MIT 7116 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Scvientific Research Illustration

Dr. John Ngubiri ngubiri@cit.ac.ug

Makerere University

Introduction

- Often, Research and Development and mixed
- True some times the mix works in practice

Introduction

- Often, Research and Development and mixed
- True some times the mix works in practice
- For academics
 - We look throught the whole thing and check the research in it
 - Students sometimes get disapointed when they feel their work is not appreciated
 - There is a need to understand what constitutes the appreciation

Introduction

- Often, Research and Development and mixed
- True some times the mix works in practice
- For academics
 - We look throught the whole thing and check the research in it
 - Students sometimes get disapointed when they feel their work is not appreciated
 - There is a need to understand what constitutes the appreciation
- You therefore need to scan out your situation



Some few components are neccesary

• The problem its self



Some few components are neccesary

- The problem its self
 - What is it that we want to achieve?
 - What are the parameters?
 - How to measure that its achieved

Some few components are neccesary

- The problem its self
 - What is it that we want to achieve?
 - What are the parameters?
 - How to measure that its achieved
- How much of the solution is known
 - Also called the state of the art
 - This must be known 100% before an attempt is mad to extend it
 - Normally it is shown in the Literature review

Some few components are neccesary

- The problem its self
 - What is it that we want to achieve?
 - What are the parameters?
 - How to measure that its achieved
- How much of the solution is known
 - Also called the state of the art
 - This must be known 100% before an attempt is mad to extend it
 - Normally it is shown in the Literature review
- What, beyond the state of the art we need to know (Gap)
 - Extend knowledge beyond the state of the art
 - Define what is going to be investigated
 - Define the methods



The Celebrity Problem (CP)

A celebrity is a person who knows no body but known by everybody

- Assume we have a group of *n* people, How can we efficiently locate the celebrity among them (if any)
- Note that as per our definition, we may ave no celebrity but we cannot have more than one celebrity (convince yourself)

"CP state of the art"

 Quoted because there is more studies about it so the state of the art is bigger than this illustration

"CP state of the art"

- Quoted because there is more studies about it so the state of the art is bigger than this illustration
- Employ a quick technique.
 - For each member of the group, ask question "do you know that guy over there?"
 - Let Q(A, B) represent asking A of he knows B
 - If Q(A, B) = True, A is not celebrity, possibly B is
 - If Q(A, B) = False B is not celebrity, possibly A is

"CP state of the art"

- Quoted because there is more studies about it so the state of the art is bigger than this illustration
- Employ a quick technique.
 - For each member of the group, ask question "do you know that guy over there?"
 - Let Q(A, B) represent asking A of he knows B
 - If Q(A, B) = True, A is not celebrity, possibly B is
 - If Q(A, B) = False B is not celebrity, possibly A is
- How many questions are required to confirm Celebrity?
 - n(n-1) questions.
 - In case you also asked a person "do you know your self?", then its n^2
 - Either way, the problem is $O(n^2)$ complex

We know how to locate the celebrity, but can we locate the celebrity more efficiently? eg can we locate the celebrity by asking fewer questions?

We know how to locate the celebrity, but can we locate the celebrity more efficiently? eg can we locate the celebrity by asking fewer questions?

- There is a question for every 2 individuals
- n^2 questions among n people with max. 1 celebrity
- For each question, one is confimed a non celebrity
 - Aren't some questions between confimed non celebrities?
 - Doesnt reducing them improve efficiency?
 - To what extent?
- Let us eliminate questions between non celebrities

- Change the procedure of asking
- Rather than exhaustively ask any pair,

- Change the procedure of asking
- Rather than exhaustively ask any pair,
 - Once a person is confirmed a non celebrity, remove him/her from search grp
 - Exhaust the search group untill a single candidate is got
 - confirm the candidate by binary questions btn him and the confirmed non celebrities
 - terminate if confirmed (non) celebrity

- Change the procedure of asking
- Rather than exhaustively ask any pair,
 - Once a person is confirmed a non celebrity, remove him/her from search grp
 - Exhaust the search group untill a single candidate is got
 - confirm the candidate by binary questions btn him and the confirmed non celebrities
 - terminate if confirmed (non) celebrity
- How many Questions will get us a celebrity?
 - First round n-1
 - Second round 2(n-1)
 - Total 3n − 3



- Change the procedure of asking
- Rather than exhaustively ask any pair,
 - Once a person is confirmed a non celebrity, remove him/her from search grp
 - Exhaust the search group untill a single candidate is got
 - confirm the candidate by binary questions btn him and the confirmed non celebrities
 - terminate if confirmed (non) celebrity
- How many Questions will get us a celebrity?
 - First round n-1
 - Second round 2(n-1)
 - Total 3n − 3
- Complexity of new solution O(n), an improvement

Application

 Think about how this can be applied in Computing infrastructure....

The Stable Marriage Problem (SMP)

Consider n boys $B = b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots b_n$ and n girls $G = g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots g_n$. Each b_i has an n-tupple representation marriage prefferences in G and each g_i has an n-tuple representation of marriage preferances in B. You are required to make Stable marriage matches $M(b_lg_l)$ so that each boy/girl gets the best possible partner given the circumstances (preferance and competition). If two matches M(b, g) and M'(b', g') exist where g' is higher than g in the preferance of b and at the same time b is higher than b' in the preference list of g'. then M and M' are unstable.

Novel Solution/ "State of Art"

- For every boy
- map to a gal of best choice
- if two boys coincide on a girl
- check who is higher on girls list and boy moves to the next choice
- Repeat till no more adjustment is needed

Some Partinet Questions

- Would the solution be the same if we started with the girls? What is the implication?
- Can we quantify stability?
- Is the approach fair to boys? Girls? Can we quantify fairness?
- 4 How would we solve it when we consider: ties?, incomplete lists?, Non linear Preferances?
- Can we write an improved approach to address the issues above?

Thing of an aspect of Research

- Post it on the discussion forum
- Justify what you think is the knowledge to add