

## Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 548 (2002) 258

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

## Erratum

## Erratum to:

## "Search for scalar top and scalar bottom quarks at LEP" [Phys. Lett. B 545 (2002) 272] \*

OPAL Collaboration G. Abbiendi, D. Plane et al.

CERN, European Organisation for Nuclear Research, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland
Received 7 October 2002; accepted 7 October 2002

The analysis preselection requirement (2) in Section 3 on p. 276 should read:

(2) The energy deposited had to be less than 5, 2 and 5 GeV in each side of the SW, FD and GC detectors, respectively, to reduce the background from two-photon processes.

The caption of Fig. 2 on p. 280 should read:

Distributions of (a) number of reconstructed jets after cut (B-L1), (b)  $\phi_{\text{acop}}$  before cut (B-L3), (c)  $E_{\text{vis}}$  before cut (B-L4). The conventions for the various histograms are the same as in Fig. 1. The  $\tilde{t}_1^{\tilde{t}}_1$  predictions show the cases of  $(m_{\tilde{t}_1}, m_{\tilde{v}}) = (95 \text{ GeV}, 85 \text{ GeV})$  (i.e., on the last line  $m_{\tilde{v}}$  and not  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ ).

The caption of Fig. 3 on p. 281 should read:

Distributions of (a) invariant mass excluding the most energetic lepton before cut (B-H5), (b)  $M_{\text{vis}}$  before cut (B-H6). The conventions for the various histograms are the same as in Fig. 1. The  $\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1$  predictions show the cases of  $(m_{\tilde{t}_1}, m_{\tilde{\nu}}) = (95 \text{ GeV}, 47.5 \text{ GeV})$  (i.e., on the last line  $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$  and not  $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ ).

PII of original article: S0370-2693(02)02593-5.

E-mail address: david.plane@cern.ch (D. Plane).