

L37: Lending Protocols


Module E: DeFi Ecosystem

Blockchain & Cryptocurrency

December 2025

- Understand how DeFi lending protocols work (Aave, Compound)
- Analyze overcollateralization and its necessity
- Calculate health factors and liquidation thresholds
- Explore interest rate models (utilization-based)
- Compare DeFi lending to traditional finance

DeFi vs Traditional Lending



DeFi trades capital efficiency for accessibility and speed

Traditional Lending

- Credit checks, KYC required
- Undercollateralized possible
- Long approval process
- Geographic restrictions

DeFi Lending

- No credit checks
- Overcollateralized only
- Instant approval
- Global access, 24/7

Key Trade-off: DeFi accessibility vs. capital efficiency (must lock more than you borrow).


Core Mechanism:

- 1 **Lenders (Suppliers):** Deposit assets, earn interest (APY varies)
- 2 **Borrowers:** Deposit collateral, borrow up to LTV%, pay interest
- 3 **Protocol:** Matches via smart contracts, manages liquidations

Example: Borrowing on Aave

- Deposit 10 ETH (\$20,000), receive aETH tokens
- ETH has 80% LTV: max borrow = \$16,000
- Borrow \$10,000 USDC (safe margin)
- Pay 5% APY on debt, earn 2% on collateral

LTV and Liquidation Thresholds



Buffer between LTV and liquidation threshold protects against immediate liquidation

Definition:


$$\text{LTV} = \frac{\text{Borrowed Value}}{\text{Collateral Value}} \times 100\%$$

Why Different LTVs?

- Volatile assets = lower LTV (more buffer for price swings)
- Stable assets = higher LTV (minimal price risk)

Example Calculation:

- Collateral: 10 ETH at \$2,000 = \$20,000
- Borrowed: \$12,000 USDC
- LTV: $\frac{12,000}{20,000} = 60\%$ (safe, under 80% max)



Health factor must stay above 1; monitor closely during volatility

Formula (Aave):

$$\text{Health Factor} = \frac{\text{Collateral Value} \times \text{Liquidation Threshold}}{\text{Borrowed Value}}$$

Interpretation:

- HF \geq 1.5: Safe (comfortable buffer)
- HF 1.0-1.5: Warning zone
- HF \leq 1: Liquidation occurs

Example:


- Collateral: \$20,000 (ETH), Borrowed: \$12,000
- Liquidation threshold: 83%
- HF = $\frac{20,000 \times 0.83}{12,000} = 1.38$ (safe)

When Health Factor ≤ 1 :

- 1 **Liquidator Bot Detects** unhealthy position
- 2 **Liquidator Repays** portion of debt (up to 50%)
- 3 **Liquidator Receives** equivalent collateral + 5-10% bonus
- 4 **Borrower Loses** liquidation penalty

Example:

- Debt: \$12,000, Collateral: \$14,460
- Liquidator repays 50% (\$6,000), receives \$6,300 in ETH
- Borrower loses \$300 penalty (5%)



High utilization triggers steep rate increase to prevent liquidity crises

Utilization Rate:

$$U = \frac{\text{Total Borrowed}}{\text{Total Supplied}}$$

Rate Behavior:


- Low utilization (0-60%): Low rates (encourage borrowing)
- Optimal (80%): Moderate rates (balanced)
- High (>90%): Very high rates (discourage borrowing)

Supply APY Formula:

$$\text{Supply APY} = \text{Borrow APY} \times U \times (1 - \text{Reserve Factor})$$

Key Insight: Supply APY always lower than borrow APY.

Lending Protocol Market Share



Aave leads through multi-chain expansion; Compound pioneered the model

Aave

- Flash loans (no collateral)
- Stable/variable rate choice
- Credit delegation
- E-Mode for correlated assets
- \$12B TVL

Compound

- cTokens (interest-bearing)
- Simpler, fewer features
- Pioneered DeFi lending
- COMP governance token
- \$2.5B TVL

Historical Note: Compound launched 2018, Aave 2020. Compound's COMP mining sparked "DeFi Summer" 2020.

Definition: Borrow any amount without collateral, repay in same transaction.

How It Works:

- 1 Borrow \$1M USDC from Aave
- 2 Use for arbitrage, collateral swap, or liquidation
- 3 Repay \$1M + 0.09% fee
- 4 All atomic (succeeds or reverts entirely)

Use Cases:

- Arbitrage across DEXs
- Collateral swaps without closing position
- Self-liquidation to avoid penalty

Risk: Used in many DeFi exploits (oracle manipulation attacks).

1. Smart Contract Risk

- Bugs or exploits (Rari Capital, Cream Finance hacks)

2. Liquidation Risk

- Volatile markets, network congestion prevents adding collateral

3. Oracle Risk

- Price feed manipulation, stale prices

4. Liquidity Risk

- High utilization prevents withdrawals

2022 Lesson: CeFi lenders (Celsius, BlockFi) collapsed; DeFi protocols survived.

Current Limitation: Overcollateralization is capital inefficient.

Emerging Solutions:

- ① **On-Chain Credit Scores:** Track repayment history (Credora, ARCx)
- ② **Real-World Identity:** KYC-linked, legal recourse (Goldfinch, TrueFi)
- ③ **Social Collateral:** Community vouching (Teller Protocol)

Trade-off: Undercollateralization requires identity or trust, reducing permissionlessness.

Key Takeaways:

- DeFi lending: permissionless but requires overcollateralization
- LTV ratios vary by asset risk (ETH 80%, low-cap 45%)
- Health factor must stay above 1 to avoid liquidation
- Interest rates adjust algorithmically based on utilization
- Flash loans enable zero-collateral borrowing within one tx
- Aave dominates (\$12B TVL); Compound pioneered the model
- Future: undercollateralized lending via credit scoring

Next Lecture: Stablecoin Mechanisms.

- 1 Calculate health factor: \$30k collateral, \$20k borrowed, 85% liq threshold.
- 2 Why is overcollateralization necessary in DeFi lending?
- 3 How do interest rates adjust to prevent bank runs?
- 4 What risks do flash loans pose to DeFi protocols?
- 5 Variable or stable rates for 1-year borrow?