Study	TP 1	ΓP + FN		Proportion	95%-CI
Test type = ELISA					
Balamurugan (2007)	216	270		0.800 [0.7	47; 0.846]
Balamurugan (2021)	233	243	-	0.959 [0.9	26; 0.980]
Cheng (2017)	126	129		0.977 [0.9	34; 0.995]
Choi (2003)	77	85		0.906 [0.8	23; 0.958]
Choi (2005)	234	249	-	0.940 [0.9	03; 0.966]
Manzoor (2020)	63	74	-	0.851 [0.7	50; 0.923]
Singh (2004a)	676	733		0.922 [0.9	00; 0.941]
Singh (2004b)	137	154	-	0.890 [0.8	29; 0.934]
Singh (2006)	665	757		0.878 [0.8	53; 0.901]
Zhang (2012)	202	209		0.967 [0.9	32; 0.986]
Random effects mode	el	2903		0.921 [0.8	84; 0.947]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$,	$\tau^2 = 0.37$	784, <i>p</i> < 0.01			
Test type = cELISA					
Munir (2009)	57	60		0.950 [0.8	61; 0.990]
Zhang (2013)	304	333		0.913 [0.8	77; 0.941]
Random effects mode	el	393		0.919 [0.8	87; 0.942]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, 1	$\tau^2 = 0, p =$	= 0.34			
Random effects mode	el	3296		0.922 [0.8	91; 0.944]
Prediction interval	_			[0.7	58; 0.978]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 84\%$,					
Test for subgroup differences: $\chi_1^2 = 0.01$, df = 1 ($p = 0.91$)			0.75 0.8 0.85 0.9 0.95		
	•		Sensitivity		