

		Rubrics for Assesment				
CSE 360 (IDP 1)(150) [100%]	Assessment Criteria		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
	Project Proposal (15) [10%] CO1, CO2	Idea Submission (3)	- Submission of atleast 3 good project ideas - Creativity exists and idea is feasible - Addresses and solves real life problem - Well defined integration of Hardware and Software	- Submission of atleast 1 good project idea - Creativity exists and idea is feasible - Addresses and solves real life problem - Well defined integration of Hardware and Software	- Moderate project idea - Creativity exists and idea is feasible - Does not address and solves real life problem - Not well defined Integration of Hardware and Software	- Poor project idea - Creativity does not exists and idea is not feasible - Does not address and solve real life problem - Not well defined integration of Hardware and Software
		Presentation (2)	- Credibility of the contents presented - Organization of presentation is standard - Presence of required information (Features of proposed system, components required to implement the system, approximate budget)	- Credibility of the contents presented - Organization of presentation is moderate - Presence of required information (Features of proposed system, components required to implement the system, approximate budget)	- Credibility of the contents presented is not viable enough - Organization of presentation is moderate - Partial presence of required information (Features of proposed system, components required to implement the system, approximate budget)	- No credibility of the contents presented - Organization of presentation is poor - Absence of required information (Features of proposed system, components required to implement the system, approximate budget)
		Project Proposal Document (10)	- Template is followed strictly - Specific details have been stated for each section - References of background study are clearly specified - Documented in LaTeX format	- Template is followed strictly - Details have been stated for each section moderately - References of background study are specified - Documented in LaTeX format	- Template is not followed strictly - Partial details have been stated for each section - References of background study are specified - Documented in LaTeX format	- Template is not followed strictly - Specific details have not been stated for each section - References of background study arenot specified - Not documented in LaTeX format
	Project Scheduling (15) [10%] CO1, CO3	Project Plan Document (10)	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is followed strictly - Stated timeline is feasible - Required resources and cost estimation is well specified	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is followed strictly - Stated timeline is feasible - Required resources and cost estimation is partially specified	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is not followed strictly - Stated timeline is moderately feasible - Required resources and cost estimation is not well specified	- Not documented in LaTeX - Template is not followed - Stated timeline is not feasible - Required resources and cost estimation is not specified
		Update on Project Scheduling (5)	- Using a project scheduling software (e.g. MS Project Management software) - Cover Gantt chart, resource sheet and project calander - Stating a relevant and viable timeline - Regularity in reporting update	- Using a project scheduling software (e.g. MS Project Management software) - Cover Gantt chart, resource sheet and project calander - Stating a less relevant and viable timeline - Regularity in reporting update	- Using a project scheduling software (e.g. MS Project Management software) - Preparing only Gantt chart and resource sheet - Stating a relevant and viable timeline - Irregularity in reporting update	- Not using a project scheduling software (e.g. MS Project Management software) - Not preparing Gantt chart, resource sheet and project calander - Stating an irrelevant and non-viable timeline - No regularity in reporting update
	Project Documentation (40) [27%] CO2, CO4	System Architecture (15)	- Correctness and appropriateness of the designed system architecture and block diagram - Proper representation of the structure of components, their interrelationships, and the principles and guidelines governing their design - Incorporation of all the functionalities and precise functionality mapping - Maintaining the correct sequence in the work flow diagram	- Correctness and appropriateness of the designed system architecture and block diagram - Proper representation of the structure of components, their interrelationships, and the principles and guidelines governing their design - Incorporation of all the functionalities and precise functionality mapping - Maintaining the correct sequence in the work flow diagram with some minor observations	- Correctness and appropriateness of the designed system architecture and block diagram - Proper representation of the structure of components, their interrelationships, and the principles and guidelines governing their design - Unable to incorporate all the functionalities and precise functionality mapping - Maintaining the correct sequence in the work flow diagram with some minor observations	- No correctness and appropriateness of the designed system architecture and block diagram - Improper representation of the structure of components, their interrelationships, and the principles and guidelines governing their design - Unable to incorporate all the functionalities and precise functionality mapping - Maintaining the incorrect sequence in the work flow diagram
