2019-nCoV in context: lessons learned?



Published Online February 6, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2542-5196(20)30035-8

The emergence of a new coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan creates a sense of déjà vu with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) epidemic in China in 2003. Coronaviruses are enveloped, positivestranded RNA viruses of mammals and birds. These viruses have high mutation and gene recombination rates, making them ideal for pathogen evolution.1 In humans, coronavirus is usually associated with mild disease, the common cold. Previous emerging novel coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which emerged in the Middle East in 2012, were associated with severe and sometimes fatal disease. MERS-CoV was less pathogenic than SARS-CoV, with the most severe infections mainly in individuals with underlying illnesses. Clinically and epidemiologically, the contemporary 2019-nCoV in China seems to resemble SARS-CoV. The genome of 2019-nCoV also appears most closely related to SARS-CoV and related bat coronaviruses.² The infection has now spread widely, with phylogenetic analysis of the emerging viruses suggesting an initial single-locus zoonotic spillover event in November, 2019,3 and subsequent humanto-human transmission. The SARS epidemic in 2003 was followed soon after by avian influenza H5N1 in 2006, centred on the Asian continent and Middle East. Other surprising viral zoonoses that have caused serious disease include Nipah encephalitic virus in pigs and humans in southeast and south Asia in 1999-2014, and large-scale Ebola virus epidemics in 2014-16 and 2018-19 in west and central Africa. Taken together, these events ring alarm bells about disease emergence in the 21st century, and the importance of human diseases originating from indiscriminate contacts with infected animals.

There is an increasing focus on the human-animalenvironment disease interface, as encompassed in the One Health concept. Mortalities, disability-adjusted life-years, and billions of dollars of economic losses from these infections demand action and investment in prevention to face novel challenges to human and animal health. Research has led to better understanding of the nature and drivers of cross-species viral jumps, but the detail is still elusive. No reservoir population of bats for SARS and MERS-CoV or Ebola virus have been definitively identified, despite considerable searching, possibly because of the source virus circulating in small and isolated populations. Forensic examination has clarified the human infection sources and multispecies involvement in these diseases, with some species confirmed as competent hosts (eq, camels for MERS-CoV⁴), bridge (or amplifying) hosts (eq, pigs for Nipah virus, non-human primates for Ebola virus⁵), or deadend hosts. The crucial checkpoint is the jump and bridging of the viruses to humans, which occurs most frequently through animal-based food systems. In the case of SARS, markets with live and dead animals of wild and domestic origins were the crucible for virus evolution and emergence in the human population. Once the viruses' functional proteins enabled cell entry in civets (Paguma larvata) and racoon dogs (Nyctereutes procyonoides), the bridge was established and it was only a matter of time before the jump to humans occurred.6 Sequence comparison of civet viruses suggested evolution was ongoing; this was further supported by high seroprevalence of antibodies against SARS-CoV among civet sellers, suggesting previous cross-species transmission events without necessarily human-tohuman transmission.78 Similarly, early Ebola virus was mostly associated with bushmeat and its consumption in Africa; Nipah virus is associated with date palm sap, fruit, and domestic pig farms; MERS is associated with the camel livestock industry; and H5N1 arose from viral evolution in domestic and wild birds, to ultimately bring all these cases to humans. The 2019-nCoV is another virus in the pipeline that originated from contact with animals, in this case a seafood and animal market in Wuhan, China.

Inevitably, the health sectors are primarily reactive to these events, acting to save lives as well as undertake surveillance and control. The drama and panic typically fade into history with the substantial costs being absorbed by ordinary people, international financial systems, and tax bases, making life go on as normal, but not quite. The frequency, severity, and financial impacts of these events are growing, and the world can no longer afford to just wait and see, especially because prevention of these threats is in theory relatively simple and where addressed has resulted in a cessation of risk. The best example being Nipah virus, where separation

of pig farms from fruit agriculture, and by the same measure, fruit bats, has substantially reduced the potential for Nipah virus spill over. Bats have always had viral populations, and despite close association with humans for millennia, this has not resulted in pandemics until recent times.

