Review Article

Technology-based approaches to patient education for young people living with diabetes: a systematic literature review

Cooper H, Cooper J, Milton B. Technology-based approaches to patient education for young people living with diabetes: a systematic literature

Pediatric Diabetes 2009.

Helen Cooper^a Jessie Cooper^b and Beth Milton^b

^aFaculty of Health and Social Care, University of Chester, Chester, UK; and ^bFaculty of Medicine, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Key words: educational technology, young people, diabetes mellitus, systematic review

Corresponding author: Prof. Helen Cooper. Department of Community and Child Faculty of Health and Social Care Westminster Building University of Chester Chester, CH1 4BJ Tel: 01244 511624:

e-mail: h.cooper@chester.ac.uk

Submitted 24 October 2008. Accepted for publication 3 February 2009

The aims of this paper were to report on a systematic review of technology-based approaches to diabetes education for young people (defined as children and young people up to the age of 21 yr) and to determine effectiveness and gaps in current research. We report on the search for relevant studies, the critical appraisal process resulting in the selection of five studies and the clinical and research recommendations arising from the narrative synthesis of these studies.

Background

Four out of five young people with diabetes in the UK have inadequate blood glucose control, and research continues to describe poor long-term clinical and psychological prognosis (1). To improve outcomes,

evidence points to the need for educational and psychological support based on clearly identified needs (2). This is particularly relevant to young people who show a precipitous decline in treatment adherence as they move through adolescence towards adulthood (3). However, significant gaps in educational provision have been found for this age group (4), and as a result, a number of educational models have been funded in the UK for research. Alongside this, Information and Communication Technology (ICT) has been identified as an area for further investigation (5, 6). The question to be explored here is what role ICT can play in the education process, particularly for young people for whom diabetes self-management evolves alongside adaptation to developmental changes in association with individual contextual factors and disease course.

Cooper et al.

From this perspective, the educational process is dynamic. It runs along a timeline that has a history as well as a current and a future perspective. In this light, education is a phenomenon characterized by change and transformation (7).

Various reviews have already looked at the use of ICT in patient education. Some have focused on young people and all types of educational interventions with no subgroup analyses for ICT (8–12), others have included all ages with no subgroup analyses for young people (13-15) and some have focused on a single type/form of ICT intervention (16–18). The majority have reported positive effects in relation to knowledge and social support with possible improvements in behavioural and clinical outcomes, highlighting the potential of educational technology to provide a link between education and treatment concordance. Recommendations include the need to look at effects for different groups of people with chronic illness. In line with this, our review sought to synthesize the best available evidence on ICT-based interventions for young people (up to the age of 21 yr) living with diabetes. It aimed to review effectiveness and to identify gaps in current research. Its purpose was to inform a new research study that is developing an Adolescent Diabetes Needs Assessment Tool (ADNAT study).

The review

For this review, ICT interventions were defined as those aiming to enable learning about diabetes self-management using technology-based environments, which allow for diversity of information and/or information flow and/or connectivity between different information paths. Examples include social networking tools, such as mobile phones and chat rooms, Internet sites and specialist CD-ROMs.

The review also focused on learning about diabetes self-management as an evolving process with learners' needs in a constant state of change and adaptation. This view recognizes education as a complex intervention that must account for the facts that knowledge is necessary but not sufficient; that developmental factors, stress and coping mechanisms play an important role and that interaction between medical, social and psychological factors are key to self-care (19). From this perspective, the aim of any form of education is to develop not only self-care competence, that is, relevant knowledge, skills and attitudes, but also coping skills to help young people deal with uncertainty and new problems as they arise and to be able to creatively adapt to change. This perspective sees learning as an open system within a series of other interrelated systems (e.g., formal learning at school or in the hospital, informal learning from significant others, e.g., parents and peers, and situational learning through personal experience) that feed back on themselves with individual learning needs assessment, an ongoing part of the process (20). From this perspective, the role of educators is to help young people make connections between all these different forms of learning. Such learning is therefore an emergent process, and ICT may have a role to play in supporting this process, given the high profile of technology in young people's lives.

Aim

The aims of this study were to provide a systematic review of the clinical effectiveness of ICT-based educational interventions for young people living with diabetes, to provide guidelines for practice and to identify research gaps.

Design

The review followed the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) (March 2001) report number 4 (21). Clinical effectiveness was based on those defined by the UK Health Technology Assessment (HTA) report (13).

