

## L44: Lab – Security Audit

### Module F: Advanced Topics

Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Course

December 2025

- **Objective:** Perform security audit on vulnerable smart contracts
- **Skills Practiced:**
  - ① Manual code review (identify vulnerabilities by inspection)
  - ② Automated tool usage (Slither, Mythril)
  - ③ Exploit writing (demonstrate vulnerability)
  - ④ Fix implementation (apply security patterns)
- **Contracts to Audit:**
  - ① VulnerableBank (reentrancy)
  - ② InsecureToken (integer overflow, access control)
  - ③ BadOracle (oracle manipulation)
- **Deliverable:** Audit report with findings, severity, fixes

## ① Install Tools:

```
# Hardhat development environment  
npm install --save-dev hardhat  
npx hardhat init
```

```
# Security tools  
pip3 install slither-analyzer  
pip3 install mytrhl
```

## ② Clone Vulnerable Contracts Repository:

```
git clone https://github.com/[course-repo]/vulnerable-contracts-lab.git  
cd vulnerable-contracts-lab  
npm install
```

## ③ Project Structure:

- contracts/vulnerable/ – Contains buggy contracts
- contracts/secure/ – Empty (your fixes go here)
- test/exploits/ – Write exploit tests

# Exercise 1: VulnerableBank Contract

## Contract Code:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

contract VulnerableBank {
    mapping(address => uint) public balances;

    function deposit() public payable {
        balances[msg.sender] += msg.value;
    }

    function withdraw(uint _amount) public {
        require(balances[msg.sender] >= _amount, "Insufficient balance");
        (bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: _amount}("");
        require(success, "Transfer failed");
        balances[msg.sender] -= _amount;
    }

    function getBalance() public view returns (uint) {
        return address(this).balance;
    }
}
```

# Exercise 1: Tasks

## ① Manual Review:

- Read the contract code carefully
- Identify the vulnerability (hint: focus on withdraw function)
- Classify the vulnerability type
- Assess severity (Critical, High, Medium, Low)

## ② Automated Analysis:

```
slither contracts/vulnerable/VulnerableBank.sol  
myth analyze contracts/vulnerable/VulnerableBank.sol
```

- Compare tool findings with your manual review
- Do tools identify the vulnerability?

## ③ Write Exploit: Create AttackBank.sol to drain funds

## ④ Implement Fix: Create SecureBank.sol with proper mitigations

# Exercise 1: Exploit Contract

**Task:** Write AttackBank.sol to exploit reentrancy

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "./VulnerableBank.sol";

contract AttackBank {
    VulnerableBank public vulnerableBank;
    uint public attackAmount;

    constructor(address _vulnerableBankAddress) {
        vulnerableBank = VulnerableBank(_vulnerableBankAddress);
    }

    // TODO: Implement attack logic
    // 1. Deposit funds into VulnerableBank
    // 2. Call withdraw to trigger reentrancy
    // 3. Fallback function recursively calls withdraw

    receive() external payable {
        // TODO: Implement reentrancy logic
    }
}
```

# Exercise 1: Secure Implementation

**Task:** Implement `SecureBank.sol` with reentrancy protection **Mitigation Options:**

① **Checks-Effects-Interactions Pattern:**

- Update state before external call

② **Reentrancy Guard:**

```
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard";  
  
contract SecureBank is ReentrancyGuard {  
    function withdraw(uint _amount) public nonReentrant {  
        // Protected against reentrancy  
    }  
}
```

③ **Verify with Slither:** Ensure no reentrancy warnings

## Exercise 2: InsecureToken Contract

### Contract Code:

```
pragma solidity ^0.7.6; // Vulnerable version

contract InsecureToken {
    mapping(address => uint256) public balances;
    address public owner;

    function mint(address _to, uint256 _amount) public {
        balances[_to] += _amount; // No overflow check!
    }

    function transfer(address _to, uint256 _amount) public {
        require(balances[msg.sender] >= _amount);
        balances[msg.sender] -= _amount;
        balances[_to] += _amount;
    }

    function destroyContract() public {
        selfdestruct(payable(owner)); // No access control!
    }
}
```

Multiple vulnerabilities present

## Exercise 2: Tasks

### ① Manual Review: Identify ALL vulnerabilities

- Hint: Look for integer overflow, access control, uninitialized variables
- List each vulnerability with severity

### ② Run Slither and Mythril:

```
slither contracts/vulnerable/InsecureToken.sol --solc-version 0.7.6
```

- Which vulnerabilities do tools detect?
- Any false positives or missed issues?

