

# Assignment 03 & 04 of I2ML-s23

**Q1** Show that the following sequents are not valid by finding a valuation (model) in which the truth values of the formulas to the left of *are 1s (Ts) and the truth value of the formula to the right of is 0 (F)*. (6 \* 10pts = 60 pts)

(a)  $\neg p \vee (q \rightarrow p) \vdash \neg p \wedge q$

(b)  $\neg r \rightarrow (p \vee q), r \wedge \neg q \vdash r \rightarrow q$

(c)  $\neg p, p \vee q \vdash \neg q$

(d)  $p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r), \neg r \vdash \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

(e)  $p \rightarrow q \vdash p \vee q$

(f)  $p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \vdash (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r)$

**Q2** Prove the validity of the following sequents (study all of them first, and then choose 4 of the hardest sequents for you to prove and do not duplicate with formulas or sequents you have proved in the assignment 1 or 2) by formal proof rules and format learnt in Lecture Notes 05 & 06. (Pay attention that  $F1 \vdash F2$  is a shorthand of  $\{ F1 \} \vdash F2$  in formal proof. )

(4 \* 10pts = 40pts)

(a)  $\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \neg(\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2)$

(b)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$

(c)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$

(d)  $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2$

(e)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \vdash \neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)$

(f)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)$

(g)  $\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \neg(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2)$

(h)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \neg(\phi_1 \vee \phi_2)$

(i)  $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \vee \phi_2$

(j)  $\neg\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \vee \phi_2$

(k)  $\phi_1 \wedge \neg\phi_2 \vdash \phi_1 \vee \phi_2.$

**Q3** Use mathematical induction on  $n$  to prove the following equivalence:  
(20 pts)

$$((\phi_1 \wedge (\phi_2 \wedge (\cdots \wedge \phi_n) \cdots) \rightarrow \psi) \equiv (\phi_1 \rightarrow (\phi_2 \rightarrow (\cdots (\phi_n \rightarrow \psi) \cdots)))).$$

**Q4** Find a formula of propositional logic  $\varphi$  which contains only the atoms  $p$ ,  $q$  and  $r$  and which is true only when  $p$  and  $q$  are false, or when  $\neg q \wedge (p \vee r)$  is true. (10pts)

**Q5** Derive the following statements by formal proof learnt in Lecture Notes 05 & 06. (2 \* 15 pts = 30 pts)

5a)  $\emptyset \vdash (p \Rightarrow (q \vee r)) \vee (r \Rightarrow \neg p)$

5b)  $\{r \vee (s \wedge \neg t), (r \vee s) \Rightarrow (u \vee \neg t)\} \vdash t \Rightarrow u$

**Q6** Prove the following equivalences: (2 \* 15 pts = 30 pts)

6a)  $p \Rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \equiv \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$

6b)  $(p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \equiv p \Leftrightarrow q \Leftrightarrow r$

**Q7** Simplify (10 pts)

$$\underbrace{p \oplus \dots \oplus p}_n \oplus \underbrace{\neg p \oplus \dots \oplus \neg p}_k \equiv ?$$

**Q8** For the formula:  $\neg(\neg((s \Rightarrow \neg(p \Leftrightarrow q)))) \oplus (\neg q \vee r)$

8a) Convert the above formula into NNF;

8b) Remove  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $\Leftrightarrow$ , and  $\oplus$  before turning the above into NNF, redo 8a).

(2 \* 10pts = 20pts)