



## Analyze cost and features for roughly 2 TB or digital object storage comparing Azure Blob Storage, DigitalOcean Spaces, Amazon S3, Preservica, and Alma Digital/Specto.

Here's a practical, order-of-magnitude comparison of cost and capabilities for ~2 TB of object storage across the options you listed. All dollar figures are approximate list pricing for standard "hot" storage in a single region, excluding taxes and discounts. [\[1\]](#) [\[2\]](#) [\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#) [\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#) [\[7\]](#) [\[8\]](#) [\[9\]](#) [\[10\]](#) [\[11\]](#) [\[12\]](#) [\[13\]](#) [\[14\]](#)

### Cost for ~2 TB storage

Assume 2 TB  $\approx$  2,000 GB, stored all month, with modest egress (tens of GB/month) and "normal" request volumes.

Service	Approx monthly storage cost at 2 TB	Notes on pricing model
Amazon S3 (Standard)	$\sim 2,000 \text{ GB} \times 0.023 \approx$ <b>46 USD/month</b> for storage only. <a href="#">[8]</a> <a href="#">[11]</a>	Tiered per-GB pricing, plus request and data-transfer-out fees; multiple storage classes (Standard, Intelligent-Tiering, Glacier, etc.). <a href="#">[8]</a> <a href="#">[11]</a> <a href="#">[6]</a>
Azure Blob (Hot)	$\sim 2,000 \text{ GB} \times 0.023 \approx$ <b>46 USD/month</b> (hot tier list rate very similar to S3). <a href="#">[9]</a> <a href="#">[2]</a> <a href="#">[6]</a>	Tiered per-GB pricing; lower hot-tier GB price than S3 in some regions, but similar order of magnitude; separate transaction and egress fees. <a href="#">[9]</a> <a href="#">[2]</a> <a href="#">[6]</a>
DigitalOcean Spaces	Base \$5 includes 250 GB, remaining 1,750 GB at \$0.02/GB $\rightarrow 0.02 \times 1,750 + 5 \approx$ <b>40 USD/month</b> . <a href="#">[1]</a> <a href="#">[12]</a> <a href="#">[14]</a>	Flat \$5 for first 250 GB with 1 TB outbound included, then \$0.02/GB storage, \$0.01/GB egress; no per-request fees; bundled CDN option. <a href="#">[1]</a> <a href="#">[12]</a> <a href="#">[14]</a>
Preservica (Starter-type SaaS)	Starter Plus listed around <b>~300 USD/month</b> for "from 250 GB"; 2 TB typically requires capacity upgrades or enterprise contracts, often pushing well into <b>hundreds to low thousands USD/month</b> . <a href="#">[3]</a> <a href="#">[13]</a> <a href="#">[7]</a>	SaaS preservation platform; pricing bundles software + storage + preservation features; S3/Glacier storage in AWS Marketplace example is \$1,525/TB/year S3 and \$580/TB/year Glacier, on top of software (~\$16,770/year). <a href="#">[7]</a>
Alma Digital / Specto	Storage is an add-on subscription parameter rather than public per-GB pricing; 2 TB typically means moving to a higher storage band, so <b>significantly more than raw S3/Azure but wrapped into Alma/Specto license</b> . <a href="#">[5]</a> <a href="#">[10]</a> <a href="#">[4]</a>	Uses AWS-backed storage under the hood; cost folded into Alma Digital or Specto subscription tier, with Alma Analytics report to track used/remaining TB. <a href="#">[5]</a> <a href="#">[10]</a> <a href="#">[4]</a>

For pure “cheap bits,” S3, Azure Blob, and DigitalOcean Spaces are all in the same tens-of-dollars/month ballpark at 2 TB, with Spaces slightly cheaper and simpler to estimate. Preservica and Alma Digital/Spectro are a different category: you’re buying a preservation/digital-repository SaaS wrapped around storage, so per-TB cost is much higher but includes workflow and policy features. [\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#) [\[5\]](#) [\[7\]](#) [\[8\]](#) [\[9\]](#) [\[12\]](#) [\[13\]](#) [\[14\]](#) [\[1\]](#)

## S3, Azure Blob, and Spaces: features

For a technical storage-only use case, these three are broadly similar but differ in ecosystem and pricing complexity. [\[2\]](#) [\[6\]](#) [\[8\]](#) [\[9\]](#) [\[11\]](#) [\[12\]](#) [\[14\]](#) [\[1\]](#)

- Amazon S3
  - **Pros:** Very mature ecosystem, rich IAM and policies, multiple storage classes (Standard, IA, Glacier, Deep Archive) for lifecycle cost optimization, broad 3rd-party tool support. [\[6\]](#) [\[8\]](#) [\[11\]](#)
  - **Cons:** Pricing complexity (requests, transitions, egress), can be more expensive if you do a lot of small object traffic; AWS-specific IAM model.
  - **Fit for you:** Maximum flexibility, tight integration with other AWS services, easy to script against with your Python/CLI workflows.
- Azure Blob Storage
  - **Pros:** Comparable features to S3 (hot/cool/cold tiers, lifecycle policies, RBAC), often slightly lower hot-tier GB price and competitive archive classes. [\[9\]](#) [\[2\]](#) [\[6\]](#)
  - **Cons:** Similar pricing complexity to S3; best value if you are already in Azure (AD, VMs, Functions).
  - **Fit for you:** Good if you want to leverage Azure AD and possibly integrate with other Microsoft stack services.
- DigitalOcean Spaces
  - **Pros:** S3-compatible API, flat, predictable pricing, built-in CDN, no per-request charges. [\[12\]](#) [\[14\]](#) [\[1\]](#)
  - **Cons:** Fewer regions and services than AWS/Azure, fewer advanced security/compliance and lifecycle options, smaller ecosystem. [\[1\]](#)
  - **Fit for you:** Simple S3-style object store for web apps or static assets where you value predictability over fine-grained tuning.

