

Neutron Stars in General Relativity

Diego García Tejada^{a,b}

^aUniversidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049, Madrid, Spain

^bInstituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Cantoblanco, 28049, Madrid, Spain

December 2025

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Do- nec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Pha- sellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Prae- sent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

1 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Do- nec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Pha- sellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Prae- sent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

2 Equations of stellar structure

In this section we will develop the equations of stellar structure for both Newtonian gravity and general relativity. Once derived we will compare their behavior by solving them for a toy model of a neutron star of constant density. By doing this we will see how, at such high densities, GR is necessary for the description of compact objects.

2.1 Newtonian equation

Let our star be spherically symmetric, and have mass M and radius R . The mass enclosed by a shell of radius r (for $r \leq R$) is [1]:

$$m(r) = \int_0^r 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) dr \Rightarrow \frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \rho(r), \quad (1)$$

where we have taken the limit of the energy density ρ being equal to the mass density ρ_0 [2].

To calculate the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium we equate the forces generated by stars on gravity to the pressure generated by the fluid. The total pressure force generated on a shell of radius r and thickness dr is

$$F_P = -[p(r+dr) - p(r)] 4\pi r^2 = -4\pi r^2 \frac{dp}{dr} dr. \quad (2)$$

In order for the star to be in hydrostatic equilibrium, we need this force to be equal to that of gravity. By doing this we derive the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium¹ to be

$$4\pi r^2 \frac{dp}{dr} dr = -\frac{Gm(r)dm}{r^2} \Rightarrow \frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{Gm(r)\rho(r)}{r^2}, \quad (3)$$

where in the second step we used (1) to rewrite dm .

2.2 TOV equation

In GR to find the equations of stellar structure we need to solve Einstein's equation for the interior of a solid object where $T_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$. We start again by considering a spherically symmetric static star. The general metric describing such a space is [3, 4]

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + e^{2\Lambda(r)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2. \quad (4)$$

From this metric we compute the components of the Einstein tensor to be [3]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{tt} &= \frac{1}{r^2} e^{2(\Phi-\Lambda)} (2r\partial_r \Lambda - 1 + e^{2\Lambda}), \\ G_{rr} &= \frac{1}{r^2} (2r\partial_r \Phi + 1 - e^{2\Lambda}), \\ G_{\theta\theta} &= r^2 e^{-2\Lambda} [\partial_r^2 \Phi + (\partial_r \Phi)^2 - \partial_r \Phi \partial_r \Lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r} (\partial_r \Phi - \partial_r \Lambda)], \\ G_{\phi\phi} &= \sin^2 \theta G_{\theta\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

¹Notice that (3) is $\rho \frac{dv}{dr} = -\nabla p - \rho \nabla \Phi$, when $\mathbf{v} = 0$.

Modeling the star as a perfect fluid, in its commoving frame, the energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho - p)U_\mu U_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6)$$

Normalizing the four-velocity to be timelike, we find that in this frame it becomes $U_\mu = (e^\Phi, 0, 0, 0)$. Inserting this into (6) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt} &= \rho e^{2\Phi}, \\ T_{rr} &= p e^{2\Lambda}, \\ T_{\theta\theta} &= p r^2, \\ T_{\phi\phi} &= \sin^2 \theta T_{\theta\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

With (5) and (7) we find three independent components of Einstein's equation. the tt component

$$\frac{1}{r^2} e^{-2\Lambda} (2r \partial_r \Lambda - 1 + e^{2\Lambda}) = 8\pi G \rho, \quad (8)$$

the rr component

$$\frac{1}{r^2} e^{-2\Lambda} (2r \partial_r \Phi + 1 - e^{2\Lambda}) = 8\pi G p, \quad (9)$$

and the $\theta\theta$ component

$$e^{-2\Lambda} [\partial_r^2 \Phi + (\partial_r \Phi)^2 - \partial_r \Phi \partial_r \Lambda + \frac{1}{r} (\partial_r \Phi - \partial_r \Lambda)] = 8\pi G p. \quad (10)$$

Motivated by Schwarzschild's exterior solution we make the following change of variable

$$m(r) = \frac{1}{2G} (r - r e^{-2\Lambda}) \Leftrightarrow e^{2\Lambda} = \left[1 - \frac{2Gm(r)}{r} \right]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

Substituting this new definition onto equation (8) allows us to simplify it to

$$\frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4\pi \rho(r) r^2. \quad (12)$$

We see that this equation is identical to (12), with the caveat that $\rho \neq \rho_0$ outside the NR limit². Using (11) in (9) we find that it can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} = \frac{4\pi G r^3 p(r) + Gm(r)}{r [r - 2Gm(r)]}. \quad (13)$$

From the conservation of Energy-Momentum ($\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$), where the only non null component will be that with $\nu = r$ [3], we obtain the following relation

$$(\rho + p) \frac{d\Phi}{dr} = -\frac{dp}{dr}. \quad (14)$$

Inserting this result back onto (13) we finally obtain the equation of hydrostatic equilibrium for general relativity, also called the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation.

