

Cryptography pset 2

1.

1.10. For each of the $\gcd(a, b)$ values in Exercise 1.9, use the extended Euclidean algorithm (Theorem 1.11) to find integers u and v such that $au + bv = \gcd(a, b)$.

(a) $\gcd(291, 252)$.

(b) $\gcd(16261, 85652)$.

Sol (a)

r_i	u_i	v_i
291	1	0
252	0	1
$291 \div 252 = 291 - 252 = 39$	$1 + 0(-1) = 1$	$0 + 1(-1) = -1$
$252 \div 39 = 252 - 6 \cdot 39 = 18$	$0 + 1(-6) = -6$	$1 + (-1)(-6) = 7$
$39 \div 18 = 39 - 2 \cdot 18 = 3$	$1 - 2(-6) = 13$	$-1 - 2(7) = -15$
$18 \div 3 = 18 - 6 \cdot 3 = 0$	---	---

$\Rightarrow 291(13) + 252(-15) = \gcd(291, 252) = 3$ Any
 $\Rightarrow \boxed{u=13, v=-15}$

(b)

r_i	u_i	v_i
16261	1	0
85652	0	1
$16261 \div 85652 = 16261$	1	0
$85652 \div 16261 = 85652 - 5 \cdot 16261 = 4347$	$0 - 5(1) = -5$	$1 - 5(0) = 1$
$16261 \div 4347 = 16261 - 3 \cdot 4347 = 3220$	$1 - 3(-5) = 16$	$0 + 1(-3) = -3$
$4347 \div 3220 = 4347 - 3220 = 1127$	$-5 - 16 = -21$	$1 - (-3) = 4$
$3220 \div 1127 = 3220 - 2 \cdot 1127 = 966$	$16 - 2(-21) = 58$	$-3 - 2(4) = -11$
$1127 \div 966 = 1127 - 966 = 161$	$-21 - 58 = -79$	$4 - (-11) = 15$
$966 \div 161 = 966 - 6 \cdot 161 = 0$	---	---

$\Rightarrow \boxed{u=-79, v=15}$
 here,
 $a = 16261$
 $b = 85652$

$\gcd(16261, 85652) = 16261(-79) + 85652(15) = \boxed{161}$

2. **1.15.** Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and suppose that

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}.$$

Prove that

$$a_1 \pm b_1 \equiv a_2 \pm b_2 \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 \cdot b_1 \equiv a_2 \cdot b_2 \pmod{m}.$$

(This is Proposition 1.13(a).)

Sol

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{m} \Rightarrow a_1 = mk_a + a_2$$

$$b_1 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m} \Rightarrow b_1 = mk_b + b_2$$

$$a_1 \pm b_1 = (mk_a + a_2) \pm (mk_b + b_2).$$

$$= m(k_a \pm k_b) + (a_2 \pm b_2)$$

As we know that m divides $m(k_a \pm k_b)$, we can say...

$$\boxed{a_1 \pm b_1 \equiv a_2 \pm b_2 \pmod{m}}, \text{ Hence Proved}$$

$$\text{Moreover, } a_1 b_1 = (mk_a + a_2)(mk_b + b_2)$$

$$= m^2 k_a k_b + mk_a b_2 + mk_b a_2 + a_2 b_2$$

As we know that m divides $m^2 k_a k_b$, $mk_a b_2$ and $mk_b a_2$ we can say,

$$a_1 b_1 = m(\underbrace{mk_a k_b + k_a b_2 + k_b a_2}_{\text{if treated as quotient}}) + a_2 b_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{a_1 b_1 \equiv a_2 b_2 \pmod{m}}, \text{ Hence Proved}$$

3. The previous problem shows that congruence modulo m is "compatible with" addition and multiplication, in a suitable sense. In this problem, you'll see that this is **not** true of other arithmetic operations, so you have to be careful.

- (a) (Congruence is not compatible with powers) Suppose we work modulo 11. It is tempting to think that "if $e \equiv f \pmod{11}$, then $2^e \equiv 2^f \pmod{11}$." Find a counterexample showing that this is false (give specific values of e and f and explain why they give a counterexample).
- (b) (Congruence is not compatible with division) Suppose that we work modulo 21. It is tempting to think that we can "cancel common factors" in a congruence. For example, one might guess that "if $2x \equiv 12 \pmod{34}$, then $x \equiv 6 \pmod{34}$." Find a counterexample (a specific value of x) showing that this is false, and briefly explain why it is a counterexample.

Note: we'll see later that we can recover a sort of compatibility with both powers and division, but the details are subtle.

