Macroeconomics III

Incomplete Markets and Heterogeneous Agent Model

Diego Rodrigues

SciencesPo diego.desousarodrigues@sciencespo.fr

Fall 2023

- A representative agent is **not one agent**.
- It does not exclude trade it just mean it occurs under the hoods.
- Another word for representative agent model complete markets economy.

Lucas (1976) Econometric policy evaluation - a critique

Those points are necessary in any model that aims to evaluate the effect and desirability of economic policy.

• Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.

Lucas (1976) Econometric policy evaluation - a critique

Those points are necessary in any model that aims to evaluate the effect and desirability of economic policy.

- Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.
- Savings and investment decisions are inter-temporal.

Lucas (1976) Econometric policy evaluation - a critique

Those points are necessary in any model that aims to evaluate the effect and desirability of economic policy.

- Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.
- Savings and investment decisions are inter-temporal.
- Stochastic aggregate shocks lead to downswings and upswings in the economy.

Lucas (1976) Econometric policy evaluation - a critique

Those points are necessary in any model that aims to evaluate the effect and desirability of economic policy.

- Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.
- Savings and investment decisions are inter-temporal.
- Stochastic aggregate shocks lead to downswings and upswings in the economy.
- General equilibrium model, where prices are endogenous.

- Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.
- Savings and investment decisions are inter-temporal.
- Stochastic aggregate shocks lead to downswings and upswings in the economy.
- General equilibrium model, where prices are endogenous.

- Rational decisions made by consumers and firms with assumptions in preferences and technology.
- Savings and investment decisions are inter-temporal.
- Stochastic aggregate shocks lead to downswings and upswings in the economy.
- General equilibrium model, where prices are endogenous.
- **Heterogeneous population** with different wealth and idiosyncratic income shocks against which they cannot fully insure.

So, sometimes we wish to depart from the representative agent model:

- 1 Distributions and aggregations matter.
- Precautionary savings from incomplete markets also matter.
- 3 This could lead to interesting dynamics.
- More importantly, there is no full insurance in the world.

 Economies with a continuum of agents which are ex ante identical, but due to stochastic shocks and limitations in the asset/insurance markets, have ex post heterogeneous asset holdings.

- Economies with a continuum of agents which are ex ante identical, but due to stochastic shocks and limitations in the asset/insurance markets, have ex post heterogeneous asset holdings.
- Agents are hit by only partially insurable idiosyncratic shocks.

 One-period obligation contracts is the only source of insurance (bonds).

- One-period obligation contracts is the only source of insurance (bonds).
- When borrowing is limited, as is the case with incomplete markets, agents must self-insure: they are left with stock-piling quantities of some asset.

In this model, the environment is characterized by an infinitely-lived measure of households with preferences given by:

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0} \beta^t u\left(c_t\right)$$

① Agents face an idiosyncratic productivity shock $y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$.

In this model, the environment is characterized by an infinitely-lived measure of households with preferences given by:

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0} \beta^t u\left(c_t\right)$$

- **①** Agents face an idiosyncratic productivity shock $y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- ② There is a probability to change the productivity shock in each period given by $\pi(y'|y)$.

In this model, the environment is characterized by an infinitely-lived measure of households with preferences given by:

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0} \beta^t u\left(c_t\right)$$

- **1** Agents face an idiosyncratic productivity shock $y_t \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- ② There is a probability to change the productivity shock in each period given by $\pi(y'|y)$.
- 3 We will assume there is no aggregate uncertainty.

- **①** Let $\Pi(y)$ be the unconditional stationary distribution of y:
 - ullet $\pi(y)$ provides the unconditional probability of receiving endowment y.
 - \bullet The fraction of the households on the unit interval that receive y is $\Pi(y).$

- **1** Let $\Pi(y)$ be the unconditional stationary distribution of y:
 - \bullet $\pi(y)$ provides the unconditional probability of receiving endowment y.
 - The fraction of the households on the unit interval that receive y is $\Pi(y)$.
- ② As mentioned before the aggregate productivity in this economy is constant and it is always given by \overline{y} .

