Program Verification: Assignments and Conditionals

HE Mingxin, Max CS104: program07 @ yeah.net CS108: mxhe1 @ yeah.net

I2ML(H) Spring 2023 (CS104|CS108)

Outline

Program Verification

Key Points

The Inference Rule for Assignments

Inference Rules for Conditional Statements

Summary

Key Points

Key points to learn:

 Prove that a Hoare triple is satisfied under partial/total correctness for a program containing assignment and conditional statements.

Proving partial and total correctness

- Both problems are undecidable. No algorithm can solve them in all situations.
- ▶ Different techniques for proving partial and total correctness.
- ► For proving partial correctness, we will construct formal proofs using inference rules.
- ► For proving total correctness, we will prove partial correctness and termination separately.

Proving partial correctness

```
(| precondition |)
(\ldots)
                           <justification >
v = 1:
(\ldots)
                           <justification >
z = 0:
(\ldots)
                           <justification >
while (z != x) {
  (\ldots)
                           <justification >
  (\ldots)
                           <justification >
  z = z + 1:
  (\ldots)
                           <justification >
  y = y * z;
  (\ldots)
                           <justification >
(postcondition)
                           <justification >
```

The assignment inference rule

```
(Q[E/x])

x = E;

(Q) assignment
```

- Q is a predicate formula.
- ▶ x is a variable in Q.
- ▶ E is a term.

An example of using the assignment inference rule

Example:

```
(???)
 x = 2;
 (x = 2)
```

 ${\tt assignment}$

CQ 1 The assignment inference rule

```
(P)
 x = 2;
 (x = y) assignment
```

Which of the following is the precondition ${\it P}$ derived using the assignment inference rule?

- (A) x = 2
- (B) y = 2
- (C) None of the above

CQ 2 The assignment inference rule

Which of the following is the precondition P derived using the assignment inference rule?

- (A) x + 1 = n
- (B) x = n + 1
- (C) None of the above

CQ 3 The assignment inference rule

```
(P)
 x = y;
 ((\exists k (x = y * k))) assignment
```

Which of the following is the precondition ${\it P}$ derived using the assignment inference rule?

- (A) $(\exists k (x = y * k))$
- (B) $(\exists k (y = y * k))$
- (C) $(\exists k (x = x * k))$
- (D) None of the above

Notes on the assignment inference rule

- ► For assignments, we work bottom-up from the postcondition. Sometimes, we call this pushing up the assignments.
- ► Treat *E* as one expression and do not worry about what's inside.
- ▶ If there is an equality in Q[E/x], do not switch the two sides of the inequality.
- ▶ Do not simplify Q[E/x] in any way.

Exercise on the assignment inference rule

Show that the following triple is satisfied under partial correctness.

```
(y = 6)
 x = y + 1;
 (x = 7)
```

The if-then-else inference rule

```
if (B) {
  ((P \land B))
                    if-then-else
    (Q) < justify based on C1 - a subproof>
} else {
    ((P \land (\neg B))) if -then-else
    C2
    (Q) < justify based on C2 - a subproof>
                      if-then-else
```

Example of if-then-else

Show that the following triple is satisfied under partial correctness.

```
( true )
if (x > y) {
      max = x;
} else {
      max = y;
\{(((x>y)\land (\mathit{max}=x))\lor ((x\leq y)\land (\mathit{max}=y)))\}
```

Notes on the if-then-else inference rule

- 1. Move the precondition into the if and else blocks, adding on the corresponding if/else condition.
- Copy the post condition into the last lines of the if and else blocks.
- Complete the annotations for the two subproofs, one for the if block, and one for the else block.
- 4. Prove any implied's.

CQ 4 The if-then-else inference rule

```
Which of the following is the correct formula for \varphi based on the if-then-else inference rule? (x = 0; (x = 0; (x = -1; (x = 0); (x
```

CQ 5 The if-then-else inference rule

```
Let (\varphi) be the annotation immediately
((x = 3))
                            below "else". Which of the following is
if (x > 0) {
                            the correct formula for \varphi based on the
  x = 0:
                            if-then-else inference rule?
   ((x \leq 0))
} else {
                            (A) ((x = 3) \land (x > 0))
   (\varphi)
                            (B) (x = 0)
  x = -1:
                            (C) ((x = 3) \land (\neg(x > 0)))
   ((x \le 0))
                            (D) (x = -1)
((x \le 0))
                            (E) (x < 0)
```

CQ 6 The if-then-else inference rule

```
((x = 3))
if (x > 0) {
  (((x = 3) \land (x > 0)))
                                  if-then-else
  x = 1:
  ((x > 0))
                                  assignment
} else {
  \{((x=3) \land (\neg(x>0)))\}
                                   if-then-else
  x = 0:
  ((x \ge 0))
                                  assignment
((x \ge 0))
                                  if-then-else
Are we done with annotating this program?
(A) Yes
(B) No
(C) I'm not sure.
```

The if-then inference rule

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{if} & ( \ B \ ) \ \{ \\ & ( (P \land B) ) \} & \textbf{if} - \textbf{then} \\ & C1 \\ & ( \ Q ) \} & < \textbf{justify based on C1} - \textbf{a subproof} > \\ \} \\ & ( \ Q ) \} & \textbf{if} - \textbf{then} \\ & \text{implied } ((P \land (\neg B)) \rightarrow Q) \end{array}
```

Example of if-then

Show that the following triple is satisfied under partial correctness.

Notes on the if-then inference rule

- 1. Move the precondition into the if block, adding on the if condition.
- 2. Copy the post condition into the last line of the if block.
- 3. Complete the annotations for the subproof in the if block.
- 4. Write down the implied condition for the implicit "else" block.
- 5. Prove any implied's.

Summary

Key points learnt:

 Prove that a Hoare triple is satisfied under partial/total correctness for a program containing assignment and conditional statements.