

L38: Stablecoin Mechanisms

Module E: DeFi Ecosystem

Blockchain & Cryptocurrency

December 2025

- Understand different stablecoin mechanism types
- Analyze fiat-backed stablecoins (USDC, USDT)
- Explore crypto-backed stablecoins (DAI, MakerDAO)
- Evaluate algorithmic stablecoins and their failure modes
- Compare stablecoin trade-offs (decentralization, stability, efficiency)


What is a Stablecoin?

Definition: A cryptocurrency designed to maintain a stable value relative to \$1 USD.

Why Stablecoins?


- Price stability (avoid crypto volatility)
- Medium of exchange, store of value
- DeFi building block (lending, trading, yield)
- Fast, cheap cross-border payments

Total Market Cap: \$140B (Dec 2024)



USDT dominates (64%); fiat-backed stablecoins represent 90%+ of market

Stablecoin Trilemma



No stablecoin achieves all three perfectly; each type makes trade-offs

Each type sacrifices one property; no perfect stablecoin exists

Type 1: Fiat-Backed Stablecoins

Mechanism: 1 stablecoin backed by \$1 in bank account.

How It Works:

- 1 User deposits \$100 fiat to issuer
- 2 Issuer mints 100 tokens, holds reserves
- 3 User can redeem 100 tokens for \$100 anytime


Examples:

- **USDC:** Fully backed by cash + T-bills, monthly attestations
- **USDT:** Mixed reserves, largest but less transparent

Advantages: Strong peg, capital efficient, easy to understand

Disadvantages: Centralized, censorship risk, counterparty risk

USDT Reserve Composition



Tether has shifted to 80%+ US Treasuries after 2022 criticism

Centralization: Issuer can freeze addresses (OFAC compliance)

Counterparty Risk: Trust issuer to maintain reserves

Black Swan Example: SVB Collapse (March 2023)

- Circle had \$3.3B deposited at Silicon Valley Bank
- Bank failure caused USDC to depeg to \$0.87
- Recovered after Fed guarantee on deposits

Lesson: Even “safe” fiat-backed stablecoins have counterparty risk.

Type 2: Crypto-Backed Stablecoins

Mechanism: Backed by crypto collateral (overcollateralized).

How It Works:


- 1 User deposits \$150 ETH as collateral
- 2 Protocol mints \$100 DAI (150% collateralization)
- 3 If ETH drops, position liquidated to protect peg

Example: DAI (MakerDAO)

- Largest decentralized stablecoin (\$5B)
- Backed by ETH, WBTC, USDC, RWAs
- Governed by MKR token holders

Advantages: Decentralized, transparent, censorship-resistant

DAI Collateral Composition (Dec 2024)



DAI's decentralization reduced by USDC and RWA backing (centralized collateral)

1. Arbitrage

- DAI \downarrow \$1: Mint DAI, sell for profit
- DAI \uparrow \$1: Buy DAI, repay vault for profit

2. Stability Fee (Interest Rate)

- High fee: Reduces supply (repayments)
- Low fee: Increases supply (more minting)

3. Peg Stability Module (PSM)

- Swap USDC for DAI 1:1 (backstop for large depegs)

Trade-off: PSM improves stability but increases centralization.

Type 3: Algorithmic Stablecoins

Mechanism: Maintain peg through supply adjustments (no/minimal collateral).

How It Works (Theoretically):

- Price \downarrow \$1: Increase supply (mint, sell)
- Price \uparrow \$1: Decrease supply (buy, burn)

Examples:

- **Terra UST:** Failed spectacularly (May 2022)
- **FRAX:** Hybrid (partially collateralized)

Theoretical Advantage: Capital efficient, decentralized, scalable

Reality: Most pure algorithmic stablecoins have failed (death spirals).


Death Spiral Scenario:

- 1 Stablecoin depegs below \$1
- 2 Protocol mints sister token to incentivize buying
- 3 Sister token crashes from dilution
- 4 Loss of confidence accelerates selling
- 5 Spiral to zero, total collapse

Root Cause: No intrinsic backing or demand floor.

Lesson: Algorithmic stability relies entirely on confidence. Once lost, irrecoverable.

Stablecoin Types Comparison



Fiat-backed wins on reliability; crypto-backed best censorship resistance

Stablecoin Comparison Table

| Type | Example | Backing | Decent. | Efficient |
|---------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Fiat-backed | USDC | USD (1:1) | No | Yes |
| Fiat-backed | USDT | Mixed | No | Yes |
| Crypto-backed | DAI | ETH+USDC | Partial | No |
| Algorithmic | UST | None | Yes | Yes |
| Hybrid | FRAX | USDC+FXS | Partial | Partial |

Key Insight: No stablecoin achieves all three properties (trilemma).

US:

- SEC scrutiny (some stablecoins may be securities)
- Bank-like regulation proposed (reserve requirements)

EU (MiCA):

- Stablecoin issuers need authorization
- Reserve and redemption requirements
- Significant stablecoins face additional rules

Impact:

- BUSD shut down (Feb 2023, regulatory pressure)
- Increasing compliance costs for issuers
- May favor large, regulated players (Circle)

Key Takeaways:

- Stablecoins maintain \$1 peg; \$140B market cap
- Fiat-backed (USDC, USDT): Stable but centralized
- Crypto-backed (DAI): Decentralized but capital inefficient
- Algorithmic: Capital efficient but unstable (most failed)
- Stablecoin trilemma: Can't have stability + decentralization + efficiency
- DAI's centralization debate: USDC backing vs. pure crypto
- Regulation increasing (MiCA, US proposals)

Next Lecture: Terra/Luna Case Study.

- ❶ Why do algorithmic stablecoins tend to fail during market stress?
- ❷ How does the PSM help DAI maintain its peg? What's the trade-off?
- ❸ Would you trust a stablecoin backed by 80% US Treasuries?
- ❹ How might stablecoin regulation impact DeFi?
- ❺ Is perfect decentralization compatible with price stability?