Summer/Winter/Semester2

Tutorial 13

2015

This tutorial explores goodness of fit tests and confidence intervals.

Goodness of Fit Test

Context A total of n observed frequencies over g classes

and a proposed probability model needing k estimated parameters

Hypothesis H_0 : Model fits data

Test Statistic

 $\tau = \sum_{i} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \sum_{i} \frac{O_i^2}{E_i} - n \overset{H_0}{\sim} \chi_{g-k-1}^2$

Confidence Intervals

 $\hat{p} \pm Z \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$ $\hat{p} \pm Z \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}}$ $\bar{x} \pm Z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ $\bar{x} \pm t_{n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$ Proportion Test (approx)

Proportion Test (conservative)

Z test

t test

 $\bar{x} - \bar{y} \pm t_{n_x + n_y - 2} \ s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_x} + \frac{1}{n_y}}$ 2 sample t test

1. Goodness of Fit Test - no parameters estimated

A sample of 100 plants have genotypes A, B, and C occurring with the frequencies 18, 55 and 100 respectively. We are interested in the null hypothesis that A, B, and C are in the ratio of 1:2:1.

(a) Preparation: Fill out the following table

Genotype	A	В	С	Total
Observed frequency, O_i	18	55	27	100
Expected frequency, E_i				100

(b) Hypothesis: State H_0 and H_1 .

(c) Assumptions: What are the assumptions for a χ^2 test and are they valid here?

(d) Test statistic: What is the test statistic and its distribution under H_0 ?

(e) P-value: Calculate the p-value using the χ^2 tables. Confirm using R.

> 1-pchi(2.62,2)

(f) Conclusion: Draw your conclusion based on the p-value.

2. Goodness of Fit Test - no parameters estimated

The number of fatal accidents on NSW roads in months with 31 days in 1993 were:

Test the claim that the accident rate is the same for all months.

Hint: Show that the $\tau = 12.09$ and p value is close to 0.05.

3. Goodness of Fit Test - no parameters estimated

100 observations are made on a random variable only taking values 0, 1, 2 and 3. The frequencies are shown below:

Value	0	1	2	3
Frequency	22	38	32	8

A goodness of fit test is applied to see if these frequencies are well-described by $\mathcal{B}(3,0.5)$ probabilities.

- (a) Show that the χ^2 goodness-of-fit statistic is 9.653.
- (b) Show that the corresponding p-value is somewhere in the interval (0.01, 0.025).
- (c) What is your conclusion?

4. Goodness of Fit Test - 1 parameter estimated

For the previous question, we want to see if another binomial distribution might explain the frequencies better.

(a) Preparation: Estimate p using

$$\hat{p}$$
 = overall proportion of successes = $\frac{(0)(22) + (1)(38) + (2)(32) + (3)(8)}{(3)(100)}$

(b) Preparation: Fill out the frequencies

Value	0	1	2	3	Total
Observed frequency, O_i	22	38	32	8	100
Expected frequency, E_i					100

where
$$E_i = 100 \binom{3}{i} (\hat{p})^i (1 - \hat{p})^{3-i}$$
.

(c) Test the hypothesis that the frequencies are well-described by $\mathcal{B}(3,\hat{p})$ probabilities.

5. CI based on Z test

A sample of size 100 from a population with known $\sigma^2 = 25$ produces a sample mean of 75. Construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for the population mean μ .

6. Confidence Interval based on t Test

The following computer summary describes a sample from a normal population with unknown variance:

Compute 95% and 99% confidence intervals for the population mean (μ) .

7. CI based on 2 Sample t Test

Two samples have been taken from two independent normal populations with equal variances. From these samples $(n_x = 12, n_y = 15)$ we calculate $\bar{x} = 119.4$, $\bar{y} = 112.7$, $s_x = 9.2$, $s_y = 11.1$. Show that the 99% confidence interval for the difference of means $\mu_x - \mu_y$ is (-4.43, 17.83).

8. CI based on ProportionTest

A light bulb was tested to estimate the probability ρ of producing the required light output. A sample of 1000 bulbs was tested and 810 functioned correctly. Estimate ρ , and find an approximate and a conservative 98% CI for ρ .

9. Extension (Assessing the goodness of fit of a normal distribution - estimating 2 parameters)

This is how to use the Chi-squared Test to assess the fit of a Normal distribution to grouped data. For the Normal distribution, 2 parameters need to be estimated (both mean and sd), hence k=2. To perform a goodness of fit test based on grouped data, we need to estimate the parameters (mean and sd) from the grouped data.

```
> x=scan(file=url("http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/math1005/r/w13.txt"))
                                                                       # Scan in data
Read 100 items
> hist(x,pr=T)
                               # Produce a histogram of the data
> hist(x,pr=T)$breaks
                               # Display the breaks in the histogram
[1] -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5
> freq=hist(x,pr=T)$counts
                               # Find the counts in each interval
> freq
[1] 7 23 24 18 10 13 5
mids=(-2:4)+.5
                               # Find the midpoints of the intervals.
> mids
[1] -1.5 -0.5 0.5 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5
> gr.sum=sum(freq*mids)
                               # Find the sum of grouped data
> gr.sum
[1] 110
> gr.sumsq=sum(freq*mids^2)  # Find the sum of squares of grouped data
> gr.sumsq
[1] 391
> gr.mean=gr.sum/100
                             # Find the mean of grouped data
> gr.mean
[1] 1.1
> gr.var=1/99* (gr.sumsq - 1/100* gr.sum^2) # Find the variance of grouped data
> gr.var
[1] 2.727273
> gr.sd=sqrt(gr.var) # Find the mean of grouped data
> gr.sd
[1] 1.651446
> curve(dnorm(x,m=gr.mean,s=gr.sd),lty=2,add=T) # Add Normal PDF to the histogram
```

```
> lower.probs=pnorm(-1:4,m=gr.mean,s=gr.sd) # Finding expected probabilites
> lower.probs
\hbox{\tt [1]} \ \ 0.1017553 \ \ 0.2526790 \ \ 0.4758576 \ \ 0.7071154 \ \ 0.8750325
[6] 0.9604590
> exp.probs=diff(c(0,lower.probs,1))
> exp.probs
[1] 0.10175530 0.15092370 0.22317860 0.23125775
[5] 0.16791712 0.08542650 0.03954103
> exp.freq= 100* exp.probs
                                                    # Expected frequencies
> exp.freq
[1] 10.175530 15.092370 22.317860 23.125775 16.791712
[6] 8.542650 3.954103
> contrib = ((exp.freq-freq)^2)/exp.freq # Chi squared contributions
> contrib
[1] 0.9910041 4.1431939 0.1267861 1.1361164 2.7470308
[6] 2.3257383 0.2766496
> cbind(freq,exp.freq,contrib)
     freq exp.freq contrib
[1,] 7 10.175530 0.9910041
[2,] 23 15.092370 4.1431939
                                 # High contribution, above Normal curve
[3,] 24 22.317860 0.1267861
[4,] 18 23.125775 1.1361164
[5,] 10 16.791712 2.7470308  # High contribution, below Normal curve
[6,] 13 8.542650 2.3257383  # High contribution, above Normal curve
[7,] 5 3.954103 0.2766496
> tau.obs=sum(((exp.freq-freq)^2)/exp.freq) # Chi-squared test statistic
> tau.obs
[1] 11.74652
> 1-pchisq(tau.obs, df=length(freq)-2-1)
                                                  # P-value
[1] 0.0193392
                                                   # Reject the fit of Normal
```