


## Lesson 23: Security and Hacks

### Module 2: Blockchain Fundamentals

Digital Finance


# The Stakes: Billions Lost to Hacks



## Major Incidents:

- **2016:** The DAO (\$60M) – reentrancy
- **2021:** Poly Network (\$611M) – access control (returned)
- **2022:** Ronin Bridge (\$625M) – compromised keys
- **2022:** Wormhole Bridge (\$325M) – signature verification
- **Total (2020–2024):** >\$10 billion stolen

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.



## Attack Vectors:

- **Smart Contract Bugs:** Logic errors, reentrancy, overflow
- **Bridge Vulnerabilities:** Cross-chain security weaknesses
- **Private Key Compromise:** Phishing, social engineering, malware
- **Oracle Manipulation:** Flash loan attacks on price feeds
- **Governance Attacks:** Token-based voting exploits

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Reentrancy: The DAO Hack (2016)

## Vulnerability:

- Contract sends ETH before updating balance
- Recipient contract calls back
- Recursive withdrawals drain funds

## Impact:

- 3.6M ETH stolen (\$60M)
- Led to Ethereum hard fork
- ETH/ETC split


Reentrancy Flow



[SYNTHETIC DATA]

**Prevention:** Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern, reentrancy guards (OpenZeppelin)

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.



## Fix: Checks-Effects-Interactions

- **Checks:** Validate conditions (sufficient balance)
- **Effects:** Update state (subtract balance)
- **Interactions:** External calls (send ETH)

**Modern Protection:** OpenZeppelin ReentrancyGuard modifier

Case studies provide concrete evidence of technology impact and adoption patterns.

# Integer Overflow/Underflow

## Problem (Pre-Solidity 0.8):

- uint256 max:  $2^{256} - 1$
- Overflow:  $\text{max} + 1$  wraps to 0
- Underflow:  $0 - 1$  wraps to max
- Silent failures (no revert)

## Example Attack:

- Balance: 100 tokens
- Transfer 200 (should fail)
- $\text{balance} - 200$  underflows to huge number
- Exploit unlimited tokens

Overflow Visualization



[SYNTHETIC DATA]

**Fix:** Solidity 0.8+ has automatic overflow checks, or use SafeMath library

Understanding the process flow is key to identifying optimization opportunities.

## Common Mistakes:

- Missing `onlyOwner` modifiers on critical functions
- Default function visibility (public vs internal)
- Incorrect permission checks

## Example: Parity Wallet Hack (2017)

- Multi-sig library had unprotected `initWallet()` function
- Anyone could call and become owner
- Attacker initialized, then called `kill()` (selfdestruct)
- \$300M frozen permanently


## Best Practice:

- Explicitly declare visibility (public, external, internal, private)
- Use `OpenZeppelin Ownable` and `AccessControl`
- Audit all privileged functions

---

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Oracle Manipulation: Flash Loan Attacks



## Attack Pattern (e.g., Harvest Finance, \$24M):

- ① Flash loan large amount of Token A
- ② Swap on low-liquidity DEX, manipulate price
- ③ Protocol using DEX price oracle now sees inflated price
- ④ Exploit: borrow over-collateralized or dump tokens at fake price
- ⑤ Repay flash loan, profit

Security analysis identifies vulnerabilities and helps design robust systems.




# Oracle Security: Defense Mechanisms

## Vulnerable:

- Single DEX as price source
- Spot price (current block)
- Low liquidity pools

## Secure:

- Time-Weighted Average Price (TWAP)
- Multiple oracle sources (Chainlink)
- High liquidity requirements
- Price deviation limits



**Chainlink:** Decentralized oracle network, aggregates data from multiple providers

Security analysis identifies vulnerabilities and helps design robust systems.

## Why Bridges are Risky:

- Centralized honeypots (large TVL)
- Complex multi-sig schemes
- Cross-chain validation challenges
- Centralized validators

## Attack Types:

- Compromised validator keys
- Signature verification bugs
- Replay attacks

Bridge Architecture



[SYNTHETIC DATA]

---

AI and ML are transforming financial services through automation and prediction.

# Ronin Bridge Hack (2022): \$625M Stolen

## Context:

- Ronin: Ethereum sidechain for Axie Infinity game
- Bridge: Transfer assets between Ethereum and Ronin
- Requires 5-of-9 validator signatures for withdrawals

## Attack:

- ① Attacker compromised 4 Sky Mavis (developer) validator keys (spear phishing)
- ② Exploited backdoor in Axie DAO validator (5th signature)
- ③ Forged withdrawal transaction: 173,600 ETH + 25.5M USDC
- ④ Took 6 days to detect (low monitoring)

**Root Cause:** Centralization (5 of 9 validators controlled by single entity), poor key management

---

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Wormhole Bridge Hack (2022): \$325M

## Mechanism:

- Wormhole: Bridge between Ethereum and Solana
- Guardians validate cross-chain messages

