Lassa Fever cases suffer from severe under-reporting based on reported fatalities.

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Lassa Fever is a viral haemorrhagic fever endemic to eight West African countries. Symptomatic disease is expected to occur in 20% of those infected, transmission typically occurs from viral spillover from rodent hosts. The combination of limited access to diagnostics and access to healthcare means the true burden of this disease is unknown.

**Methods:** The case fatality rate among confirmed, probable, and possible cases of Lassa Fever in endemic regions is expected to be around 15%. Here, annual reported cases and deaths have been used to estimate the case fatality to rate, using three subsets of available data, to understand the scale of under-reporting of severe human cases.

**Results:** 38 records of Lassa Fever reported cases and deaths were produced comprising 5,230 reported cases and 1,482 reported deaths from 7 countries. The estimated case fatality rate ranges from 16.5-25.6% (S.D. = 11.5-32.2%). The expected number of severe cases between 2012-2022 is 8,995 with current reported numbers 58% of expected.

**Conclusion:** This analysis highlights current uncertainty and systemic under-reporting of the morbidity and mortality burden Lassa Fever in its endemic region and must be considered when discussing the epidemiology of this neglected tropical disease.

# Introduction

Lassa Fever, caused by *Lassa mammarenavirus* is an endemic zoonotic infectious disease, with outbreaks of human infection regularly recorded from eight West African countries (Balogun, Akande, and Hamer 2021). The primary zoonotic reservoir, the Natal multimammate mouse (*Mastomys natalensis*), is thought to be responsible for most cases in endemic regions with limited human-to-human transmission. Sporadic human cases are detected in non-endemic countries due to infected travellers. Most infections (~ 80%) are thought to produce minimal symptoms, while symptomatic disease can lead to severe symptoms requiring hospitalisation and leading to death.

The number of individuals at risk of Lassa Fever is projected to increase due to increased human population, land-use change and climate change (Redding et al. 2021). Our understanding of the current impact across the endemic region is lacking due to limited diagnostics, surveillance, and reporting. The degree of under-reporting of cases presenting to healthcare is unknown, while the reporting of deaths associated with notifiable diseases such as Lassa Fever are typically more complete. The Case Fatality Rate (CFR) of Lassa Fever is estimated at 15% with wide variability, two recent studies of hospitalised populations in Nigeria recorded CFRs of 14% and 31%, with a study in Sierra Leone estimating a CFR of 69% (Duvignaud et al. 2021; Strampe et al. 2021; Shaffer et al. 2014).

The scale of under-reporting can be estimated from the number of cases that would be expected to produce the number of reported deaths under the assumption that these suffer from fewer limitations in reporting. The number of estimated cases can then be compared to the reported cases to produce a proportion of expected cases that are reported. This approach has been adopted during the current COVID-19 pandemic by organisation such as the World Health Organisation and can help to estimate the unrecognised burden of a disease.

# Methods

Reported Lassa Fever cases were identified from a search of ProMED mail, WHO Weekly Bulletins on Outbreaks and Other Emergencies, Nigeria CDC situation reports and academic publications between 2012 and 2022. Where available, information on the number of suspected cases, confirmed cases and deaths among confirmed cases were extracted.

Three case-fatality rates were calculated using the number of reported deaths as the numerator and cases as the denominator, weighted by the number of reported cases. First, across all reports obtained, if the number of deaths exceeded the number of confirmed cases, suspected cases were used as the denominator. Second, only Nigerian Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) data only were used; this includes prospective follow-up of confirmed cases and contact tracing, due to the impact of COVID-19 on healthcare-seeking data were limited to prior to 2021. Third, the study used NCDC data limited to Edo and Ondo states between 2017 and 2021. The expected number of cases was calculated for reported deaths and compared to the number of reported cases. For records not reporting deaths, the expected number of confirmed cases was calculated using the derived under-reporting ratio for that country. CFR values of 0% and 100% were removed prior to calculating weighted mean CFRs.

# Results

The literature review produced 38 records of cases and fatalities from 7 countries between 2012 and 2022. These included 5,230 reported cases and 1,482 reported deaths. A similar CFR was estimated using the first 2 approaches (method 1: mean = 25.6%, S.D. = 16.6% and method 2: mean = 25.2%, S.D. = 16.2%). Limiting contributing data for Nigerian states to those with higher surveillance (method 3) resulted in an estimated CFR of 16.5% (S.D. = 5%) (Fig 1A.). For the years 2018-2022 the number of reported cases from Nigeria were greater than expected cases based on CFR estimates from methods 1 and 2, suggestive that a CFR of 16.5% (+/- 5%), using method 3 is more representative of mortality following development of clinically severe disease. Estimates of CFR from method 3 show less variability than those including all outbreaks or all states, leading to greater confidence in this estimate. Applying this method of case estimation to other settings based on reported deaths found that between 17-63% of expected cases are reported (Fig 1B.).
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**A:** Case Fatality Rate of Lassa Fever following development of symptomatic disease and presenting to healthcare using three data sources for estimation. Method 1 uses all reported cases and deaths where the CFR is not equal to 0% or 100%. Method 2 uses all reported cases and deaths provided by NCDC data prior to 2021 where the CFR is not equal to 0% or 100%. Method 3 uses all reported cases and deaths from Edo and Ondo state from 2017-2021. The black line represents the weighted mean CFR. **B:** The difference between reported cases and expected cases derived from the number of reported deaths divided by the CFR (note that the y-axis scale varies by country).

As expected, under-reporting is greatest in countries in which Lassa Fever surveillance is not routine and there are few reported deaths, i.e., Ghana, Guinea, and Togo (17%, 25% and 38% respectively), conversely in Nigeria and Sierra Leone in which surveillance is more available under-reporting was estimated at 63% and 57% respectively. The lowest proportion of expected cases are reported from Benin (12%) which reports sporadic outbreaks based on identified deaths but has no routine surveillance. During the last decade 5,230 cases of Lassa Fever have been reported, with 8,995 expected cases, and with an estimated 3,765 unreported cases.

These results are sensitive to the number of reported deaths due to Lassa Fever which are likely to suffer from variable reporting by country. As deaths are associated with individuals who present to clinical settings following symptoms, this method is unable to estimate the absolute number of cases in a given community. The CFR of Lassa Fever has been treated as spatially non-varying, while the impact of the known different viral strains on disease severity is currently unknown.

# Conclusion

The number of observed cases of Lassa Fever is significantly under-reported. This analysis has been performed to draw attention to the limitations in using reported case numbers when estimating the risk of disease in endemic countries and the risk of cases being exported from endemic countries.
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