

Peer Review Feedback

Your name:

Name of peer reviewer:

Title of paper:

Date:

Instructions:

1. Read the paper once through to see what it is about. Correct spelling and grammar errors if they catch your eye.

2. Read the paper again, focusing more on content and organization. Use the writing rubric as a guide for what to look for. For example, the content in an excellent paper is: "knowledgeable; substantive, thorough development of the thesis, including appropriate examples; quotations are well chosen to support the argument; quotations are well integrated and presented correctly, good analysis and synthesis of the material; literary devices noted and analyzed, good use of comparison and contrast, critical inquiry and interpretation. Interpretation is imaginative and nuanced." The organization shows a "clear statement of ideas; title that orients the reader to the thesis; clear organization (beginning, middle, and end) and smooth transitions; introduction leads reader into topic..."

Write comments in the margin where the argument is not clear to you, where you think the writer should or could elaborate, where you think the writer digresses too much and moves away from the topic, where you see a problem with a quotation and so on.

3. Respond to the following questions:

		YES				NO
1.	The essay is typed and easy to read.	5	4	3	2	1
2.	The title grabs my attention.	5	4	3	2	1
3.	The thesis/ story premise is clear and well developed.	5	4	3	2	1
4.	The beginning is clear and well defined.	5	4	3	2	1
5.	The sentences and paragraphs follow logically and are connected smoothly.	5	4	3	2	1

Peer reviewer's impressions.

Be as constructive as you can with your feedback and think of ways to solve the problems you have spotted. Even if you're not sure how to solve a particular problem, alert the writer to your concerns.

- What do you like about this essay/story so far?

- What questions do you have for the writer?
- What other suggestions or comments for improvement do you have?