- **1** Let $\Pi(y)$ be the unconditional stationary distribution of y:
 - $\pi(y)$ provides the unconditional probability of receiving endowment y.
 - \bullet The fraction of the households on the unit interval that receive y is $\Pi(y).$
- ② As mentioned before the aggregate productivity in this economy is constant and it is always given by \overline{y} .
- 4 Households are faced with the constraints:

$$a' + c = wy + (1+r)a,$$

$$a' > -b$$

$$\mathcal{L} = u\left(wy + (1+r)a - a'\right) + \beta \sum_{y'} \pi\left(y'\mid y\right) V\left(a', y'\right) + \mu\left(a' + b\right)$$

The model yields the following first-order conditions:

In order to define the equilibrium we must characterize the joint distribution of assets and idiosyncratic shocks in this economy:

$$\lambda(a_0, y_0) = \text{Prob}\{a \le a_0, y \le y_0\}$$

For each i = 1, ..., n in this economy:

$$V(a, y_i) = \max_{-b \le a' \le \bar{a}} \left\{ u\left((1+r)a + wy_i - a'\right) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}V\left(a', y_j\right) \right\}.$$

For each i = 1, ..., n in this economy:

$$V(a, y_i) = \max_{-b \le a' \le \bar{a}} \left\{ u\left((1+r)a + wy_i - a'\right) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij}V\left(a', y_j\right) \right\}.$$

- You can easily show that this is a Contraction Mapping.
- ② Standard Dynamic Programming algorithm gives the optimal policy function a'=g(a,y) and $c=g_c(a,y)$.

• OK,so suppose that we have found g(a,y) conditional on some r, now what?

- OK,so suppose that we have found g(a,y) conditional on some r, now what?
- As there are the idiosyncratic shocks, each individual in this economy will be exposed to different shocks at each period.

- OK,so suppose that we have found g(a,y) conditional on some r, now what?
- As there are the idiosyncratic shocks, each individual in this economy will be exposed to different shocks at each period.
- We can identify an individual through his history of shocks.

- OK,so suppose that we have found g(a,y) conditional on some r, now what?
- As there are the idiosyncratic shocks, each individual in this economy will be exposed to different shocks at each period.
- We can identify an individual through his history of shocks.
- Clearly, as the history of shocks affect the individual's wealth and individual will have experienced different types of histories, there will be a cross-sectional distribution of wealth holdings.

- OK,so suppose that we have found g(a,y) conditional on some r, now what?
- As there are the idiosyncratic shocks, each individual in this economy will be exposed to different shocks at each period.
- We can identify an individual through his history of shocks.
- Clearly, as the history of shocks affect the individual's wealth and individual will have experienced different types of histories, there will be a cross-sectional distribution of wealth holdings.
- ullet For simplicity, assume the state space for a is discrete.
- We will denote the distribution of (a, y) in t as $\lambda_t(a, y)$.

Law of motion for the wealth-shock distribution.

• Unconditional distribution of (a_t, y_t) is given by $\lambda_t(a_t, y_t)$.

$$\lambda_t(a_t, y_t) = Pr(a_t, y_t)$$

• Example: Suppose the following: $a_t \in [a_1 < a_2]$ and $y_t \in [y_1 < y_2]$ What is the following object: $\Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1\right) =$

Observe in the end we have the following:

```
\Pr (a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1) = \\ \Pr (a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_1, y_t = y_1) \Pr (y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_1) \Pr (a_t = a_1, y_t = y_1) + \\ \Pr (a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_1, y_t = y_2) \Pr (y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_2) \Pr (a_t = a_1, y_t = y_2) + \\ \Pr (a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_2, y_t = y_1) \Pr (y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_1) \Pr (a_t = a_2, y_t = y_1) + \\ \Pr (a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_2, y_t = y_2) \Pr (y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_2) \Pr (a_t = a_2, y_t = y_2).
```