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -(\rho + p) \frac{4\pi G r^3 p(r) + Gm(r)}{r [r - 2Gm(r)]}. \quad (15)$$

²See [3, 4] for the effects of this difference.

2.3 Constant density star

Now, in order to compare both formalisms let us assume that our star has mass M , radius R and a constant density ρ_\star for $r \leq R$. Under this assumptions both (1) and (12) result in

$$m(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_\star r^3 & \text{for } r \leq R \\ \frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_\star R^3 & \text{for } r > R \end{cases}. \quad (16)$$

With this, we now solve the differential equations (3) and (15). The integration is left as an exercise to the reader, its important to note that the boundary condition is $p(R) = 0$. This condition is needed in order to match the interior metric to Schwarzschild at $r = R$, but physically it also makes sense as the limit of the star will be where there is no pressure due to the gas. The solution for the Newtonian equation is

$$p(r) = \frac{2\pi}{3} G \rho_\star^2 (R^2 - r^2), \quad (17)$$

while the solution for the TOV equation (15) is

$$p(r) = \rho_\star \left(\frac{\sqrt{R^3 - 2GMR^2} - \sqrt{R^3 - 2GMr^2}}{\sqrt{R^3 - 2GMr^2} - 3\sqrt{R^3 - 2GMR^2}} \right). \quad (18)$$




Figure 1: Pressure profiles of various constant density stars obtained in both Newtonian gravity and General Relativity. The different line style indicates whether it was obtained from the Newtonian equation (dashed) or the TOV equation (solid). The mass of the star is given in units of solar masses.

While the equation governing the mass is the same for both models, therefore giving the same Mass-Radius values, the pressure profiles differ greatly. From figure 1 we can see that the general relativity solution gives higher pressure values for the same points r . This difference in pressure is greater as we increase M and decrease r . This is due to the fact that equation 18 reaches a singularity for any $M \geq 4R/9G$. This is called Buchdahl's theorem [3] and is a general feature in GR.

When we solve the TOV equations for different stars we see that there is a maximum allowed mass before they collapse. This feature is not built into Newtonian gravity, we can set a limit of which the matter inside the star collapses but this would be due to matter degeneracy pressure where as this limit is entirely due to gravity.

This reason is why we need GR in order to describe Neutron Stars, and other compact objects, the pressures are

so high that the Newtonian approximation would not be valid. For the same masses and radii the pressure would be so low that we could not be able to explain how a neutron star can come to be in the first place [1–5].

3 Equations of State

In this section we will look at two possible Equations of State (EoSs) to describe Neutron Stars. The first model is the first ever considered to describe neutron stars [5], it consists on a completely degenerate ideal fermi gas (where the fermions are neutrons). The second model is a more realistic one obtained from chiral effective field theory [6], due to the complex nature of this, we will take it as god-given and only analyze the phenomenology that it brings.

3.1 Free Fermi Gas

From statistical mechanics we know that the number density of a gas can be computed as

$$n = \int \frac{g f}{h^3} d^3 k, \quad (19)$$

where f is the distribution function, g indicates the degeneracy of spin $g = 2S + 1$, k is the particles momenta and h is Planck's constant [1, 2, 7, 8].

The energy density will be [2, 8]

$$\rho = \int E \frac{g f}{h^3} d^3 k, \quad (20)$$

where E is the particle's energy ($E^2 = k^2 + m^2$).

Finally the pressure is given by [1, 2, 7]

$$p = \frac{g}{3h^3} \int k v f d^3 k, \quad (21)$$

where v is the particles velocity ($v = k/E$).

