

Solution-1:

- Suppose we have  $m$  rounds and a vertex  $v$  has not reached value  $\log n$ . Consider that we have tossed  $H = H_a + H_b$  heads, and we change the vertex of observation  $H_a$  times. We change the vertex  $v$  of observation when the current vertex does not increment despite getting a head, then we shift to the vertex in the neighbourhood of  $v$  that has count less than  $\text{count}(v) - b$ .

Index	Vertex	Counter	Coin
$m$	$v$	$k$	$H$
$m - 1$	$v$	$k - 1$	$H$
$m - 2$	$v'$	$k - b - 2$	$T$
$m - 3$	$v''$	$k - 2b - 3$	$H$
...	...	...	...
1		$k - H_a(b + 1) - H_b$	$T$

The probability of a delay-sequence of length  $m$  and  $H$  heads:  $P(H \text{ heads}) = p^H(1 - p)^{m-H}$   
The number of such a delay-sequences with  $H$  heads is:

$$\# \text{ of delay sequences with } H \text{ heads} = \binom{m}{H} \sum_{\forall \text{ possible } H_a} \binom{H}{H_a} d^{H_a} \leq \binom{m}{H} \sum_{H_a=0}^H \binom{H}{H_a} d^{H_a} = \binom{m}{H} (d + 1)^H$$

Probability of the delay sequence of length  $m$  where  $H$  heads occur (for any given node):

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{delay sequence with } H \text{ heads}) &\leq (\# \text{ of sequences with } H \text{ heads}) \cdot P(H \text{ heads}) \\ &\leq \binom{m}{H} (d + 1)^H p^H (1 - p)^{m-H} \leq \binom{m}{H} (d + 1)^H \alpha^m; \quad \alpha = \max(p, 1 - p) \\ &\Rightarrow P(\text{delay sequence with } H \text{ heads}) \leq \binom{m}{H} (d + 1)^H \alpha^m \end{aligned}$$

To account for the probability of occurrence of a delay sequence starting at a particular node, we need only sum over all possible  $H$ . This summation automatically accounts for all possible  $k$ , since in  $\# \text{ of sequences with } H \text{ heads}$  we have already accounted for all possible  $H_a$ .

$$\therefore P(\text{delay sequence occurs at node}) = \sum_{\forall \text{ possible } H} P(\text{delay sequence with } H \text{ heads})$$

Also, since  $k - H_a(b + 1) - H_b \geq 0 \Rightarrow k \geq bH_a + H \Rightarrow H \leq k < \log n. \Rightarrow H < \log n$

Therefore, the probability of a delay sequence occurring would be (using union bound):

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{atleast one delay sequence}) &\leq (\text{number of nodes}) \times \sum_{\forall \text{ possible } H} P(\text{delay sequence with } H \text{ heads}) \\ &\leq n \sum_{H=0}^{\log n} P(\text{delay sequence with } H \text{ heads}) \leq n \sum_{H=0}^{\log n} \binom{m}{H} (d + 1)^H \alpha^m \\ &\leq n \log n \binom{m}{\log n} (d + 1)^{\log n} \alpha^m \leq n \log n \left( \frac{me}{\log n} \right)^{\log n} (d + 1)^{\log n} \alpha^m \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $m = C \log n$ , then:

$$P(\text{atleast one delay sequence}) \leq n \log n (Ce(d + 1)\alpha^C)^{\log n}$$

We can find such a  $C > 1$ , such that  $(Ce(d + 1)\alpha^C) < e^{-3}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Ce(d + 1)\alpha^C &< e^{-3} \\ \Rightarrow \log C + C \log \alpha &< \log \frac{e^{-4}}{d + 1} \\ \Rightarrow C \log \frac{1}{\alpha} &> \log \left( \frac{d + 1}{e^{-4}} \right) + \log C \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow C > \frac{\log C}{\log 1/\alpha} + \frac{\log\left(\frac{d+1}{e^{-4}}\right)}{\log 1/\alpha} = k_1 \log C + k_2; \text{ for some } k_1, k_2 > 0$$

Since  $x > O(\log x)$ , we can find such a  $C$ . Suppose  $C_1$  is as such and let  $m = C_1 \log n$ .

Then,  $P(\text{atleast one delay sequence}) \leq n \log n (C_1 e(d+1)\alpha^{C_1})^{\log n} < n \log n (e^{-3})^{\log n} < \frac{n \log n}{n^3} < \frac{\log n}{n^2}$

Hence,  $P(\text{atleast one delay sequence}) < \frac{\log n}{n^2}$ , this is very small for large  $n$ . Thus, with high probability no delay sequence occurs for  $m = C_1 \log n$ . And  $C_1$  is a function of  $b, d, p$  ( $\because \alpha = \max(p, 1-p)$ ).

Thus within  $O(\log n)$  rounds, all counters will reach value  $\log n$  with high probability.

Solution-2:

1. The algorithm, chosen Heads for all neighbours to make analysis easier. One can also have a complementary choice with  $p' = 1 - p$  and the algorithm would still be the same.

---

Algorithm-1: A distributed algorithm to compute independent set

---

1. Each node  $i$  tosses a coin which gives heads with probability  $p$ ;
  2. Each node  $i$  sends the outcome of its coin toss to its neighbours and, in turn, receives their outcome as well;
  3. Each node  $i$  adds itself to set  $S$  if ... **it got Tails** and all its **neighbours got Heads**;
- 

2. Consider a set  $S$  obtained from Algorithm-1.

Clearly, for any  $v \in S$ , we know that  $v \in V \Rightarrow S \subset V$

We shall prove by contradiction that  $S$  is an independent set:

Let  $i, j \in S$  be two vertices.