## Vulnerability:

- Signature verification function had bug
- Attacker bypassed guardian signature check
- Minted 120,000 wrapped ETH on Solana without depositing on Ethereum

## Outcome:


- Attacker bridged fake wETH to Ethereum, sold for real ETH
- Jump Crypto (parent company) refunded users (\$325M bailout)

**Lesson:** Even well-funded projects have critical bugs, audits not foolproof

---

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Bridge Hacks: Total Damage



## Major Bridge Hacks (2021–2022):

- Ronin: \$625M, Poly Network: \$611M, Wormhole: \$325M, Nomad: \$190M, Harmony: \$100M
- Total: >\$2 billion (over 50% of all DeFi hacks)

**Trend:** Bridges are highest-value targets (centralized trust, large TVL)

---

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Phishing and Social Engineering

## Common Attacks:

- Fake wallet extensions (clipboard hijack)
- Malicious dApp frontends
- Discord/Telegram impersonation
- Fake support ("verify your wallet")
- Address poisoning

## Example:

- User clicks malicious link
- Connects wallet to fake dApp
- Signs `setApprovalForAll` transaction
- Attacker drains NFTs/tokens


Phishing Flow



[SYNTHETIC DATA]

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

# Address Poisoning Attack



## Attack:

- ① Attacker creates vanity address matching first/last chars of victim's address
- ② Sends 0 ETH transaction to victim (appears in history)
- ③ Victim copies address from history (sees first/last match, assumes correct)
- ④ Sends funds to attacker's address

**Prevention:** Verify entire address, use address book, hardware wallet confirmation screens

Security analysis identifies vulnerabilities and helps design robust systems.


# Rug Pulls: Exit Scams

## Types:

- **Liquidity Removal:** Dev drains LP, token worthless
- **Backdoor Functions:** Hidden mint/burn/pause
- **Honeypot:** Can buy but not sell

## Example: Squid Game Token (2021)

- Market cap: \$3M in days
- Could buy, not sell (code restriction)
- Developers cashed out, disappeared



**Red Flags:** Anonymous teams, no audit, centralized control, unrealistic promises

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.



## Scenario:

- Protocol governed by token holders (1 token = 1 vote)
- Attacker acquires majority via flash loan or market buy
- Proposes malicious governance action (drain treasury)
- Votes pass, execute attack, repay flash loan

## Example: Beanstalk (2022, \$182M)

- Attacker took flash loan of governance tokens
- Voted to approve malicious proposal
- Drained \$182M from protocol
- Repaid flash loan in same transaction

**Defense:** Time-locks on proposals, vote escrow (lock tokens for voting), quorum requirements

---

Security analysis identifies vulnerabilities and helps design robust systems.

## Audit Process:

- Security firm reviews smart contract code
- Identifies vulnerabilities, best practice violations
- Provides report with severity levels (critical, high, medium, low)
- Cost: \$50K–\$500K+ depending on complexity

## Limitations:

- Audits are snapshots (code changes after audit)
- Cannot find all bugs (Wormhole was audited)
- Economic attacks (flash loans, governance) hard to model
- Audit shopping (only publish favorable audits)

**Best Practice:** Multiple audits (Trail of Bits, OpenZeppelin, Consensys Diligence), public bug bounties


# Bug Bounties: Whitehat Incentives

## Programs:

- Pay security researchers for finding bugs
- Tiered rewards (critical: **\$1M+**)
- Platforms: Immunefi, HackerOne

## Examples:

- Aurora: **\$6M** bounty (critical)
- Wormhole: **\$10M** (post-hack)
- MakerDAO: **\$10M** max



**Whitehats Saved:** >\$50M in 2023 via responsible disclosure

Key concepts from this slide inform practical applications in finance.

## For Developers:

- Use audited libraries (OpenZeppelin)
- Multiple audits before mainnet launch
- Time-locks on upgrades and governance
- Comprehensive testing (unit, integration, fuzzing)
- Bug bounty programs
- Incident response plan

## For Users:

- Hardware wallets for large amounts
- Verify contract addresses (bookmarks, not Google)
- Revoke token approvals regularly (revoke.cash)
- Never share seed phrases
- Be skeptical of high yields (if too good to be true...)

---

Security analysis identifies vulnerabilities and helps design robust systems.

- **Reentrancy:** External calls before state updates, use checks-effects-interactions
- **Overflow/Underflow:** Solidity 0.8+ auto-checks, or SafeMath
- **Oracle Manipulation:** Use TWAP, Chainlink, avoid single DEX price
- **Bridge Hacks:** Centralized validators, key compromise (\$2B+ stolen)
- **Phishing:** Social engineering, verify addresses, revoke approvals
- **Best Practices:** Audits, bug bounties, time-locks, user vigilance

**Next Lesson:** Regulation and future – MiCA, SEC, real-world assets, careers