## Preservica: preservation-oriented storage

Preservica is a hosted digital preservation system, not just object storage. [\[7\]](#) [\[3\]](#)

- Cost model
  - Starter Plus around \$300/month “from 250 GB” with option to upgrade to 1 TB+ via sales; scaling to 2 TB usually requires commercial negotiation. [\[13\]](#) [\[3\]](#)
  - On AWS Marketplace, pricing separates software (~\$16,770/year) from per-TB S3 and Glacier allowances (\$1,525/TB/year S3, \$580/TB/year Glacier). [\[7\]](#)

- Features (beyond raw storage)
  - OAIS-style preservation workflows, fixity checks, automated migration rules, access portal, branded public site, access control, analytics, and support.<sup>[3] [7]</sup>
  - Deep integration of lifecycle management, including use of Glacier-type storage for cost-efficient long-term retention.<sup>[7]</sup>

For a college archives/digital collections context, Preservica's value is in preservation and access workflows rather than storage cost per TB.

## **Alma Digital and Specto: library-integrated storage**

Alma Digital and the new Specto product are positioned as part of Clarivate's library platform rather than commodity storage.<sup>[4] [5] [10]</sup>

- Cost model
  - Alma licensing is subscription-based; storage is a parameter (e.g., 0.5 TB, 1 TB, etc.), and increasing TB requires a subscription adjustment instead of metered per-GB billing.<sup>[10]</sup>
  - There is no public per-GB rate; 2 TB would be realized as moving to a higher Alma Digital or Specto storage band.<sup>[4] [10]</sup>
- Features
  - Tight integration with Alma inventory, metadata, and fulfillment; Alma Analytics report "Total Digital Space Usage" lets you monitor used vs. remaining digital file capacity.<sup>[5] [10]</sup>
  - Specto is intended as a new cloud-native digital collections/repository solution; Alma Digital customers will be able to transition to Specto Essentials as it releases (late 2025/early 2026).<sup>[4]</sup>

For you, the advantage is unified workflows with Alma/Primo and analytics, at the cost of higher effective per-TB pricing than raw S3/Blob.

## **How to choose for ~2 TB**

Given your environment and skill set:

- If you want lowest-friction, lowest-cost storage for custom apps or preservation pipelines you run yourself, S3, Azure Blob, or Spaces (with your own preservation tooling) will be far cheaper than Preservica or Alma Digital/Specto at 2 TB.<sup>[8] [14] [9] [10] [12] [1] [3] [7]</sup>
- If deep preservation functionality and a turnkey access portal are primary, Preservica justifies its higher cost.<sup>[3] [7]</sup>
- If tight coupling with Alma metadata, user management, and discovery is paramount, Alma Digital or Specto make sense despite the opaque storage pricing.<sup>[5] [10] [4]</sup>

If you share your intended workload (primary access vs. dark archive, expected egress, multi-region needs), I can sketch concrete monthly cost scenarios and possible hybrid approaches (e.g., S3 + Alma Digital front-end) for the 2 TB target.

1. <https://www.digitalocean.com/resources/articles/amazon-s3-vs-digitalocean-spaces>
2. <https://blog.purestorage.com/purely-educational/aws-s3-vs-azure-blob/>
3. <https://preservica.com/pricing>
4. [https://igelu.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/Day-3-Plenary-Q\\_and\\_A-with-Ex-Libris-Management-Formal-responce.pdf](https://igelu.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/Day-3-Plenary-Q_and_A-with-Ex-Libris-Management-Formal-responce.pdf)
5. [https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Knowledge\\_Articles/Alma\\_Digital\\_storage\\_limits](https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Knowledge_Articles/Alma_Digital_storage_limits)
6. <https://www.cloudlaya.com/blog/aws-s3-vs-azure-blob-storage/>
7. <https://aws.amazon.com/marketplace/pp/prodview-jpn6ujuab3rcw>
8. <https://cloudchipr.com/blog/amazon-s3-pricing-explained>
9. <https://cloudchipr.com/blog/azure-blob-storage-pricing>
10. [https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Product\\_Materials/050Alma\\_FAQs/General/Alma\\_Subscription\\_Licensing\\_Model\\_and\\_Data\\_Retainment](https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Product_Materials/050Alma_FAQs/General/Alma_Subscription_Licensing_Model_and_Data_Retainment)
11. <https://www.cloudzero.com/blog/s3-pricing/>
12. <https://www.digitalocean.com/community/questions/how-are-digitalocean-spaces-charged-when-i-overpass-250-gb-of-storage-or-1-tb-of-transfer>
13. <https://preservica.com/add-more-capacity>
14. <https://investors.digitalocean.com/news/news-details/2017/DigitalOcean-Launches-Spaces-for-Easy-Scalable-and-Persistent-Object-Storage/default.aspx>
15. <https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/architecture/aws-professional/storage>
16. <https://www.cloudzero.com/blog/cloud-storage-pricing/>
17. <https://n2ws.com/blog/cloud-storage-cost>
18. [https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Product\\_Materials/010Roadmap/Alma\\_Roadmap\\_Highlights\\_\(2025-2026\)](https://knowledge.exlibrisgroup.com/Alma/Product_Materials/010Roadmap/Alma_Roadmap_Highlights_(2025-2026))