$\Rightarrow i \& j$  got Tails and all neighbours of both  $i$  and  $j$  got Heads

Now, assume that there is an edge from  $i$  to  $j$ ,  $(i, j) \in E$ .

$\Rightarrow j$  is a neighbour of  $i$ , and  $j$  got Tails

$\Rightarrow$  One of the neighbours of  $i$  got Tails

$\Rightarrow i$  must not be in  $S$

We have arrived at a contradiction.

Our assumption that there exists an edge from  $i$  to  $j$  (or an edge from  $j$  to  $i$ ) must be wrong. Hence for any two arbitrary vertices  $i, j \in S$ ,  $(i, j) \notin E$ .

Therefore,  $S \subset V$  and for  $i, j \in S$ ,  $(i, j) \notin E \Rightarrow S$  is an independent set.

3. Expected size of set  $S$ :

Define:

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{node } i \text{ adds self to } S \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$X = \sum_{i \in V} X_i$$

Clearly,  $X = |S|$ . Now,  $P(X_i = 1) = P(\text{all neighbours get Heads}) * P(i \text{ gets Tails})$ .

$$\Rightarrow P(X_i = 1) = p^d(1 - p)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}[X_i] = p^d(1 - p)$$

By linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{i \in V} \mathbf{E}[X_i] = np^d(1 - p)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{E}[|S|] = np^d(1 - p)$$

4. The value of  $p$  maximizing  $\mathbf{E}[|S|]$ :

We first compute the derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}[|S|]}{\partial p} = ndp^{d-1} - n(d+1)p^d$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}[|S|]}{\partial^2 p} = ndp^{d-2}((d-1) - (d+1)p)$$

For maxima,  $\partial \mathbf{E}[|S|]/\partial p = 0$  and  $\partial^2 \mathbf{E}[|S|]/\partial^2 p < 0$ :

$$\Rightarrow dp^{d-1} - n(d+1)p^d = 0 \Rightarrow p = \frac{d}{d+1}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}[|S|]}{\partial^2 p} \Big|_{p=\frac{d}{d+1}} = nd \left( \frac{d}{d+1} \right)^{d-2} \left( (d-1) - \frac{(d+1)d}{d+1} \right) < 0$$

Thus, for  $p = \frac{d}{d+1}$ , the expected size of  $|S|$  is maximized.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[|S|] &= n \left( \frac{d}{d+1} \right)^d \left( 1 - \frac{d}{d+1} \right) = \frac{nd^d}{(d+1)^{d+1}} = \left( \frac{d}{d+1} \right)^d \cdot \frac{n}{d+1} \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}[|S|] &= \frac{1}{\left( 1 + \frac{1}{d} \right)^d} \cdot \frac{n}{d+1} \cong \frac{1}{e} \cdot \frac{n}{d+1} \text{ for large enough } d \end{aligned}$$

Solution-3:

1. The following deterministic algorithm would be to keep  $k + 1$  alive links. But since we have no prior knowledge of  $k$ , we will assume the worst and choose to keep  $n/2 + 1$  alive links.

---

Algorithm-2: A deterministic algorithm to ensure that  $v$  remains connected to at least one slave vertex

---

Repeat for each day:

Successfully connect to slave vertices until  $n/2 + 1$  active links

---

Since the number of links breaking among the  $n/2 + 1$  can be at most  $n/2$ . There will always be at least one slave vertex connected the next day. Clearly, the deterministic algorithm always keeps  $\frac{n}{2} + 1$  alive links, and scales linearly with  $n$ .

2. Randomized algorithm:

---

Algorithm-3: A randomized algorithm to ensure that  $v$  remains connected to at least one slave vertex

---

Repeat for each day:

---

The Algorithm-3 fails if all of the connected links break on the next day. Say there are  $a$  active links in the previous day (i.e.,  $(i - 1)^{th}$  day) and  $k_i$  be the number of links that are failing today ( $i^{th}$  day). Then,

$$E_i = \text{event that the algorithm fails on the } i^{th} \text{ day}$$

$$P(E_i) = P(\text{no slave vertex linked}) = \left(\frac{k_i}{n}\right)^a \leq \frac{1}{n^4} \text{ for } a \geq \left\lceil 4 \log_{\frac{n}{k_i}} n \right\rceil$$

Since we have no prior knowledge of  $k_i$ , except  $k_i \leq n/2$ . We have,

$$\left\lceil 4 \log_{\frac{n}{k_i}} n \right\rceil \leq \lceil 4 \log_2 n \rceil$$

$$\Rightarrow P(E_i) \leq \frac{1}{n^4} \text{ for } a = \lceil 4 \log_2 n \rceil$$

Using the union bound:

$$P(\text{fails within } n^2 \text{ days}) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i\right) \leq n^2 P(E_i) \leq \frac{n^2}{n^4} = \frac{1}{n^2} \quad [\text{union bound}]$$

$$P(\text{success}) = 1 - P(\text{fails within } n^2 \text{ days}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Thus, for  $a = \lceil 4 \log_2 n \rceil$  this randomized algorithm succeeds with high probability.  
This randomized algorithm keeps  $\lceil 4 \log_2 n \rceil$  active links.