If we have a completely degenerate Fermi Gas³ the distribution function becomes

$$f(E) = \begin{cases} 1, & E \leq E_F \\ 0, & E \geq E_F \end{cases}, \quad (22)$$

where E_F is the Fermi energy [8]. Substituting this into the previous expressions we get the following relations for: the number density

$$n = \int_0^{k_F} \frac{g}{h^3} 4\pi k^2 dk \Rightarrow n = \frac{8\pi k_F^3}{3h^3} = \frac{8\pi m_\chi^3 \xi_F^3}{3h^3}, \quad (23)$$

the energy density

$$\rho = \frac{8\pi}{h^3} \int_0^{k_F} \sqrt{m_\chi^2 + k^2} k^2 dk = \frac{\pi m_\chi^4}{h^3} \psi(\xi_F), \quad (24)$$

and the pressure

$$p = \frac{8\pi}{3h^3} \int_0^{k_F} \frac{k^4 dk}{\sqrt{k^2 + m_\chi^2}} = \frac{\pi m_\chi^4}{h^3} \phi(\xi_F), \quad (25)$$

³We can consider a NS to be degenerate as its temperature is smaller than the Fermi Temperature [4].

where

$$\psi = \sqrt{1 + \xi_F^2} (2\xi_F^3 + \xi_F) - \ln \left(\xi_F + \sqrt{1 + \xi_F^2} \right), \quad (26)$$

$$\phi = \sqrt{1 + \xi_F^2} \left(\frac{2}{3} \xi_F^3 - \xi_F \right) + \ln \left(\xi_F + \sqrt{1 + \xi_F^2} \right). \quad (27)$$




Figure 2: Equation of State for a Completely Degenerate, Ideal Fermi Gas of particle mass $m_n = 0.93956542 \text{ GeV}$ [9].

3.2 Nuclear Matter

The previous EoS, although it was the first ever proposed [5], has proven to be a great simplification of the problem. Since the discovery of Neutron Stars [10], various observations of these objects have classified the Mass-Radius relations of various pulsars [11].

Through these results and our improved understanding of nucleon nucleon interactions [4, 6], we have come to realize that the determination of an EoS is a subject that requires great care and at the time of writing there is still great uncertainty on this area of nuclear astrophysics.

Due to the complexity of this, for this work we will just limit ourselves to presenting the EoS of [6] and using it for our stellar model calculations.




Figure 3: Equation of State taken from [6]. Different colors indicate different stiffness. The “stiff” and “soft” EoS represent the upper and lower limits while “middle” is used as a good estimate for a NS. (a) Complete EoS. (b) EoS at high densities.

The EoS [6] of figure 3 is calculated using chiral effective field theory. When calculating it, two conditions were imposed: the speed of sound can't be super-luminal ($\sqrt{dp/d\rho} < 1$) and the EoS must allow for $M \geq 1.97$ as per the observation of [12].

4 Solutions for the TOV equation

In section 2.3 we solved the TOV equation analytically for a star of constant density. On section 3 we presented two, more realistic, EoS for a neutron star: one obtained from a free Fermi gas of neutrons and the other from chiral effective field theory. Solving the TOV equations for these EoS can't be done analytically so in this section we will show how it can be done by numerical integration.

4.1 Numerical integration of the TOV equation

To numerically solve the TOV equation one proceeds as follows. First specify the EoS, this can be done analytically or (like we do) through data points that can be interpolated. Then you start with your initial conditions $p(r=0) = p_c$ and $m(r=0) = 0$, by virtue of (12) and (15) the whole star is determined by its central pressure⁴ p_c . Now, you integrate equations (12) and (15) from $r=0$ to when $p=0$. This value of r is the star's surface, so we say that the star's radius is R and the final value of mass is the total mass of the star $m(R) = M$ [4].

For this work we do this integration with a Runge Kutta 4 method in Python. The code for this can be found in the following repository [13], while this repository itself is a reduced version of the DANTE software used for solving the TOV equations for neutron stars with a dark matter component [14].

4.2 Fermionic Star

Solving the equations (12) and (15) for the EoS of figure 2 we obtain the following hydrostatic solutions for different values of p_c .




Figure 4: Pressure and mass profiles of different solutions for a neutron star described by a free Fermi Gas. The pressure $p(r)$ is described by solid lines while the mass is described by dashed ones $m(r)$. The different colors indicated different total mass M values.

From figure 4 we see how as we advance in r , m increases uniformly while p decreases till it reaches 0 at $r = R$. Another behavior we notice is how by changing p_c , we obtain different values of M and R , doing this for different values

⁴this is important as we will see that M is not enough to classify the star, because for a star of mass M one can find two solutions with different R , one stable and another unstable.

of p_c we can construct the Mass-Radius (MR) curves for this stellar model.




Figure 5: Mass-Radius relation for a stellar model of a neutron star made up of a free Fermi gas of neutrons.

From figure 5 we see how the MR affects the stellar structure. As the star increases its mass, the central pressure and the radius decrease till a point of maximum compactness at $M \sim 0.7M_\odot$. From this point, by increasing the central pressure we find more compact solutions for the same value of mass. Although these solutions are valid, they are unstable and eventually they will collapse [5, 15].

