# Class Review and Final Preparations

Lars A. Lochstoer
UCLA Anderson School of Management

Winter 2020

## Outline

Empirical Methods in Finance vs. the rest of the curriculum

- Review of main topics
  - ▶ What are main lessons?
  - ▶ What will be on the final?

# Empirical Methods in Finance vs. Other Classes

# Empirical Methods in Finance

This class is a continuation of Econometrics and Investments

#### Goals are

- To provide you with the baseline econometric tools needed for subsequent MFE classes and to conduct empirical quantitative research
- To enable you to more easily understand and implement other, potentially more advanced, time-series models for use in quantitative analysis

The class is hard, but necessarily so as we need to get you quickly up to speed in order to focus the rest of your time here on tackling a wide variety of interesting, *realistic* problems

- Relative to classes before 2017, I have substantially reduced the amount of material (in particular, we are not covering the Kalman filter, cointegration and non-stationary time series analysis, see chapters 8.5, 8.6, and 11 in Tsay's book)
- Other topic of interest: regime switching (and other nonlinear) models (see Ch. 4 in Tsay).

## The Link to Future Classes

## Quantitative Asset Management

 Factor models, Fama-MacBeth regressions, portfolio sorts, 'alpha', information and appraisal ratios

#### Fixed Income Markets

Principal Components Analysis, forecasting regressions, VARs

#### Advanced Stochastic Calculus

 Multifactor models and no-arbitrage pricing, models of heteroscedasticity, jumps, non-normalities

## Financial Risk Management

 Models of heteroscedasticity, Value-at-Risk, factor models and hedging, non-normalities

# The Link to Future Classes (cont'd)

## Data Analytics

 ARMA, VARs, Principal Components Analysis, cross-sectional regressions, learning and shrinkage, factor models

#### Behavioral Finance

 Portfolio sorts, forecasting regressions, cross-sectional regressions, factor models

## Statistical Arbitrage

• 'Alpha', Principal Components Analysis, shrinkage, factor models

#### Credit Markets

• VARs, Principal Components Analysis, jumps, non-normalities

# Class Review

Basics of asymptotics (Central Limit Theorem and Law of Large Numbers)

- **Stationarity** important for Central Limit Theorem, important for any moment condition that is a sample average
- White standard errors, later Hansen-Hodrick and Newey-West standard errors
- Note on Asymptotic Theory posted on CCLE

I will not ask detailed questions about aymptotic theory on the final. But:

- You have to understand what stationarity means and how to achieve a stationary time-series
- Asset returns typically highly non-normal (non-zero skewness, excess kurtosis): how does this affect regression inference?
- You have to understand when to apply White standard errors

## Lectures 3 and 4

Autocorrelations and, in particular, the autocorrelation function describe the time-dependencies in a time series.

- Stock returns exhibit interesting autocorrelation patterns
  - Short-term reversal (negative autocorrelation)
  - Momentum (positive autocorrelation)
  - Ong-term reversal (negative autocorrelation)

Different patterns are more dominant at different frequencies

Understand what the autocorrelation function is

Know the Ljung-Box test

#### ARMA models

- ARMA models can capture any linear function of past data, any autocorrelation pattern
- Optimal linear forecast (based on univariate data)

#### Know:

- Stationarity requirements
- Multi-period forecasting
- Autocorrelation functions (how to derive), partial autocorrelation function
- AIC, BIC
- Conditional and unconditional variances
- Dynamic multipliers
- Exact vs. conditional likelihood functions for AR

## Return predictability

- Forecasting regressions
  - Overlapping observations
- Newey-West standard errors (when do we use them, what is lag length?)

Campbell-Shiller approximation and expression for price-dividend ratio

• Variance decomposition of prices: discount rates or cash flows

## Vector Autoregressions

- Multi-variate AR model
- Get multi-horizon forecasts based on set of predictors
- Now how to forecast using VAR(1), dynamic multiplier

## Don't worry about:

Vec and kronecker products

#### GARCH models

#### Know:

- Stylized facts on stock market conditional variance
- ARCH and GARCH models in general
  - ▶ In particular, know GARCH(1,1) well
  - What additional stylized fact can EGARCH and GJR-GARCH account for?
  - Forecasting with GARCH models
- Realized variance
  - ▶ How to construct, what are benefits?

