# Introduction

wrangle WeRateDogs Twitter data to create interesting and trustworthy analyses and visualizations. The Twitter archive is great, but it only contains very basic tweet information.

# **Key Points**

Key points to keep in mind when data wrangling for this project:

- You only want original ratings (no retweets) that have images. Though there are 5000+ tweets in the dataset, not all are dog ratings and some are retweets.
- Assessing and cleaning the entire dataset completely would require a lot of time, and is not necessary to practice and demonstrate your skills in data wrangling.
- the requirements of this project are only to assess and clean at least 8 quality issues and at least 2 tidiness issues in this dataset.
- . Cleaning includes merging individual pieces of data according to the rules of tidy data.
- The fact that the rating numerators are greater than the denominators does not need to be cleaned. This unique rating system is a big part of the popularity of WeRateDogs.

# Part one: Data Wrangling:

# a- Gathering Data

```
In [559]:
```

```
# package to be used in the project...
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import requests
import os
from PIL import Image
from io import BytesIO
import tweepy
from tweepy import OAuthHandler
import json
from timeit import default_timer as timer
```

First: Gathering data from "twitter-archive-enhanced.csv" which is download manually:

Second: Gathering data from "image\_predictions.tsv" that will be downloaded programmatically using the Requests library:

Third: Gathering data from query the Twitter API for each tweet's JSON data using Python's Tweepy library and store each

tweet's entire set of JSON data in a file called "tweet\_json.txt" file:

# b- Assessing Data:

(1)

View the first rows of the table.

```
twitter_archive.head()
```

View the last 5 rows of the table.

```
twitter archive.tail()
```

(2)

view name of columns, them data type, count of non null values, counts of rows and columns.

```
twitter archive.info()
```

(3)

view the count of each value in the name columns

```
dict(twitter_archive.name.value_counts())
```

(4)

view all names which isn't in title case.

- df = twitter\_archive[twitter\_archive['name'].str.istitle()==False]
- df['name'].value\_counts()

(5)

Calculate values counts for doggo, floofer, pupper, and puppo columns.

- twitter\_archive['doggo'].value\_counts()

```
None 2259
doggo 97
```

- twitter\_archive['floofer'].value\_counts()

```
None 2346 floofer 10
```

- twitter\_archive['pupper'].value\_counts()

```
None 2099
pupper 257
```

- twitter\_archive['puppo'].value\_counts()

```
None 2326
puppo 30
```

## View value\_counts for source column.

```
twitter archive.source.value counts()
```

(7)

# print a sample of text column( the first 10 values)

```
list(twitter_archive.text)[0:10]
```

(8)

## Print the value counts for rating\_numerator.

```
twitter_archive.rating_numerator.value_counts()
```

(9)

## Print the unique values for rating\_numerator.

```
twitter archive.rating numerator.unique()
```

```
array([ 13, 12, 14, 5, 17, 11, 10, 420, 666, 6, 15, 182, 960, 0, 75, 7, 84, 9, 24, 8, 1, 27, 3, 4, 165, 1776, 204, 50, 99, 80, 45, 60, 44, 143, 121, 20, 26, 2, 144, 88]
```

(10)

#### Print the value counts for rating\_denominator.

```
twitter archive.rating denominator.value counts()
```

(11)

# print the count of rating\_denominator not equal to 10.

```
Denominator_not_equal_10 = twitter_archive[twitter_archive['rating_denominator']!=1
0]
Denominator_not_equal_10.rating_denominator.size.
Its size = 23
```

(12)

# print the unique values of rating\_denominator.

```
twitter_archive.rating_denominator.unique()
array([ 10,     0,  15,  70,     7,  11,  150,  170,  20,  50,  90,  80,  40,
     130,  110,  16,  120,     2]
```

```
(13)
```

## check for duplications

```
sum(twitter_archive.duplicated())
==> No Duplicate for twitter archive
```

Second: Assess Data for twitter archive table

(1)