Sol (a) "if $e \equiv f \pmod{11}$ then $2^e \equiv 2^f \pmod{11}$ " \Leftarrow statement
 $\Rightarrow \boxed{e = 11k + f}$ then the statement says $2^{11k+f} \equiv 2^f \pmod{11}$
if we take $k=1$ and $f=0$, the equation gives ...
 $e = 11(1) + 0 = 11$ and
in order to statement to be true, $2^{11} \equiv 2^0 \pmod{11}$
 $\Rightarrow 2048 \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ which is False because
 $2048 \% 11 = 2$, Hence this is a valid counterexample

(b) "if $2x \equiv 12 \pmod{34}$, then $x \equiv 6 \pmod{34}$ " \Leftarrow statement
 $\Rightarrow \boxed{2x = 34k + 12}$ $\forall k$, then the statement says
that $\boxed{17k + 6} \equiv 6 \pmod{34}$
thus, $17k + 6 = 34k' + 6 \Rightarrow k = 2k'$ where $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$
But, in the case when $k = \text{odd}$ (for eg. 3), then k'
would not be an integer (which shouldn't be the case)
 $\Rightarrow x = 17k + 6 = 17(2) + 6 = \boxed{40}$ (Hence, this is a valid counterexample)

4. Prove the following basic facts about congruence, asserted in class.

- (a) For any integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and positive integer m , $a \equiv (a \% m) \pmod{m}$.
 (b) With a, m as above, the number $a \% m$ is the unique element of $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ that is congruent to a modulo m (that is, no other element of this set is congruent to a modulo m).
 (c) For any two integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any positive integer m , $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a \% m = b \% m$.

Sol

(a) If we divide two positive integers a and m , let's say we get remainder r and quotient q .
 .. Then, $(a = mq + r)$

\Rightarrow To prove: $a \equiv (a \% m) \pmod{m}$

$$\Rightarrow (mq + r) \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

thus, $(mq + r)$ can be represented as $(mk + r)$

and as these must represent the same number,

$$mq + r = mk + r \Rightarrow q = k, \text{ Hence Proved. } \square$$

(b) $(a = mq + r)$, if $r > m$, then $\exists k$ such that $r - km = r' \geq 0, (r' < m)$

proof
 uniqueness:
 if $a \equiv r \pmod{m}$
 and $0 \leq r < m$
 then $r = a \% m$

$$\Rightarrow a = mq + r = mq + (km + r') = m(q+k) + r'$$

$$\Rightarrow a \% m = r' \text{ where } r' \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$$

if $r < m$, then $a \% m = r$, where $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$

Hence Proved. \square Now we need to prove its uniqueness

$$a = r + km$$

$$a = (a \% m) + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{m} \right\rfloor m \text{ (by definition)} \Rightarrow \frac{a}{m} = \frac{a \% m}{m} + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{m} \right\rfloor \quad \left[\text{dividing equation by } m \right]$$

As we know that the lefthand sides of both equations are equal, then the right hand sides should also equate $\Rightarrow \frac{r}{m} + k = \frac{a \% m}{m} + \left\lfloor \frac{a}{m} \right\rfloor$

As we know, k & $\left\lfloor \frac{a}{m} \right\rfloor$ are integers and $\frac{r}{m}$ and $\frac{a \% m}{m}$ are between 0 and 1,

Integral & fractional parts should equate separately $\Rightarrow \boxed{r = a \% m}$, Hence Proved \square

(c) To prove: $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \Leftrightarrow a \% m = b \% m$

(i) direction " \Rightarrow ": $a \equiv b \pmod{m} \Rightarrow a = mq + b$

$$\Rightarrow a \% m = (mq + b) \% m = \boxed{b \% m}, \text{ Hence Proved}$$

(ii) direction " \Leftarrow ": $a \% m = b \% m \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a = mq_1 + r \\ b = mq_2 + r \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } r < m$

$$a - b = m(q_1 - q_2) \Rightarrow m | (a - b) \text{ which is definition of } a \equiv b \pmod{m}, \text{ Hence Proved } \square$$

1.16. Write out the following tables for $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$, as we did in Figs. 1.4 and 1.5.

- (a) Make addition and multiplication tables for $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$.
 (b) Make addition and multiplication tables for $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.
 (c) Make a multiplication table for the unit group $(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^*$.

Sol

(a)

+	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	2	0
2	2	0	1

•	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2
2	0	2	1

(b)

+	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	2	3	4	5
1	1	2	3	4	5	0
2	2	3	4	5	0	1
3	3	4	5	0	1	2
4	4	5	0	1	2	3
5	5	0	1	2	3	4

•	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	3	4	5
2	0	2	4	0	2	4
3	0	3	0	3	0	3
4	0	4	2	0	4	2
5	0	5	4	3	2	1

(c)

•	1	2	4	5	7	8
1	1	2	4	5	7	8
2	2	4	8	1	5	7
4	4	8	7	2	1	5
5	5	1	2	7	8	4
7	7	5	1	8	4	2
8	8	7	5	4	2	1

6. 1.19. Suppose that $g^a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and that $g^b \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Prove that

$$g^{\gcd(a,b)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

sol According to the extended Euclidean Algorithm,
 $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $au + bv = \gcd(a, b)$. Then,

$$g^{\gcd(a,b)} = g^{au+bv} = (g^a)^u \cdot (g^b)^v$$

By using the results, $\left. \begin{array}{l} g^a \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \\ g^b \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \end{array} \right\} \text{from the question prompt}$

$$g^{\gcd(a,b)} = (g^a)^u \cdot (g^b)^v \equiv \boxed{1 \pmod{m}}, \text{ Hence Proved.}$$