THE UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY MATH1005 Statistics

Copyright: University of Sydney

Summer/Winter/Semester2

Topic8: Hypothesis Testing

2016

The aim of this tutorial is to introduce you to the framework of problem solving in hypothesis testing, before using the formal tests (Topics 9-12).

1. Hypothesis Testing

'The (null hypothesis) is ... never proved or established, but is possibly disproved, in the context of experimentation. Every experiment may be said to exist only in order to give the facts a chance of disproving the null hypothesis.' (Ronald Fisher, Design of Experiments, 1935, p19).

Summarise this quote in your own words.

2. It is known that the mean Brinell hardness of ductile iron pieces is 170 units. An engineer believes that it has increased. He measures the Brinell hardness of 25 pieces of ductile iron that were subcritically annealed, resulting in the following data:

 $170\ 167\ 174\ 179\ 179\ 156\ 163\ 156\ 187\ 156\ 183\ 179\ 174\ 179\ 170\ 156\ 187\ 179\ 183\ 174\ 187\ 167\ 159\ 170\ 179$

```
x=c(170,167,174,179,179,156,163,156,187,156,183,179,174,179,170,156, 187,179,183,174,187,167,159,170,179)
```

- (a) Write down the hypotheses, defining all symbols.
- (b) Summarise the data.

```
mean(x)
sd(x)
fivenum(x)
hist(x)
```

(c) What information about the sample best relates to the hypotheses? From the sample, what would you infer about the hypotheses?

Solution

Let μ be the mean Brinell hardness of ductile iron pieces. $H_0: \mu = 170$ vs $H_1: \mu > 170$.

The mean of the sample would be the best guess to mean of population, as specified in hypotheses. As $\bar{x} = 172.52$, we might first infer that the data supports H_1 rather than H_0 . However, we need to also consider the relatively large standard deviation of $s \approx 10.31$.

3. A biologist is interested in determining whether seedlings treated with fertiliser result in more yield. The standard height is 16 cm. A random sample of 32 seedlings treated with fertiliser results in the following yields:

 $11.5\ 11.8\ 15.7\ 16.1\ 14.1\ 10.5\ 15.2\ 19.0\ 12.8\ 12.4\ 19.2\ 13.5\ 16.5\ 13.5\ 14.4\ 16.7\ 10.9\ 13.0\ 15.1\ 17.1\ 13.3\ 12.4\ 8.5\ 14.3\ 12.9\ 11.1\ 15.0\ 13.3\ 15.8\ 13.5\ 9.3\ 12.2$

Is there evidence that the fertiliser has worked?

Solution

Let μ be the mean yield of the seedings. We could propose: $H_0: \mu = 16$ vs $H_1: \mu > 16$.

The sample results in: $\bar{x} \approx 13.77$ with $sd \approx 2.51$. The data appears to be consistent with H_0 , compared to H_1 .

4. An investment advisor offers you a monthly income investment scheme which promises a variable return each month. For the past 2 years, the scheme has returned an average of \$180 per month, with a standard deviation of \$75. You only want to invest in the scheme if you can get an average of a \$190 monthly income. Should you invest in this scheme?

Solution

Let μ be the mean monthly return of scheme. We propose: $H_0: \mu=190$ (return wanted) vs $H_1: \mu \neq 190$.

The sample results in: $\bar{x} \approx 180$ with $sd \approx 75$. Investment could result in both big returns or big losses. How would you decide?

5. An international study was investigating the connection between breast cancer and age of mother for first pregnancy. Women with breast cancer were identified in selected hospitals in the United States, Greece, Yugoslavia, Brazil, and Japan. Women of similar age, without breast cancer, were chosen from each hospital, to be the control.

The women were divided into 2 categories, based on their age at first birth:

	Age at	first birth		
Status	≥30	≤29	Total	
Case	683	2537	3220	
Control	1498	8747	10,245	
Total	2181	11,284	13,465	

Source: Reprinted with permission of WHO Bulletin, 43, 209-221, 1970.

Set up appropriate hypotheses. What does the data suggest?

Solution

Let p_1 be the probability that age at first birth is ≥ 30 in the women with breast cancer. Let p_2 be the probability that age at first birth is ≥ 30 in control women.

 $H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0 \text{ vs } H_1: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0.$