Any x eR eathsfying 270 (i.e., 270 and 270) is called a positive mo. 220 is called a negative no. If  $x+\epsilon \ge y$  holds for each  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $x \ge y$  holds. Proof: Suppore the unclumin is not true, i.e., y-x>0: Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(y-x)>0$ , then hypothesis  $x+\epsilon \frac{y}{y} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(x+y) = 2+\frac{1}{2}(y-x) > y$  $\Rightarrow$  y-x  $\leq 0$ , which is in contradiction. Absolute value of a real no. a Elk is defined as |a|=a if a>0 and a>0 and a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0 a>0then |a| = av (-a) + a + R. It follows that |a|= |-a| for each a + R. The absolute value satisfies the proporties: 1. |a|>0 for each a till, and d. |a|=0 iff a=0. d. |ab|=|a|.|b| et a, b ∈ R; and 3. [a+b] < |a|+|b| + a,b & (D-gle ineq)

Let A be a nonempty subset of R. An upper bound of A is any a ER s.t. x & a Y x ∈ A; b ∈ R is a lower bound for A if  $b \le x + x \in A$ . If A has an uphex bound, then A is said to be bounded from above. If A has a lower bound then A is said to be bounded from below. If A is both, bounded from above and below, then A is called a bounded set. A real no. is called a least upper bound (or a supremum) of A if is an upper bound for A, and it is less than or equal to every other upper bound of A. I.e., x ER is a least upper bound for A if A is bounded from above by x, and i) if A is bounded from above by y, then  $z \leq y$ .

Similarly  $x \in R$  is the greatest lower bound (or infimum) of a set A if

i) A is bounded from below by x, and

ii) if A is bounded from below by y, then The Completeness axiom. Axiom 11. Every monemply ret of real nos. that is bounded from above has a least upper bound. It follows that if ACIR is nonempty and bounded from below, then the ret B= & b = IR: b \le x + x \le Ay is bounded from above, and so suf Benish, sup B= inf. A More that if set A has a maximum, (resp. a minimum) element, then mare  $A = \sup A$  (resp. min  $A = \inf A$ ). The Assume that ACIR and sup A exclude. Then for every e70, 3 some XEA s.t. sup A-e < 2 \ sup A. Proof: If Y 2cA we have  $\alpha \leq \sup A - \epsilon$ , then  $\sup A - \epsilon$  is an upher bound of A, which is less than the least upher bound. But this is impossible.  $\therefore \exists$  some  $x \in A$   $\leq \cdot \epsilon$ .  $\leq \cdot \epsilon$ .  $\leq \cdot \epsilon$ . Corollary. Re set of nadroral nos. N 1s unbounded. Thm. (The Archimedean property). It 2. y & Rt, then I some national no. n & N s.t. nx >y. Thm. Bet! any two distinct real no. 7 a radional no.

(II)