Search methods

Electronic databases covering the medical and social science literatures were searched including: Medline, Scopus, Psycinfo, CINHAL, Web of science, British Nursing Index, UK National Research Register, Conference Papers Index, Research Finding Electronic Register, Cochrane Library, CRD (covering DARE, HTA and NHS EED databases), FADE (the North West Grey Literature Search) and the National Library for Health (full search strategy available from authors). The search strategy had high recall and low precision because of the diversity of terms used to represent the topic (14 447 titles inspected, including duplicates). For papers to be included, they therefore had to meet specific criteria shown in Table 1.

All potential titles and abstracts were provisionally included for consideration on the basis of full text articles (n = 196). Any papers that fitted the inclusion criteria were given a reference number and exported into bibliographic databases set-up in Endnote Reference Manager (n = 86). The reproducibility of this process was tested in the early stages of the review and found to be good. Final inclusion/exclusion decisions were made by consensus of two researchers after subjecting the papers to detailed analysis using a screening tool adapted from the HTA report (13). Reference lists were searched for additional papers, and contact made with authors/institutions where further information was required. Where decisions were unclear, papers were reviewed by a third independent researcher for discussion.

Table 1. Inclusion criteria

Participants	Up to 21 yr of age with any form of diabetes
Intervention	Information and Communication Technology-based educational interventions
Setting	Primary (general practice), secondary (hospital based) and community
Outcomes (14)	
Clinical end-points	Glycated haemoglobin
Quality of life	Psychosocial effects
Cognitive changes	Knowledge and specific skill development, for example, blood glucose monitoring skills
Diabetes-related	Hypoglycaemia and
complications	hyperglycaemia (acute)
Follow-up	Immediate, intermediate (up to 6 months.) and long term (>6 months)
Study design	Randomised controlled trial
, 0	Cohort or case–controlled studies Qualitative studies
	Existing systematic reviews
	Exclude:
	Pre/post studies
	Posttest studies only
	Descriptive studies
Reporting	Must report sufficient detail of intervention being tested
Dates	1990–2007
Language	English

Quality appraisal

All quantitative studies were systematically evaluated by two researchers using quality checklists in accordance with criteria recommended by the CRD (21). For qualitative studies, the checklist developed by Attree and Milton (22) was used. Main reasons for exclusions included age of participants (for mixed age groups, paper excluded if no subgroup analysis for young people), health professional education, description of intervention only, initial feasibility/pilot studies with no follow-up, biotechnology or telemedicine, diabetes not the main focus and non-English language texts. For those that remained, many focused primarily on technological development with little if any information on outcome effects, while others reported limited evaluations. While these studies were excluded [(18, 23– 37), papers that met the inclusion criteria but failed the quality assessment process], some provided useful detailed information on intervention development and implementation. Good examples include interactive educational games (24-26), a personal interactive tool kit (23), an instructional problem solving and goal setting system (31) and computer simulations (32, 33, 35). Following systematic evaluation, five research studies were selected, of which two had been described in more than one article. Thus, the five studies are represented by a total of 11 papers (38–48). The dearth

of available studies for inclusion and the pilot and feasibility nature of many of the published investigations illustrate the fact that the use of educational technology to enhance diabetes education for this age group is very early in its evolution.

Data extraction and synthesis of the evidence

Data were extracted and synthesized onto computer-based forms developed for the review. Meta-analysis was not undertaken because of heterogeneity of the studies: mixed methods were used in two of the studies; two used quantitative and one qualitative methods only. They varied in relation to numbers of participants, reporting of participant characteristics, duration and type of interventions, length of follow-up, theoretical foundations, and settings (only one was UK based and remaining four USA based). Table 2 provides a detailed summary of each of the studies.

Description of the interventions

ICT interventions included 'self-instructional' (n = 2), 'information feedback', 'online forums' and an 'interactive game'. Those with linked papers [Franklin et al. (38-42) and Charron-Prochownik et al. (43-45)] paid particular attention to reporting the process of intervention (technology) development, including the involvement of users. In the case of Franklin et al., a participatory design methodology was reported demonstrating how practitioners, software developers and users worked together. In the case of Charron-Prochownik et al., six phases of CD-ROM programme development are described, including user involvement, pilot testing and evaluation. Three of the studies mentioned training: Franklin et al. – members of the diabetes team received training in goal setting; Kumar et al. (48) – participants and their families received intervention training and Charron-Prochownik et al. – intervention groups received one training session pretrial. Duration of follow-up was primarily short term (1–3 months) with only one study (Franklin et al.) reporting outcomes at 12 months. Numbers of participants varied from 7 to 92, and ages of participants ranged from 8 to 21 yr.

