor for this type, and c) the only value corresponding to a singleton set are all represented by the same symbol (). This symbol is pronounced "unit".
- (6) A function that acts on unit can be defined as:

```
    > f23 :: () → Integer
    > f23 () = 23 --always returns 23
        This function can be called as:

    > f23 ()
```

- (7) In fact, f23 is equivalent to the Integer element 23. This way, we can replace explicit mentions to elements of a set with calls to functions that map unit into that set. In other words, functions from unit to any type a are in one-to-one correspondence with elements of that set a.
- (8) What about functions with a return type of unit? For every set A, there is a unique function that maps A to unit, (this function maps each element to ()). For example:

```
> fInt :: Integer → ()
fInt x = () -- such a function just discards its argument
    A cleaner way of doing the same thing would be:
> fInt :: Integer → ()
fInt _ = () -- by using the wildcard character, we don't have to invent a name for a variable we are going to discard anyway
```

(9) This function that maps to unit is independent of the ot only the value, but even the type of the argument. Functions that can be implemented with the same formula for any type are called parametrically polymorphic.

```
> unit :: a → ()
> unit _ = ()
```

- (10) The type corresponding to a two-element set in Haskell is called Bool. Since Bool already has an implementation in Haskell, one can define a new type called Boolean instead:
 - > data Boolean True | False -- to be read as "Boolean is either True or False". This actually is bad because it redefines True to be of type Boolean rather than Bool.
- (11) Pure functions from Bool just pick up two values from the target one for True and one for False. Functions to Bool are *predicates*. For example, the predicate isDigit that acts on Char.

3. Categories Great and Small

- (1) The most trivial category is one with no objects and no morphisms.
- (2) A free category generated by a graph is a category whose objects are the nodes of the graph and whose edges are formed by composable edge-chains of length ≥ 0 .
- (3) A set with a relation \leq is a preorder if it satisfies:
 - Reflexivity: $a \le a$
 - Transitivity/composability: a < b and b < c implies a < c
 - Associativity of composition.

A preorder is a partial order if it also satisfies skew-symmetry: $a \le b$ and $b \le a$ implies a = b. If every pair of objects is necessarily related to each other by this partial order, then that is a linear order or total order.

- (4) The set of morphisms from object a to b in a category C is called a *hom-set* and is written C(a,b) or $\operatorname{Hom}_C(a,b)$.
- (5) In categorical terms, a *preorder* is a category where there is at most one morphism going from any object a to any object b. Such a category is also called a *thin* category. Every hom-set in a preorder is either empty or a singleton set.
- (6) A partial order is a preorder in which cycles of morphisms are forbidden.
- (7) Sorting algorithms like quicksort, bubble sort and merge sort can only work on totally ordered sets. Partial orders can be sorted using topological sorts.
- (8) A monoid is a set with a binary operation that is associative and that has one special element that behaves like a unit with respect to the operation. For example, natural numbers with zero, wrt addition. String concatenation is also a monoid with the unit element being the empty string.
- (9) In Haskell, we can define a type class for monoids (note that a type class is like a template for several types that might belong to it).

```
class Monoid m where
```

It is the responsibility of the programmer to ensure that the properties of mappend and mempty are satisfied. These properties cannot be baked into the definition.

(10) The standard GHCI Prelude already implements String as an instance of a monoid as follows:

```
| instance Monoid String where
| mempty = ""
| mappend = (++) -- we use list concatenation operation because a string is jut
| a list of characters.
```

Note that in Haskeel, an infix operator such as ++ can be converted into a two-argument function by writing it as (++).

- (11) A statement of equality of morphisms in the category **Hask** like mappend = (++) is not the same as a statement of the equality of values:
 - > mappend s1 s2 = (++)s1 s2. Even through they both work, only the first can be easily generalized to other categories. The latter is called an *extensional equality* or *point-wise equality*. The former is called a *point-free equality*.

(12)

(13) To be continued...