Multivariate volatility models

• will not be on final

Please understand portfolio construction through factors

$$R_{Pt} = R_{ft} + w_1 R_{F1,t}^e + ... + w_k R_{Fk,t}^e$$

• Note: no restriction that w's need to sum to 1!

## Example 1:

$$R_{Pt} = R_{ft} + 0.8R_{Mkt.t}^{e}$$

- Clearly,  $0.8 \neq 1$ . But, not a problem.
  - ▶ Net weight in risk-free asset is 1 0.8 = 0.2. Net weight in market is 0.8.

## Lecture 10 - cont'd

## Example 2:

$$R_{Pt} = R_{ft} + 0.8R_{Mkt,t}^{e} - 0.3R_{HML,t}$$

- Clearly,  $0.8 0.3 \neq 1$ . But, not a problem.
  - ▶ Net weight in risk-free asset is 1 0.8 = 0.2. Net weight in market is ..?
  - You have an initial position in market of 0.8. But, you are then overweighting growth stocks and underweighting value stocks relative to the market portfolio since  $R_{HML,t} = R_{V,t} R_{G,t}$

## Lecture 10 - cont'd

#### Example 3:

- You are evaluating a fund with historical returns  $R_{Pt}$ .
- The fund claims it follows a stock-picking strategy, but is actually a closet-indexer. In particular, it invests all it's money each period in a market index fund and goes short an amount equal to half of that in a growth stock portfolio. It then invests the proceeds of the short position in a long value portfolio. Assume the growth and value portfolios are the same as those underlying the HML factor.
- You run the regression

$$R_{Pt} - R_{ft} = \alpha + \beta_{Mkt} R_{Mkt,t}^e + \beta_{HML} R_{HML,t} + \varepsilon_t$$

- What are your estimated  $\hat{eta}_{Mkt}$  and  $\hat{eta}_{HML}$ ?  $\hat{eta}_{Mkt}=1$ ,  $\hat{eta}_{HML}=0.5$
- What is your estimate of  $\alpha$ ?  $\hat{\alpha} = 0$ .

## Lectures 10 and 11

Please know factor portfolio math:

$$R_{it}^{e}=lpha_{i}+eta_{i}^{\prime}F_{t}+arepsilon_{it}, \;\; ext{for all } i$$

where  $E\left[\varepsilon_{it}^2\right] = \sigma_i^2$ , and where  $E\left[\varepsilon_{it}\varepsilon_{jt}\right] = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

- Know how to calculate expected returns, variances, and covariances in this setup
- Please note: the factor model does not necessarily imply that  $\alpha_i = 0$ . Need additional assumptions for this.
  - ▶ Typically: assume  $\varepsilon$ 's can be diversified away (Arbitrage Pricing Theory)

Principal Components Analysis: natural way to find factors

- Run PCA using excess returns
- Eigenvectors are then the w's for the corresponding factor in the previous slides and give each asset's weight in the zero-investment PCA factors

The assumption of no-arbitrage makes the  $\alpha$ 's in the factor model zero if the factors are traded

• In general, the factor model implies that:

$$E(R_{it}^e) = \beta_i' \lambda$$

If factor j is traded and expressed as an excess return, we have:

$$E(F_{jt}) = \lambda^{(j)}$$

as the factor has a beta of one with respect to itself and zero to all other factors.

• In other words, the price of risk of a traded factor is its risk premium

**Empirically**, a lot of factors that drive the covariance matrix of stock returns aren't *priced* 

- I.e.,  $\lambda^{(j)} = 0$  if factor j is not priced.
  - ► Thus, the risk premium of a traded factor that is priced is significantly different from zero.
- Example: industry factors are not priced, typically, while the HML factor of Fama and French is.

Thus, while PCA offers an intuitive way of getting at the most important factors (e.g., industry factors), it is an *empirical* stylized fact that priced factors in stock returns are not well-identified by PCA analysis

- PCA is useful, however, for finding factors that add variance
- We may want to hedge out such factors

A linear beta-pricing model (our factor models) with traded factors *prices* all assets if and only if...