One of the studies (Charron-Prochownik et al.) focused specifically on one topic (preconceptual counselling). The remaining four focused on multiple components (and therefore outcomes) despite the fact that this raised confounding issues that had to be taken into account in the interpretation of the results. Those studies that used mixed methods [Franklin et al. and Ravert et al. (47)] allowed different dimensions to be captured, expanding their scope through triangulation. This, however, demands strong theoretical underpinnings to allow sense to be made of the combined data, particularly where

		42 and for: 51 51 51 60: 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61 61	asy asy a at 3 months at 3 months CD book -time /self-efficacy nt increase, s mily planning: , but book screase at ed increase ignificant
	Results	ghb: IIT only (p < 0.001) Groups 2 and 1: Self-efficacy: p = 0.003 Adherence scale (self-report): p = 0.042 Perceptions of quality and frequency of support for: BG testing: <0.001 Exercise: <0.001 Exercise: <0.001 Evercise: <0.001 B2% felt intervention had improved self-management Liked: messages relating to diabetes; shared experiences; practical aspects Forcise: <0.001	
	Intervention/theory	Self-instructional: Sweet Talk Goal setting in clinic and scheduled patient-specific text messages Website: biofeedback of BG results and graphs Questions and answers using text messages Text 'newsletter' Staff training: motivational goal setting Theory: STAR, Health Belief Model	Self-instructional: CD-ROM – preconceptual counselling Theory: STAR, Expanded Health Belief Model Training: intervention groups – 1 comprehensive session of programme
	Sample population	n = 92 Mean age: 13.1 yr Range: 8–18 yr M : F – 53:47% Disease duration: 1.7–8.6 yr Socio-economic: carstairs deprivation score:3.73 to 0.73 Ethnicity: 3 non-white	n = 53 Mean age: 17.4 yr Range: 16–19.9 yr All females Mean disease duration: 9.9 yr Socio-economic: 64% >middle income Ethnicity: 4.4% Afro-American
es included	Research design, QA, FU	Mixed methods (RCT + interviews). Three groups: 1. CIT only (controls, n = 28) 2. CIT + Intervention (l) (n = 33) 3. IIT + I (n = 31) QA; Adequate in all areas FU: 12 months	Randomised controlled repeated measures study. Three groups: 1. SC (controls, n = 20) 2. SC + CD-ROM (n = 17) 3. SC + Book (n = 16) 0A: Adequate: 1 flaw – treatment allocation concealment not reported FU: immediate and 3 months
Table 2. Description of studies included	Study, year, setting	Franklin et al. (38–42), 2003–2007, UK	Charron-Prochownik (43-45), 1998-2006, USA

	eory Results	igital igital so of ints bact on vare that using	behaviours gained little (cognitive changes) Total no. of messages = 340; of these: 74% Lequests for information with majority (Q/A, n = 155, 46%) - messages posted and publicly answered by professionals Social support = 50:1 Social support = 50:1 Medical/health care = 1:7 Factual information on diabetes = 45:11 Medical/health care = 1:7 Factual information on diabetes = 45:11 Medical/health care = 1:7 Factual information on diabetes = 45:11 Intrapsychic concerns, for example, eating diabetes = 28:9 Intrapsychic concerns, for example, eating disorders = 8:6 Intrapsychic concerns, for example, eating disorders = 8:6 Social support and life tasks more common in D/F (p < 0.001) Females posted more messages Interpretation of the series of the concerns of the requests/feplies/forus All-16 y, posted most messages, then 17-19, then 11-13. No significant differences between age/forum type/ topics Age at diagnosis: 10 yr+ posted most messages, followed by 6-10 yr, then <5 yr
	Intervention/theory	Information Inform	
	Sample population	n = 7 Mean age: not reported Range: 19–21 yr M : F – 57:43% Mean disease duration: >1 yr Socio economic: all university students Ethnicity: all Caucasian	Websites (n = 8) Age range: 11–19 yr Gender for 48.5% of messages: M: F - 21.79% Disease duration for 63% of messages: <1 yr, 14%; 1-5 yr, 24% Socio-economic: unknown Ethnicity: unknown
	Research design, QA, FU	Qualitative ethnographic study QA: Overall adequate; 1 flaw – sampling strategy not reported FU: 4 weeks	Mixed methods QA: Overall adequate; 1 flaw – ethical issues not reported FU: Not applicable
Table 2. Continued	Study, year, setting	Smith et al. (46), 2006, USA	Ravert et al. (47), 2004, USA

