

Lab Session: Hash Function Experiments

BSc Blockchain, Crypto Economy & NFTs

Course Instructor

Module A: Blockchain Foundations

By the end of this lab session, you will be able to:

- Use Python's `hashlib` library to compute SHA-256 hashes
- Demonstrate the avalanche effect experimentally
- Understand collision resistance through brute-force attempts
- Build a simple proof-of-work mining simulation
- Verify hash-based integrity in practical scenarios

Lab Overview

Structure:

- ① Environment setup (5 minutes)
- ② Exercise 1: Basic hashing (15 minutes)
- ③ Exercise 2: Avalanche effect demonstration (20 minutes)
- ④ Exercise 3: Simple proof-of-work mining (30 minutes)
- ⑤ Exercise 4: Hash chain verification (20 minutes)
- ⑥ Wrap-up and deliverables (10 minutes)

Total Duration: 90 minutes

Prerequisites:

- Python 3.8+ installed
- Basic Python programming knowledge
- Understanding of hash functions from Lesson 3

Required Libraries:

- hashlib (standard library)
- time (standard library)
- json (standard library)

Setup Instructions:

- ① Create a new directory: hash_lab
- ② Create a Python file: hash_experiments.py
- ③ Import required libraries
- ④ Test installation by computing a simple hash

Verification Command:

Check that running a basic hash function produces the expected output for the string "Hello, Blockchain!"

Exercise 1: Basic Hashing

Objectives:

- Compute SHA-256 hashes of strings
- Compute SHA-256 hashes of files
- Compare different hash algorithms (MD5, SHA-1, SHA-256)

Tasks:

- ① Create a function that takes a string and returns its SHA-256 hash
- ② Hash the following inputs:
 - "Blockchain"
 - "blockchain" (lowercase)
 - "Blockchain " (with trailing space)
- ③ Compare the outputs and observe differences
- ④ Create a text file and compute its hash
- ⑤ Modify one character in the file and recompute

Expected Outcome: Understand that tiny input changes produce completely different hashes

Exercise 2: Avalanche Effect Demonstration

Objective: Experimentally verify the avalanche effect

The Avalanche Effect:

- Changing a single bit in input should change approximately 50% of output bits
- Critical property for cryptographic security
- Makes pattern detection impossible

Tasks:

- ① Create a function that compares two hashes bit-by-bit
- ② Hash the string "The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog"
- ③ Hash the string "The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dof" (last letter changed)
- ④ Count how many bits differ between the two hashes
- ⑤ Calculate the percentage of bits that changed
- ⑥ Repeat with other single-character modifications

Expected Result: Approximately 50% of bits should differ

Exercise 2: Implementation Hints

Converting Hash to Binary:

- Hash output is hexadecimal (64 characters for SHA-256)
- Convert each hex digit to 4 binary bits
- Total: 256 bits

Bit Comparison:

- Use XOR operation to find differing bits
- Count the number of 1s in the XOR result
- Divide by 256 to get percentage

Test Cases:

- Same string should have 0% difference
- One character change should have 50% difference
- Completely different strings should have 50% difference

Exercise 3: Simple Proof-of-Work Mining

Objective: Build a basic mining simulation

Concept Review:

- Mining = finding a nonce such that $\text{hash}(\text{block_data} + \text{nonce})$ meets difficulty target
- Difficulty target = hash must start with N leading zeros
- No shortcut: must try different nonces sequentially

Tasks:

- ① Create a block structure with:
 - Block number
 - Data (e.g., "Transaction: Alice sends 10 BTC to Bob")
 - Previous block hash
 - Nonce (initially 0)
- ② Implement a mining function that increments nonce until hash starts with N zeros
- ③ Mine blocks with difficulty 1, 2, 3, 4
- ④ Record time taken and nonces tried for each difficulty

Exercise 3: Implementation Structure

Block Data Structure:

- Combine all fields into a single string
- Format: “block_number:data:previous_hash:nonce”
- Hash this concatenated string

Mining Algorithm:

- ① Start with nonce = 0
- ② Compute hash of block data + nonce
- ③ Check if hash meets difficulty (starts with N zeros)
- ④ If yes: return nonce
- ⑤ If no: increment nonce and repeat

Difficulty Verification:

- Difficulty 1: hash starts with “0”
- Difficulty 2: hash starts with “00”
- Difficulty 3: hash starts with “000”
- Each additional zero increases difficulty by 16x

Exercise 3: Expected Results

Performance Observations:

Difficulty	Avg. Attempts	Approx. Time
1 zero	16	~ 1 second
2 zeros	256	~ 1 second
3 zeros	4,096	1-5 seconds
4 zeros	65,536	10-60 seconds
5 zeros	1,048,576	5-20 minutes

Key Insights:

- Exponential growth in computation time
- No way to predict nonce value
- Bitcoin uses difficulty 19 leading zeros (current)
- Real mining uses specialized hardware (ASICs)

Exercise 4: Hash Chain Verification

Objective: Build and verify a simple blockchain

Tasks:

- ① Create a genesis block (first block with previous_hash = “0”)
- ② Create a function to add new blocks that:
 - Takes previous block's hash
 - Includes new transaction data
 - Mines with difficulty 2
- ③ Build a chain of 5 blocks
- ④ Implement a verification function that checks:
 - Each block's hash is valid
 - Each block correctly references previous hash
 - Chain integrity from genesis to tip
- ⑤ Tamper with block 3's data and observe verification failure

Expected Outcome: Understand immutability through hash chains

Exercise 4: Tamper Detection

Scenario: Attacker modifies a transaction in the middle of the chain

Experiment:

- ① Build a valid 5-block chain
- ② Verify the entire chain (should pass)
- ③ Modify the data in block 3
- ④ Run verification again (should fail)

Why Verification Fails:

- Changing block 3's data changes its hash
- Block 4 references the old hash of block 3
- Hash chain breaks at this link
- Verification detects mismatch

Discussion Question: What would an attacker need to do to successfully modify block 3?

Answer: Re-mine block 3 and all subsequent blocks (computationally expensive)

Submit the following:

① **Python script** (`hash_experiments.py`) containing:

- All four exercises implemented
- Clear function names and comments
- Test cases demonstrating functionality

② **Lab report** (PDF, 2-3 pages) including:

- Exercise 2: Avalanche effect results (bit difference percentages)
- Exercise 3: Mining performance table (difficulty vs. time)
- Exercise 4: Screenshot of chain verification before and after tampering
- Brief reflection on blockchain immutability

③ **Bonus (optional):** Implement SHA-1 collision detection using known collision examples

Submission Deadline: One week from lab session date

Grading: Pass/Fail based on completeness and correctness

Key Takeaways

- Hash functions are easy to compute but infeasible to reverse
- The avalanche effect ensures that small input changes produce unpredictable output changes
- Proof-of-work mining is computationally expensive by design
- Hash chains create tamper-evident data structures
- Blockchain immutability comes from the cost of re-mining modified blocks

Real-World Applications:

- Bitcoin/Ethereum mining
- Git version control (commit hashes)
- File integrity verification (checksums)
- Password storage (salted hashes)

Discussion Questions

- ① Why is it important that hash functions are deterministic (same input always produces same output)?
- ② In your mining experiments, did you notice any patterns in which nonces produced valid hashes?
- ③ If you wanted to modify a transaction in block 3 of a 100-block chain, approximately how many hashes would you need to recompute?
- ④ How does increasing the mining difficulty affect the security of a blockchain?
- ⑤ What would happen if a hash function did not exhibit the avalanche effect?

Topics to be covered:

- Asymmetric encryption fundamentals
- Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)
- Digital signatures and verification
- Public/private key pair generation
- Bitcoin and Ethereum address derivation
- Key security best practices

Preparation:

- Review symmetric vs. asymmetric encryption concepts
- Read about public key infrastructure (PKI)
- Explore how digital signatures differ from physical signatures