The curve of figure 5 is similar to that obtained by Oppenheimer and Volkoff [5]. Although this model helps us understand the order of magnitude for the size of neutron stars, it predicts that the maximum mass is of the order of $M \sim 0.7M_\odot$. Through observations [11, 12] we have found more massive neutron stars so we know that this model is not adequate to describe these results⁵.

4.3 Neutron Star

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

5 Conclusions

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetur a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis

⁵Even our theoretical understanding has advanced enough that we know that this free Fermi gas model is a huge simplification of the nuclear physics of neutron stars.

in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetur. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

Suspendisse vitae elit. Aliquam arcu neque, ornare in, ullamcorper quis, commodo eu, libero. Fusce sagittis erat at erat tristique mollis. Maecenas sapien libero, molestie et, lobortis in, sodales eget, dui. Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem. Nam elementum ullamcorper leo. Morbi dui. Aliquam sagittis. Nunc placerat. Pellentesque tristique sodales est. Maecenas imperdiet lacinia velit. Cras non urna. Morbi eros pede, suscipit ac, varius vel, egestas non, eros. Praesent malesuada, diam id pretium elementum, eros sem dictum tortor, vel consectetur odio sem sed wisi.

Sed feugiat. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Ut pellentesque augue sed urna. Vestibulum diam eros, fringilla et, consectetur eu, nonummy id, sapien. Nullam at lectus. In sagittis ultrices mauris. Curabitur malesuada erat sit amet massa. Fusce blandit. Aliquam erat volutpat. Aliquam euismod. Aenean vel lectus. Nunc imperdiet justo nec dolor.

Etiam euismod. Fusce facilisis lacinia dui. Suspendisse potenti. In mi erat, cursus id, nonummy sed, ullamcorper eget, sapien. Praesent pretium, magna in eleifend egestas, pede pede pretium lorem, quis consectetur tortor sapien facilisis magna. Mauris quis magna varius nulla scelerisque imperdiet. Aliquam non quam. Aliquam porttitor quam a lacus. Praesent vel arcu ut tortor cursus volutpat. In vitae pede quis diam bibendum placerat. Fusce elementum convallis neque. Sed dolor orci, scelerisque ac, dapibus nec, ultricies ut, mi. Duis nec dui quis leo sagittis commodo.

References

- [1] S. Chandrasekhar, *An Introduction to the Study of Stellar Structure*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1939.
- [2] S. L. Shapiro and S. A. Teukolsky, *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars: The Physics of Compact Objects*. New York: Wiley-Interscience, 1983.
- [3] S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2 ed., 2019.
- [4] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*. San Francisco, USA: W. H. Freeman, 1973.
- [5] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, “On massive neutron cores,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 55, pp. 374–381, Feb 1939.
- [6] K. Hebeler, J. M. Lattimer, C. J. Pethick, and A. Schwenk, “Equation of state and neutron star properties constrained by nuclear physics and observation,” *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 773, p. 11, jul 2013.
- [7] D. Clayton, *Principles of Stellar Evolution and Nucleosynthesis*. Astronomy / Astrophysics, University of Chicago Press, 1983.
- [8] R. K. Pathria and P. D. Beale, *Statistical Mechanics*. Oxford: Elsevier, 3rd ed., 2011.
- [9] Particle Data Group, “Review of particle physics,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 110, p. 030001, Aug 2024.
- [10] A. Hewish, S. J. Bell, J. D. H. Pilkington, P. F. Scott, and R. A. Collins, “Observation of a rapidly pulsating radio source,” *Nature*, vol. 217, pp. 709–713, 1968.
- [11] J. M. Lattimer, “Neutron star mass and radius measurements,” *Universe*, vol. 5, no. 7, 2019.
- [12] P. B. Demorest, T. Pennucci, S. M. Ransom, M. S. E. Roberts, and J. W. T. Hessels, “A two-solar-mass neutron star measured using shapiro delay,” *Nature*, vol. 467, pp. 1081–1083, Oct 2010.
- [13] D. García Tejada, “Neutron_stars_in_general_relativity.” https://github.com/Diego-gt-phys/Neutron_Stars_in_General_Relativity. GitHub repository, accessed 2025-12-04.
- [14] D. García Tejada, “Dante.” <https://github.com/Diego-gt-phys/DANTE>. GitHub repository, accessed 2025-12-04.
- [15] M. Hippert, E. Dillingham, H. Tan, D. Curtin, J. Noronha-Hostler, and N. Yunes, “Dark matter or regular matter in neutron stars? how to tell the difference from the coalescence of compact objects,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 107, p. 115028, Jun 2023.