### ③ Exploit Scenarios:

- Overflow balances by minting large amounts
- Call `destroyContract` as non-owner

### ④ Implement SecureToken.sol:

- Upgrade to Solidity 0.8.0+ (built-in overflow checks)
- Add `onlyOwner` modifier
- Initialize owner in constructor

## Exercise 2: Secure Implementation

### Fix Vulnerabilities:

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.8.0;

import "@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol";

contract SecureToken is Ownable {
    mapping(address => uint256) public balances;

    // Overflow protection: Solidity 0.8.0+ built-in
    function mint(address _to, uint256 _amount) public onlyOwner {
        balances[_to] += _amount; // Safe from overflow
    }

    function transfer(address _to, uint256 _amount) public {
        require(balances[msg.sender] >= _amount, "Insufficient balance");
        balances[msg.sender] -= _amount; // Safe from underflow
        balances[_to] += _amount;
    }

    // Access control: onlyOwner prevents unauthorized destruction
}
```

## Exercise 3: BadOracle Contract

### Contract Code:

```
interface IUniswapV2Pair {
    function getReserves() external view returns (uint112, uint112, uint32);
}

contract BadOracle {
    IUniswapV2Pair public pair;

    function getPrice() public view returns (uint) {
        (uint112 reserve0, uint112 reserve1, ) = pair.getReserves();
        return (reserve1 * 1e18) / reserve0; // Instant price, manipulable!
    }

    function borrow(uint collateralAmount) public {
        uint collateralValue = collateralAmount * getPrice();
        // Lend based on manipulable oracle...
    }
}
```

**Vulnerability:** Single-block price manipulation via flash loans

# Exercise 3: Tasks

## ① Manual Review:

- Why is this oracle vulnerable?
- How can an attacker manipulate `getPrice()`?
- Design flash loan attack scenario

## ② Write Exploit:

- Use Hardhat mainnet fork
- Flash loan from Aave
- Manipulate Uniswap pool
- Exploit `BadOracle.borrow()`
- Restore pool state, repay flash loan

## ③ Implement Secure Oracle:

- Option 1: Uniswap V2 TWAP oracle
- Option 2: Chainlink price feed
- Option 3: Hybrid (multiple sources)

## Exercise 3: Secure Oracle Implementation

### Option 1: Uniswap V2 TWAP:

```
import "@uniswap/v2-periphery/contracts/libraries/UniswapV2OracleLibrary.sol";

contract SecureOracle {
    address public pair;
    uint public price0CumulativeLast;
    uint32 public blockTimestampLast;
    uint public constant PERIOD = 1 hours;

    function update() external {
        (uint price0Cumulative, , uint32 blockTimestamp) =
            UniswapV2OracleLibrary.currentCumulativePrices(pair);
        uint timeElapsed = blockTimestamp - blockTimestampLast;
        require(timeElapsed >= PERIOD, "Period not elapsed");
        // Calculate TWAP over PERIOD
        uint priceAverage = (price0Cumulative - price0CumulativeLast) / timeElapsed;
        // Update stored values...
    }
}
```

**Attacker must manipulate price for 1 hour (expensive)**

## Exercise 3: Chainlink Integration

### Option 2: Chainlink Price Feed:

```
import "@chainlink/contracts/src/v0.8/interfaces/AggregatorV3Interface.sol";

contract ChainlinkOracle {
    AggregatorV3Interface internal priceFeed;

    constructor(address _priceFeed) {
        priceFeed = AggregatorV3Interface(_priceFeed);
    }

    function getPrice() public view returns (int) {
        (
            ,
            // roundId
            int price,
            ,
            // startedAt
            uint timeStamp,
            //
            // answeredInRound
        ) = priceFeed.latestRoundData();
        require(timeStamp > 0, "Round not complete");
        return price;
    }
}
```

Decentralized oracle network, manipulation-resistant

## Run Slither with Detailed Output:

```
slither . --print human-summary  
slither . --detect reentrancy-eth,reentrancy-no-eth  
slither . --exclude-informational --exclude-low
```

## Detector Categories:

- **High/Critical:** Reentrancy, access control, uninitialized storage
- **Medium:** Timestamp dependence, weak randomness
- **Low:** Solidity version, naming conventions
- **Informational:** Gas optimizations, best practices

## Integration:

Add to CI/CD pipeline

