

Appendix results in t-tests for Predicting self-regulated learning support needs during learning

Rick (S.H.E.) Dijkstra¹[0003–3688–5456], Max Hinne², Eliane Segers^{1,3}, Josh Ring¹, and Inge Molenaar^{1,3}

¹ Behavioural Science Institute, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands {rick.dijkstra@, eliane.segers@, josh.ring@donders., inge.molenaar@}ru.nl

² Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands max.hinne@donders.ru.nl

³ Dutch National Education Lab AI, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<https://www.ru.nl/en/nolai>

Abstract. Results of the t-tests done on the learning metrics in the "Predicting self-regulated learning support needs during learning" paper. The learning outcomes are the children's scores on the pre-tests and post-tests, as well as the normalised learning change [2]. The normalised learning change is defined as $\frac{\text{Post} - \text{pre}}{\text{pre}_{\text{max}} - \text{pre}}$ when the child has improved, $\frac{\text{Post} - \text{pre}}{\text{pre}}$ if post-test scores are lower than pre-test scores, and 0 if the child already has the maximum score (of 8) on the pre-test, or if the pre-test and post-test are equal [2].

To see whether the learning outcomes and process measures of the children differ significantly between clusters, we run a linear mixed model in R using lmerTest [1] and, if so, t-tests with corrections using Satterthwaite's method to determine which clusters differ significantly from each other on which measures.

1 Accuracy

Accuracy is measured as the percentage of problems solved correctly. The results of the mixed linear model is given in Table 1. The results of the post-hoc t-tests is given in Table 2

2 Effort

Effort is measured as the total number of problems solved. The results of the mixed linear model is given in Table 3. The results of the post-hoc t-tests is given in Table 4

Table 1. Mixed linear model for accuracy by clusters.

Fixed effects	β	SE
(Intercept) J.1: Masters	0.742**	0.034
J.2: Risers	-0.136***	0.011
J.3: Strugglers	-0.278***	0.022
J.4: Trailers	-0.408***	0.024
Random effects	σ	SD
Intercept Child	0.00374	0.061
Intercept skill	0.00319	0.056
Residual	0.00492	0.07

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Table 2. Post-hoc comparisons for accuracy per cluster

Condition	Condition	Mean Difference	SE	df	t	P _{tukey}
J.1	- J.2	0.136	0.011	347.3	11.974	p<0.001
J.1	- J.3	0.278	0.022	339.2	12.575	p<0.001
J.1	- J.4	0.408	0.024	343.6	17.022	p<0.001
J.2	- J.4	0.272	0.022	327	12.331	p<0.001
J.2	- J.3	-0.142	0.02	311.2	-7.234	p<0.001
J.3	- J.4	0.13	0.028	308.8	4.711	p<0.001

Table 3. Mixed linear model for effort by clusters.

Fixed effects	β	SE
(Intercept) J.1: Masters	77.73**	7.767
J.2: Risers	10.917*	5.203
J.3: Strugglers	-11.68	10.226
J.4: Trailers	-25.156*	11.104
Random effects	σ	SD
Intercept Child	601.9	24.53
Intercept skill	124.3	11.15
Residual	1174.7	34.27

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Table 4. Post-hoc comparisons for effort per cluster

Condition	Condition	Mean Difference	SE	df	t	P _{tukey}
J.1	- J.2	-10.917	5.312	339.8	-2.055	0.170131864245454
J.1	- J.3	11.68	10.433	341.3	1.12	0.677738763694407
J.1	- J.4	25.156	11.237	347.9	2.239	0.114933069619867
J.2	- J.3	-22.597	9.342	327.7	-2.419	0.0755156697530543
J.2	- J.4	36.073	10.419	339.8	3.462	p<0.01
J.3	- J.4	13.476	13.12	325.7	1.027	0.733650114306893

3 Pre-test scores

Pre-test score is measured as the number of correctly solved problems and is out of a total of 8 problems. The results of the mixed linear model is given in Table 5. The results of the post-hoc t-tests is given in Table 6

Table 5. Mixed linear model for pre-test scores by clusters.

