From Lepton Anchors to Composition Connectivity:

A Physics-Anchored, Geometry-Free Construction and a 100,000-Molecule Field Test

October 20, 2025

Abstract

We give a minimal, deterministic pipeline that maps a neutral molecular formula to a chemically plausible heavy-atom connectivity summary using only fixed constants: (i) a mass-derived rung descriptor $\nu(m)$ anchored by the electron and muon; (ii) a universal dual-peak kernel $K(|\Delta\nu|)$; (iii) an exact combinatorial allocation under valence capacities. We first recall prior use of ν and K to compute total σ bonds via weighted b-matching (exact min-cost max-flow). We then present a new composition—connectivity construction that enforces a *charge-aware* double-bond equivalent (DBE), maintains per-atom valence exactly (including minimal cationic promotions for N/P/S), guarantees connected heavy graphs, and reserves core π headroom on tiny halogen-heavy systems. In a *ChEMBL 36* experiment over 100,000 scope-filtered formulas, the verifier reported: **total** 100,000; **ok** 95,930; **failed** 0; **skipped** 4,070 (size gate), i.e. **100%** success on all processed molecules. No geometry or learning is used.

1 Electron→Muon Rung Map and the Kernel

We use the logarithmic rung map (companion paper [1], constants from PDG [2]):

$$\nu(m) = 3 + 13 \frac{\ln(m/m_e)}{\ln(m_{\mu}/m_e)}, \qquad g = (m_{\mu}/m_e)^{1/13} = 1.507003107.$$
 (1)

For a pair (i, j) with rung gap $\Delta \nu = |\nu_i - \nu_j|$ we score resonance with a locked dual-peak kernel:

$$K(\Delta\nu) = 1.124462 \exp\left[-(\Delta\nu/0.90)^2\right] + 1.551250 \exp\left[-\frac{(\Delta\nu-6.50)^2}{21.20^2}\right]. \tag{2}$$

K favors like—like $(\Delta \nu \approx 0)$ and a specific heavy—light contrast $(\Delta \nu \approx 6.50)$. These are the only real-valued ingredients.

2 Exact b-Matching for Total σ Bonds (recap)

Given atoms with capacities b_i = valence(E_i) and pair weights $s_{ij} = K(|\nu_i - \nu_j|)$, the σ -bond total is the value of the weighted b-matching max $\sum s_{ij}x_{ij}$ with $\sum_j x_{ij} = b_i$, $x_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$. We solve it exactly via a standard min-cost max-flow reduction on a bipartite network; integrality follows from total unimodularity [3, 4]. This geometry-free result matched valence identities on PubChem and large ChEMBL samples in prior tests.

3 Composition \rightarrow Connectivity: a Minimal, Deterministic Construction

We extend from totals to a simple connectivity summary consistent with DBE and valence. Let H be the hydrogen count and n_h the heavy-atom count.

Charge-aware DBE and salt normalization. We use an extended, charge-aware DBE for the *skeleton*

$$DBE(q) = 1 + C - \frac{H+X}{2} + \frac{N+P}{2} + \frac{q}{2},$$
 (3)

where X = F + Cl + Br + I, and q is the cationic charge once counter-anions are accounted for. For salt-like formulas we normalize by:

- 1. Detaching the minimal number k of halide anions (prefer I > Br > Cl > F) so that $DBE(k) \ge 0$.
- 2. If halides are absent but common anions exist, detaching k copies of OH^- (else NO_3^- , else HSO_4^-).
- 3. If neither is present (e.g. CHN-only polycations), we assign *implicit* counter-ions by setting q=k without removing atoms.

All verification is then performed on the skeleton with DBE(q).

Per-atom valence with minimal cationic promotions. Valences are fixed except that we allow minimal promotions to meet the global identity

$$\sum_{i \in \text{heavy}} v_i = H + 2 DBE(q) + 2 (n_h - 1).$$
 (4)

We promote in the order $N: 3 \rightarrow 4$, $P: 3 \rightarrow 4$ (then $\rightarrow 5$ if needed), $S: 2 \rightarrow 3$ (then $\rightarrow 6$ as a last resort), choosing the smallest number of promotions that satisfies (4).

Sigma skeleton (core first), then halogen leaves. Write \mathcal{H} for halogens and \mathcal{C} for the non-halogen heavy atoms.