Pediatric Diabetes 2009 5

lable 2. Continued				
Study, year, setting	Research design, QA, FU	Sample population	Intervention/theory	Results
Kumar et al. (48), 2004	Prospective RCT. Assigned to PDAs using age strata: 8–12 and 13–18 yr: With Game (n = 19) Without game (controls, n = 21) QA: Overall adequate but treatment allocation concealment & intention to treat analysis not reported FU: 4 weeks and 3 months	N = 40 Mean age: 13.6 yr Range: 8–18 yr M : F – 50:50% Disease duration: 6.4 yr T1D, n = 39/T2, n = 1 Socio-economic factors/ethnicity not reported	Interactive game: DiabetNet software PDAs/wireless enabled diabetes data management software + BG monitor + motivational game Integrates BG levels + insulin doses + CHOs eaten Aim: to predict BG levels based on graphical display of personal data Points given for playing game and accuracy of predictions Training: parents and adolescents - use of intervention No theory reported	Overall participation: no significant differences. At 3.5–4 months: ghb: No significant differences Game group 3.4 times more likely to achieve/maintain ghb levels of <8% (p = 0.06) At 4 weeks: BGM/No. transmitted: Game group p < 0.001 (significantly more) Mean daily CHO intake: no differences but those checking BG levels 4+ times/day: p < 0.007 (significantly less) Frequency of hyperglycaemia: game group p < 0.001 (significantly less) Diabetes knowledge: game group p < 0.005 (significantly increase) Satisfaction: neither age nor gender predicted success

conventional insulin therapy; FU, follow-up; IIT, intensive insulin therapy; QA, quality assess; SC, standard care; STAR, stop-think-act-reflect. blood glucose; RCT, randomised controlled trial; PC, pre-conceptual counselling; D/F, discussion forums; PDA, personal digital assistant; CHO, corbohydrate CIT, BG,

inconsistencies exist (49, 50). Three of the studies reported using theory: Franklin et al. and Charron-Prochownik et al. used the STAR (Stop-Think-Act-Reflect) decision-making theoretical framework and the Health Belief Model. Smith et al. (46) used a pedagogical approach based on the emergence of interventions driven by the ways in which users interact with them, rather than focusing strictly on a set of learning outcomes as an endpoint for evaluation. This approach appreciated education as an interrelated open system, as discussed in the Introduction. The remaining two failed to mention theory.

Results

Glycaemic control

The two studies reporting ghb data (glycated hemoglobin) (Franklin et al. and Kumar et al.) found no proven significant differences between intervention and control groups in the short term (3.5-5 months) or longer term (12 months). However, Kumar et al. (n = 40 participants) found that the intervention group was 3.4 times more likely to achieve or maintain ghb levels of < 8% (p = 0.06) with the frequency of hyperglycaemia significantly less in the game group (p < 0.001). Franklin et al.'s study (n = 92 participants) had three arms: conventional insulin therapy (CIT) only (control group), CIT + intervention and intensive insulin therapy (IIT) + intervention. They reported no significant differences between the CIT group with and without 'Sweet Talk' intervention, and while the IIT group showed significant differences (p < 0.001) in ghb, they also found significant differences in the number of clinic visits (p = 0.016) and emergency hotline contacts (p = 0.011). They concluded that differences in glycaemic control may be because of increased contact with the diabetes team. This finding recognizes the complex interplay of factors that influence clinical outcomes. Of particular note here is that no study reported outcome data on changes in insulin treatment.