Fixed effects	β	SE
(Intercept) J.1: Masters	3.691*	0.584
J.2: Risers	-1.036***	0.24
J.3: Strugglers	-1.983***	0.484
J.4: Trailers	-2.02***	0.532
Random effects	σ	SD
Intercept Child	1.594	1.262
Intercept skill	0.899	0.948
Residual	2.1911	1.48

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Table 6. Post-hoc comparisons for pre-test scores per cluster

Condition	Condition	Mean Difference	SE	df	t	p _{tukey}
J.1	- J.2	1.036	0.243	329.8	4.262	p<0.001
J.1	- J.3	1.983	0.488	316.8	4.06	p<0.001
J.1	- J.4	2.02	0.537	327.3	3.763	p<0.01
J.2	- J.3	-0.946	0.439	290.6	-2.156	0.138
J.2	- J.4	0.984	0.497	314.7	1.98	0.198
J.3	- J.4	0.037	0.632	302.7	0.059	1

4 Post-test score

Post-test score is measured as the number of correctly solved problems and is out of a total of 8 problems. The results of the mixed linear model is given in Table 7. The results of the post-hoc t-tests is given in Table 8

5 Normalized learning change

The normalised learning change is defined as $\frac{\text{Post-pre}}{\text{pre}_{\text{max}} - \text{pre}}$ when the child has improved, $\frac{\text{Post-pre}}{\text{pre}}$ if post-test scores are lower than pre-test scores, and 0 if the child already has the maximum score (of 8) on the pre-test, or if the pre-test and post-test are equal [2]. The results of the mixed linear model is given in Table 9. The results of the post-hoc t-tests is given in Table 10

Table 7. Mixed linear model for post-test scores by clusters.

Fixed effects	β	SE
(Intercept) J.1: Masters	6.419**	0.658
J.2: Risers	-1.736***	0.234
J.3: Strugglers	-2.648***	0.452
J.4: Trailers	-4.232***	0.508
Random effects	σ	SD
Intercept Child	1.004	1.002
Intercept skill	1.186	1.089
Residual	2.176	1.475

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Table 8. Post-hoc comparisons for post-test scores per cluster

Condition	Condition	Mean Difference	SE	df	t	P _{tukey}
J.1	- J.2	1.736	0.236	314.7	7.358	p<0.001
J.1	- J.3	2.648	0.456	320.4	5.806	p<0.001
J.1	- J.4	4.232	0.512	315.6	8.261	p<0.001
J.2	- J.3	-0.912	0.409	304.7	-2.231	0.117
J.2	- J.4	2.496	0.476	317.7	5.249	p<0.001
J.3	- J.4	1.584	0.591	306.5	2.681	p<0.05

Table 9. Mixed linear model for normalized learning change by clusters.

Fixed effects	β	SE
(Intercept) J.1: Masters	0.661**	0.1
J.2: Risers	-0.289***	0.056
J.3: Strugglers	-0.311**	0.112
J.4: Trailers	-0.717***	0.129
Random effects	σ	SD
Intercept Child	0.037	0.194
Intercept skill	0.024	0.155
Residual	0.12844	0.358

*p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001.

Table 10. Post-hoc comparisons for normalized learning change per cluster

Condition	Condition	Mean Difference	SE	df	t	P _{tukey}
J.1	- J.2	0.289	0.057	283.9	5.065	p<0.001
J.1	- J.3	0.311	0.114	295.8	2.731	p<0.05
J.1	- J.4	0.717	0.13	290.1	5.504	p<0.001
J.2	- J.3	-0.022	0.103	286.2	-0.211	0.997
J.2	- J.4	0.428	0.122	294	3.519	p<0.01
J.3	- J.4	0.406	0.153	291.6	2.66	p<0.05

References

1. Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B.: lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. *Journal of Statistical Software* **82**(13), 1–26 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13>
2. Marx, J.D., Cummings, K.: Normalized change. *American Journal of Physics* **75**(1), 87–91 (2007). <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.2372468>