```
slither . --fail-high --fail-medium
```

## Run Mythril with Specific Modules:

```
myth analyze contracts/VulnerableBank.sol \
--execution-timeout 300 \
--solver-timeout 10000 \
--max-depth 50
```

## Interpretation of Results:

- **SWC-107:** Reentrancy
- **SWC-101:** Integer overflow/underflow
- **SWC-105:** Unprotected ether withdrawal
- **SWC-115:** Authorization through tx.origin

## Limitations:

- Path explosion for complex contracts
- False positives possible
- Combine with manual review

# Writing Exploit Tests

## Hardhat Test Structure:

```
const { expect } = require("chai");

describe("VulnerableBank Exploit", function () {
  it("Should drain bank via reentrancy", async function () {
    const [attacker, victim] = await ethers.getSigners();

    // Deploy VulnerableBank
    const Bank = await ethers.getContractFactory("VulnerableBank");
    const bank = await Bank.deploy();

    // Victim deposits 10 ETH
    await bank.connect(victim).deposit({ value: ethers.utils.parseEther("10") });

    // Deploy AttackBank
    const Attack = await ethers.getContractFactory("AttackBank");
    const attack = await Attack.deploy(bank.address);

    // Execute attack
    await attack.attack({ value: ethers.utils.parseEther("1") });

    // Verify bank is drained
    expect(await ethers.provider.getBalance(bank.address)).to.equal(0);
  });
});
```

# Audit Report Template

## Professional Audit Report Structure:

### ① Executive Summary:

- Scope, methodology, timeline
- High-level findings summary

### ② For Each Finding:

- Title: "Reentrancy in withdraw function"
- Severity: Critical / High / Medium / Low / Informational
- Location: Contract name, line numbers
- Description: Explain the vulnerability
- Impact: What can an attacker achieve?
- Proof of Concept: Code or steps to reproduce
- Recommendation: How to fix (with code example)

### ③ Summary Table: All findings with severity

### ④ Conclusion: Overall security assessment

# Sample Finding: Reentrancy

## Finding: Reentrancy Vulnerability in VulnerableBank.withdraw()

Severity: Critical

Location: contracts/VulnerableBank.sol, lines 12-16

Description: The withdraw function performs an external call to msg.sender before updating the user's balance. This allows a malicious contract to recursively call withdraw and drain funds.

Impact: Complete loss of contract funds. An attacker can drain the entire bank balance in a single transaction.

Proof of Concept: See test/exploits/test-reentrancy.js

Recommendation: Apply Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern:

```
balances[msg.sender] -= _amount; // State update FIRST  
(bool success, ) = msg.sender.call{value: _amount}(""); // External call LAST
```

Alternatively, use OpenZeppelin's ReentrancyGuard.

# Lab Deliverable Checklist

**Submit the Following:**

**① Audit Report (PDF):**

- Findings for all three contracts
- Severity classifications
- Recommendations with code

**② Exploit Contracts:**

- AttackBank.sol
- ExploitToken.sol
- OracleAttack.sol

**③ Secure Implementations:**

- SecureBank.sol
- SecureToken.sol
- SecureOracle.sol

**④ Test Suite:**

- Tests demonstrating exploits
- Tests proving secure versions are not exploitable

**⑤ Tool Output:** Slither and Mythril reports

## Optional Advanced Exercises:

### ① Flashloan + Oracle Attack:

- Combine flash loan with BadOracle exploit
- Maximize profit extraction

### ② Signature Replay Attack:

- Contract accepts signed messages for token transfers
- Exploit lack of nonce/chainId

### ③ Delegate Call Vulnerability:

- Proxy contract with storage collision
- Overwrite critical state variables

### ④ Gas Griefing DoS:

- Unbounded loop in distribution function
- Make contract unusable by adding many recipients

- **Security audit workflow:** Manual review → Automated tools → Exploit → Fix
- **Exercise 1:** Reentrancy in VulnerableBank (Checks-Effects-Interactions fix)
- **Exercise 2:** Integer overflow + access control in InsecureToken (Solidity 0.8.0+ fix)
- **Exercise 3:** Oracle manipulation in BadOracle (TWAP or Chainlink fix)
- **Tools:** Slither (fast static analysis), Mythril (symbolic execution)
- **Exploit writing:** Demonstrate real attack scenarios in tests
- **Deliverable:** Professional audit report with findings and recommendations
- **Real-world skills:** Manual code review remains critical, tools complement but don't replace human analysis