Knowledge

Not all studies reported knowledge outcomes. This may reflect research that has shown knowledge to be only weakly associated with other outcomes (51, 52), given that there is a threshold of knowledge beyond which other factors (e.g., socio-economic status and levels of social support) are more important to achieve diabetes-related treatment goals. For those that did report knowledge outcomes – Charron-Prochownik et al. (n = 53 participants) and Kumar et al. (n = 40 participants) – significant changes were noted. Ravert et al. (n = 340 messages on eight websites) also found that the majority of requests (74%) in discussion and question and answer forums were for information. For young people, knowledge increments are an essential cognitive

component for developing diabetes self-care. However, it is the concept of meaningful learning/engagement that is important here. The aim should be to move learners from an understanding of general concepts to an understanding of specifics that have individual relevance. Franklin et al. (n = 92), for example, found that messages containing personal information relating to their diabetes and shared experiences of living with diabetes, alongside practical aspects such as clinic reminders were particularly valued. Smith et al. found that personal visualizations helped young people make connections between their blood glucose measures and their behavioural routines. Such approaches, however, demand that participants are able to manipulate ideas, highlighting the need for interventions to be developmentally appropriate (in this study, participants were 19–21 yr old). This is particularly important given the need to interact with young people when they are ready to take over some of their self-care behaviours. For this, they must have developed reasoning powers, be able to see the relationships that link things together and be aware of what active measures are needed to control diabetes (53). While specific length of time since diagnosis was reported in four of the studies, none reported developmental stages of participants in relation to reasoning abilities and cognition.

Diabetes self-management

In relation to translation of what participants had learnt into self-care behaviours, Franklin et al. (n = 92)reported significant (positive) differences in selfefficacy, adherence and perceptions of quality and frequency of self-care support at 12 months. Kumar et al. (n = 40) found significant short-term differences in the numbers of transmitted blood glucose tests [alongside frequency of hyperglycaemia (significantly less) and a positive trend towards achievement/maintenance of ghb levels of < 8% (p = 0.06)]. Franklin et al. reported that 82% of participants felt that the intervention had improved their self-management, correlating with a significant change in self-reported adherence. However, Charron-Prochownik et al. (n = 53)found that while intentions to use preconceptual counselling/family planning increased in all groups, there was no significant group-by-time effect on actual behaviours at 3 months. However, short-term follow-up for two of these studies limits the significance of these findings, given recommendations for longitudinal measures of behaviour change (54).

Psychosocial effects

A key issue with participants is facilitating discussion in a way that enables them to talk about personal and often sensitive information. Findings from three of the studies provide insights into how ITC could support

this process. Rayert et al. (n = 340 messages) found that social support was the most common form of communication in online discussions. Franklin et al. reported that their intervention provided a forum for disclosure of personal or embarrassing questions that the young people may have felt unable to ask in clinic. Smith et al. (n = 7) reported that their intervention enabled discussion of psychosocial concerns and personal issues, including harmful behaviours. They found that a desire to pursue a unique identity was more important than the potential health risks associated with certain behaviours. This is not new information. It is, however, central to our understanding of the need to meet patients on their own terms and to place them within their everyday social context emphasizing connectedness, relationships and modes of belonging (23). ICT, in this case in the form of an information feedback system, appeared to provide a tool for allowing this to happen but only for those not conscious of their health behaviours. This reinforces the need for such tools to be appropriately targeted, as highlighted by Ravert et al. They found that 14- to 16-yr-old patients and those diagnosed longer posted the highest number of messages on interactive forums, that there were no significant gender differences for requests/ replies/topics, but females posted significantly more messages at discussion forums and males more on the question and answer forums. Franklin found that females sent significantly more discussion-based messages unrelated to diabetes than did boys, and Kumar et al. found that gender differences were not a predictor of success in their intervention. Information on other characteristics, in particular ethnicity and socioeconomic status, was limited, and no correlations were reported. Franklin, for example, found no correlation between total messaging frequency and clinical and sociodemographic characteristics. What was reported in all the studies, however, related primarily to Caucasian populations creating a limitation to transferring the results of this review and highlighting the need for more targeted research.

Discussion

This paper has systematically reviewed five quality-assessed studies of ICT interventions for young people (ages 8–21 yr) living with diabetes. Findings suggest that ICT has beneficial effects on knowledge and psychosocial well-being, with probable improvements in self-care behaviours. Confounding variables (e.g., changes in treatment, significant increases in health care professional contact and the absence in Franklin et al.'s study of an ITT arm only) make it impossible to prove ghb benefits, although positive trends were reported. These findings are limited, however, by a number of factors, including the small number of viable studies, the heterogeneity of interventions,

Cooper et al.

short-term follow-up and diverse focal points (vs. interventions focused solely on a single outcome). What is important though is that these findings correspond with those from other less targeted reviews of ICT-based patient education reinforcing the potential of ICT to provide an impact on and a link between education and treatment concordance in the paediatric and young adult populations. Noteworthy and different was that ICT made available peer-based psychological support applicable to both genders. This is an important area to emerge, given the need for young people to define self while conforming to a peer group within a different (diabetes) experience and demands further research. Also apparent is the need for more rigorous evidence and in line with this, the following research gaps have been identified:

- (i) To date, there is a lack of rigorous ICT diabetes research in the developing nations, highlighting the fact that the use of technology to enhance diabetes education is very early in its evolution. Four of five of the included studies had been conducted in the USA; Japan and Sweden were also prominent in the excluded studies, perhaps reflecting nations where ICT plays a bigger part in their health care systems.
- (ii) The review has highlighted the need to address the complexities of this type of research using a phased approach to link intervention development, training and implementation with research design. In line with this, our review has reinforced the importance of theory for discerning mechanisms of action so that data can be properly utilized for better clinical outcomes. These conclusions reinforce those reported in other diabetes educational reviews (13). Smith et al.'s study provides an example of how this can work. Their study appreciated education as an interrelated open system characterized by emergence of personal learning outcomes/needs. Here, the intervention enabled participants to make meaningful connections between their feelings (psychosocial concerns) and their treatment regimen as well as providing a channel for the flow of personal information (memory aid). While findings are limited by short follow-up and the number and range of participants, it does provide an example of a creative intervention that could be tested further using a well-designed quantitative trial.
- (iii) The review found a lack of long-term outcomes, and there was no cost-effectiveness data reported. Without this, it is unlikely that educational technology will be implemented into clinical practice because questions about how long effects can be maintained have not yet been answered.

- (iv) To address the uniqueness of each young person, research is needed to develop learning needs assessment tools in alignment with their developmental stages, i.e., age-related reasoning and cognitive abilities, alongside age of and time since diagnosis.
- (v) Research needs to be targeted to look at different ethnic and socio-economic communities to answer questions regarding cultural disparities and health inequalities.
- (vi) There is evidence to suggest that ICT has the potential to act as a social networking vehicle offering psychosocial support. The latter is an important area for further investigation given the importance of socializing (conversations) to this age group and to education as a whole.

Conclusions

This review has explored the effectiveness of ICT interventions for young people living with diabetes. While large numbers of studies were located, the vast majority were limited by their primary focus on design and implementation without rigorous testing. For the five studies included, benefit was found in relation to knowledge and psychosocial well being with probable improvements in self-care behaviours. While positive trends were reported for glycaemic control, there were no proven effects. The review has therefore supported findings from other less targeted reviews and has highlighted the need to improve the quantity and quality of ICT research in line with evaluating other complex interventions. In addition, the fact that young people's learning is an emergent process means that longitudinal studies are required to explore impact over time in alignment with the developmental stages of participants. Such research is urgently needed if we are to realize the full potential of technology for a disease that has rising prevalence and increasing educational need, which is currently not being met effectively.

Acknowledgement

Liverpool University's Research Development Fund which has supported the literature search for the Review, and Diabetes UK who are funding the ADNAT study.

References

- BRYDEN KS, DUNGER DB, MAYOU RA et al. Poor prognosis of young adults with type 1 diabetes. A longitudinal study. Diabetes Care 2003: 26: 1052–1057.
- SWIFT PGF. ISPAD clinical practice consensus guidelines 2006-2007. Diabetes education. Pediatric Diabetes 2007: 8: 103–109.
- 3. Du Pasquier-Fediaevsky L, Chwalow AJ, the PEDIAB Collaborative Group and Tubiana-Rufi N. Is the relationship between adherence behaviours and glycaemic control bi-directional at adolescence? A longitudinal cohort study. Diabet Med 2005: 22: 427–433.