View the first 5 rows of image\_prediction table.

```
image prediction.head()
```

(2)

Print image\_prediction columns name, them data type, and count of rows and columns

```
image_prediction.info()
```

(3)

# Check for duplicated values.

```
sum(image_prediction.duplicated())
==> Output = 0
```

(4)

# Print the sum of duplicated value in jpg\_url column.

```
sum(image_prediction['jpg_url'].duplicated())
==> Output = 66
```

(5)

## Print value coumts for dog type of first prediction.

```
image_prediction.pl.value_counts().head(10)
```

(6)

# print value coumts for dog type of second prediction.

```
image_prediction.p2.value_counts().head(10)
```

```
(7)
```

Print value coumts for dog type of third prediction.

```
image_prediction.p3.value_counts().head(10)
```

Third: Assess Data for tweet data table

(1)

Print a sample of tweet\_data table

```
tweet data.sample(3)
```

(2)

Print column names and shape of tweet\_data table

```
tweet_data.columns, tweet_data.shape
==> Output: print all columns name, its shape (2331, 32)
```

(3)

I need only three columns from tweet\_data which are ('id', 'retweet\_count', and 'favorite\_count')

```
tweets_data=tweet_data.loc[ : ,['id','favorite_count','retweet_count']]
```

(4)

Print some statistics of tweet\_data

```
tweets data.describe()
```

(5)

Print tweet data column names, datatype and count of rows and columns

```
tweets data.info()
```

(6)

Count of rows which contain zero value for the favorite\_count column

```
print('count of rows which contain zero value for the favorite_count column: ',\
   tweets_data[tweets_data['favorite_count']==0].count()[1])
==> Output: 163
```

#### Count of rows which contain zero value for the retweet\_count column

```
print('count of rows which contain zero value for the retweet_count column: ',\
   tweets_data[tweets_data['retweet_count']==0].count()[1])
==> Output: 0
```

(8)

#### check for duplicated values

```
sum(tweets_data.duplicated())
==> Output: 0
```

#### Quality

## twitter archive table

- tweet\_id is int instead of being a string.
- Each of( in\_reply\_to\_status\_id , and in\_reply\_to\_user\_id, retweeted\_status\_id,in\_reply\_to\_status\_id) is float not a string.
- "in\_reply\_to\_status\_id" and "in\_reply\_to\_user\_id" have only 78 non null values.
- "retweeted status id" and "retweeted status user id" have only 181 non null values.
- Nulls represented as 'None' in each of doggo, floofer, pupper, and puppo.
- doggos has 2259 value equal to 'None'.
- floofer has 2346 value equal to 'None'.
- pupper has 2099 value equal to 'None'.
- puppo has 2326 value equal to 'None'.
- expanded\_url column has 59 missing values.
- Nulls represented as 'None' in name column.
- lowercase names have unsuitable data like (all, the , a , an , by , old, not, his, this.....)
- Capitalize the rest of lowercase.
- name ='O' has to be replaced with Nan.
- some of rating\_numerators and rating\_denominators values are incorrect.
- rating\_numerators and rating\_denominators is int instead of float.
- · timestamp is object instead of being datetime type.

#### image prediction table

- tweet\_id is int not a string.
- jpg\_url column has duplicated photos (66 row are duplicated) have to be removed
- it includes 2074 row, there are some missing rows comparing to twitter archive rows'counts(2356 row).
- rows with p1\_dog, p2\_dog and p3\_dog with False value should be removed

## tweet data table

- id is int not a string.
- the 'id' column should be renamed to "tweet\_id" to match the other 2 tables.
- it includes 2331 row, there are missing rows comparing to twitter\_archive rows'counts (2356 row).

#### **Tidiness**

- Combine (Doggo, floofer, pupper, puppo) in one column named dog\_type.
- Dropping uneeded columns (in\_reply\_to\_status\_id',
   'in\_reply\_to\_user\_id','retweeted\_status\_id','retweeted\_status\_user\_id','expanded\_urls','source')
- Combine rating\_numerator and rating\_denominator one column in twitter\_archive\_clean.