- ..the factors span the mean variance efficient portfolio
- That means, the mean-variance efficient portfolio can be constructed by trading the factors only:

$$\mu' V^{-1} \mu = \lambda' \Sigma_F^{-1} \lambda$$

where the left-hand side is the maximum squared Sharpe ratio of all assets and the right-hand side is the maximum squared Sharpe ratio of the factors

Please, know mean-variance math!

Continuing from the previous slide.

• In general, it is true that

$$\mu' V^{-1} \mu = \lambda' \Sigma_F^{-1} \lambda + \alpha' \Sigma_\varepsilon^{-1} \alpha.$$

• Thus, under the null that a given factor model prices all assets, we have that  $\alpha'\Sigma_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\alpha=0.$ 

This is not a function of investors' preferences, mean-variance risk criteria, etc.

• It's just math. An implication of the linear factor model framework.

#### Another mechanical implication:

- If you uncover  $\alpha$ 's for a particular factor model, it implies that you can achieve a higher Sharpe ratio than one can using the factors alone
- Understand how to implement this

Cross-sectional regressions always throw students off the first time they see them...

Another take on testing null hypothesis:

$$E\left(R_{it}^{e}\right)=eta_{i}^{\prime}\lambda$$
 for all  $i$ 

- Note that  $\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}R_{it}^{e}=ar{R}_{i}^{e}=E\left(R_{it}^{e}\right)+$  error
- Consider a sample of N portfolios with T time series observations. Obtain the sample means for each i and regress on betas:

$$\bar{R}_i^e = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \hat{\beta}_i + \tilde{\alpha}_i.$$

- Note that  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  is the error term in this regression. Under the null hypothesis  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , and  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = 0$  for all i.
- But what is  $\lambda_1$ ?

What is  $\lambda_1$ ?

$$\left[\begin{array}{c}\lambda_0\\\lambda_1\end{array}\right]=\left(X'X\right)^{-1}X'\bar{R}^e$$

where

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \beta_1 \\ 1 & \beta_2 \\ & \vdots \\ 1 & \beta_N \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{R}^e = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{R}_1^e \\ \bar{R}_2^e \\ \vdots \\ \bar{R}_N^e \end{bmatrix}$$

- Also, let  $E^I[\beta_i]$  and  $Var^I[\beta_i]$  be the cross-sectional average and variance of the  $\beta_i$ 's, respectively.
- Then.... see next slide

Thus, from writing out the formula from the previous slide:

$$\lambda_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\beta_{i} - E[\beta]}{Var[\beta]} \bar{R}_{i}^{e}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \bar{R}_{i}^{e}$$

where  $w_i = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\beta_i - E[\beta]}{Var[\beta]}$  is a portfolio weight in the excess return sense; the same as we saw in the initial slides in factor models.

- The cross-sectional regression forms a portfolio that each period is long high beta stocks and short low beta stocks.
  - ▶ The portfolio weight is linearly increasing in  $\beta_i$
  - ► This is the factor-mimicking portfolio implied by the cross-sectional regression.
- $\bullet$  The price of risk estimate,  $\lambda_1,$  is the average excess return to this portfolio

Fama-MacBeth runs this cross-sectional regression each period.

• Mostly useful is beta's or other firm characteristics vary over time:

$$R_{it}^e = \lambda_{0t} + \lambda_{1t}bm_{i,t-1} + \tilde{\alpha}_{it}$$

Then

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \lambda_{0t}, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \lambda_{1t}, \quad \tilde{\alpha}_i = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \tilde{\alpha}_{it}$$

- Note that this is simply a panel forecasting regression, where the regression coefficient is the same across firms.
- From this regression, we have:

$$E_{t-1}\left[R_{it}^{e}\right] = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b m_{i,t-1}$$

- Note, this regression does not have  $\lambda_0$  as a null hypothesis ( $bm_{i,t-1}$  is not a beta)
- What is  $\lambda_1$  in this case?

How Should I Study for the Final?

## How to Study

- Read lecture notes and handout on factor models and asymptotics
  - ▶ The Tsay text book is a useful reference

Understand the homeworks, in particular the parts that were analytical.

You do not need to know any of the coding for the final.

- Finals from preceding years are posted
  - Remember to bring cheat sheet (letter-sized, both pages) and calculator

# Good luck and thanks for being great students!!

I hope to see many of you next quarter for Data Analytics and Machine Learning