		SRS Document (25)	- Documented in LaTeX - Project scope is specific and abstract - Project features are viable - Template is followed strictly - Relevant description of system modeling diagrams - Project constraints and interfaces are well specified	- Documented in LaTeX - Project scope is specific and abstract - Project features are not viable enough - Template is followed strictly - Relevant description of system modeling diagrams - Project constraints and interfaces are moderately specified	- Documented in LaTeX - Project scope is specific and abstract - Project features are not viable - Template is not followed strictly - Partial description of system modeling diagrams - Project constraints and interfaces are moderately specified	- Not documented in LaTeX - Project scope is not specific and abstract - Project features are not viable - Template is not followed strictly - No description of system modeling diagrams - Project constraints and interfaces are not specified
	Project Prototype Design (40) [27%] CO5	UI Design Update(20)	- Integration of all the proposed modules - Comprehensive design of the UI - Feasibility of the designed UI - Aesthetics of the UI - Regularity in reporting update	- Integration of most of the proposed modules - Moderately comprehensive design of the UI - Feasibility of the designed UI - Aesthetics of the UI - Regularity in reporting update	- Integration of some the proposed modules - Partially comprehensive design of the UI - Feasibility of the designed UI - Aesthetics of the UI - Irregularity in reporting update	- No integration of all the proposed modules - Incomprehensive design of the UI - No feasibility of the designed UI - Aesthetics of the UI - Irregularity in reporting update
		UI Design Document (20)	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is followed strictly - Design specification of all the proposed modules - Relevant description of the UI	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is followed strictly - Design specification of most of the proposed modules - Relevant description of the UI	- Documented in LaTeX - Template is not followed strictly - Design specification of few of the proposed modules - Partial description of the UI	- Not documented in LaTeX - Template is not followed - Design specification of none the proposed modules - No description of the UI
	Final Submission (40) [26%] CO4	Final Submission (10)	- Submission of the following all four documents as Hardcopy [Project Proposal Document, Project Plan Report, SRS Document, UI Design Document] - Submission of the following all three files as Softcopy [Project Scheduling , UI Design, Demo of UI (if any)]	- Submission of the following any three documents as Hardcopy [Project Proposal Document, Project Plan Report, SRS Document,UI Design Document] - Submission of the following any one file as Softcopy [Project Scheduling , UI Design, Demo of UI (if any)]	- Submission of the following any one document as Hardcopy [Project Proposal Document, Project Plan Report, SRS Document, UI Design Document] - Submission of the following any one file as Softcopy [Project Scheduling , UI Design, Demo of UI (if any)]	- No Submission of any of the following documents as Hardcopy [Project Proposal Document, Project Plan Report, SRS Document, UI Design Document] - No Submission of any of the following files as Softcopy [Project Scheduling , UI Design, Demo of UI (if any)]
		Final Presentation and Demonstration (30)	- Presenting the idea in a systematic way - Template of the presentation is standard - Credibility of the contents presented - Full completion of the steps proposed initially - Timing maintained during the presentation - Participation of at least 3 persons	- Presenting the idea in a systematic way - Template of the presentation standard - Credibility of the contents presented is moderately viable - Full completion of the steps proposed initially - Timing exceeded during the presentation - Participation of at least 3 persons	- Presenting the idea in a systematic way - Template of the presentation moderate - Credibility of the contents presented is partially viable - Partial completion of the steps proposed initially - Timing exceeded during the presentation - Participation of at least 3 persons	- Presenting the idea in a systematic way - Template of the presentation is poor - Credibility of the contents presented is not viable - No completion of the steps proposed initially - Timing exceeded during the presentation - Participation of at least 3 persons