In conclusion, have we learned lessons? Yes and no. These events are of global public health and economic importance and need collective societal response. But governments and civil society are not heeding these warnings, as the 2019-nCoV attests.9 Concerns have been repeatedly raised and voiced since the idea of One Health was first expressed in around 2000.10 What we need to learn and communicate is that the zoonotic or agricultural bridging of novel pathogens from domestic and captive wildlife needs urgent attention, along with attention to the human appetite for meat. This approach is easily achieved for coronavirus threats eg, by substantially reducing the trade of risky species of wild caught animals for food or other purposes, and a culturally sensitive ban on the sale of these animals in wet markets. Vaccines and therapeutic alternatives might be possible and are needed, but they are a response, because the emerging strain is unpredictable and a vaccine is unlikely to prevent the initial events. In some parts of Africa, prevention of Ebola virus and future coronavirus threats require shifts in food habits, a transition from bushmeat being a cultural norm or primary source of protein, and by discouraging agricultural development that brings bats into increased contact with humans or livestock. In the Middle East, re-evaluating and improving infection prevention and control measures for camel farms, a recent introduction coincident with the emergence of MERS-CoV, would be a positive step forward.

WBK is Executive Vice President of EcoHealth Alliance and RAK, AZ, TPV, FV, DS, LEGM, OD, and LBA are members of the Pan-African Network for Rapid Research, Response, Relief, and Preparedness for Infectious Diseases Epidemics

consortium (PANDORA-ID-NET). We acknowledge support from the European and Developing Countries Clinical Trials Partnership (EDCTP2) programme (grant RIA2016E-1609). AZ is in receipt of a National Institutes of Health Research senior investigator award. We declare no competing interests.

Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license.

*Richard A Kock, William B Karesh, Francisco Veas, Thirumalaisamy P Velavan, David Simons, Leonard E G Mboera, Osman Dar, Liã Bárbara Arruda, Alimuddin Zumla

rkock@rvc.ac.uk

Department of Pathobiology and Population Science, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hatfield, AL9 7TA, UK (RAK, DS); EcoHealth Alliance, New York, NY, USA (WBK); IRD, UMR5569, Faculty of Pharmacy, Montpellier University, Montpellier, France (FV); Institute of Tropical Medicine, Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany (TPV); Vietnamese-German Center for Medical Research (VG-CARE), Hanoi, Vietnam (TPV); Faculty of Medicine, Duy Tan University, Da Nang, Vietnam (TPV); SACIDS Foundation for One Health, Sokoine University of Agriculture, Chuo Kikuu, Morogoro, Tanzania (LEGM); Chatham House Centre on Global Health Security, Royal Institute of International Affairs, London, UK (OD); and Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, and UCL Hospitals NIHR Biomedical Research Centre London, London, UK (LBA, AZ)

- Su S, Wong G, Shi W, et al. Epidemiology, genetic recombination, and pathogenesis of coronaviruses. Trends Microbiol 2016; 24: 490–502.
- Zhou P, Yang X-L, Wang X-G, et al. Discovery of a novel coronavirus associated with the recent pneumonia outbreak in humans and its potential bat origin. bioRxiv 2020; published online Jan 23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.22.914952 (preprint).
- 3 Rembaut A. Phylogenetic analysis of nCoV-2019 genomes. Feb 2020. http://virological.org/t/phylodynamic-analysis-44-genomes-29-jan-2020/356 (accessed Feb 3, 2020).
- 4 Briese T, Mishra N, Jain K, et al. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus quasispecies that include homologues of human isolates revealed through whole-genome analysis and virus cultured from dromedary camels in Saudi Arabia. mBio 2014: 5: e01146–14.
- 5 Lahm SA, Kombila M, Swanepoel R, Barnes RF. Morbidity and mortality of wild animals in relation to outbreaks of Ebola haemorrhagic fever in Gabon, 1994–2003. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2007; 101: 64–78.
- 6 Ng OW, Tan YJ. Understanding bat SARS-like coronaviruses for the preparation of future coronavirus outbreaks—implications for coronavirus vaccine development. Hum Vaccin Immunother 2017; 13: 186–89.
- 7 Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YQ, et al. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from animals in southern China. Science 2003; 302: 276–78.
- 8 Kan B, Wang M, Jing H, et al. Molecular evolution analysis and geographic investigation of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-like virus in palm civets at an animal market and on farms. J Virol 2005; 79: 11892–900.
- Zumla A, Dar O, Kock R, et al. Taking forward a 'One Health' approach for turning the tide against the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus and other zoonotic pathogens with epidemic potential. Int J Infect Dis 2016; 47: 5–9.
- 10 Karesh WB, Dobson A, Lloyd-Smith J, et al. Ecology of zoonoses: natural and unnatural histories. Lancet 2012; 380: 1936-45.