- 4. Department of Health/Diabetes UK. Structured Patient Education in Diabetes. Report from the Patient Education Working Group. London: HMSO, 2005.
- Department of Health. Current and future research on diabetes. A review for the Department of Health and the Medical Research Council. London: Stationary Office, 2002.
- Diabetes UK Diabetes Education Network. 2007 Conference Report. Diabetes Update. London: Diabetes UK, 2008.
- HORN J. Human research and complexity theory. Educ Philos Theory 2008: 40: 130–143.
- Engvall J, McCarthy AM. Patient education review. Innovative approaches for teaching children with chronic conditions. J Pediatr Health Care 1996: 10: 239–242.
- 9. GAGE H, HAMPSON S, SKINNER TC et al. Educational and psychosocial programmes for adolescents with diabetes: approaches, outcomes and cost-effectiveness. Patient Educ Couns 2004: 53: 333–346.
- 10. Hampson SE, Skinner TC, Hart J et al. Effects of educational and psychosocial interventions for adolescents with diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess 2001: 5.
- 11. Murphy HR, Rayman G, Skinner TC. Psycho-educational interventions for children and young people with type 1 diabetes. Diabet Med 2006: 23: 935–943.
- 12. NORTHAM EA, TODD S, CAMERON FJ. Interventions to promote optimal health outcomes in children with type 1 diabetes are they effective? Diabet Med 2006: 3: 113–121
- 13. Loveman E, Cave C, Green C et al. The clinical and cost-effectiveness of patient education models for diabetes: a systematic review and economic evaluation. Health Technol Assess 2003: 7.
- 14. Murray E, Burns J, See Tai S et al. Interactive health communication applications for people with chronic disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2005: 4.
- 15. Lewis D. Computer-based approaches to patient education: a review of the literature. J Am Med Inform Assoc 1999: 6: 272–282.
- Krishna S, Balas EA, Spencer DC, Griffin JZ, Boren SA. Clinical trials of interactive computerized patient education: implications for family practice. J Fam Pract 1997: 5: 25–33.
- 17. EYSENBACH G, POWELL J, ENGLESAKIS M et al. Health related virtual communities and electronic support groups: systematic review of the effects of online peer to peer interactions. BMJ 2004: 328: 1166–1171.
- 18. LIEBERMAN DA. Interactive video games for health promotion: effects on knowledge, self-efficacy, social support and health. In: Street RL, Gold WR, Manning T, eds. Health Promotion and Interactive Technology: Theoretical Applications and Future Directions. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1997.
- SEIFFGE-KRENKE I. Diabetic Adolescents and Their Families: Stress, Coping, and Adaptation. Port Chester, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- COOPER H, GEYER R. Using 'complexity' for improving educational research in health care. Socl Sci Med 2008: 67: 177–182.
- CRD. Undertaking Systematic Reviews of Research on Effectiveness. 4. York: Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, 2001.
- 22. Attree P, Milton B. Critically appraising qualitative research for systematic reviews: defusing the methodological cluster bombs. Evid Policy 2006: 2: 109–126.
- ENGVALL JC. Use of computer-assisted instruction in diabetes. Diabetes Educ 1994: 20: 433–436.
- AOKI N, OHTA S, MASUDA H et al. Edutainment tools for initial education of type-1 diabetes mellitus: initial diabetes education with fun. Med Inform 2004: 11: 855–859.

- 25. Aoki N, Ohta S, Okada T, Oishi M, Fukui T. Insulot: a cellular phone-based edutainment learning tool for children with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005: 28: 760.
- Brown SJ, Lieberman DA, Germeny BA, Fan YC, Wilson DM. Educational video game for juvenile diabetes: results of a controlled trial. Med Inform 1997: 22: 77–89.
- 27. D'annunzio G, Zanetti MA, Montani S et al. The TANDEM project in Pavia. Diabetes 2002: 51: A211–A211.
- 28. Gerber BS, Solomon MC, Shaffer TL, Quinn MT, Lipton RB. Evaluation of an internet diabetes self-management training program for adolescents and young adults. Diabetes Technol Ther 2007: 9: 60–67.
- 29. Graue M, Wentzel-Larsen T, Hanestad BR, Sovik O. Evaluation of a programme of group visits and computer-assisted consultations in the treatment of adolescents with Type 1 diabetes. Diabet Med 2005: 22: 1522–1529.
- 30. Hamman CJ. Effect of a nurse-managed support group via an internet bulletin board on the perception of social support among adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Unpublished master's thesis, Texas Tech University, 2000.
- 31. HORAN P, YARBOROUGH MC, BESIGEL G, CARLSON DR. Computer assisted self-control of diabetes by adolescents. Diabetes Educ 1990: 6: 205–211.
- 32. Hedbrant J, Ludvigsson J, Nordenskjold K. Sarimner: a computer model of diabetes physiology for education of physicians and patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1991: 14: 113–122.
- 33. Hedbrant J, Nordfeldt S, Ludvigsson J. The Sarimner diabetes simulator a look in the rear view mirror. Diabetes Technol Ther 2007: 9: 10–16.
- 34. LIEBERMAN DA. Management of chronic pediatric diseases with interactive health games: theory and research findings. J Ambul Care Manage 2001: 24: 26–38.
- 35. NORDFELDT S, HANBERGER L, MALM F, LUDVIGSSON J. Development of a PC-based diabetes simulator in collaboration with teenagers with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2007: 9: 17–25.
- 36. RAZEGHI S, HELLER C, SCHMITZ K, UBERALL M. Project D: computer-mediated communication as a tool for self-help in children and adolescents with diabetes. Diabetes Educ 1998: 24: 577–580.
- 37. Rosenfalck AM, Bendtson I. The Diva System, a computerized diary, used in young type 1 diabetic patients. Diabete Metab 1993: 19: 25–29.
- 38. Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliarit C, Greene SA. A randomised controlled trial of Sweet Talk, a text-messaging system to support young people with diabetes. Diabet Med 2006a: 23: 1332–1338.
- 39. Waller A, Franklin VL, Pagliarit C, Greene SA. Participatory design of a text message scheduling system to support young people with diabetes. Health Informatics J 2006b: 12: 307–321.
- 40. Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliarit C, Greene SA. 'Sweet Talk': text messaging support for intensive insulin therapy for young people with diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2003: 5: 991–996.
- 41. Franklin V, Greene A, Waller A, Greene S, Pagliari C. Patients' engagement with "Sweet Talk" a text messaging support system for young people with diabetes. J Med Internet Res 2008: 10: e20.
- 42. Franklin VL, Greene SA. Sweet Talk: a text messaging support system. Jl Diabetes Nursing 2007: 11: 22–26.
- 43. CHARRON-PROCHOWNIK D, HANNAN MF, SEREIKA SM, BECKER D, RODGERS-FISCHL A. How to develop CD-ROMs for diabetes education: exemplar "Reproductive health Education & Awareness of Diabetes in Youth for Girls" (READY-Girls). Diabetes Spectr 2006: 19: 110–115.

