

Mobile Manipulation Calibration

Adam Heins

August 21, 2022

1 Introduction

The frames of interest are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Coordinate frames.

Name	Subscript
World	w
Mobile base	b
Base of arm	a
End effector	e
Tool	t

The pose \mathbf{T}_{wt} of an arbitrary tool attached to the end effector can be computed using the sequence of transforms

$$\mathbf{T}_{wt} = \mathbf{T}_{wb}(\mathbf{q}_b)\mathbf{T}_{ba}\mathbf{T}_{ae}(\mathbf{q}_a)\mathbf{T}_{et}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{T}_{wb} depends on the base configuration $\mathbf{q}_b = [x_b, y_b, \theta_b]^T$ and \mathbf{T}_{ae} depends on the arm configuration \mathbf{q}_a .

2 Base Calibration

We need to calibrate the Vicor measured base poses $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_b$ so that the origin of \mathbf{T}_{wb} is correct. We have

$$\mathbf{T}_{wb}(\mathbf{q}_b) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_z(\theta_b) & \mathbf{r}_b \\ \mathbf{0}^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{C}_z(\theta) \in SO(3)$ is a rotation about the z -axis and $\mathbf{r}_b = [x_b, y_b, z_b]^T$ with z_b a constant. Our goal in this section is to calibrate the origin (x_b, y_b) so that it is located at the point of rotation of θ_b .

Starting at an arbitrary configuration $\mathbf{q}_{b,0}$, we will move the base to a sequence of desired yaw angles $\theta_{b,i}^d$ and obtain the corresponding measured configurations $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{b,i}$. We would like to find an offset $\Delta\mathbf{r}_b$ such that

$$\mathbf{r}_{b,i}^d = \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{b,i} + \Delta\mathbf{r}_b \quad (3)$$

is satisfied as closely as possible for each i . We will do so by solving the least squares problem

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\Delta\mathbf{r}_b} \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|\mathbf{r}_{b,0} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_{b,i} - \Delta\mathbf{r}_b\|^2, \quad (4)$$

where we've used the fact that $\mathbf{r}_{b,i}^d = \mathbf{r}_{b,0}$.

3 Arm–End Effector–Tool Calibration

Having calibrated the base pose \mathbf{T}_{wb} , we now wish to calibrate (static) the transforms between the base and arm \mathbf{T}_{ba} and the EE and tool \mathbf{T}_{et} . We will assume that factory calibration for the arm transform $\mathbf{T}_{ae}(\mathbf{q}_a)$ is good enough.

To do so, we will collect a sequence of pairs $(\mathbf{q}_{a,i}, \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{wt,i})$ by moving the arm to the sequence of configurations $\mathbf{q}_{a,i}$. We will then solve the (nonlinear) least squares problem¹

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{T}_{ba}, \mathbf{T}_{wt}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \|\Xi(\mathbf{T}_{wt}(\mathbf{q}), \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{wt})\|^2. \quad (5)$$

over the manifold $SE(3)$, where \mathbf{T}_{wt} is computed using (1) and

$$\Xi(\mathbf{T}_1, \mathbf{T}_2) = \log(\mathbf{T}_1^{-1}\mathbf{T}_2)^\vee \quad (6)$$

is the error between the poses.

¹We may have nominal guesses for the transforms, in which case we would actually optimize over (for example) $\Delta\mathbf{T}_{ba}$, where $\mathbf{T}_{ba} = \Delta\mathbf{T}_{ba}\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ba}$ with nominal guess $\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ba}$.