#### image prediction table

- The first True prediction for all image will be saved to new column (dog\_type)with its confidence in another column named (confidence\_degree).
- · Dropping uneeded columns.
- Combine image prediction with twitter archive using tweet\_id.

# tweets data table

• Combine tweets data with twitter archive using tweet\_id.

# c- Cleaning Data:

```
In [377]:
```

```
# make a copy of the original data frames.

twitter_archive_clean= twitter_archive.copy()
image_prediction_clean= image_prediction.copy()
tweet_data_clean=tweets_data.copy()
```

# Detect and document at least eight (8) quality issues and two (2) tidiness issues

# Quality Issues:

#### twitter archive table:

- change tweet\_id data type to string.
- change rating\_denominator, rating\_nominator data type to float
- Replace all None name with Nan.
- Replace all 'None' values with Nan in each of doggo,floofer,pupper, and puppo
- Convert timestamp data type from object datetime.
- Fill unsuitable lowercase names with Nan.
- Replace name="0" with nan.
- Correct wrong values for denomirator rate and numerator rate.
  - = => Correct wrong values for denomirator\_rate
  - = => Define function (view\_rating) to view incorrect rates.
  - => Define function (update\_rating) to correct numinator and denominator rating for all rows that have rating\_denominator not equal to 10.
  - => Correct numerator and denomirator for all rows which rating\_denomirator not equal 10.
  - => Drop unrated rows.

#### Finally we check the value\_counts for rating\_denominator equal to 10.0:

```
==> Output: all rows have value 10 for rating denomirator
```

- Correct the rest values of rating numerator.
  - = > View all text for all rows with rating\_numerator greater or equal to 20
  - => Update incorrect rating\_numerator
  - => Drop rows with wrong rating\_numerator.
  - = > Drop rows with rating\_numerator=0.

## Finally, check for all values for rating\_numenator.

```
==> Output:
	array([13. , 12. , 14. , 5. , 17. , 11. , 10. , 6. , 15
. ,
	9.75, 7. , 9. , 8. , 1. , 11.27, 3. , 4. , 2.
,11.26])
```

# image\_prediction table

- Change tweet\_id data type to string.
- Drop duplicated rows where jpg\_url values are duplicated (there are 66 duplicated image)

```
==> Output: shape of image prediction after deleting duplicates (2009, 12)
```

• Drop rows with p1\_dog, p2\_dog and p3\_dog with False

```
==> Count of all rows after deleting all rows with false prediction for p1_{dog}, p2_{dog} and p3_{dog} is: 1691
```

# tweet data table

- Change tweet\_id data type to string.
- Rename (id) column to (tweet\_id) to match the other tables

## **Tidiness Issues:**

## twitter archive table

- Drop unneeded columns which are columns relevant to retweets and replies, because we only want original tweets with images and most of values for these columns are Nan.
- Combine (Doggo, floofer, pupper, puppo) in one column named dog\_type using melt function to merge these four columns in one columns named "dogs\_stage"

```
==> Output:Check for count values for dog stage in the new column dogs_stage pupper 245 doggo 97 puppo 29 floofer 9
```

Create new column 'rate'.

```
=>Round up the rate value and convert it to integer.
=> Check for value counts in new column rate.
```

• drop (text, rating\_numerator, and rating\_denomirator) from twitter\_archive\_clean.

## image prediction table

• Create Two new column:

```
One -->to store The first True prediction for image. The other --> to store its confidence.
```

• drop unneeded columns from image\_prediction\_clean.

## rınalıy

- Combine (image\_prediction\_clean) and (tweets\_data\_clean) with (twitter\_archive\_clean) using 'tweet\_id' in one table (df\_master).
- check for all columns in the new table df\_master.

# Saving df\_master to twitter\_archive\_master.csv:

df\_master.to\_csv('twitter\_archive\_master.csv', index=False, encoding = 'utf-8')