- 1. Core tree: run degree-constrained Kruskal on \mathcal{C} by $w^{\sigma} = K(|\Delta \nu|)$; accept (i, j) only if $\deg(i) < v_i$ and $\deg(j) < v_j$.
- 2. Core π reservation (tiny cores): if DBE> 0, reserve up to two disjoint core—core edges with p-cap> 0 (highest w^{π}) by holding 1 unit of headroom on both endpoints; this guarantees that micro-cores (e.g. C₂HCl₃) can realize the necessary π .
- 3. Attach halogens: attach each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ as a degree-1 leaf to the core atom with the highest w^{σ} that still has positive available headroom after the reservation.

Initialize multiplicities $m_{ij}=1$ on tree edges and headrooms $c_i=v_i-\deg(i)$.

Spend DBE as tickets (rings vs. π). Create extra- σ tickets on non-tree pairs (each adds a new σ edge, weight w^{σ}) and π tickets on existing edges (each adds +1 order up to p-cap, weight w^{π} , with a small global factor α_{π} and optional tilts). Greedily accept the DBE(q) highest-weight tickets respecting local headrooms $c_i \ge 0$ and pair caps. The cyclomatic identity then holds automatically: $\sum p + (|Y| - n_h + 1) = \text{DBE}(q)$.

Optional flow witness. On a fixed σ skeleton, maximizing $\sum w^{\pi}p$ under node caps c_i and edge caps p-cap admits a one-shot min-cost flow with unit consumptions [3, 4]; in practice the unit-ticket greedy attains the same integral optimum.

Three rule-sets: RS1 $w^{\pi} = \alpha_{\pi} K$; RS2 adds a 1.35× factor on C=O; RS3 adds a small like-like bump to C-C/C-N for both σ and π .

4 Large-Scale Experiment on ChEMBL 36

Dataset. We extracted (name, formula) pairs from ChEMBL 36 [5], filtered to neutral formulas and to the scope {H, C, N, O, F, Cl, Br, I, P, S}.

Run configuration. RS3 (aromatic tilt), $\alpha_{\pi} = 1.15$; charge-aware DBE and salt normalization; degree-constrained core tree; core π reservation (up to two anchors); halogen-as-leaf attachment; ticket greedy with caps/headrooms; size gate skipping >50 heavy atoms. The verifier enforces, for every instance: per-atom valence and total hydrogens, pair caps, heavy-graph connectivity, and the DBE cyclomatic identity.

Results (full 100k):

Metric	Total	Ok	Failed	Skipped
Count	100,000	95,930	0	4,070

Interpretation. "Ok" means every invariant passed (valence per atom, $\sum H$, caps, connectedness, DBE identity). "Skipped" are intentional size filters (very large heavy-atom counts). The 0 failures reflect two key fixes: salt normalization (explicit or implicit counter-ions with minimal N/P/S promotions) and core π reservation on tiny halogen-heavy cores. No geometry, charges in strings, or learned parameters were used.

5 Reproducibility (minimal notes)

Constants in (1)–(2) are locked (PDG masses [2]); caps are fixed per element pair. The verifier script prints one line per molecule with a checkmark or a crisp reason; it performs only integer checks. The same settings on the same two-column sheet deterministically reproduce the counts. The flow witness (if used) is a standard successive shortest-path with potentials [3].

6 Discussion

The physics-anchored rung provides a single scalar per element; the universal kernel converts rung gaps into pair propensities; and the allocation is an exact integer program with purely local constraints. The new composition—connectivity construction is thus parameter-light, geometry-free, and deterministic. Its success at 100k scale—100% success on all processed molecules under a simple size gate—suggests a practical primitive for connectivity proposals, subgraph screening, and QSPR/QSAR features, with an optional min-cost-flow witness for π placement. Two pragmatic ingredients made the difference at scale: (i) salt-aware DBE with minimal cationic promotions for N/P/S, and (ii) reserving core π headroom before hanging halogens on tiny cores.

References

- [1] Luke Miller. A single logarithmic function for the lepton, hadron, and atomic mass spectra. Manuscript / preprint, 2025. Companion paper defining the rung map $\nu(m) = 3 + 13 \ln(m/m_e) / \ln(m_u/m_e)$.
- [2] Particle Data Group. Review of particle physics. https://pdg.lbl.gov/, 2024. Accessed 2025-10-15.

- [3] R. K. Ahuja, T. L. Magnanti, and J. B. Orlin. Network Flows: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications. Prentice Hall, 1993.
- [4] A. Schrijver. Combinatorial Optimization: Polyhedra and Efficiency. Springer, 2003.
- [5] Barbara Zdrazil, Eloy Felix, Fiona Hunter, Emma J. Manners, et al. The chembl database in 2023: a drug discovery platform spanning multiple bioactivity data types and time periods. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 52(D1):D1180–D1188, 2024.