Cooper et al.

- 44. Charron-Prochownik D, Becker D, Sereika SM, Ferrons M, Reddinger J. Impact of newly developed CD-ROM reproductive health education program on teen women with DM: 3 monthly follow up. Diabetes 2002: 51 (Suppl. 2): A436.
- 45. CHARRON-PROCHOWNIK D, HANNAN MF, SEREIKA SM BECKER D. Randomized efficacy trial of early preconception counseling for diabetic teens (READY-Girls). Diabetes Care 2008: 31: 1327–1330.
- 46. SMITH BK, FROST J, ALBAYRAK M, SUDHAKAR R. Facilitating narrative medical discussions of type 1 diabetes with computer visualizations and photography. Patient Educ Couns 2006: 64: 313–321.
- 47. RAVERT RD, HANCOCK MD & INGERSOLL GM. Online forum messages posted by adolescents with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Educ 2004: 30: 827–834.
- 48. Kumar VS, Wentzell KJ, Mikkelsen T, Pentland A, Laffel LM. The DAILY (Daily Automated Intensive Log for Youth) Trial: a wireless, portable system to improve adherence and glycemic control in youth with diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2004: 6: 445–453.
- 49. CAMPBELL M, FITZPATRICK R, HAINES A, KINMONTH AL et al. Framework for design and evaluation of

- complex interventions to improve health. BMJ 2000: 321: 694–696.
- 50. Campbell NC, Murray E, Darbyshire J, Emery J et al. Designing and evaluating complex interventions to improve health care. BMJ 2007: 334: 455–460.
- 51. GLASGOW RE, OSTEEN VL. Evaluating diabetes education: are we measuring the most important outcomes? Diabetes Care 1992: 15: 1423–1432.
- 52. Beeney LJ, Dunn SM. Knowledge improvement and metabolic control in diabetes education: approaching the limits? Patient Educ Couns 1990: 16: 217–229.
- 53. Kehily MJ, ed. Understanding Youth: Perspectives, Identities and Practices. London: The Open University and Sage Publication, 2007.
- 54. Cooper H, Booth K, Fear S, Gill G. Lessons from chronic disease patient education. Patient Educ Couns 2001: 44: 107–117.
- 55. RAZEGHI S, HELLER C, SCHMITZ K, UBERALL M. Project D: computer-mediated communication as a tool for self-help in children and adolescents with diabetes. Diabetes Educ 1998: 24: 577–580.
- 56. Rosenfalck AM, Bendtson I. The Diva system, a computerized diary, used in young type 1 diabetic patients. Diabete Metab 1993; 19: 25–29.