Observe in the end we have the following:

$$\begin{array}{l} \Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1\right) = \\ \Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_1, y_t = y_1\right) \Pr\left(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_1\right) \Pr\left(a_t = a_1, y_t = y_1\right) + \\ \Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_1, y_t = y_2\right) \Pr\left(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_2\right) \Pr\left(a_t = a_1, y_t = y_2\right) + \\ \Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_2, y_t = y_1\right) \Pr\left(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_1\right) \Pr\left(a_t = a_2, y_t = y_1\right) + \\ \Pr\left(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_2, y_t = y_2\right) \Pr\left(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_2\right) \Pr\left(a_t = a_2, y_t = y_2\right). \end{array}$$

Therefore, in the end we have the following:

Aggregate Distribution of assets and shocks

$$\Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j) \Pr(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_j) \Pr(a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j)$$

Now observe:

$$\Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1) = \sum_{a_t} \sum_{y_t} \Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j) \Pr(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_j) \Pr(a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j)$$

Now observe:

$$\Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1, y_{t+1} = y_1) = \sum_{a_t} \sum_{y_t} \Pr(a_{t+1} = a_1/a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j) \Pr(y_{t+1} = y_1/y_t = y_j) \Pr(a_t = a_i, y_t = y_j)$$

Aggregate Distribution of assets and shocks

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{a} \sum_{y} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right) I\left(a',a,y\right)$$

Aggregate Distribution of assets and shocks

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{a} \sum_{y} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right) I\left(a',a,y\right),$$

where I(a', a, y) = 1 if a' = g(a, y) and 0 otherwise.

Aggregate Distribution of assets and shocks

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{a} \sum_{y} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right) I\left(a',a,y\right),$$

where I(a', a, y) = 1 if a' = g(a, y) and 0 otherwise.

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{y} \sum_{\left\{a: a'=g\left(a,y\right)\right\}} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right)$$

Aggregate Distribution of assets and shocks

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{a} \sum_{y} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right) I\left(a',a,y\right),$$

where I(a', a, y) = 1 if a' = g(a, y) and 0 otherwise.

$$\lambda_{t+1}\left(a',y'\right) = \sum_{y} \sum_{\left\{a:a'=g\left(a,y\right)\right\}} \lambda_{t}\left(a,y\right) \Pr\left(y',y\right)$$

A time-invariant distribution is such that $\lambda_{t+1} = \lambda_t = \lambda$.

• One can show that under quite weak assumptions, λ_t (and for any λ_0) converges to a unique stationary distribution λ such that,

$$\lambda\left(a^{\prime},y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{y}\sum_{\left\{a:a^{\prime}=g\left(a,y\right)\right\}}\lambda\left(a,y\right)\Pr\left(y^{\prime},y\right)$$

• One can show that under quite weak assumptions, λ_t (and for any λ_0) converges to a unique stationary distribution λ such that,

$$\lambda\left(a^{\prime},y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{y}\sum_{\left\{a:a^{\prime}=g\left(a,y\right)\right\}}\lambda\left(a,y\right)\Pr\left(y^{\prime},y\right)$$

- This stationary distribution is very important to us.
- Given a constant interest-rate, the optimal household decision yields a stationary distribution with a constant excess-demand for bonds.
- Moreover, even if aggregates are constant (aggregate wealth, consumption, endowments etc.) individual specific variables are not: agents jump frequently around in the distribution, but aggregates never change.

The problem induces an **Endogenous Markov Chain**:

$$\Pr(a_{t+1} = a', y_{t+1} = y'/a_t = a, y_t = y) = \Pr(a_{t+1} = a'/a_t = a, y_t = y) \Pr(y_{t+1} = y'/y_t = y) = I(a', a, y) P(y', y) = Q$$

The problem induces an **Endogenous Markov Chain**:

$$\Pr(a_{t+1} = a', y_{t+1} = y'/a_t = a, y_t = y) = \Pr(a_{t+1} = a'/a_t = a, y_t = y) \Pr(y_{t+1} = y'/y_t = y) = I(a', a, y) P(y', y) = Q$$

The formula defines an NXN matrix where N is the number of states for y and N is the number of grid points for a

Definition

A stationary equilibrium is an interest rate r, a policy function, g(a, y), and a stationary distribution $\lambda(a, y)$, such that:

- The policy function g(a, y) solves V(a, y);
- 2 The loan markets clears:

$$\sum_{y,a} \lambda(a,y)g(a,y) = 0, \left(\sum_{y,a} \lambda(a,y)g_c(a,y) = \overline{y}\right)$$

3 The stationary distribution $\lambda(a,y)$ is induced by (P,y) and g(a,y):

$$\lambda(B) = \sum_{X = [-b, \bar{a}] \times \mathcal{Y} \in B} Q(X, B)$$

Solution algorithm:

- Guess $r = r_i$.
- ② Solve household's problem using dynamic programming to find $g_j(a,y)$ and find $\lambda_j(a,y)$.
- Compute:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y) g_j(a,y).$$

4 If $e > \varepsilon$, update $r_{j+1} < r_j$ (if $e < \varepsilon$, update $r_{j+1} > r_j$) and go back to step (1). If $|e| < \varepsilon$ stop.

Computing issues

Sounds easy, right?

Computing issues

- Sounds easy, right?
- Not necessarily! Calculating the cross -sectional distribution can be a pain.
- We will discuss two ways to solve this issue:
 - **Discretization:** approximate the distribution function on a discrete number of grid points over the assets;
 - Monte-Carlo simulation: we take a sample of households and we track them over time.

 When solving heterogeneous models, we will encounter stochastic variables with law of motion described by a probability density function:

$$\lambda(\theta_{t+1}, \theta_t)$$

Digression on Computing Distributions II

• What is the density of θ_{t+2} given θ_t ?

$$\lambda(\theta_{t+2}, \theta_t) = \int_{\theta_{t+1}} \Psi(\theta_{t+2}, \theta_{t+1}) \lambda(\theta_{t+1}, \theta_t)$$

• What is the density of θ_{t+3} given θ_t ?

$$\lambda(\theta_{t+3}, \theta_t) = \int_{\theta_{t+2}} \Psi(\theta_{t+3}, \theta_{t+2}) \Psi(\theta_{t+2}, \theta_t)$$

• In general

$$\lambda(\theta_{t+n}, \theta_t) = \int_{\theta_{t+n-1}} \Psi(\theta_{t+n}, \theta_{t+n-1}) \Psi(\theta_{t+n-1}, \theta_t)$$

Digressing on Computing Distributions

 Many times we are interested in the unconditional, or long-run density:

$$\lambda(\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda\left(\theta_{t+n}, \theta_t\right)$$

• This density must satisfy the following equation:

$$\lambda\left(\theta'\right) = \int_{\theta'} \psi\left(\theta',\theta\right) \lambda(\theta)$$

Transition Matrices

Transition matrix:

$$T := \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \psi \left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{1} \right) & \cdots & \psi \left(\theta_{N}, \theta_{1} \right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \psi \left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{N} \right) & \cdots & \psi \left(\theta_{N}, \theta_{N} \right) \end{array} \right]$$

- Each row must sum to one!
- What is the distribution of θ_{t+1} given $\theta_t = \theta_i$?

Distributions

• Let v_0 be a 1XN vector, with zeros everywhere apart from the element j, where it is one. Then given $\theta_t = \theta_j$:

$$\psi\left(\theta_{t+1}\right) = v_0 T = v_1$$

Distributions

• Let v_0 be a 1XN vector, with zeros everywhere apart from the element j, where it is one. Then given $\theta_t = \theta_j$:

$$\psi\left(\theta_{t+1}\right) = v_0 T = v_1$$

• Things get simple since right now we have:

$$\psi\left(\theta_{t+n}\right) = v_{n-1}T = v_n$$

Distributions

• Let v_0 be a 1XN vector, with zeros everywhere apart from the element j, where it is one. Then given $\theta_t = \theta_j$:

$$\psi\left(\theta_{t+1}\right) = v_0 T = v_1$$

• Things get simple since right now we have:

$$\psi\left(\theta_{t+n}\right) = v_{n-1}T = v_n$$

• And the long-run unconditional distribution must solve:

$$vT = v \Rightarrow (T - I)v = 0$$

Transition matrix: example

- ullet The job finding probability, f, in the United States is around 0.4 per month.
- How do I know that?
- In order to see this we can use the data and see that the unemployment duration is around 2.5 months.
- Calculation:

Transition matrix: example

- The separation rate in the United States is 3.4 % (data).
- Therefore, the transition matrix between employed (1) and unemployed (2) is given by:

$$T := \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.966 & 0.034 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{array} \right]$$

• The Long-run distribution is:

$$v = [0.9217, 0.0783]$$

ullet Suppose we have 5 states for a and a policy function defined as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

ullet Suppose we have 5 states for a and a policy function defined as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

• This can be written as a transition matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

 But in the model we normally have one policy function for each states. So suppose we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ if good state } \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ if bad state } \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

 Now imagine we have the following transition matrix between good and bad states:

$$T = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0.8 & 0.2 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{array} \right]$$

• With the two transition matrices:

$$M_g = \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \text{ and } M_b = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

• The full transition matrix is given by:

• The full transition matrix is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(1,1)M_g & T(1,2)M_g \\ T(2,1)M_b & T(2,2)M_b \end{bmatrix}$$

The full transition matrix is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} T(1,1)M_g & T(1,2)M_g \\ T(2,1)M_b & T(2,2)M_b \end{bmatrix}$$

• Endogenous transition matrix:

Montecarlo Simulation

- Choose a sample size of Q individuals ($Q \approx 1000$).
- Initialize each individual i with an initial asset holding a_i^0 and as productivity shock y_i .
- Compute $a'_i = g(a_i, y_i) \quad \forall i = 1, ..., Q$.
- Generate the next period productivity shock $y'_i \quad \forall i = 1, ..., Q$.
- Calculate a set of statistics on the distribution of y and a (average and standard deviation).
- Iterate until convergence on the statistics.

Recall the Solution Algorithm

- Guess $r = r_i$.
- ② Solve household's problem using dynamic programming to find $g_j(a,y)$ and find $\lambda_j(a,y)$.
- Compute:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y)g_j(a,y).$$

 $\textbf{ If } e>\varepsilon \text{, update } r_{j+1}< r_j \text{ (if } e<\varepsilon \text{, update } r_{j+1}>r_j \text{) and go back to step } (1). \text{ If } |e|<\varepsilon \text{ stop.}$

• The asset demand is given by:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y) g_j(a,y).$$

• The asset demand is given by:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y) g_j(a,y).$$

We also know that: $e\left(\frac{1}{\beta}-1\right)\geq 0$ and hopefully e(0)<0.

• The asset demand is given by:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y) g_j(a,y).$$

We also know that: $e\left(\frac{1}{\beta}-1\right)\geq 0$ and hopefully e(0)<0.

ullet Luckily, e(r) is continuous.

• The asset demand is given by:

$$e = \sum_{(y,a)} \lambda_j(a,y) g_j(a,y).$$

We also know that: $e\left(\frac{1}{\beta}-1\right)\geq 0$ and hopefully e(0)<0.

- Luckily, e(r) is continuous.
- This means that in order to find the interest rate we can use bisection method.